Heliyon 6 (2020) e03238

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon

journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Review article Choreography of the centrosome

Maria Alvarado-Kristensson

Molecular Pathology, Department of Translational Medicine, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, SE-20502, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Cell biology

Cytoskeleton

Membrane

Proteins Centrosome Nuclear envelope Cell division Gamma-tubulin

Biological sciences

Molecular biology

Developmental biology

ABSTRACT

More than a century ago, the centrosome was discovered and described as "the true division organ of the cell". Electron microscopy revealed that a centrosome is an amorphous structure or pericentriolar protein matrix that surrounds a pair of well-organized centrioles. Today, the importance of the centrosome as a microtubule-organizing center and coordinator of the mitotic spindle is questioned, because centrioles are absent in up to half of all known eukaryotic species, and various mechanisms for acentrosomal microtubule nucleation have been described. This review recapitulates the known functions of centrosome movements in cellular homeostasis and discusses knowledge gaps in this field.

1. Introduction

Microtubule nucleating complex

Late in the nineteenth century, separate publications by Edouard Van Beneden, Walther Flemming, and Theodor Boveri described a centrosome as an independent organelle, which, through self-replication, was passed on to new born cells [1, 2]. In short, the centrosome was regarded as part of the cell with clear responsibility for mediating nuclear and cellular division [2], and the discovery of the centrosome was considered to be as important as the discovery of the nucleus [3]. Still, the early enthusiasm among researchers declined over a period of decades, because studies using electron microscopy revealed that a centrosome is an amorphous structure, or pericentriolar protein matrix (PCM), that surrounds a pair of well-structured centrioles and thus has a composition that is difficult to define. Over time, considerable progress has been made in understanding the functions, the structure, and the biology of the centrioles [3].

This review explores the cellular importance of the centrosome in various species and the possible function of this organelle as a component of the γ -tubulin meshwork.

2. Main text

2.1. The presence of centrioles

In animal cells, a centrosome has the following components: a two microtubule-based barrel-shaped centrioles and a surrounding amorphous network of proteins or PCM that includes a large number of microtubule-nucleating complexes. In dividing cells, at G1–S transition, a procentriole is formed adjacent to each parental centriole and continues to grow during S phase. At G2–M, the daughter centriole matures, the two centrosomes separate, and both of the centrosomes instruct and determine the formation and positioning of the mitotic spindle during symmetric and asymmetric cell division (Figure 1 and Movie 1; this data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*) [4, 5].

Supplementary video related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03238.

Centrioles in resting cells can bear flagella and cilia, and therefore such centrioles are usually referred to as basal bodies [6]. Also, all species that have cilia-bearing cells at some stage in their life cycle have centrioles [7, 8], which agrees with the role of centrioles in the organization

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03238

Received 8 December 2019; Received in revised form 10 January 2020; Accepted 13 January 2020

2405-8440/© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).







E-mail address: maria.alvarado-kristensson@med.lu.se.

M. Alvarado-Kristensson



(caption on next page)

of microtubules in cilia. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the absence of centrioles leads to early death after eclosion due to the lack of cilia, whereas spindle formation and chromosome segregation are unaffected [9]. Nonetheless, oocytes in metazoan species lack centrosomes [10], and it has been shown that the first divisions in early mouse embryo development occur in the absence of centrioles [11]. Moreover, the asymmetric inheritance of old and new mother centrioles in mouse cells is necessary for neuron development, and, consequently, for development of the animal itself [5].

Spindle pole bodies in yeast (equivalent to centrosomes in animals) lack centrioles but have a PCM [12]. Indeed, centrioles are absent in up to half of all known eukaryotic species, including most fungi, protists, and vascular plants, as well as many algae [13, 14]. Cells that lack centrioles have microtubule-nucleating complexes that control the formation of the mitotic spindle [15].

