Case Report

Correspondence Thitirat Tangkosakul tangkosakulemma@yahoo.com

Cutaneous *Mycobacterium haemophilum* infections in immunocompromised patients in a tertiary hospital in Bangkok, Thailand: under-reported/under-recognized infection

Thitirat Tangkosakul,¹ Poonpilas Hongmanee² and Kumthorn Malathum³

¹Department of Medicine, Lerdsin Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand ²Department of Pathology, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand ³Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

Introduction: *Mycobacterium haemophilum* is one of the non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) that can cause cutaneous infection. As acid-fast staining cannot distinguish NTM from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and as skin culture for *M. haemophilum* is not performed routinely, the diagnosis of *M. haemophilum* infection in Thailand is rarely made.

Case presentation: Between 2006 and 2009, five patients with *M. haemophilum* infection were diagnosed in Ramathibodi Hospital, a tertiary care centre in Bangkok, Thailand. The patients were aged 3, 29, 47, 75 and 76 years, and four were immunocompromised. Three patients received immunosuppressive medication. Most patients presented with subacute cutaneous infection. A suboptimal response to conventional antibiotics raised suspicions of *M. haemophilum* cutaneous infections, which can occur in immunocompromised patients. Diagnoses of these cases were made by skin culture for mycobacteria at an incubating temperature of around 30 °C with iron supplementation, DNA sequencing, or PCR/restriction enzyme analysis. Rifampicin, ofloxacin and clarithromycin were active against all isolates, whereas ethambutol and streptomycin were inactive.

Conclusion: Skin culture should be performed under special conditions or molecular technique should be used to identify *M. haemophilum* in susceptible patients.

Received 29 May 2014 Accepted 22 August 2014

Keywords: cutaneous infection; iron supplement culture; *M. haemophilum*.

Introduction

Mycobacterium haemophilum is a fastidious organism that has rarely been documented as a cause of human infection. The epidemiology of *M. haemophilum* infection is largely unknown, as are its reservoir and its mode of transmission. An increasing number of infections with this pathogen have been reported, particularly in immunocompromised patients. Recognized clinical syndromes include pulmonary disease, lymphadenitis, catheter-related infections, rheumatological disease and cutaneous infections (White *et al.*, 1999). *M. haemophilum* was first isolated in 1978 from ulcerating skin lesions in a patient with Hodgkin's disease (Sompolinsky *et al.*, 1979). Recently, a published report from Taiwan and Lebanon described skin and softtissue infections caused by non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) (Liao *et al.*, 2007). The most common species was

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; NTM, non-tuberculous mycobacteria; REA, restriction endonuclease analysis.

Mycobacterium marinum. Other species included Mycobacterium avium complex, Mycobacterium fortuitum, Mycobacterium kansasii and some unspecified species. However, M. haemophilum was not specifically mentioned. In contrast, in developed countries, M. avium complex was common while rapidly growing mycobacteria (RGM) was found less than in Asia. To date, there are only a few reports of M. haemophilum infections from Thailand. The first was a series of patients who were not infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) but had disseminated NTM infection associated with Sweet's syndrome. A patient in this series had M. haemophilum infection but the specific site of infection was not mentioned (Chetchotisakd et al., 2007). The second report was a British patient at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand, who had AIDS and a brain abscess due to Mycobacterium simiae and M. haemophilum infection (Phowthongkum et al., 2008). In the current study, the authors gathered data between 2006 and 2009 from five patients with only M. haemophilum infections who were

1

Case no.	Sex/ age	Duration of illness (weeks)	Underlying disease	Current medication	Treatment*	Outcome
1	F/75	3	Rheumatoid arthritis	Prednisolone 5 mg/day	Azithro, Cpfx, Rif	Cured
2	F/76	2	Myasthenia gravis; type 2 diabetes mellitus	Prednisolone 5 mg every other day; azathioprine 100 mg/day	Clr, Cpfx, Rif	Cured
3	F/29	4	AIDS, CD4 ⁺ 25 cells/ mm ⁻³ (2 %), primary CNS lymphoma	None	None	Died of lymphoma
4	M/3	4	None	None	Anti-tuberculous drug; susceptible only to rifampicin	Cured
5	F/47	1	Systemic lupus erythematosus	Prednisolone 20 mg/day; azathioprine 50 mg/day†	Anti-tuberculous drug; susceptible only to ofloxacin	Cured

Table 1. Demographic data of the five patients in this study: 2006-2009

*Azithro, azithromycin; Clr, clarithromycin; Cpfx, ciprofloxacin; Rif, rifampicin.

†Azathioprine was discontinued and the dose of prednisolone was reduced to 10 mg/day.

diagnosed in Ramathibodi Hospital, a medical school and tertiary care centre in Bangkok, Thailand.

