Effect of postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits on the incidence of retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes: An analysis using real-world long-term follow-up data

Toshiko Takao^{1,*}, Kazuyuki Takahashi^{1,2}, Yoko Yoshida¹, Akifumi Kushiyama³, Yukiko Onishi¹, Tazu Tahara¹, Asuka Shimmei¹, Takako Kikuchi¹, Machi Suka⁴, Hiroyuki Yanagisawa⁴, Yasuhiko Iwamoto⁵, Masato Kasuga¹

¹Division of Diabetes and Metabolism, The Institute for Adult Diseases, Asahi Life Foundation, Tokyo, Japan, ²Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Geriatric Medicine, Akita University Graduate School of Medicine, Akita, Japan, ³Department of Pharmacotherapy, Meiji Pharmaceutical University, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Department of Public Health and Environmental Medicine, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, and ⁵Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Shin-yurigaoka General Hospital, Kawasaki, Japan

Keywords

Diabetic retinopathy, Postprandial hyperglycemia, Type 2 diabetes

*Correspondence

Toshiko Takao Tel.: +81-3-3639-5501 Fax: +81-3-3639-5520 E-mail address: t-takao@asahi-life.or.jp

J Diabetes Investig 2020; 11: 930–937

doi: 10.1111/jdi.13194

ABSTRACT

Aims/Introduction: There is little evidence on the role of postprandial glycemia in the incidence of diabetic retinopathy (DR) in a real-world setting. We aimed to assess the effect of postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits on the incidence of DR in patients with type 2 diabetes, and whether its effect differs depending on glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) values and age.

Materials and Methods: Intrapersonal mean blood glucose levels at 1–2 h postbreakfast (1–2h-PBBG), post-lunch (1–2 h-PLBG) and both (1–2h-PBLBG) during 2 years from the first visit were used as baseline data. This retrospective cohort study enrolled 487, 323 and 406 patients who had 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG measurements, respectively. These three groups were followed from 1999 up through 2017.

Results: DR occurred in 145, 92 and 126 patients in the 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG groups, respectively. Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that the mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels were significant predictors of DR, independent of mean HbA1c. In patients with mean HbA1c <7.0% and those with a baseline age <60 years, the mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels were significant predictors.

Conclusions: Postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits might predict the incidence of DR, independent of HbA1c. The effect of postprandial hyperglycemia on DR is obvious in patients with well-controlled HbA1c and in younger patients. Even with the lower HbA1c level, correcting postprandial hyperglycemia is important for preventing DR, especially in middle-aged adults with type 2 diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

The risk factors for diabetic retinopathy (DR) have been identified, including hyperglycemia, duration of diabetes and hypertension, in many epidemiological studies and clinical trials¹⁻⁶. Chronic sustained hyperglycemia, as reflected by glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), is considered to be the main determinant of DR. However, few studies have examined the

Received 27 July 2019; revised 11 November 2019; accepted 4 December 2019

relationship between transient hyperglycemia (i.e., postprandial hyperglycemia) and DR.

Shiraiwa *et al.* reported that postprandial hyperglycemia was a better predictor of progression of DR than HbA1c levels in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes^{7,8}. In their studies, postprandial glycemia was measured 2 h after having an isocaloric mixed breakfast, representing a standard Japanese breakfast (10 kcal/kg bodyweight; 57% carbohydrate, 15% fat and 28% protein) on admission^{7,8}. However, to the best of our

930 J Diabetes Investig Vol. 11 No. 4 July 2020

© 2019 The Authors, Journal of Diabetes Investigation published by Asian Association for the Study of Diabetes (AASD) and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. knowledge, no research has examined the relationship between postprandial glycemia at clinic visits and DR in real-life conditions.

The contribution of postprandial glucose levels and basal glucose levels to overall glucose exposure, reflected by HbA1c levels, varies. This variation depends on glycemic control based on HbA1c categories⁹, which also differs between older and younger adults with type 2 diabetes¹⁰

Therefore, we aimed to assess the effect of postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits on the incidence of DR in a realworld setting in patients with type 2 diabetes. We also examined whether the effect of postprandial hyperglycemia differs depending on HbA1c categories and age groups.

METHODS

Assessment of postprandial glycemia

Capillary blood glucose levels were measured once at each visit using the glucose–oxidase method (Fuji DRI-CHEM; Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan), regardless of fasting or postprandial status, and are expressed as plasma equivalents. At each visit, a clinical laboratory technician checked the time when the patients began to eat their final meal, and recorded the postprandial time interval in 15-min units. Blood glucose levels measured at 1–2 h after breakfast and after lunch, those after breakfast, and those after lunch were defined as 1–2 h post-breakfast and post-lunch blood glucose (1–2h-PBLBG) levels, 1–2 h post-breakfast blood glucose (1–2h-PBBG) levels, and 1–2 h post-lunch blood glucose (1–2h-PBBG) levels, respectively. Intrapersonal mean 1– 2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels during 2 years from the first visit were used as baseline data.