2.2. Centrosomes, microtubule-nucleating complexes, and microtubule formation

Centrosomes are microtubule-organizing centers, and both microtubules and centrosomes are highly enriched in a protein family of GTPases called the tubulins [16]. Tubulins are the major components of both microtubules and the γ -tubulin meshwork in eukaryotes [17, 18, 19]. Heterodimers of α - and β -tubulin assemble into microtubules, whereas γ -tubulin assembles into γ -strings [20, 21] and γ -tubules [22]. The γ -tubulin small complex (γ -TuSC) and the γ -tubulin ring complex (γ -TuRC) are two important γ -tubulin-containing complexes that assist in nucleation of microtubules and γ -tubules [17, 18, 22, 23]. In humans, γ -TuSC consists of two γ -tubulin molecules combined with one γ -tubulin complex protein 2 (GCP2) and with one GCP3. y-TuSCs, together with additional GCPs, form the larger complex y-TuRC. A microtubule nucleates on the γ -tubulin ring in a γ -TuRC, and several γ -TuRCs together with pericentrin form γ -tubules [22]. Furthermore, α - and β -tubulin are found in the cytoplasm and centrioles, whereas γ -tubulin occurs in the cytoplasm, and centrosomes and is also associated with cellular membranes and chromatin [17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 25].

In plants, the acentrosomal nucleation of microtubules occurs at scattered sites in a γ TuRCs -dependent manner [26, 27]. Also, in various species, acentrosomal microtubule nucleation takes place on chromatin [28] and is generated by the small GTPase Ran (Ras-related nuclear protein) that locally activates microtubule-associated proteins, including γ TuRCs (Figure 2A; this data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*) [29, 30, 31]. In addition, the augmin pathway targets γ TuRCs to a pre-existing microtubule that assists in nucleation of a new microtubule from the recruited γ TuRCs [32, 33, 34]. Thus, a prerequisite for centrosomal and acentrosomal microtubule nucleation is the presence of γ -tubulin.

2.3. The centrosome and the nuclear compartment

Spontaneous *TUBG1* gene mutation that results in mutation of the amino acid Leu387Pro is associated with brain malformations [35]. In

yeast, γ -tubulin^{Leu387Pro} mutation in the DNA-binding domain of γ -tubulin affects the positioning of the nucleus [19, 35, 36]. In eukaryotic cells, a boundary of γ -strings around chromatin coordinates formation of the nucleus [20] and around centrioles assists in the nucleation of microtubules in the PCM [37]. Also, the structures that contain γ -tubulin (i.e. γ -tubules and centrosomes) affect the shape of the nuclear envelope. γ -Tubulin was recently found to be a major component of a novel cytoskeletal element named γ -tubules. In mammalian cells, γ -tubules can emanate from centrosomes and interlace to produce a macro- γ -tubule that changes the shape of the nucleus [22, 38]. In addition, centrosomes can shape the nuclear envelope by residing within an invagination of the nuclear envelope [39].

In time-lapse images of living U2OS cells stably expressing both TUBG-shRNA (which reduces the endogenous $\gamma\text{-tubulin}$ pool by \sim 50 % [25, 40]) and a C-tagged TUBG1-green fluorescence protein (GFP) shRNA-resistant gene (which fluorescence labels the PCM) [20] (Figure 1, Movies 1 and 2; this data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson et al.), it is apparent that, during interphase, centrosomes are located on the cytosolic side of the nuclear envelope, and their position on the nuclear envelope is constantly changing (Figure 1A and Movie 1). It can also be noted that the interactions of PCM with the nucleus are sufficiently dynamic to permit independent movements around the nuclear envelope (Figure 1A and Movie 1) and within the cytoplasm (360 min, Figures 1A and 1B) [41]. During G2, the centrosomes separate prior to the onset of mitosis, and this occurs with the mechanical support of myosin II and actin filaments [42]. In higher eukaryotes, entrance into mitosis is characterized by disassembly of the nuclear envelope that permits the repositioning of centrosomes to guide formation of the mitotic spindle (Figure 1A) [43, 44].

Supplementary video related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03238.

However, in many lower eukaryotes (e.g. fungi, protists, and unicellular algae), the nuclear attachment is necessary for the centrosome to cycle in and out of the nuclear envelope to achieve a closed mitosis. This implies that local openings within the nuclear envelope, close to the microtubule-organizing centers, are sufficient to allow microtubules to gain access to the chromosomes, as outlined by Drechsler and McAinsh [45].