We retrospectively retrieved data for patients who were diagnosed with M. haemophilum infection at our institution between 2006 and 2009. The diagnoses of all cases were established based on acid-fast stained and culture in a BACTEC MGIT 960 system (Becton Dickinson) or on Lowenstein-Jensen medium (BBL, Becton Dickinson). In cases where M. haemophilum infection was suspected, special conditions, such as incubation at 30 °C with iron supplementation, were used. The organism was identified on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis using an automated sequencing ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer. The first case was also identified using PCR/restriction endonuclease analysis (PCR-REA) of the genes encoding the 65 kDa heat-shock protein (hsp65) and RNA polymerase β -subunit (*rpoB*), which was performed in another hospital by (Cheunoy et al., 2005). Drug susceptibility test was determined by broth microdilution supplemented with haemin. Data regarding gender, age, duration of disease, site of lesions and treatment modalities were collected.

Cases series

Between 2006 and 2009, five patients with *M. haemophilum* infection were diagnosed in Ramathibodi Hospital, a medical school and tertiary care centre in Bangkok, Thailand (Table 1). The patients were aged 3, 29, 47, 75 and 76 years and presented with subacute to chronic cutaneous infections on the extremities, which were not improved after treatment with conventional antibiotics. Four were immunocompromised patients; one had HIV infection with a CD4⁺ count of 25 cells mm⁻³ and three were taking immunosuppressive medications for systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis or myasthenia gravis. The first patient (case 1) had multiple discrete,

ill-defined erythematous, warm, tender and indurated plaques as well as fluctuating nodules in the subcutaneous tissue of both arms and both legs, mimicking cellulitis of the arms and legs (Fig. 1). The cutaneous lesions of the second and third patients (cases 2 and 3) were singular, small violaceous papules that later became tender and were located on extremities, indicating a preference for lowerthan-normal body temperature growth requirements. Case 3 had an HIV infection, which was finally diagnosed as central nervous system (CNS) lymphoma with a skin lesion that was found incidentally on her upper extremities. The youngest patient (case 4) was a 3-year-old previously healthy boy who presented with cervical lymphadenitis for 1 month. Acid-fast staining of the specimens was positive in the first, second and fifth cases. All specimens were positive for acid-fast bacelli from MGIT cultures but failed to grow in conventional mycobacterial culture and were



Fig. 1. Extensive cellulitis of the legs of one of the patients.

therefore processed by incubating at 30 °C with iron supplementation. Only the first patient had a positive blood culture, and PCR-REA of the hsp65 and rpoB genes confirmed the diagnosis. The species identification of the organisms from all specimens was confirmed by DNA sequencing. Drug susceptibility testing was determined only for the isolates obtained from the second, third and fourth cases by the broth microdilution method supplemented with haemin (Bernard et al., 1993). This method without haemin has been showed to correlate well with the agar disk elution method (NCCLS, 2003) when tested against M. kansasii. The results showed that rifampicin, ofloxacin and clarithromycin appeared to be active against all isolates with MICs of ≤ 0.5 , 1.0 and $\leq 1.0 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$, respectively, whereas ethambutol and streptomycin were inactive with MICs of >16 μ g ml⁻¹. The first patient was treated with azithromycin, ciprofloxacin and rifampicin. Her skin lesions improved and she recovered completely after 9 months of treatment. The second patient's lesion improved after clarithromycin, ciprofloxacin and rifampicin were prescribed instead of the standard anti-tuberculous drugs. The lesions gradually improved with postinflammatory hyperpigmentation of skin. She continued treatment for 1 year with no evidence of residual disease or relapse. The patient with the HIV infection (case 3) died from CNS lymphoma before definite treatment. Case 4 was treated with one active drug, rifampicin. Case 5 received one active drug, ofloxacin, but immunosuppressive drugs were also decreased and the lesion still improved.

Discussion

In this series of five patients, four were immunocompromised, suggesting an association between immunosuppression and infections with NTM. However, we also had a healthy 3-year-old boy who presented with lymphadenitis, as has been reported previously (Lindeboom *et al.*, 2005). Typical lesions caused by *M. haemophilum* are usually singular, small violaceous papules that later become tender and suppurative, culminating in painful draining ulcers. Less frequently, they present as cysts, scaly plaques or focal panniculitis (White *et al.*, 1999). Multiple ascending lesions resembling sporotrichosis may occur. Septic arthritis and osteomyelitis are less common manifestations and when they do occur, skin disease may also be present.

Laboratory diagnosis of *M. haemophilum* requires special conditions, i.e. an incubating temperature around 30–32 °C, rather than 37 °C. In addition, it grows on egg- or agar-based medium only if supplemented with haemin or ferric ammonium citrate. PCR-REA was carried out by amplification of the *hsp65* and *rpoB* genes. The results showed concordant percentages of 100, 98.8 and 83.3 %, respectively, for *M. tuberculosis* and rapidly and slowly growing mycobacteria, compared with biochemical identification. This method is not considered to be sufficiently sensitive for direct testing on clinical specimens except when the mycobacterial load in the sample is sufficiently

high (Da Mata *et al.*, 2008). A line-probe assay such as INNO-LiPA and GenoType are alternative techniques. Importantly, gene sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is useful for rapid identification of *Mycobacterium* spp. by comparing the obtained sequences with data in GenBank.

