

High affinity binding of H3K14ac through collaboration of bromodomains 2, 4 and 5 is critical for the molecular and tumor suppressor functions of PBRM1

Lili Liao^{1,2}, Nilda L. Alicea-Velázquez^{3,4}, Lauren Langbein¹, Xiaohua Niu⁵, Weijia Cai¹, Eun-Ah Cho^{1,6}, Meiling Zhang², Celeste B. Greer⁷, Qin Yan², Michael S. Cosgrove³ and Haifeng Yang¹

1 Department of Pathology, Anatomy and Cell Biology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

- 2 Department of Pathology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- 3 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, USA

4 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT, USA

5 Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, China

6 Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA

7 Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Keywords

bromodomain; H3K14ac; kidney cancer; PBRM1; synergy

Correspondence

H. Yang, Department of Pathology, Anatomy and Cell Biology, Thomas Jefferson University, 1020 Locust Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA Tel: +1 215-503-6163 E-mail: haifeng.yang@jefferson.edu and M. S. Cosgrove, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, State University of New York (SUNY) Upstate

Medical University, 750 East Adams Street, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA Tel: +1 (315) 464-7751 E-mail: cosgrovm@upstate.edu

Michael S. Cosgrove and Haifeng Yang contributed equally to this work.

(Received 4 July 2018, revised 20 November 2018, accepted 5 December 2018, available online 2 February 2019)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12434

Polybromo-1 (PBRM1) is an important tumor suppressor in kidney cancer. It contains six tandem bromodomains (BDs), which are specialized structures that recognize acetyl-lysine residues. While BD2 has been found to bind acetylated histone H3 lysine 14 (H3K14ac), it is not known whether other BDs collaborate with BD2 to generate strong binding to H3K14ac, and the importance of H3K14ac recognition for the molecular and tumor suppressor function of PBRM1 is also unknown. We discovered that full-length PBRM1, but not its individual BDs, strongly binds H3K14ac. BDs 2, 4, and 5 were found to collaborate to facilitate strong binding to H3K14ac. Quantitative measurement of the interactions between purified BD proteins and H3K14ac or nonacetylated peptides confirmed the tight and specific association of the former. Interestingly, while the structural integrity of BD4 was found to be required for H3K14ac recognition, the conserved acetyl-lysine binding site of BD4 was not. Furthermore, simultaneous point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 prevented recognition of H3K14ac, altered promoter binding and gene expression, and caused PBRM1 to relocalize to the cytoplasm. In contrast, tumor-derived point mutations in BD2 alone lowered PBRM1's affinity to H3K14ac and also disrupted promoter binding and gene expression without altering cellular localization. Finally, overexpression of PBRM1 variants containing point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 or BD2 alone failed to suppress tumor growth in a xenograft model. Taken together, our study demonstrates that BDs 2, 4, and 5 of PBRM1 collaborate to generate high affinity to H3K14ac and tether PBRM1 to chromatin. Mutations in BD2 alone weaken these interactions, and this is sufficient to abolish its molecular and tumor suppressor functions.

Abbreviations

3m, N263A-DLF>AAA-N739A mutations; BD2*, N263A mutation; BD2IFD, in-frame deletion of N263; BD4*, DLF>AAA mutation; BD5*, N739A mutation; BD, bromodomain; BLI, biolayer interferometry; ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T lymphocyteassociated 4; H3K14ac, acetylated histone H3 lysine 14; HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; mRCC, metastatic renal cell carcinoma; PBAF, polybromo-1-associated BRG1 or BRM-associated factor; PBRM1, polybromo-1; PD-1, programmed death 1; PD-L1, programmed

Molecular Oncology **13** (2019) 811–828 © 2018 The Authors. Published by FEBS Press and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Approximately 75% of the renal cell carcinomas are of the clear cell type (ccRCC). Among them, 70–80% of sporadic ccRCC tumors harbor biallelic inactivation of *VHL* (Linehan *et al.*, 2004). pVHL, the protein product of the *VHL* tumor suppressor gene, is a component of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. This complex promotes the poly-ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of the α subunits of the heterodimeric transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF). When pVHL is inactivated by various mechanisms in ccRCC, HIF becomes constitutively activated and turns on the hypoxia response transcriptome. This subsequently drives tumorigenesis and growth of ccRCC tumors (Kaelin, 2005).

Most solid tumors harbor multiple driver mutations in cancer genes to achieve the hallmarks of cancer (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011; Vogelstein et al., 2013). Forty-one percent of ccRCC tumors have inactivating mutations in the PBRM1 gene, and they occur throughout the coding sequence (Varela et al., 2011). The encoded polybromo-1 (PBRM1) protein is a specificity subunit of the PBRM1-associated BRG1 or BRM-associated factor (PBAF) chromatin-remodeling complex (Varela et al., 2011). The PBAF complex utilizes the energy of ATP hydrolysis to regulate DNA accessibility by inserting, removing, or sliding nucleosomes (Hargreaves and Crabtree, 2011). The high mutation rate of PBRM1 in ccRCC has been confirmed by multiple studies (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2013; Dalgliesh et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2012; Pena-Llopis et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2013). Mutations in other genes such as BAP1, SETD2, KDM5C/JARID1C, PTEN, and UTX have also been identified, but their mutation rates are much lower than that of PBRM1 (Liao et al., 2015).

PBRM1 is a key tumor suppressor in ccRCC. Its mutations are predominantly loss-of-function mutations. Suppression of PBRM1 leads to changes in pathways regulating chromosome instability and cell proliferation (Varela *et al.*, 2011). Genomic sequencing of multiple foci of ccRCC tumors has revealed that the majority of *PBRM1* mutations occur early in tumorigenesis, while mutations in the other secondary tumor suppressor genes occur later during tumor development (Gerlinger *et al.*, 2012, 2014). A *PBRM1* germline mutation was recently reported to predispose patients to ccRCC (Benusiglio *et al.*, 2015). PBRM1-deficient cancer cells exhibit a weakened HIF transcriptional signature (Gao *et al.*, 2017). In mice,

kidney-specific deletion of either *Vhl* or *Pbrm1* does not lead to ccRCC, but their combined loss does (Gu *et al.*, 2017; Nargund *et al.*, 2017). Thus, PBRM1 restrains HIF's tumor-promoting activity.

Since 2005, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been the cornerstone of therapy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) (Choueiri and Motzer, 2017; Mihaly et al., 2012). Recently, however, immunotherapy checkpoint inhibitors that target programmed death 1 (PD-1), programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated 4 (CTLA-4) have gained traction in mRCC. Indeed, RCC experts are declaring this combination the new standard of care in the treatment of mRCC (Chustecka, 2017; Rini, 2017). Loss-of-function mutations of PBRM1 were recently discovered to be associated with response to checkpoint inhibition therapies in ccRCC patients treated with anti-PD-1 alone or in combination with anti-CTLA-4 therapies (Miao et al., 2018). In addition, PBRM1 mutations were found to enhance T-cell-mediated killing of tumor cells in an unbiased, high-throughput screen in a melanoma model (Pan et al., 2018). Thus, mutation of PBRM1 is a biomarker for immunotherapy response.

PBRM1 contains six tandem bromodomains (BDs), BD1-6, from the N terminus to the middle of the protein. BDs are small domains with specific affinity for Nε-lysine acetylation on histones and other proteins (Dhalluin et al., 1999; Owen et al., 2000). However, it is not clear why PBRM1 contains so many BDs while most other BD-containing proteins have only one or two BDs. As PBRM1 is a specificity subunit of PBAF, it is hypothesized that it binds to lysine-acetylated histone tails. Previous analyses revealed that BD2 preferentially recognizes acetylated lysine 14 of histone H3 (H3K14ac) and potentially other acetylation sites on histone H3 (Chandrasekaran and Thompson, 2007; Charlop-Powers et al., 2010; Thompson, 2009). Recently, Porter and Dykhuizen (2017) confirmed that while BD2 is critical for H3K14ac binding, mutation of BD2 only moderately reduced PBRM1's binding to H3K14ac and its association with chromatin. These findings prompted the authors to speculate that the other BDs bind additional acetylated histone sites to ensure tight chromatin association (Porter and Dykhuizen, 2017). Slaughter et al. (2018) confirmed the binding to H3K14ac by BD2 BD4 and showed that neighboring BDs variably influence nucleosome interactions and cellular function. However, it is still not clear how critical H3K14ac recognition is to PBRM1's association with the chromatin and how mutant PBRM1 impacts tumor growth in a mouse model.