In budding yeast, exit from mitosis must occur after partitioning of chromosomes between the daughter cells. A checkpoint mechanism monitors the coupling between nuclear and cytoplasmic division by causing the GTP-binding protein Tem1, which is a regulator of mitotic exit, to localize to the spindle pole body. Tem1 is activated by the exchange factor Lte1, which is localized to the bud. Consequently, the migration of the spindle pole body to the neck of the bud brings Tem1 in contact with Lte1, which in turn activates Tem1 to trigger mitotic exit [46]. In mammalian cells, immediately before exit from cell division, but after furrow ingression between the two new born cells, one of the centrosomes transiently moves to the growing furrow to allow the completion of cell division (Figure 1B, Movie 2) [41]. Absence of centrosomes leads to defects in the final step of mitosis, cytokinesis [41], which suggests that centrosome movements represent a conserved centrosome-dependent pathway that integrates spatial and temporal cues during cell division.

Figure 1. Centrosome positioning during cell division. (A) Time-lapse series of differential interference contrast (DIC)/fluorescence images showing a U2OS cell stably expressing *TUBG*-shRNA and co-expressing GFP-tagged sh-resistant γ -tubulin1 (γ TubulinGFP). The image series presents chosen frames illustrating the changes in the position of the centrosome(s) during cell division. The outer membrane of the cell (yellow lines) and the nucleus (dotted lines) are indicated by solid and dotted lines, respectively. The model [19] depicts the changes known to occur in the centrosome(s) during cell division: the centrosome duplicates in S phase; the two centrosomes separate at the end of G2 phase; the disassembly of the nuclear envelope permits the repositioning of centrosomes to regulate the formation of the mitotic spindle in prophase; the chromosomes are aligned in the middle of the cell and microtubules emanating from the centrosomes are attached to the chromosomes in metaphase; the mitotic spindle pulls apart the chromatin between the newborn cells in telophase. A nuclear membrane is formed around each set of chromosomes, and the division of the cytoplasm between new born cells is ongoing (cytokinesis) for the final generation of two cells. The images shown were collected every 5 min. See also Movie 1. (B) Time-lapse fluorescence images of a U2OS cell stably expressing the changes in location that the centrosomes undergo during cytokinesis. Here, after furrow ingression, one of the centrosomes transiently moved to the growing furrow to allow the completion of cell division. Images were obtained and time-lapse experiments were performed as previously described [20, 25, 68, 69]. In both **A** and **B** an arrow indicates a centrosome. Scale bars: 10 µm. This data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*



Figure 2. A centrosome remains attached to chromatin despite the absence of actin, microtubules, the lamina and the nuclear envelope. **A–C**. α-Tubulin (centriole and microtubule component), lamin B (nuclear membrane marker), centrin (centrosome marker), histone (chromatin marker), and γ-tubulin (PCM and γ-tubulin nuclear boundary marker) were immunofluorescence stained in demembranated *Xenopus laevis* sperm that were isolated in the presence of cytochalasin B (depolymerized actin; **A** and **B**) or both cytochalasin B and colcemid (depolymerized actin and microtubules; **C**) and were subsequently pelleted on a cushion containing glycerol to remove debris, and then immunostained as previously described [20]. Chromatin was stained with DAPI. **(A)** To study the nucleation of microtubules on demembranated sperm, egg extracts were incubated with such sperm before fixation [20]. Immunostaining with an anti-α-tubulin antibody showed that addition of egg extracts (**A**) to demembranated sperm (**B**) triggered the assembly of microtubules on chromatin (**A** and **B**). **(C)** Isolation of demenbranated *X. laevis* sperm in the presence of colcemid and subsequent fixation and immunostaining with the indicated antibodies showed that colcemid treatment removed centrioles (negative α-tubulin staining) from the PCM, but the PCM still remained attached to the chromatin. (**A–C)** Arrows and arrowheads indicate the location of PCM and the γ-tubulin nuclear boundary, respectively. Scale bars: 10 µm. This data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*

2.4. Connection of the centrosome to the nucleus

The centrosome is an organelle that is constantly in motion around the nuclear envelope (Figure 1 and Movies 1 and 2), but what is it that keeps the centrosomes close to the nuclear membrane? Previous research has shown that γ -tubulin and the centrosomes interact with KASH (Klarsicht, ANC-1, Syne homology), SUN (Sad1 and UNC-84), and lamin (a component of the nucleoskeleton) [20, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51]. The interactions of centrosomes with SUN and KASH with the centrosome were identified through genetic screens for mispositioned nuclei in model organisms [49, 50, 51]. SUN is an integral component of the inner nuclear membrane, and KASH is a C-tail-anchored membrane protein found in the outer membrane of the nuclear envelope. SUN and KASH domains interact in the perinuclear space to form a bridge that spans the nuclear envelope to connect the cytoskeleton to the nucleoskeleton [48, 49].

