## **CORRECTION**



## Correction to: Implications of Payment for Acute Myocardial Infarctions as a 90-Day Bundled Single Episode of Care: A Cost of Illness Analysis

Keith B. Allen<sup>1</sup> · James E. Alexander<sup>2</sup> · Joshua N. Liberman<sup>3</sup> · Susan Gabriel<sup>4</sup>

Published online: 27 September 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Correction to: PharmacoEconomics Open https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-022-00328-4

A peer-reviewed video abstract and a peer-reviewed plain language summary were retrospectively added to this publication.

## **Plain Language Summary**

Around 805,000 heart attacks occur annually in the US. With an average age over 65 years, many heart attack patients qualify for Medicare health insurance. Under Medicare, hospitals (or 'providers') receive reimbursements for the cost of care associated with 'acute care episodes' (e.g., heart attacks) as a 'bundled' payment. The bundled reimbursements are typically based on pre-defined prices, with hospitals paying the difference if actual costs exceed these. Reimbursements are typically given for care costs from the initial heart attack through to hospital discharge and care in the 30-day post-discharge period. However, recently introduced reimbursement models such as BPCI Advanced have moved to expand this to 90 days. Since follow-up care and additional cardiovascular readmissions are common beyond 30 days, extension of the reimbursement period to

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-022-00328-4.

- ✓ Susan GabrielSusan.Gabriel@cslbehring.com
- St. Luke's Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, MO, USA
- Healthcare Compliance Management, LLC, Fort Worth, TX, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Health Analytics, LLC, Columbia, MD, USA
- CSL Behring, 1020 First Avenue, P.O. Box 61501, King of Prussia, PA 19406, USA

90 days could increase financial risk to hospitals/providers if these additional costs are not included in reimbursements. To assess the potential impact of this, we investigated the cost of illness for heart attack and the implication of type of care: medical management (standard medication given after heart attack) vs. percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI; standard medication plus a non-surgical procedure to widen heart blood vessels). We found that 90-day costs after heart attack are substantial regardless of type of care. We found that post-discharge costs were generally high, but higher for medically managed patients than those receiving PCI. Our analysis also suggests Medicare disease classifications associated with lowest payments for heart attack (and presumably, lowest hospitalization costs) are associated with the highest post-discharge expenditures. Overall, our study suggests that new payment models should account for variable post-discharge care costs, and new therapies are needed to reduce additional events, readmissions, and associated costs in heart attack patients.

The original article has been updated.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.