In this study, we discovered that BDs 2, 4, and 5 collaborate to generate high affinity binding to H3K14ac. Simultaneous mutations in the involved BDs were found to completely abolish H3K14ac recognition, causing PBRM1 to detach from chromatin and relocalize to the cytoplasm. In addition, tumor-derived mutations in BD2 resulted in reduced recognition of H3K14ac by PBRM1. Although these mutations failed to significantly change PBRM1's binding to chromatin, they significantly impaired PBRM1's ability to bind to promoters and regulate gene expression and severely crippled PBRM1's tumor suppressor function. Altogether, our findings suggest that BDs 2, 4, and 5 play a central role in PBRM1 molecular and biological functions by mediating high-affinity recognition of H3K14ac.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

HEK293T, HeLa, and 786-O cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% FBS. The cell lines were maintained in incubators at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 .

2.2. Protein expression and purification

Polybromo-1 constructs consisting of BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 were subcloned into pGST parallel expression vectors (Sheffield *et al.*, 1999) individually expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21, purified over a GST column (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Catalog #17-5130-01), eluted with 10 mM reduced glutathione, and dialyzed against 2 L of dialysis buffer (25 mM Tris/HCl, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) to remove glutathione. The purified proteins were incubated with 100 units of rTEV (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA; Catalog #10127-017) for 36 hr at 4 °C. The GST protein and rTEV were removed by passing the purified proteins over a GST column, and the flow-through was collected for biolayer interferometry (BLI).

2.3. Biolayer interferometry (BLI)

Histone H3 binding was measured using BLI using a ForteBio Octet Red instrument (San Jose, CA, USA). The assay buffer consisted of 20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, 1 μ M ZnCl₂, 10% glycerol, and 0.5 mg·mL⁻¹ bovine serum albumin. BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 proteins were prepared at a concentration of 1 or 2 μ M,

and the biotin-labeled H3 peptide was prepared at a concentration of 25 nm. Biotinylated H3 peptide was immobilized onto streptavidin-coated sensors (Forte-Bio) until a threshold signal of 0.4 nm was reached. BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 were allowed to associate with the ligand-bound sensor for 2000 s followed by dissociation with assay buffer for 2000 s. All steps were performed at 25 °C with sensors dipped into 200 µL of sample and stirred at 100 g. Reference sensors (without H3 peptide) were used for each variant tested to correct for background binding and baseline drift. Data were processed using the FORTEBIO DATA ANALYSIS PROGRAM (version 6.4) utilizing reference subtraction and Savitzky-Golay filtering. Data were plotted using PRISMGRAPH (Graphpad, San Diego, CA, USA).

2.4. Plasmids

Full-length PBRM1 cDNA was generated by reverse transcription–PCR from 786-O cells and cloned into 3XFlag-CMV10 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and pLNCX-GFP vectors (gift from X. Wei (Wang *et al.*, 2014)). Internal deletion, truncation, and point mutations were made with PCR and the QuikChange Site-Direct Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA; Catalog #200523) and verified by DNA sequencing. PBRM1 lentiviral shRNA vectors were purchased from Sigma. The sequences were PBRM1-sh94: CCGGAATGCCAGGCACTATA.

2.5. Peptide pull-down, immunoblotting, mononucleosome pull-down

Biotinylated histone H3 peptides were synthesized by Anaspec (Fremont, CA, USA) and contained residues 1-21 of histone H3 (ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQ LT). Overexpressed Flag-PBRM1 in HEK293T cells or endogenous PBRM1 from 786-O cells were extracted with high salt buffer (containing 0.42 м NaCl). The samples were mixed with unmodified or modified biotinylated histone H3 peptides and incubated at 4 °C for 1 hr, followed by addition of streptavidin beads (Pierce Biotechnology, Waltham, MA, USA; Catalog #20347) and incubation at 4 °C for 1 hr. The bound materials were washed three times with NETN buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris/Cl pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet P-40) and examined by western blots. Cell lysates or peptide pull-down products were loaded and resolved by SDS/ PAGE. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were

blocked at room temperature for 1 hr, then incubated with appropriate primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, and with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen, Catalog #31460 for rabbit and #31430 for mouse) at room temperature for 1–3 hr. The blots were developed using ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with HyGLOTM Chemiluminescent HRP Detection Reagent (Denville Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA; Catalog #E2500). For whole cell lysates, the cells were solubilized with 1% SDS, sonicated, and then subjected to SDS/PAGE analysis.

For peptide pull-down of GST-BD fusion proteins, purified and eluted GST-BD2, GST-BD4, GST-BD12, GST-BD23, GST-BD34, GST-BD45, and GST-BD245 were incubated with H3 and H3K14ac peptides for 1 hr, followed by incubation with streptavidin beads for another hr, and then, the beads were washed and boiled before western blot analysis. The intensity of the protein bands on the western blot images from three independent experiments was measured with NIH IMAGEJ software (Bethesda, MD, USA). The ratios of H3K14ac peptide-associated bands over the H3 peptide-associated bands were calculated and plotted, and the P values were calculated with two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

Mono-nucleosome pull-down with GST-BD fusion protein was performed as previously described (Slaughter *et al.*, 2018). Briefly, 3 µg purified HeLa mononucleosome (EpiCypher, Durham, NC, USA; Catalog #16-0009) was incubated with 10 µL bed volume of 300 pmoles pre-immobilized GST-BD fusion protein bound to glutathione agarose. The assay was performed in binding buffer (1× PBS, 0.1% NP-40, 0.5% BSA) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed with binding buffer and boiled with 2× SDS buffer before western blot analysis.

Antibodies used include Flag, Sigma M2 F1804; PBRM1, Bethyl A301-591A; Vinculin, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-5573, Dallas, TX, USA; IKK α , Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-7182; IKK γ , Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-8032; Topo II β , Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-365421; GST, Cell Signaling Technology, #2625 (Danvers, MA, USA). H3K14ac, GeneTex (Zeeland, MI, USA) (GTX88008); GST, Cell Signaling Technology (2625); histone H3, Bethyl A300-823A (Montgomery, Texas, USA). For the right panel of Fig. 2A, the PBRM1 antibody was generated with GST-BD23 fusion protein in rabbits.

2.6. Cellular fractionation

HeLa cells were transfected with WT and mutant PBRM1, and, after 36 hr, the cells were scraped and

centrifuged for 5 min at 100 g. Cell pellets were resuspended in 500 µL hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl and protease inhibitor cocktail [Pierce, Catalog #88666]), incubated on ice for 15 min, then vortexed for 10 s after adding 25 µL Triton X-100. After centrifuging for 30 s at 17 800 g, the supernatants were collected as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellets were resuspended in 100 µL hypertonic buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 420 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 20% [v/v] glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail) and vortexed for 10 s. Pellets were incubated on ice for 40 min and vortexed for 15 s every 10 min. Supernatants were collected as the nuclear fraction after centrifugation at 17 800 g for 10 min. The remaining pellets were sonicated with 1% SDS for 3×10 s (10 s on/10 s off) using a probe sonicator, and then, the chromatin part was obtained after centrifuging at 17 800 g for 10 min.

2.7. The generation of 786-O PBRM1 knockout clones and re-introduction of wild-type or mutant PBRM1

sgRNAs (PBRM1-gRNA-F: CACCGTGGCAACCTG GTTCACCAT, PBRM1-gRNA-R: AAACATGGTGA ACCAGGTTGCCC) were designed using Optimized CRISPR Design (crispr.mit.edu) and cloned into pSpCas9n(BB)-2A-Puro (PX462) V2.0 as previously described (Ran *et al.*, 2013). 786-O cells were transfected with PX462-sgPBRM1, and, after 36 hr, cells were selected with puromycin ($2.0 \ \mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$) for 3 days. Selected cells were then diluted 1 : 100 and transferred into 15-cm plates. After ~ 10 days, the single colonies were isolated using cloning cylinders and transferred into 24-well plates. After about 2 weeks, cells were collected for western blot analysis to assess level of PBRM1 knockout.

The full-length cDNAs of human PBRM1, PBRM1 in-frame deletion of N263 (BD2IFD) mutant, and PBRM1 3m mutant was cloned into pLNCX-GFP vector plasmid. The constructs were transfected into AmphoPack-293 Cell Line (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA; Catalog #631505) to generate retrovirus, and then, 786-O PBRM1 knockdown cells were transduced to stably express wild-type or mutant PBRM1. Tumorderived PBRM1 mutations were described in Table 1.