A second mechanism of centrosomes interaction with the nucleus can be described as occurs in Dictyostelium and mouse embryonic stem cells, in which microtubule- and lamin-based mechanisms maintain the central position of a centrosome by dynein-mediated forces [52, 53], and the Kif9 kinesin provides a mechanical linkage between the nucleus and centrosome [54].

In demenbranated *Xenopus laevis* sperm (which lack actin, membranes, and a lamina), a centrosome is part of the chromatin-associated γ -strings boundary (Figure 2B; this data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*). In such cells, the centrosome remains attached to chromatin despite the absence of actin, the lamina, and the nuclear envelope. Furthermore, preparation of the sperm in the presence of the tubulin inhibitor colcemid [22, 55, 56], removes the centrioles from the centrosome, while the PCM still remains attached to the chromatin. This implies that attachment of the PCM to chromatin in these cells is not dependent on the presence of microtubules, actin, the nuclear envelope, or the lamina (Figure 2C; this data is from unpublished works by Alvarado-Kristensson *et al.*).

3. Conclusion and future perspectives

Since the discovery of the centrosome late in the nineteenth century, considerable progress has been made in understanding the function, structure, and replication of centrosomes. Today, these organelles are considered to be microtubule-organizing centers, as well as signal transduction hubs that associate with proteins involved in microtubule, actin, and γ -tubule nucleation, as well as cell cycle progression, checkpoint activation, and DNA repair [19, 22, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67]. Still, our knowledge is limited regarding how positioning of centrosomes integrates spatial and temporal cues during interphase. Thus, the aim of the present review is to summarize the known functions of the centrosome positioning in cellular homeostasis, and also to identify knowledge gaps in the field.

Live imaging of cells in interphase have shown constant changes in the positioning of the centrosomes on the surface of the nuclear envelope. Part of this motion has been described as playing a role in cell differentiation and mitosis [5, 41, 43, 44]. Centrosome movements are necessary for guiding of the mitotic spindle and exit from mitosis. However, mitosis is the final step in cell division, so why do the centrosomes move around the nuclear envelope during interphase, and what is the purpose of those movements? At present, we have no answers to these questions. Therefore, further insights are still needed to elucidate the mechanical signals affecting the spatial positioning of the centrosomes and the loose attachment to the nuclear compartment that controls centrosome movements, and also to explain a possible impact on cellular homeostasis.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

All authors listed have significantly contributed to the development and the writing of this article.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Childhood Cancer Fund and the Skåne University Hospital in Malmö Cancer Research Fund.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

Supplementary content related to this article has been published online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03238.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Patricia Ödman for editorial assistance.