Most strains of M. haemophilum demonstrate in vitro susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, rifamycins and clofazimine. Isolates are usually resistant to isoniazid, ethambutol and pyrazinamide, while susceptibility to doxycycline, minocycline, para-aminosalicylic acid and amikacin is variable (Elsaved & Read, 2006). There are currently no standard guidelines on antibiotic treatment for M. haemophilum infection and no standardized antimicrobial susceptibility tests, although a recent Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document includes recommendations for an agar disk elution method for M. haemophilum (CLSI, 2011). Furthermore, it is not clear how these *in vitro* test results predict the clinical response. Consequently, the duration of therapy is not well defined and depends on the therapeutic response and the magnitude of immunodeficiency; for example, improvement of cutaneous M. haemophilum infection in an AIDS patient can be seen only after receiving antiretroviral drugs for HIV infection and recovering CD4⁺ cell counts (Paech et al., 2002).

In summary, *M. haemophilum* infections should be included in the differential diagnosis of such a presentation as well as lymphadenopathy, and the microbiology laboratory personnel must be informed when this organism is suspected so that appropriate culture procedures can be employed.

References

Bernard, E. M., Edwards, F. F., Kiehn, T. E., Brown, S. T. & Armstrong, D. (1993). Activities of antimicrobial agents against clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium haemophilum*. Antimicrob. *Agents Chemother* 37, 2323–2326.

Chetchotisakd, P., Kietiburanakul, S., Mootsikapun, P., Assanasen, S., Chaiwarith, R. & Anunnatsiri, S. (2007). Disseminated nontuberculous mycobacterial infection in patients who are not infected with HIV in Thailand. *Clin Infect Dis* 45, 421–427.

Cheunoy, W., Prammananam, T., Chaiprasert, A. & Foongladda, S. (2005). Comparative evaluation of polymerase chain reaction and restriction enzyme analysis: two amplified targets, *hsp65* and *rpoB* for identification of cultured mycobacteria. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **3**, 165–71.

Da Mata, O., Pérez Alfonzo, R., Natera, I., Sucre Rdel, C., Bello, T. & de Waard, J. H. (2008). The diagnosis of two cases of cutaneous ulcer caused by infection with *Mycobacterium haemophilum*: direct identification in a clinical sample by polymerase chain reaction-restriction endonuclease analysis. *Int J Dermatol* 47, 820–823.

Elsayed, S. & Read, R. (2006). Mycobacterium haemophilum osteomyelitis: case report and review of the literature. BMC Infect Dis 6, 70.

Lindeboom, J. A., Prins, J. M., Bruijnesteijn van Coppenraet, E. S., Lindeboom, R. & Kuijper, E. J. (2005). Cervicofacial lymphadenitis in children caused by *Mycobacterium haemophilum*. *Clin Infect Dis* **41**, 1569–1575. Liao, C. H., Lai, C. C., Ding, L. W., Hou, S. M., Chiu, H. C., Chang, S. C. & Hsueh, P. R. (2007). Skin and soft tissue infection caused by non-tuberculous mycobacteria. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* **11**, 96–102.

Paech, V., Lorenzen, T., Von Krosigk, A., Von Stermm, A., Meigel, W. M., Stoehr, A., Rusch-Gerdes, S., Richter, E. & Plettenberg, A. (2002). A remission of cutaneous *M. haemophilum infection as a result of antiretroviral therapy in a human immunodeficiency virus-infected patient. Clin Infect Dis* 34, 1017–1019.

Phowthongkum, P., Puengchitprapai, A., Udomsantisook, N., Tumwasorn, S. & Suankratay, C. (2008). Spindle cell pseudotumor of the brain associated with *Mycobacterium haemophilum* and *Mycobacterium simiae* mixed infections in a patient with AIDS: the first case report. *Int J Infect Dis* **12**, 421–424. Sompolinsky, D., Lagziel, A. & Rosenberg, I. (1979). Further studies of a new pathogenic mycobacteria (*M. haemophilum*). Can J Microbiol 25, 217–226.

NCCLS (2003). Susceptibility testing of mycobacteria, nocardiae, and other aerobic actinomycetes; approved standard M24-A. Wayne, PA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

CLSI (2011). Susceptibility testing of mycobacteria, nocardiae, and other aerobic actinomycetes; approved standard M24-A2, 2nd edn. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

White, D. A., Kiehn, T. E., Bondoc, A. Y. & Massarella, S. A. (1999). Pulmonary nodule due to *Mycobacterium haemophilum* in an immunocompetent host. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 160, 1366–1368.