2.8. Immunofluorescence

HeLa cells were transfected with wild-type Flag-PBRM1 or Flag-PBRM1–3m and split into eight-well chamber slides 1 day after transfection. The next day, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min, followed by three washes with PBS. The cells were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin for 60 min and incubated with primary anti-Flag antibody (Invitrogen, Catalog #MA1-91878) overnight at 4 °C. The next day, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-2010) for 1 hr. Nuclei were stained using DAPI (Invitrogen, Catalog #D1306). Labeled cells were observed using a green (488 nm) laser under a confocal microscope (NikonC1 Plus laser; Nikon, Melville, NY, USA) to detect PBRM1 subcellular localization.

2.9. Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands; Catalog #74136) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 system (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Total RNA (1 μ g) was reverse-transcribed using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (OriGene, Rockville, MD, USA; Catalog #NP100042). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The primers used for real-time PCR are listed in Table 2. *GAPDH* was used as an internal control.

2.10. ChIP

ChIP assays were performed using the Pierce Agarose ChIP Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; Catalog #26156) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were cross-linked with paraformaldehyde (final concentration 1%) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The cross-linking reaction was halted with glycine (final concentration 125 mM) and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed twice with cold

Table 2. Primers for real-time PCR.

GAPDH-F	TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC
GAPDH-R	GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG
OAS1-F	CATCTGTGGGTTCCTGAAGG
OAS1-R	GAGAGGACTGAGGAAGACAAC
IFI44L-F	GAGCACAGAAATAGGCTTCTAGC
IFI44L-R	TGGTATCAGACCCCACTACGG
ARPC4-F	TCAGTCAATGCCCGTG
ARPC4-R	CTCCAGGACGCCTTCG
PBRM1-F	GTGTGATGAACCAAGGAGTGGC
PBRM1-R	GATATGGAGGTGGTGCCTGCTG

 $1 \times$ PBS and detached by scraping. Cells pellets were suspended in Lysis Buffer 1 containing protease inhibitors and incubated on ice for 10 min. Nuclei were resuspended in 100 µL MNase digestion buffer containing 0.25 μ L micrococcal nuclease (10 U· μ L⁻¹) and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped with 10 µL MNase Stop Solution. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 9000 g for 5 min, then resuspended in 50 µL lysis Buffer 2 containing protease/phosphatase inhibitors, and incubated on ice for 15 min. The supernatant, containing the digested chromatin, was collected after centrifuging at 9000 g for 5 min. Samples were diluted in $1 \times$ IP dilution buffer and incubated with PBRM1 antibody (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA; Catalog #ABE70) overnight at 4 °C. Twenty microliter ChIP Grade Protein A/G Plus Agarose was added into each IP and incubated for 1 hr at 4 °C. The agarose resins were washed once with IP Wash Buffer 1, twice with IP Wash Buffer 2 and once with IP Wash Buffer 3, each with gentle rocking at 4 °C for 5 min. One hundred fifty microliter 1× IP Elution buffer was added to the washed resin and incubated at 65 °C for 30 min with shaking. Six microliter of 5 м NaCl and 2 µL of 20 mg·mL⁻¹ Proteinase K were added to each sample and incubated at 65 °C for 1.5 h. Then, each eluted IP was washed with DNA column wash buffer and eluted with 50 µL of DNA Column Elution buffer. The resulting purified DNA was

Table 1. Tumor-derived mutations.

Position (AA)	Mutations (CDS)	Mutations (amino acid)	Mutation ID (COSM)	Mutation type	Tissue				
232 233	c.694A>C c.698T>C	p.T232P p.I233T	COSM52807	Substitution—missense Substitution—missense	ccRCC Renal cell carcinoma (OS-RC-2) (Varela <i>et al.</i> , 2011)				
254 256 263	c.761T>C c.766G>A c.787_789delAAT	p.L254P p.A256T p.N263delN	COSM52902 COSM2854199 COSM1047100	Substitution—missense Substitution—missense Deletion—in-frame	Renal cell carcinoma Adenocarcinoma Endometrioid carcinoma				

Table 3. Promoter-specific primers for ChIP.

ZNF395-F	CAAGTTTGGCTCCGGGCTGC
ZNF395-R	CCTGACCGAGTGGTGACCC
UBE2L6-F	CACGGAGCTGCGTCTGTACA
UBE2L6-R	CGGCCGCACCGGGAGCTCTC
IGFBP3-F	GAGCACTGCGGCTGGGCGCT
IGFBP3-R	GGCACGCTGCTTGGCAGGCT
GAPDH-F	TGAGCCCGCAGCCTCCCGCT
GAPDH-R	CCGGGTTTCTCTCCGCCCGT

used in real-time PCR detection using the primers listed in Table 3. *GAPDH* was used as an internal control.

2.11. Nude mice subcutaneous xenograft assays

The recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health were strictly followed to perform all animal experiments. Protocol (01462-935A) was approved by a Thomas Jefferson University Animal Care and Use Committee and protocol 2015-11286 approved by the IACUC of Yale University. Subcutaneous xenograft assays in nude mice were performed as previously described (Zhang et al., 2013). 786-O cells at 70-80% confluency were trypsinized and washed twice with icecold PBS. 107 cells in 100 µL PBS were injected subcutaneously into one flank of a nude mouse, and the same number of cells of another cell line was injected into the other flank of the same mouse. The mice were sacrificed 6-10 weeks later, and tumors were excised and weighed.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined by the twotailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. Tumor weights were assessed in the one-way ANOVA test using GRAPH-PAD PRISM v7.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). In all figures, statistical significance is represented as mean \pm SEM, and a *P*-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$, **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

2.13. Data accessibility

All data are included in the manuscript. All the materials are available upon request.

3. Results

3.1. Full-length PBRM1 has strong and specific binding to H3K14ac

We first examined the binding of full-length PBRM1 to acetylated histone H3 peptides. Nuclear lysates from HEK293 cells were incubated with biotinylated histone H3 peptides that were nonmodified, methylated at K4, or acetylated at K4, K9, K14, K18, K23, K27, or K36. Both exogenous and endogenous full-length PBRM1 displayed strong binding to the H3K14ac peptide but not to the H3 peptides acetylated on other lysine residues (Fig. 1A). Additionally, PBRM1 did not bind to the nonmodified or K4 trimethylated H3 peptides (Fig. 1). Our results suggest that PBRM1 specifically interacts with H3K14ac.

3.2. Bromodomains 2, 4, and 5 collaborate to strongly bind H3K14ac

We next investigated which PBRM1 BD(s) mediate recognition of H3K14ac. Flag-tagged constructs containing either full-length PBRM1 or individual BDs plus adjacent sequences on both ends were expressed in HEK293 cells. Nuclear lysates were incubated with biotinylated H3 peptides that were nonmodified or acetylated on K14, K27, or K36. Full-length Flag-PBRM1 behaved as expected showing robust binding to the H3K14ac peptide. However, while BD3 and BD4 showed modest binding to the peptides, none of the individual BD constructs displayed the same level of binding toward H3K14ac compared to full-length PBRM1 (Fig. 1B), suggesting that cooperation between multiple BDs may be required for high affinity binding to H3K14ac. To address this possibility, PBRM1 constructs harboring deletions of the BDs

Fig. 1. BDs 2, 4, and 5 collaborate to strongly bind H3K14ac. (A) Flag-PBRM1 was expressed in HEK293T cells. The EBC cell lysates were pulled down with indicated biotinylated peptides and analyzed with indicated antibodies (top). HEK293 lysates were treated the same way to examine the interaction between endogenous PBRM1 and biotinylated histone H3 peptides (bottom). (B) Full-length PBRM1 or individual BDs of PBRM1 were expressed in HEK293T cells. Their binding to different biotinylated peptides was analyzed as described in (A). (C) Full-length PBRM1 or PBRM1 with BDs sequentially truncated was expressed in HEK293T cells and analyzed as described above. (D) Full-length PBRM1 or different combinations of BDs of PBRM1 were expressed in HEK293T cells and analyzed as described above. (E) The aligned protein sequences of BD 2 from different species, and the conserved YN residues that are critical for acetyl-lysine recognition were labeled with a box. (F) Full-length PBRM1 or different combinations of BDs of PDRM1, in the presence or absence of indicated mutations, were expressed in HEK293T cells and analyzed as described above. BD2* indicates N263A point mutation in BD2.