References

- N. Paweletz, Walther Flemming: pioneer of mitosis research, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2 (2001) 72–75.
- [2] U. Scheer, Historical roots of centrosome research: discovery of Boveri's microscope slides in Würzburg, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 369 (2014).
- [3] H. Schatten, The mammalian centrosome and its functional significance, Histochem. Cell Biol. 129 (2008) 667–686.
- [4] E.A. Nigg, Centrosome duplication: of rules and licenses, Trends Cell Biol. 17 (2007) 215–221.
- [5] Y. Niu, T. Liu, G.M. Tse, et al., Increased expression of centrosomal alpha, gammatubulin in atypical ductal hyperplasia and carcinoma of the breast, Cancer Sci. 100 (2009) 580–587.
- [6] P. Gönczy, Towards a molecular architecture of centriole assembly, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 13 (2012) 425–435.
- [7] S.L. Baldauf, A.J. Roger, I. Wenk-Siefert, W.F. Doolittle, A kingdom-level phylogeny of eukaryotes based on combined protein data, Science 290 (2000) 972–977.
- [8] W.F. Marshall, Centriole evolution, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 21 (2009) 14–19.
- [9] R. Basto, J. Lau, T. Vinogradova, et al., Flies without centrioles, Cell 125 (2006) 1375–1386.
- [10] T.L. Megraw, T.C. Kaufman, The centrosome in Drosophila oocyte development, Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 49 (2000) 385–407.
- [11] A. Courtois, M. Schuh, J. Ellenberg, T. Hiiragi, The transition from meiotic to mitotic spindle assembly is gradual during early mammalian development, J. Cell Biol. 198 (2012) 357–370.
- [12] R. Balczon, The centrosome in animal cells and its functional homologs in plant and yeast cells, Int. Rev. Cytol. 169 (1996) 25–82.
- [13] G.O. Wasteneys, Microtubule organization in the green kingdom: chaos or selforder? J. Cell Sci. 115 (2002) 1345–1354.
- [14] K.C. Vaughn, J.D. Harper, Microtubule-organizing centers and nucleating sites in land plants, Int. Rev. Cytol. 181 (1998) 75–149.
- [15] P. Binarová, V. Cenklová, J. Procházková, et al., Gamma-tubulin is essential for acentrosomal microtubule nucleation and coordination of late mitotic events in Arabidopsis, Plant Cell 18 (2006) 1199–1212.
- [16] S.K. Dutcher, The tubulin fraternity: alpha to eta, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 13 (2001) 49–54.
- [17] M. Moritz, M.B. Braunfeld, J.W. Sedat, B. Alberts, D.A. Agard, Microtubule nucleation by gamma-tubulin-containing rings in the centrosome, Nature 378 (1995) 638–640.
- [18] Y. Zheng, M.L. Wong, B. Alberts, T. Mitchison, Nucleation of microtubule assembly by a gamma-tubulin-containing ring complex, Nature 378 (1995) 578–583.
- [19] M. Alvarado-Kristensson, γ -tubulin as a signal-transducing molecule and meshwork with therapeutic potential, Signal. Transduct. Target Ther. 3 (2018) 24.
- [20] C.A. Rosselló, L. Lindström, J. Glindre, G. Eklund, M. Alvarado-Kristensson, Gamma-tubulin coordinates nuclear envelope assembly around chromatin, Heliyon 2 (2016) e00166.

