L. Sun,^{1,*} D S. Duarte,¹ L. Soares-de-Almeida^{1,2,3} ¹Dermatology Department, Hospital de Santa Maria, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal, ²Faculty of Medicine, Dermatology Universitary Clinic, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, ³Institute of Molecular Medicine, Lisbon, Portugal *Correspondence: L. Sun. E-mail: Lanyusun@gmail.com

References

- Niebel D, Novak N, Wilhelmi J et al. Cutaneous adverse reactions to COVID-19 vaccines: insights from an immuno-dermatological perspective. Vaccines 2021; 9: 944.
- 2 Hiltun I, Sarriugarte J, Martínez-de-Espronceda I *et al*. Lichen planus arising after COVID-19 vaccination. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021; 35: e414–e415.
- 3 Merhy R, Sarkis A-S, Kaikati J, Khoury L, Ghosn S, Stephan F. New-onset cutaneous lichen planus triggered by COVID- 19 vaccination. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2021; 35: e729–e730.
- 4 Correia C, Fernandes S, Soares-de-Almeida L, Filipe P. Exuberant lichenoid eruption after Oxford–AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine: a singular case. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2022; **36**: e268–e270.
- 5 Guertler A, Evenschor N, Seegraeber M et al. Lichen planus pigmentosus inversus: a rare subvariant of lichen planus pigmentosus. Case Rep Dermatol 2021; 13: 407–410.
- 6 Gaertner E, Elstein W. Lichen planus pigmentosus-inversus: case report and review of an unusual entity. *Dermatol Online J* 2012; **18**: 11.
- 7 Tabanlioğlu-Onan D, İncel-Uysal P, Öktem A, Yayla D, Özhamam E, Artüz F. Lichen planus pigmentosus-inversus: a peculiar variant of lichen planus. *Dermatologica Sinica* 2017; 35: 210–212.
- 8 Ibernón-Moya A, Churruca-Grijelmo M, Martínez-Pérez M, Lobato-Berezo A. Dermoscopic features of lichen planus pigmentosus-inversus. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2015; **106**: 857–859.
- 9 Rai T, Bohara A, Jha P. A case of lichen planus pigmentosus in blaschkoid pattern in a 10-year-old female. *Clin Dermatol Rev* 2021; 5: 104.

DOI: 10.1111/jdv.18058

Another case of generalized bullous fixed drug eruption following an adenoviral vector-based COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCov-19)

Editor,

Vaccination is a major tool in the efforts towards ending the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Cutaneous reactions associated with the COVID-19 vaccination have been reported. Fixed drug eruption (FDE) is a very rare cutaneous complication of vaccines and of COVID-19 vaccines in particular. Here, we describe a case of generalized bullous fixed drug eruption (GBFDE) that developed after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AstraZeneca-Oxford) vaccination. To date, only three cases of FDE in the COVID-19-vaccinated patients have been reported.^{1–3} The three previously published cases concerned

two cases of mRNA vaccines and so far only one previous case of an adenoviral vector-based vaccine.

A 41-year-old healthy woman developed a well-defined pruritic, erythematous, painful plaque on her left shoulder three days following the first dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (Fig. 1a). There was no history of any other drug intake prior to or at the time of appearance of the lesion. The patient had no known allergies to medications, or vaccines, and reported no insect bites, trauma or burns to the area. Four days later, new lesions continued to appear at distant sites. Therefore, the patient was hospitalized. Clinical examination showed a bullous erythematous plaque surrounding the injection site on the upper left arm with a 8 \times 5 cm diameter. Two other lesions were noted at the upper and lower limbs. Systemic symptoms were absent. There was no evidence of mucosal involvement. She was tested negative for COVID-19. A diagnosis of GBFDE was suspected, and a diagnostic punch biopsy was performed. The biopsy from the lesion revealed epidermal necrosis leading to bullae formation with superficial and deep perivascular mixed infiltrate of lymphocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils, in addition to dermal melanophages (Fig. 1b). Direct and indirect immunofluorescence were negative. The diagnosis of GBFDE was made. Potent topical corticosteroids and hydroxyzine were initiated. The resolution was noted few days later leaving residual, postinflammatory hyperpigmentation. No new lesions had appeared after three months, but residual pigmented macules persisted. Patch tests could be suggested in the investigation of FDE, because it is a safe and valuable procedure. Unfortunately, in our case, the patient was not willing to be tested.

ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine-associated cutaneous reactions include local injection-site reactions, generalized urticaria, maculopapular reactions, delayed large local reactions and Covid toes.⁴ Singular cases of cellulitis, psoriasis, rosacea, vitiligo, Raynaud phenomenon and symmetrical drug-related intertriginous have been also reported. The incidence of adverse cutaneous reactions was significantly higher in females and those in the younger age groups. FDE has not been reported in clinical trials. Only one previous case of GBFDE associated with ChAdOx1 vaccine has been described in the literature.³ The latter was reported in a 74-year-old Thai male who presented with a rash appearing 25 hours after receiving the first dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine.

The precise triggering mechanisms are still unknown, and it is unclear which component of the vaccine (virotopes and excipients) may cause the FDE. Vaccine-induced cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions are thought to be due to antigens present in the vaccine being expressed on the surface of keratinocytes, leading to a T-lymphocyte immune response against the cells of the epidermis and ultimately cell death and detachment at the dermoepidermal junction.⁵

ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine contains the excipient polysorbate 80, which is thought to be implicated in the pathogenesis of allergic reactions such as urticaria and anaphylactoid eruptions.⁶ GBFDE seems to be a result of a hypersensitivity reaction to the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 virotopes rather than to the excipient



Figure 1 (a) A well-defined bullous erythematous plaque. (b) Epidermal necrosis leading to bullae formation (haematoxylin-eosin, original magnification $\times 100$) with superficial and deep perivascular mixed infiltrate of lymphocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils (arrow), in addition to dermal melanophages (haematoxylin-eosin, original magnification $\times 400$).

polysorbate 80. Actually, our patient had already tolerated drugs containing this excipient.

ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 is well tolerated in most people. It has also been shown to be so effective that the benefits of receiving the vaccination outweigh the risk of developing mild and selflimiting cutaneous adverse reactions such as FDE.

Acknowledgement

The patient in this manuscript has given written informed consent to the publication of her case details.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Funding sources

None.

Data availability statement

The data used for this study are available from the corresponding author at reasonable request.

C. Ben Salem,^{1,*} A. Khelif,² D. Sahnoun,¹ N. Ghariani,² B. Sriha,³ M. Denguezli²

¹Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacovigilance Center of Sousse, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia, ²Dermatology, Farhat Hached Hospital, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia, ³Pathology, Farhat Hached Hospital, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia *Correspondence: C. Ben Salem. E-mail: bensalem.c@gmail.com

References

1 Mintoff D, Pisani D, Betts A, Scerri L. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine-associated fixed drug eruption. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021; **35**: e560–e563.

- 2 Kong J, Cuevas-Castillo F, Nassar M *et al.* Bullous drug eruption after second dose of mRNA-1273 (Moderna) COVID-19 vaccine: case report. *J Infect Public Health* 2021; 14: 1392–1394.
- 3 Wantavornprasert K, Noppakun N, Klaewsongkram J, Rerknimitr P. Generalized bullous fixed drug eruption after Oxford-AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) vaccination. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2022; 47: 428–432.
- 4 Van Loon A, Mortelmans D, Siozopoulou V *et al.* A first case of 'Covid toes' from a viral vector-based COVID-19 vaccine. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2022; **36**: e400–e402.
- 5 Lavery MJ, Nawimana S, Parslew R, Stewart L. A flare of pre-existing erythema multiforme following BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) COVID-19 vaccine. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2021; **46**: 1325–1327.
- 6 Kim MA, Lee YW, Kim SR et al. COVID-19 Vaccine-associated anaphylaxis and allergic reactions: consensus statements of the KAAACI Urticaria/Angioedema/Anaphylaxis Working Group. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2021; 13: 526–544.

DOI: 10.1111/jdv.18059

Two cases of anti-TIF1-γ antibody positive dermatomyositis with manifested symptoms after SARS-CoV-19 vaccination

Editor,

Although rare, in several instances vaccines have been suggested as environmental factors triggering development of inflammatory myopathies, including dermatomyositis (DM)/polymyositis (PM).^{1,2} We describe two cases in which DM became apparent after SARS-CoV-19 vaccination.