(156-620/765-889)

8

were generated, beginning with deletion of BD1 at the N terminus and containing successive deletions of each BD (DBD1-6, Fig. 1C). Peptide pull-down assays were conducted with nonmodified or acetylated H3 peptides. Flag-DBD6, which lacks all the BDs of PBRM1, showed negligible binding to H3K14ac. While deletion of BD1 (Flag-DBD1, row 2) did not affect H3K14ac binding in this assay, deletion of BDs 1 and 2 (Flag-DBD2, row 3) greatly reduced the binding of PBRM1 to H3K14ac. Deletion of BDs 1-4 (Flag-DBD4, row 5) further reduced binding to H3K14ac compared to deletion of BDs 1-3 (Flag-DBD3, row 4). Further deletion of BD5 (Flag-DBD5, row 6) completely abolished binding of H3K14ac versus the nonacetylated H3 peptide (Fig. 1C), suggesting that BDs 2, 4, and 5 contribute to H3K14ac binding.

Since the sequential deletion of BDs only reduced PBRM1's affinity to H3K14ac gradually, we used different combinations of BDs 1-4 to critically examine their contributions to H3K14ac binding. The combination of BDs 2, 3, and 4 (Flag-BD234, Fig. 1D, row 2) showed a similar level of binding toward H3K14ac as full-length PBRM1 (row 1), while the construct containing BDs 1, 2, and 3 (Flag-BD123) showed no affinity to H3K14ac (Fig. 1D, row 3), indicating that BD4 is important for the interaction. Additionally, the construct containing BDs 2 and 4 (Flag-BD24, row 6), but not 2 and 3 or 3 and 4 (Flag-BD23 and Flag-BD34, rows 4 and 5 respectively), showed high affinity to H3K14ac (Fig. 1D). Altogether, results show that the combination of BDs 2 and 4 of PBRM1 creates high affinity to H3K14ac, while BDs 1 and 3 contribute significantly less to the binding.

The tyrosine-rich binding pocket in BD 2 contains a YN sequence that is highly conserved through evolution (Fig. 1E, top panel). The asparagine at position 263 (N263) had been previously found to be critical for the recognition of acetyl-lysine by forming a hydrogen bond with the acetylated lysine on target proteins (Dhalluin et al., 1999; Owen et al., 2000). Here, the N263A mutation (denoted as BD2*), which disrupts the YN motif in BD2, abolished the enhanced affinity of Flag-BD234-BD2* toward H3K14ac over the nonacetylated peptide (Fig. 1F, row 2). BD2* also reduced H3K14ac binding by fulllength PBRM1 in Flag-PBRM1-BD2* (compare rows 3 and 4), but the enhanced binding to H3K14ac over nonacetylated peptide still persisted, suggesting that BDs other than BD2 are also critical for the interaction. Confirming the result in Fig. 1D, the addition of BD1 did not help Flag-BD234-BD2* to bind H3K14ac (Fig. 1F, row 5). Instead, the addition of BDs 5 and 6 did (Fig. 1F, row 6). Leaving out BD6 did not alter H3K14ac binding, while in-frame deletion of BD5 in Flag-BD2346-BD2* abolished the recognition of H3K14ac over nonacetylated peptide (Fig. 1F, rows 7 and 8). This suggests that BD5 of PBRM1 also makes a critical contribution to H3K14ac recognition.

3.3. *In vitro* measurement confirms that BDs 2, 4, and 5 collectively bind H3K14ac with high affinity

To examine the conclusions made by Flag-BD constructs, we generated GST-BD constructs and tested their binding to histone H3 peptides. GST-BD2 or 4 again showed little binding under the stringent binding condition. GST-BD12 showed weak binding to H3K14ac (Fig. 2A row 2 versus 1, 2B), suggesting that BD1 helps BD2 to bind it, consistent with a previous report (Porter and Dykhuizen, 2017). BD3 helped BD2, but not much so for BD4, to bind H3K14ac, likely by increasing the affinity to the nonacetylated peptide backbone (Fig. 2A row 3 versus 1 and row 5 versus 4, 2B). BD5 also helped BD4 to bind H3K14ac,

Fig. 2. Quantitative measurement confirms that BD245 work together to create high affinity to H3K14ac. (A) The indicated GST-BD constructs of PBRM1 were expressed and purified from *Escherichia coli*, pulled down by the indicated peptides, and immunoblotted with anti-GST antibody. (B) The bands in (A) were quantified with NIH ImageJ, and the ratios of bands associated with H3K14ac/H3 were calculated from three experiments. The *P*-values were calculated using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test. *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001. N.S.: nonsignificant. (C) Top: The indicated GST-BD constructs of PBRM1 were expressed and purified from *Escherichia coli*. Similar amount of GST-fusion protein was incubated with 3ug of nucleosome purified from HeLa cells, washed then analyzed with indicated antibodies. Arrow: the full-length GST-BD12 protein. Bottom: The intensity of the pulled down H3 or H3K14ac bands was determined with ImageJ from three different experiments, and the ratios of H3/input and H3K14ac/input were calculated and plotted. The error bars represent standard error of the mean. (D) GST-BD fusion proteins (left) or cleaved and purified BD proteins (right) were pulled down with indicated peptides and analyzed with western blots. (E) BLI analysis of the interaction between PBRM1 BD proteins and the H3K14ac peptide interactions. Apparent K_d values (K_d^{app}) were obtained for the interaction between PBRM1 BD constructs and H3 peptide interactions. Apparent K_d values (K_d^{app}) were obtained for the interaction between PBRM1 BD proteins and H3 peptide over the nonacetylated H3 peptide.



consistent with the Flag-BD result (Figs 2A row 6 versus 4, 2B and 1F). BD245 displayed the strongest and specific binding to H3K14ac compared to other strong binders (Fig. 2A row 7 versus the rest and 2B). The conclusions on BD 1 and 3 are somewhat different from those derived from Flag-BD pull-downs, suggesting that subtle differences can be revealed if the condition is permissive.

To confirm that our conclusions are not artifacts created by binding to peptides, we incubated GST-BD proteins with mono-nucleosome purified from HeLa cells. GST-BD2, BD4, BD12, or BD45 all pulled down histone H3 and enriched H3K14ac, but again BD245 showed the strongest binding to histone H3 and H3K14ac (Fig. 2C top, lane 6 versus the rest). GST-BD12 had some obvious breakdown products and they might interfere with binding to the nucleosome. After quantification, it was found that all BD constructs had higher ratios of H3K14ac/input over H3/input, suggesting that these constructs demonstrated selective binding to the modification (Fig. 2C, bottom). The result suggests that BD245 has the highest affinity to H3K14ac even when it is present in intact nucleosome.

To confirm the roles of BDs 2, 4, and 5 in recognition of H3K14ac, we measured the interactions between histone H3 peptides and purified BD proteins. GST-fusion proteins of BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 were purified, and their ability to bind biotinylated histone H3 peptides was assessed. The peptide pull-down assay showed little to no interaction between GST-BD2 and GST-BD4 with H3K14ac, while GST-BD234 and GST-BD245 had significantly higher affinity toward H3K14ac than to the nonacetylated H3 peptide (Fig. 2D, left panel). After GST-tag removal, the BD proteins still retained their previous characteristics and were used for further analysis (Fig. S1 and Fig. 2D, right panel).

To validate the detected interactions, we compared binding among the BD proteins using BLI. Figure 2E shows the results of the BLI assay measuring the interaction of a similar concentration of each BD construct on sensors loaded with biotinylated histone H3 or H3K14ac peptides. BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 all showed histone H3-dependent association with sensors, albeit with distinctly different binding levels and rates of association. BD245 and BD234 showed distinct preferences for binding acetylated versus nonacetylated H3K14 peptides with binding ratios of 12.3 and 6.8, respectively (Fig. 2F). In contrast, BD2 and BD4 domains showed little preference for acetylated versus nonacetylated H3K14 peptides (binding ratios of 1.7 and 1.5, respectively; Fig. 2F). Little difference in dissociation

rates was observed when bound sensors were incubated in buffer (right side of dotted line in Fig. 2E), suggesting that the differences in affinity were largely due to the different rates of association. In addition, the data for BD245 and BD234 were best fit using a double exponential rate equation, suggesting that high affinity may be achieved by real multivalent or artifactual surface interactions. Given that the trends in affinities match those observed in peptide pulldown assays, real multivalent interactions are more likely. Taken together, quantitative measurements by BLI confirmed the observation that BDs 2, 4, and 5 contribute to high affinity binding of H3K14ac by PBRM1.