- [21] J. Chumová, L. Trögelová, H. Kourová, et al., γ-Tubulin has a conserved intrinsic property of self-polymerization into double stranded filaments and fibrillar networks, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res. 1865 (2018) 734–748.
- [22] L. Lindström, M. Alvarado-Kristensson, Characterization of gamma-tubulin filaments in mammalian cells, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res. 1865 (2018) 158–171.
- [23] M. Moritz, Y. Zheng, B.M. Alberts, K. Oegema, Recruitment of the gamma-tubulin ring complex to Drosophila salt-stripped centrosome scaffolds, J. Cell Biol. 142 (1998) 775–786.
- [24] R.M. Ríos, A. Sanchís, A.M. Tassin, C. Fedriani, M. Bornens, GMAP-210 recruits gamma-tubulin complexes to cis-Golgi membranes and is required for Golgi ribbon formation, Cell 118 (2004) 323–335.
- [25] L. Lindström, T. Li, D. Malycheva, et al., The GTPase domain of gamma-tubulin is required for normal mitochondrial function and spatial organization, Commun. Biol. 1 (2018) 37.
- [26] Z. Kong, T. Hotta, Y.R. Lee, T. Horio, B. Liu, The {gamma}-tubulin complex protein GCP4 is required for organizing functional microtubule arrays in Arabidopsis thaliana, Plant Cell 22 (2010) 191–204.
- [27] M. Nakamura, N. Yagi, T. Kato, et al., Arabidopsis GCP3-interacting protein 1/ MOZART 1 is an integral component of the γ-tubulin-containing microtubule nucleating complex, Plant J. 71 (2012) 216–225.
- [28] D. Hayward, J.G. Wakefield, Chromatin-mediated microtubule nucleation in Drosophila syncytial embryos, Commun. Integr. Biol. 7 (2014) e28512.
- [29] T. Ohba, M. Nakamura, H. Nishitani, T. Nishimoto, Self-organization of microtubule asters induced in Xenopus egg extracts by GTP-bound Ran, Science 284 (1999) 1356–1358.
- [30] A. Wilde, Y. Zheng, Stimulation of microtubule aster formation and spindle assembly by the small GTPase Ran, Science 284 (1999) 1359–1362.
- [31] C. Zhang, M. Hughes, P.R. Clarke, Ran-GTP stabilises microtubule asters and inhibits nuclear assembly in Xenopus egg extracts, J. Cell Sci. 112 (Pt 14) (1999) 2453–2461.
- [32] G. Goshima, M. Mayer, N. Zhang, N. Stuurman, R.D. Vale, Augmin: a protein complex required for centrosome-independent microtubule generation within the spindle, J. Cell Biol. 181 (2008) 421–429.
- [33] S. Lawo, M. Bashkurov, M. Mullin, et al., HAUS, the 8-subunit human Augmin complex, regulates centrosome and spindle integrity, Curr. Biol. 19 (2009) 816–826.
- [34] K.C. Hsia, E.M. Wilson-Kubalek, A. Dottore, et al., Reconstitution of the augmin complex provides insights into its architecture and function, Nat. Cell Biol. 16 (2014) 852–863.
- [35] K. Poirier, N. Lebrun, L. Broix, et al., Mutations in TUBG1, DYNC1H1, KIF5C and KIF2A cause malformations of cortical development and microcephaly, Nat. Genet. 45 (2013) 639–647.
- [36] G. Höög, R. Zarrizi, K. von Stedingk, K. Jonsson, M. Alvarado-Kristensson, Nuclear localization of γ-tubulin affects E2F transcriptional activity and S-phase progression, FASEB J. 25 (2011) 3815–3827.
- [37] J.B. Dictenberg, W. Zimmerman, C.A. Sparks, et al., Pericentrin and gamma-tubulin form a protein complex and are organized into a novel lattice at the centrosome, J. Cell Biol. 141 (1998) 163–174.
- [38] C.A. Rosselló, L. Lindström, G. Eklund, M. Corvaisier, M.A. Kristensson, γ-Tubulin⁻γ-Tubulin interactions as the basis for the formation of a meshwork, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (2018).
- [39] R. Hulspas, A.B. Houtsmuller, J.G. Bauman, N. Nanninga, The centrosome moves out of a nuclear indentation in human lymphocytes upon activation, Exp. Cell Res. 215 (1994) 28–32.
- [40] Å. Ehlén, C.A. Rosselló, K. von Stedingk, et al., Tumors with nonfunctional retinoblastoma protein are killed by reduced γ-tubulin levels, J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012) 17241–17247.
- [41] M. Piel, J. Nordberg, U. Euteneuer, M. Bornens, Centrosome-dependent exit of cytokinesis in animal cells, Science 291 (2001) 1550–1553.
- [42] J. Rosenblatt, L.P. Cramer, B. Baum, K.M. McGee, Myosin II-dependent cortical movement is required for centrosome separation and positioning during mitotic spindle assembly, Cell 117 (2004) 361–372.
- [43] P. Collas, Sequential PKC- and Cdc2-mediated phosphorylation events elicit zebrafish nuclear envelope disassembly, J. Cell Sci. 112 (Pt 6) (1999) 977–987.
- [44] M. Peter, J. Nakagawa, M. Dorée, J.C. Labbé, E.A. Nigg, In vitro disassembly of the nuclear lamina and M phase-specific phosphorylation of lamins by cdc2 kinase, Cell 61 (1990) 591–602.
- [45] H. Drechsler, A.D. McAinsh, Exotic mitotic mechanisms, Open Biol. 2 (2012) 120140.
- [46] A.J. Bardin, R. Visintin, A. Amon, A mechanism for coupling exit from mitosis to partitioning of the nucleus, Cell 102 (2000) 21–31.
- [47] V.J. Larsson, M.H. Jafferali, B. Vijayaraghavan, R.A. Figueroa, E. Hallberg, Mitotic spindle assembly and γ-tubulin localisation depend on the integral nuclear membrane protein Samp1, J. Cell Sci. (2018) 131.
- [48] X. Zhang, K. Lei, X. Yuan, et al., SUN1/2 and Syne/Nesprin-1/2 complexes connect centrosome to the nucleus during neurogenesis and neuronal migration in mice, Neuron 64 (2009) 173–187.
- [49] D.A. Starr, M. Han, Role of ANC-1 in tethering nuclei to the actin cytoskeleton, Science 298 (2002) 406–409.
- [50] M.J. Puckelwartz, E. Kessler, Y. Zhang, et al., Disruption of nesprin-1 produces an Emery Dreifuss muscular dystrophy-like phenotype in mice, Hum. Mol. Genet. 18 (2009) 607–620.
- [51] M. Meyerzon, H.N. Fridolfsson, N. Ly, F.J. McNally, D.A. Starr, UNC-83 is a nuclearspecific cargo adaptor for kinesin-1-mediated nuclear migration, Development 136 (2009) 2725–2733.

M. Alvarado-Kristensson

- [52] M.P. Koonce, J. Köhler, R. Neujahr, J.M. Schwartz, I. Tikhonenko, G. Gerisch, Dynein motor regulation stabilizes interphase microtubule arrays and determines centrosome position, EMBO J. 18 (1999) 6786–6792.
- [53] Y. Guo, Y. Zheng, Lamins position the nuclear pores and centrosomes by modulating dynein, Mol. Biol. Cell 26 (2015) 3379–3389.
- [54] M. Leo, D. Santino, I. Tikhonenko, V. Magidson, A. Khodjakov, M.P. Koonce, Rules of engagement: centrosome-nuclear connections in a closed mitotic system, Biol. Open 1 (2012) 1111–1117.
- [55] T. Chinen, P. Liu, S. Shioda, et al., The γ-tubulin-specific inhibitor gatastatin reveals temporal requirements of microtubule nucleation during the cell cycle, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 8722.
- [56] D.E. Friesen, K.H. Barakat, V. Semenchenko, et al., Discovery of small molecule inhibitors that interact with γ-tubulin, Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 79 (2012) 639–652.
- [57] B. Horejsi, S. Vinopal, V. Sladkova, et al., Nuclear gamma-tubulin associates with nucleoli and interacts with tumor suppressor protein C53, J. Cell. Physiol. 227 (2012) 367–382.
- [58] L.C. Hsu, R.L. White, BRCA1 is associated with the centrosome during mitosis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95 (1998) 12983–12988.
- [59] T. Hubert, J. Vandekerckhove, J. Gettemans, Cdk1 and BRCA1 target gammatubulin to microtubule domains, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 414 (2011) 240–245.
- [60] C. Lesca, M. Germanier, B. Raynaud-Messina, et al., DNA damage induce gammatubulin-RAD51 nuclear complexes in mammalian cells, Oncogene 24 (2005) 5165–5172.

- [61] L.M. Starita, Y. Machida, S. Sankaran, et al., BRCA1-dependent ubiquitination of gamma-tubulin regulates centrosome number, Mol. Cell. Biol. 24 (2004) 8457–8466.
- [62] S. Zhang, P. Hemmerich, F. Grosse, Centrosomal localization of DNA damage checkpoint proteins, J. Cell. Biochem. 101 (2007) 451–465.
- [63] V.B. Morris, J. Brammall, J. Noble, R. Reddel, p53 localizes to the centrosomes and spindles of mitotic cells in the embryonic chick epiblast, human cell lines, and a human primary culture: an immunofluorescence study, Exp. Cell Res. 256 (2000) 122–130.
- [64] M. Kanai, W.M. Tong, E. Sugihara, Z.Q. Wang, K. Fukasawa, M. Miwa, Involvement of poly(ADP-Ribose) polymerase 1 and poly(ADP-Ribosyl)ation in regulation of centrosome function, Mol. Cell. Biol. 23 (2003) 2451–2462.
- [65] G. Chouinard, I. Clement, J. Lafontaine, F. Rodier, E. Schmitt, Cell cycle-dependent localization of CHK2 at centrosomes during mitosis, Cell Div. 8 (2013) 7.
- [66] F. Farina, J. Gaillard, C. Guerin, et al., The centrosome is an actin-organizing centre, Nat. Cell Biol. 18 (2016) 65–75.
- [67] J. Chumová, H. Kourová, L. Trögelová, P. Halada, P. Binarová, Microtubular and nuclear functions of γ-tubulin: are they LINCed? Cells 8 (2019).
- [68] M. Alvarado-Kristensson, A simple and fast method for fixation of cultured cell lines that preserves cellular structures containing gamma-tubulin, MethodsX 5 (2018) 227–233.
- [69] L. Lindström, B.O. Villoutreix, S. Lehn, et al., Therapeutic targeting of nuclear γ-tubulin in RB1-negative tumors, Mol. Cancer Res. 13 (2015) 1073–1082.