3.4. Concurrent point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 abolish PBRM1's recognition of H3K14ac and alter its subcellular localization

Previous results demonstrated that the conserved YN residues in BD2 are critical for H3K14ac binding (Fig. 1E) (Chandrasekaran and Thompson, 2007; Charlop-Powers et al., 2010; Porter and Dykhuizen, 2017). We next tested whether the conserved YN residues in BD4 and/or BD5 are also critical for H3K14ac binding. In addition to the highly conserved YN motif in BD4 (residues: 600-601), there is a second, less-conserved YN motif (residues: 608-609) in BD4. These motifs are disrupted by the N601A (YN1) and N609A (YN2) mutations (Fig. 3A). Neither of the single mutations nor the double mutation changed the affinity of BD234 toward H3K14ac (Fig. 3B, rows 3, 4 and 5), suggesting BD4 uses a different mechanism to achieve H3K14ac recognition. We aligned the amino acid sequences of BDs from human PBRM1, BRG1, and BRM and identified a DLF sequence in BD 4, which is highly conserved among BD4 of PBRM1 from different species and has similar amino acid residues in different BD domains (Fig. 3A). We mutated these residues to alanine (DLF>AAA, BD4*) and found that it greatly reduced binding of BD234 to H3K14ac (Fig. 3B, row 6), indicating that this highly conserved sequence in BD4 is required for H3K14ac binding. Simultaneous mutation of the YN motifs in BDs 2 and 5 (N263A and N739A, BD2* and BD5*) abolished the affinity of BD2345 toward H3K14ac compared to the N263A single mutation (Fig. 3C, compare rows 2 and 3), confirming that the YN motif in BD5 is also critical for H3K14ac binding. Altogether, results show that the YN motifs of BDs 2 and 5 as well as the DLF sequence of BD4 are necessary for H3K14ac recognition.

В	Pulldown: Streptavidin				с			P St	oulido repta	wn: vidin				D			Pulld Strept	own: avidin			
		:			:		*:	:	: .	:	*	:	• • •	:	••						
PBRM1-BD6	DEGRCYSDS	LAE-	-IPA\	/	-DPNF	PNK	PPLT	FDI	IRKN	IVENN	IRYRF	RLDLF	€QEHM	IFEVL	ERAR	rm <mark>n</mark> r	TDSE	IYEDA	VELQ	QFFI	KIR
PBRM1-BD5	KRGRRLSAI	FLR-	-LPSF	RSELF	PDYYL	TIK	KPMD	MEK	IRSH	IMMAN	IKYQE	DIDSN	IVEDF	VMMF	NNAC	TYNE	PESL:	IYKD/	LVLH	KVLL	ETR
PBRM1-BD4	GSGRRLCDL	FMV-	-KPSk	KDYF	PDYYK	IILE	EPMD	LKI	IEHN	IIRND	KYA	GEEGN	1IEDM	IKLMF	RNAR	- YNE	EGSQ	YN DA	HI		
PBRM1-BD3	NQGQLIAEP	FYH-	-LPSk	KKYF	PDYYQ	QIKN	MPIS	LQQ	IRTK	LKNQ	2EYE1	LDH	ECDL	NLMF	ENAK	ry <mark>n</mark> v	PNSA	IYKR\	/LKLQ	QVMQ	AKK
PBRM1-BD2	PSGRLISEL	FQK-	-LPSk	VQYF	DYYA	IIKE	EPID	LKT	IAQR	IQNO	SYKS	5IHAN	1AKDI	DLLA	KNAK	TYNE	PGSQ	VFKDA	NSIK	KIFY	МКК
PBRM1-BD1	EQGRLLCEL	FIR-	-APKF	RRNQF	DYYE	VVS	QPID	LMK	IQQK		EYD	VNL	TADF	QLLF	NNAK	sy <mark>y</mark> k	PDSP	ΕΥΚΑ Α	CKLW	IDLYL	RTR
BRD3	KKHAAYAWP	<mark>F</mark> YKP	VDAEA	ALELH	IDYHD	IIK	HPMD	LST	VKRK	MDGF	REYPE	DAQGE	AAD V	/RLMF	SNCY	KY <mark>N</mark> P	PDHE	VVAMA	\RKLQ	DVFE	MRF.
BRD1	DKDPARI	FAQ-	-PVSL	LKEVF	PDYLD	HIK	HPMD	FAT	MRKR	LEAQ	QGYKI	ILHEF	EEDF	DLII	DNCM	ky <mark>n</mark> a	RDTV	FYRA/	VRLR	DQGG	VVL
BRM	SSGRQLSEV	FIQ-	-LPSF	RKELF	PEYYE	LIR	KPVD	FKK	IKER	IRNH	IKYRS	SLGDI	-EKD\	MLLC	HNAQ	TF <mark>N</mark> L	EGSQ	IYEDS	JVLQ	SVFK	SAR
BRG1	SSGRQLSEV	FIQ-	-LPSF	RKELF	PEYYE	LIR	KPVD	FKK	IKER	IRNH	IKYRS		.EKD\	MLLC	QNAQ	TF <mark>N</mark> L	EGSL	IYEDS	SIVLQ	SVFT	SVR
Δ																					



Fig. 3. Concurrent point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 abrogate PBRM1's recognition of H3K14ac. A) Sequence alignment of the six BDs of human PBRM1 and the BDs of BRG1 and BRM. *: conserved residues : or.: highly similar amino acids. The residues mutated in B–D were boxed. (B, C, and D) The indicated PBRM1 constructs were expressed in HEK293T cells, pulled down by the indicated peptides, and immunoblotted with anti-Flag antibody. The * in the rows indicate point mutations.

A recent publication suggested that a ccRCCderived BD4 N601K mutation could significantly reduce H3K14ac recognition in GST-BD4 and BD45 (Slaughter *et al.*, 2018). We compared it with Y600N601-AA mutant and found that it did significantly reduce the binding to H3K14ac in Flag-BD234 (Fig. S2). Thus, this N601K mutation might change the structure of BD4 while the Y600N601-AA may not.

After defining the critical binding residues of BDs 2, 4, and 5 with smaller constructs, we investigated how mutation of these residues affects the binding between full-length PBRM1 and H3K14ac. The individual N263A (BD2*), DLF>AAA (BD4*), and N739A (BD5*) mutations each slightly reduced the affinity toward H3K14ac (Fig. 3D, rows 2, 3, and 4), while the N263A-N739A (BD2* and 5*) double mutation reduced the affinity further (Fig. 3D, row 5). The triple mutation N263A-DLF>AAA-N739A (3m) completely abolished the ability of full-length PBRM1 to bind H3K14ac (Fig. 3D, row 6), indicating that concurrent mutations within BDs 2, 4, and 5 are necessary and sufficient to prevent specific recognition of H3K14ac.

Polybromo-1 is a chromatin-associated protein (Porter and Dykhuizen, 2017). To examine the influence of BD mutations on PBRM1 localization, we transfected HeLa cells with wild-type, the triple BD mutant, or the BD2 mutant. Cells were then fractionated into the cytoplasmic, soluble nuclear, and chromatin-bound fractions. Wild-type PBRM1 was present in each of the cellular compartments but was predominantly localized to the nucleus (Fig. 4A). The triple BD mutant, which is unable to recognize H3K14ac, accumulated in the cytoplasm, with low levels found in the soluble nuclear and chromatin-bound fractions (Fig. 4A). In contrast, PBRM1 with a mutation only in BD2 had a similar distribution to the wild-type protein (Fig. 4A). Immunofluorescence confirmed the findings, as wild-type PBRM1 mainly localized in the nucleus and the triple BD mutant primarily localized to the cytoplasm (Fig. 4B and Fig. S3). This analysis



Fig. 4. Concurrent point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 cause PBRM1 relocalization to the cytoplasm, while mutation in BD2 alone does not. (A) Wild-type or mutant PBRM1 constructs were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells. The cells were fractionated into cytoplasmic, soluble nuclear, and chromatin-bound fractions. The lysates were blotted with the indicated antibodies. The blots of IKK γ , IKK α , and Topo II β indicate the successful separation of cellular compartments. (B) Wild-type or mutant PBRM1 constructs were expressed in HeLa cells. Immunofluorescence was performed using anti-Flag antibody. The DNA is stained blue with DAPI, while the PBRM1 signal is stained green.

suggests that loss of H3K14ac recognition alters the subcellular localization of PBRM1.

3.5. Tumor-derived mutations in BD2 result in loss of H3K14ac binding, loss of promoter binding, and deregulation of gene expression

It has been reported that ~ 40% of ccRCC harbor inactivating mutations in PBRM1 (Varela *et al.*, 2011). Other cancers also have PBRM1 mutations. Many missense mutations have been found in the BDs. Mapping the tumor-derived mutations to the available crystal structures of the PBRM1 BDs 2, 4, and 5 (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010) provides further insight into the mechanism of how these mutations described in Table 1 may affect PBRM1 function. Mutation N263A changes the highly conserved asparagine residue at the C-terminal end of helix αB in BD2 (Fig. 5A), thereby removing a critical hydrogen bond

between the asparagine and the acetyl-lysine moiety, which often abolishes binding of acetyl-lysine peptides (Dhalluin et al., 1999). Since I233 belongs to a group of highly conserved hydrophobic residues in helix αA that contribute to the stability of the BD core (Filippakopoulos et al., 2010), its mutation to T in BD2 (Fig. 5A) is likely to destabilize the BD fold. Similarly, mutation of A256 in helix aC to T is predicted to interfere with the tightly packed hydrophobic core of BD2 (Fig. 5A), leading to destabilization. While residues T232 and L254 occur in less-conserved positions and hence do not seem to adopt a specific structural role in BDs, their mutations to prolines may interfere with the BD fold, as prolines introduce kinks into α helices. In summary, most tumor-derived mutations in PBRM1 BD2 structurally and functionally affect important conserved residues, leading to detrimental effects either on acetyl-lysine peptide recognition or BD stability. The DLF segment mutated to AAA in



Fig. 5. Tumor-derived mutations mapped onto crystal structures of BDs. (A) Tumor-derived mutations were mapped onto the available crystal structure of BD2 of PBRM1 (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010). (B) BD4* mutation was mapped onto the available crystal structure of BD4 of PBRM1 (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010). (C) The location of the critical N739 was mapped onto the available crystal structure of BD5 of PBRM1 (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010). (C) The location of the critical N739 was mapped onto the available crystal structure of BD5 of PBRM1 (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010).



Fig. 6. Tumor-derived mutations in BD2 weaken PBRM1's recognition of H3K14ac, its ability to regulate gene expression, and its binding to promoters. (A) Wild-type BD234 of PBRM1 or BD234 carrying tumor-derived mutations were expressed in HEK293T cells. The lysates were pulled down with the indicated peptides and immunoblotted with anti-Flag antibody. (B) 786-O cells were infected to stably express the indicated shRNA and/or PBRM1 constructs. The lysates were blotted with indicated antibodies. The relative mRNA expression of (C) *PBRM1*, (D) *GAS6*, (E) *OAS1*, (F) *IFI44L*, and (G) *ARPC4* over SCR-expressing cells was examined with qRT–PCR in the indicated cells from two experimental results. (H) PBRM1 constructs or GFP was transiently transfected into 786-O cells with PBRM1 knocked out by CRISPR/Cas9. Anti-PBRM1 ChIP was performed and the enrichment of promoter sequences for (I) ZNF-395, (J) UBE2L6, or (K) IGFBP3 was measured by qPCR. The ChIP/input ratio for each promoter from the nontransfected cells was set as 1, and the relative enrichment was calculated by dividing the ChIP/input ratio from the transfected cells with that from the control cells from two experimental results. The error bars represent standard error of the mean. The *P*-values were calculated using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test. *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001; ***: P < 0.001.

BD4 resides in a short helical turn with a highly conserved phenylalanine (Fig. 5B) that is thought to stabilize the hydrophobic binding pocket through interactions with helix αC (Filippakopoulos *et al.*, 2010). N739A also changes the highly conserved asparagine residue at the C-terminal end of helix αB in BD5 (Fig. 5C). We hypothesized that these tumor-derived mutations would alter PBRM1's function through impairing its ability to recognize H3K14ac. We used site-directed mutagenesis to introduce tumor-derived mutations into Flag-BD234. The N263A mutation abolished H3K14ac recognition in BD234 but only reduced it in BD2345 (Fig. 3B,C, compare rows 1 and 2), so FlagBD234 is more sensitive to the perturbation of H3K14ac recognition by mutations in BD2. The BD2IFD, T232P, and L254P mutations completely abolished H3K14ac binding (Fig. 6A, rows 2, 3, and 5), while I233T reduced binding and A256T had no apparent effect (Fig. 6A, rows 4 and 6). These mutations all occur in BD2. These results suggest that many tumor-derived mutations in PBRM1's BD2 impair its ability to recognize H3K14ac, indicating that this binding event may be critical for its tumor suppressor function.

Histone lysine acetylation is important during transcription and is generally linked to gene activation (Wang et al., 2008). We predicted that BD mutations that disrupted H3K14ac binding would negatively impact PBRM1's role in gene expression. We employed microarray analyses to profile differentially expressed genes upon PBRM1 shRNA knockdown in 786-O ccRCC cells. Knockdown of PBRM1 led to upregulation of GAS6 and ARPC4, and downregulation of OAS1 and IFI44L relative to control scrambled shRNA cells. Re-expression of shRNA-resistant wildtype PBRM1 or PBRM1 BD mutants (Flag-PBRM1-3m, Flag-PBRM1-BD2IFD, Flag-PBRM1-T232P) in PBRM1 knockdown cells restored PBRM1 protein similar to endogenous levels and increased PBRM1 mRNA levels in 786-O cells (Fig. 6B,C). Expression of wild-type PBRM1 reversed the effects of PBRM1 knockdown, leading to downregulation of GAS6 (Fig. 6D), upregulation of OAS1 (Fig. 6E) and IF144L (Fig. 6F), and downregulation of ARPC4 (Fig. 6G). Neither the triple BD mutant nor the BD2 mutants harboring BD2IFD or T232P were able to rescue the defects in gene expression resulting from PBRM1 suppression (Fig. 6D-G). Taken together, our data suggest that BD mutations that weaken H3K14ac recognition impair the ability of PBRM1 to regulate gene expression.

We carried out ChIP followed by sequencing to identify PBRM1 target promoters and detected *ZNF395*, *UBE2L6*, and *IGFBP3* promoters among them. To determine whether the BD mutations affect chromatin binding by PBRM1, we performed ChIPqPCR in 786-O cells with *PBRM1* knocked out by CRISPR/Cas9. GFP, wild-type PBRM1, PBRM1–3m, and tumor-derived mutants PBRM1-BD2IFD and PBRM1-T232P were transiently expressed in the cells (Fig. 6H). After overexpression of wild-type PBRM1, ChIP with anti-PBRM1 confirmed that PBRM1 bound to the promoters of *ZNF395*, *UBE2L6*, and *IGFBP3* (Fig. 6I–K). However, despite equal expression levels as the wild-type PBRM1, the PBRM1–3m, PBRM1-BD2IFD, and PBRM1-T232P mutants demonstrated significantly reduced binding to the promoters (Fig. 6I–K), suggesting that reduced H3K14ac recognition is sufficient to impair the recruitment of PBRM1 to select promoters.

3.6. H3K14ac recognition is critical to PBRM1's tumor suppressor function

Select BD mutations impaired PBRM1's ability to recognize H3K14ac, regulate gene expression, and localize to promoters. Using mouse xenograft models, we tested whether the PBRM1 BD mutations abrogate its tumor suppressor function. We found that 786-O PBRM1 knockdown cells generated significantly larger tumors than control cells, consistent with previous reports suggesting that PBRM1 is a potent tumor suppressor that inhibits tumor growth (Gao et al., 2017). Re-expression of shRNA-resistant wild-type PBRM1 in the knockdown cells resulted in significantly smaller tumors than the GFP-expressing control (Fig. 7A,B). Overexpression of the tumor-derived BD2IFD mutant or the 3m mutant failed to significantly suppress tumor growth compared to wild-type PBRM1 (Fig. 7C-F). These results demonstrate that PBRM1 BD mutants lose tumor suppression function in mouse xenografts, suggesting that H3K14ac recognition is key to PBRM1's tumor suppressor function in vivo.

4. Discussion

Using mutations in individual BDs in the context of full-length PBRM1, Porter and Dykhuizen (2017) found that the multi-BD organization contributed to PBRM1 association with chromatin. They hypothesized that BD2 binds H3K14ac while other BDs bind additional acetylation sites on histone tails, and collectively, these interactions generate high affinity to chromatin. However, it is not clear whether interactions between additional histone acetylation sites and the BDs of PBRM1 play an important role in targeting PBRM1 to the chromatin.

Our work suggests that H3K14ac is a major acetylation mark on histone H3 that recruits PBRM1 to chromatin. Full-length PBRM1 displayed significantly enhanced affinity toward H3K14ac compared to the nonacetylated counterpart while the individual BDs did not. This observation prompted us to hypothesize that multiple BDs in PBRM1 collaborate to create high affinity to H3K14ac.

The peptide pull-down assay of PBRM1 in cellular lysates is semiquantitative in nature. In order to quantitatively measure the affinity between H3K14ac and the BDs of PBRM1, either alone or in combination,



Fig. 7. H3K14ac recognition is critical to PBRM1's tumor suppressor function. Xenograft analyses were performed to compare tumor growth of 786-O cells with PBRM1 stably suppressed by PBRM1-sh94. (A) Representative tumors derived from cells expressing GFP or shRNA-resistant wild-type PBRM1; (B) quantification of tumor weights in A); C) representative tumors derived from cells expressing shRNA-resistant wild-type PBRM1 or PBRM1 with N263 in-frame deletion; (D) quantification of tumor weights in (C); (E) representative tumors derived from cells expressing shRNA-resistant wild-type PBRM1 or PBRM1 or PBRM1 or PBRM1 or PBRM1 or PBRM1 with mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5; (F) quantification of tumor weights in (E). The error bar depicts standard error of the mean, and *P*-values were calculated using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test. *n* indicates the number of mice used in each experiment.

we produced and purified BD2, BD4, BD234, and BD245 proteins in *E. coli*. With these reagents, we successfully measured the binding efficiency between the proteins and the acetylated and nonacetylated H3 peptides. The results unequivocally confirmed that BD245 and BD234 had significantly higher affinity toward H3K14ac than to its nonacetylated counterpart, while BD2 and BD4 did not show significant preference (Fig. 2C). However, the exact molecular mechanism of this cooperation remains a mystery. One scenario is that one BD binds to H3K14ac while the other BDs bind to nearby residues on the same peptide that may not necessarily include acetylated lysine residues. Although such BD–peptide interactions may be weak,

they may provide an avidity effect to generate strong binding. Alternatively, different BDs on one PBRM1 molecule may simultaneously bind to H3K14ac on different molecules that are in close proximity. Since each nucleosome contains two H3, it is possible that two adjacent tails with H3K14ac might provide the binding sites for PBRM1. These hypotheses can be further tested with in-depth structural analysis with H3K14ac peptide bound to multi-BD proteins.

Our results are largely consistent with a recent publication in which BDs 2 and 4 are found to be critical for H3K14ac recognition and nucleosome binding (Slaughter *et al.*, 2018), and the neighboring BDs modulate the interaction with histone H3 peptides. However, we found that BD245 had the highest affinity to H3K14ac which is critical to anchor PBRM1 in the nucleus. In addition, more subtle mutation of Y600N601-AA in BD4 did not affect BD4's ability to bind to H3K14ac even though N601K did, suggesting that N601K mutation might disturb the structure of BD4 like the DLF-AAA mutation.

Here, we also identified point mutations in BDs 2, 4, and 5 that were critical for H3K14ac recognition. Interestingly, BD4 is peculiar in that the conserved N601, a residue that is predicted to be critical to recognize acetyl-lysine, appears to not be required for H3K14ac recognition. Instead, the conserved DLF motif, which is thought to be critical for the structural integrity of BD4's acetyl-lysine binding pocket, was required for H3K14ac recognition. Thus, BD4's contribution to H3K14ac recognition is likely not based on its ability to directly bind to acetyl-lysine. It is possible that BD4 positions or stabilizes BD2 and/or BD5 in a state that yields high affinity for H3K14ac. With N263A (BD2*), DLF>AAA (BD4*) and N739A (BD5*) integrated into full-length PBRM1 (singly or combined) we found that a point mutation in a single BD only moderately reduced PBRM1's binding to H3K14ac. Only when all three BDs were disrupted simultaneously was the specific binding completely abolished. The triple mutant also lost the affinity to chromatin and relocated to the cytoplasm, while the single BD2* mutant did not change cellular localization (Fig. 4), suggesting that strong H3K14ac recognition is critical to tether PBRM1 to chromatin.

We further tested the impact of tumor-derived point mutations in BD2. As expected, most of them abolished H3K14ac binding in the BD234 construct. Mapping these mutations onto available crystal structures of the PBRM1 BDs revealed that these mutations impact either important structural or functional residues. Interestingly, the individual point mutants were nearly as defective as the triple mutant in regulating gene expression, binding to promoters (Fig. 6B–K), and suppressing tumor growth (Fig. 7). This suggests that even though tumor-derived point mutations in the BDs of PBRM1 might not grossly alter chromatin association, they are sufficient for the disruption of PBRM1's molecular and tumor suppressor functions.

Porter and Dykhuizen (2017) and Slaughter *et al.* (2018) used *in vitro* growth curves of Caki-2 or RCC4 cells re-expressing wild-type or BD mutants as a gauge of PBRM1's tumor suppressor function. However, this might not be an accurate measure. In PBRM1-proficient 786-O cells, deletion or suppression of PBRM1 did not alter cell growth in culture, but it significantly enhanced tumor growth *in vivo* (Gao *et al.*, 2017).

Thus, we think that xenograft analysis is a better tool to assess PBRM1's tumor suppressor function than measuring proliferation in cell culture. The knock-in of *Pbrm1* mutants into the mouse genome combined with *Vhl* inactivation should be the best physiological model to evaluate the impact of these mutations.

5. Conclusions

It has long been a puzzle: Why does PBRM1 have six tandem BDs? Our analysis provides a clear answer to this question: Individual BDs of PBRM1 does not have high enough affinity to H3K14ac to allow proper binding. Instead, BDs 2, 4, and 5 work together to generate high affinity toward H3K14ac. If this recognition is disrupted by simultaneous mutation of all three BDs, PBRM1 will relocalize to the cytoplasm. However, a single point mutation in BD2 alone that reduces H3K14ac recognition was sufficient to severely impair PBRM1's molecular and tumor suppressor functions despite maintaining chromatin association. So our findings suggest that PBRM1's molecular and tumor suppressor functions depend on the recognition of H3K14ac by multiple BDs, and PBRM1 is an important reader of H3K14ac, a universal epigenetic marker of actively transcribing genes. Many tumor-derived mutations disrupt this recognition, attesting to its importance. As PBRM1 deficiency has been shown to boost the efficacy of immune checkpoint drugs in ccRCC and other cancers (Miao et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2018), the disruption of H3K14ac recognition by PBRM1 has the potential to increase the response rate of immunotherapy and warrants further investigation.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Erik Debler gave us tremendous help by mapping tumor-derived mutations onto the crystal structure of BD2, 4, and 5. We are very grateful to Dr. Stephen Peiper for his support of Yang laboratory. We thank other researchers in the Department of Pathology, Anatomy and Cell Biology at TJU for discussion and suggestion on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by Department of Defense Peer Reviewed Research Program Award W81XWH-16-1-0326 (QY), NIH R01CA140522 (MSC), and R01 CA155015 and P30CA056036 (HFY).

Author contributions

LLi, NLAV, XHN, WJC, EAC, CBG, and MLZ performed the experiments. MSC contributed to writing of the manuscript. LLa and QY assisted with editing. MSC and HFY designed the experiments and oversaw the execution. LLi, NLAV prepared the figures. QY, MSC, and HFY provided resources to carry out the experiments. HFY wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- Benusiglio PR, Couve S, Gilbert-Dussardier B, Deveaux S, Le Jeune H, Da Costa M, Fromont G, Memeteau F, Yacoub M, Coupier I *et al.* (2015) A germline mutation in PBRM1 predisposes to renal cell carcinoma. *J Med Genet* 52, 426–430.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2013) Comprehensive molecular characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nature* **499**, 43–49.
- Chandrasekaran R and Thompson M (2007) Polybromo-1-bromodomains bind histone H3 at specific acetyllysine positions. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **355**, 661–666.
- Charlop-Powers Z, Zeng L, Zhang Q and Zhou MM (2010) Structural insights into selective histone H3 recognition by the human Polybromo bromodomain 2. *Cell Res* **20**, 529–538.
- Choueiri TK and Motzer RJ (2017) Systemic Therapy for Metastatic Renal-Cell Carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* **376**, 354–366.
- Chustecka Z (2017) Immunotherapy Changes First-Line Paradigm in Advanced RCC. Medscape, LLC, New York, NY. Available from https://www.medscape.com/ viewarticle/885477.
- Dalgliesh GL, Furge K, Greenman C, Chen LN, Bignell G, Butler A, Davies H, Edkins S, Hardy C, Latimer C *et al.* (2010) Systematic sequencing of renal carcinoma reveals inactivation of histone modifying genes. *Nature* 463, 360–363.
- Dhalluin C, Carlson JE, Zeng L, He C, Aggarwal AK and Zhou MM (1999) Structure and ligand of a histone acetyltransferase bromodomain. *Nature* **399**, 491–496.
- Filippakopoulos P, Qi J, Picaud S, Shen Y, Smith WB, Fedorov O, Morse EM, Keates T, Hickman TT, Felletar I *et al.* (2010) Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains. *Nature* 468, 1067–1073.
- Gao W, Li W, Xiao T, Liu XS and Kaelin WG Jr (2017) Inactivation of the PBRM1 tumor suppressor gene amplifies the HIF-response in VHL-/- clear cell renal carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114, 1027– 1032.
- Gerlinger M, Horswell S, Larkin J, Rowan AJ, Salm MP, Varela I, Fisher R, McGranahan N, Matthews N, Santos CR *et al.* (2014) Genomic architecture and

evolution of clear cell renal cell carcinomas defined by multiregion sequencing. *Nat Genet* **46**, 225–233.

- Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, Gronroos E, Martinez P, Matthews N, Stewart A, Tarpey P *et al.* (2012) Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. *N Engl J Med* **366**, 883–892.
- Gu YF, Cohn S, Christie A, McKenzie T, Wolff N, Do QN, Madhuranthakam AJ, Pedrosa I, Wang T, Dey A *et al.* (2017) Modeling Renal Cell Carcinoma in Mice: Bap1 and Pbrm1 Inactivation Drive Tumor Grade. *Cancer Discov* 7, 900–917.
- Guo G, Gui Y, Gao S, Tang A, Hu X, Huang Y, Jia W, Li Z, He M, Sun L *et al.* (2012) Frequent mutations of genes encoding ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathway components in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 44, 17–19.
- Hanahan D and Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* **144**, 646–674.
- Hargreaves DC and Crabtree GR (2011) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling: genetics, genomics and mechanisms. *Cell Res* **21**, 396–420.
- Kaelin WG (2005) The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein: roles in cancer and oxygen sensing. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 70, 159–166.
- Liao L, Testa JR and Yang H (2015) The roles of chromatin-remodelers and epigenetic modifiers in kidney cancer. *Cancer Genet* **208**, 206–214.
- Linehan WM, Vasselli J, Srinivasan R, Walther MM, Merino M, Choyke P, Vocke C, Schmidt L, Isaacs JS, Glenn G et al. (2004) Genetic basis of cancer of the kidney: disease-specific approaches to therapy. Clin Cancer Res 10, 6282S–6289S.
- Miao D, Margolis CA, Gao W, Voss MH, Li W, Martini DJ, Norton C, Bosse D, Wankowicz SM, Cullen D *et al.* (2018) Genomic correlates of response to immune checkpoint therapies in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Science* 359, 801–806.
- Mihaly Z, Sztupinszki Z, Surowiak P and Gyorffy B (2012) A comprehensive overview of targeted therapy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 12, 857–872.
- Nargund AM, Pham CG, Dong Y, Wang PI,
 Osmangeyoglu HU, Xie Y, Aras O, Han S, Oyama T,
 Takeda S *et al.* (2017) The SWI/SNF Protein PBRM1
 Restrains VHL-Loss-Driven Clear Cell Renal Cell
 Carcinoma. *Cell Rep* 18, 2893–2906.
- Owen DJ, Ornaghi P, Yang JC, Lowe N, Evans PR, Ballario P, Neuhaus D, Filetici P and Travers AA (2000) The structural basis for the recognition of acetylated histone H4 by the bromodomain of histone acetyltransferase gcn5p. *EMBO J* **19**, 6141–6149.
- Pan D, Kobayashi A, Jiang P, Ferrari de Andrade L, Tay RE, Luoma AM, Tsoucas D, Qiu X, Lim K, Rao P *et al.* (2018) A major chromatin regulator determines

resistance of tumor cells to T cell-mediated killing. *Science* **359**, 770–775.

Pena-Llopis S, Vega-Rubin-de-Celis S, Liao A, Leng N, Pavia-Jimenez A, Wang S, Yamasaki T, Zhrebker L, Sivanand S, Spence P *et al.* (2012) BAP1 loss defines a new class of renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 44, 751– 759.

Porter EG and Dykhuizen EC (2017) Individual Bromodomains of Polybromo-1 Contribute to Chromatin Association and Tumor Suppression in Clear Cell Renal Carcinoma. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 2601– 2610.

Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA and Zhang F (2013) Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 2281–2308.

Rini BI (2017) Ipi/Nivo is the New Standard of Care in mRCC. Practice update. Available from https://www.practiceupdate.com/content/ipinivo-is-the-new-standard-of-care-in-mrcc/60652/12/0/3

Sato Y, Yoshizato T, Shiraishi Y, Maekawa S, Okuno Y, Kamura T, Shimamura T, Sato-Otsubo A, Nagae G, Suzuki H *et al.* (2013) Integrated molecular analysis of clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 45, 860–867.

Sheffield P, Garrard S and Derewenda Z (1999) Overcoming expression and purification problems of RhoGDI using a family of "parallel" expression vectors. *Protein Expr Purif* 15, 34–39.

Slaughter MJ, Shanle EK, McFadden AW, Hollis ES, Suttle LE, Strahl BD and Davis IJ (2018) PBRM1 bromodomains variably influence nucleosome interactions and cellular function. *J Biol Chem* 293, 13592–13603.

Thompson M (2009) Polybromo-1: the chromatin targeting subunit of the PBAF complex. *Biochimie* **91**, 309–319.

Varela I, Tarpey P, Raine K, Huang D, Ong CK, Stephens P, Davies H, Jones D, Lin ML, Teague J *et al.* (2011) Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of the SWI/SNF complex gene PBRM1 in renal carcinoma. *Nature* 469, 539–542. Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz LA Jr and Kinzler KW (2013) Cancer genome landscapes. *Science* 339, 1546–1558.

Wang Z, Zang C, Rosenfeld JA, Schones DE, Barski A, Cuddapah S, Cui K, Roh TY, Peng W, Zhang MQ *et al.* (2008) Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human genome. *Nat Genet* **40**, 897–903.

Wang L, Zhao Z, Meyer MB, Saha S, Yu M, Guo A,
Wisinski KB, Huang W, Cai W, Pike JW *et al.* (2014)
CARM1 methylates chromatin remodeling factor
BAF155 to enhance tumor progression and metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 25, 21–36.

Zhang T, Niu X, Liao L, Cho EA and Yang H (2013) The contributions of HIF-target genes to tumor growth in RCC. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e80544.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. The production of purified BD proteins. GST-BD fusion proteins were induced in E. coli and the purified proteins were cleaved with TEV protease. The lysates and proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained with coomassie blue. Red arrow: BD proteins. Green arrow: GST protein.

Fig. S2. N601K mutation in BD4 reduces H3K14ac binding while Y600N601-AA mutation does not. The indicated Flag-BD234 constructs were expressed in HEK293T cells, pulled down by the indicated peptides, and immunoblotted with anti-Flag antibody.

Fig. S3. Concurrent point mutations in BDs 2, 4 and 5 cause PBRM1 to relocalize to the cytoplasm. Flag-tagged wild-type or 3m mutant PBRM1 constructs were expressed in HeLa cells. Immunofluorescence was performed using anti-Flag antibody. The DNA is stained blue with DAPI, while the PBRM1 signal is stained green.