Study participants

A flowchart of the three groups of patients who were included in the analyses is shown in Figure 1. A total of 1,149 patients with diabetes visited our clinic initially from 1997- 1999 and continued visiting our clinic for ≥1 year. Of these 1,149 patients, 549 were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and were followed up for ≥ 2 years. Furthermore, these patients had one or more clinic visit per year, and had no DR at the first visit and during the first 2 years of their visits. Of these 549 patients, 487 had received 1-2h-PBLBG measurements once or more during the first 2 years of their visits. Of these 487 patients, 323 had received 1-2h-PBBG measurements and 406 had received 1-2h-PLBG measurements once or more during the first 2 years of their visits. Analysis was carried out on three groups of these 487 patients (1-2h-PBLBG group), 323 patients (1-2h-PBBG group) and 406 patients (1-2h-PLBG group).

This study conformed to the Japanese Government's Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health Research Involving Human Subjects, and was approved by the ethics committee of the Institute for Adult Diseases, Asahi Life Foundation. The committee approved the use of the opt-out approach for consent in the clinic.

End-point definition

As described previously¹¹, the end-point was defined as the incidence of mild-to-moderate non-proliferative DR¹², which was diagnosed with a routine regular funduscopic examination by ophthalmologists who subspecialized in diabetes. In detail, the end-point was the first time point when a microaneurysm, dot/blot hemorrhage or hard exudate was observed twice in succession at one or more sites in at least one eye. Patients who did not develop DR and did not complete the follow up were considered as censored cases.

Measurement of covariates

Clinical examinations and laboratory methods used in the present study have been described previously^{13,14}. To summarize, blood samples were collected regardless of fasting or postprandial status. HbA1c values were obtained once per visit. A diabetes analyzer (Tosoh Bioscience, Tokyo, Japan) was used to determine HbA1c values. The measurement method was a high-performance liquid chromatography technique standardized by the Japan Diabetes Society. Using linear regression equations, recorded HbA1c values were converted to the Japan Diabetes Society standard HbA1c values. Subsequently, all previous HbA1c (%) values were converted to National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program values (%)¹⁵. Lipid levels were measured once per few visits. The total cholesterol-tohigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio was calculated on the basis of the finding that the total cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio strongly predicts cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Blood pressure (BP) and body weight were usually assessed once each visit. An electronic sphygmomanometer (OMRON, Kyoto, Japan) was used to measure BP in a seated posture by a trained clinical laboratory technician. The intrapersonal mean values of these clinical examination data during the first 2 years of the patients' visits were used as baseline data. Patients who showed fasting or casual blood glucose levels <70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L) once or more during the first 2 years of their visits were diagnosed with hypoglycemia. Patients who consumed ≥20 g of alcohol a day were defined as drinkers.

Statistical analysis

Data for continuous variables are shown as the mean \pm standard deviation, and data for categorical variables as the number and percentages. Data on the follow-up periods and the number of 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG measurements during the first 2 years of their visits were not normally distributed. Therefore, these data are expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR). The baseline was set at 2 years after the first visit.

The baseline characteristics of the patients who developed DR were compared with those who did not develop DR using the Student's *t*-test, Wilcoxon rank sum test and the χ^2 -test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to calculate the hazard ratios (HRs) for intrapersonal mean 1–2h-



Figure 1 | Flowchart of the three groups of patients included in the analyses. 1–2h-PBBG, 1–2 h post-breakfast blood glucose; 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2 h post-breakfast and post-lunch blood glucose; 1–2h-PLBG, 1–2 h post-lunch blood glucose; DR, diabetic retinopathy.

PBLBG, 1-2h-PBBG and 1-2h-PLBG levels during the first 2 years for the incidence of DR. The number of 1-2h-PBLBG measurements, intrapersonal mean HbA1c level, intrapersonal mean systolic BP (SBP), the presence or absence of hypoglycemia during the first 2 years, age, sex and duration of diabetes were used as covariates in model 1. Model 2 included intrapersonal mean body mass index and mean total cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio during the first 2 years, and ever smoking in addition to model 1. Model 3 included use of oral antidiabetic agents, insulin, antihypertensive agents and lipid-lowering agents at 2 years after the first visits in addition to model 2. Models 4-6 included the number of 1-2h-PBBG measurements instead of the number of 1-2h-PBLBG measurements in models1-3. Similarly, models 7-9 included the number of 1-2h-PLBG measurements instead of the number of 1-2h-PBLBG measurements in models 1-3. In all models, the number of measurements of 1-2h-PBLBG, 1-2h-PBBG and 1-2h-PLBG was In-transformed. Furthermore, stratified analyses were carried out by the mean HbA1c level of 7.0% and age at baseline of 60 years.

All analyses were carried out using SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A two-sided *P*-value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1– 2h-PLBG groups according to the incidence of DR

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the total patients and those who did or did not develop DR in each of the 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG groups. Oral antidiabetic agents mainly used at baseline included sulfonylureas, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, low-dose biguanides and glinides.

A total of 145 out of 487 patients in the 1–2h-PBLBG group developed DR. The median of the follow-up period and the number of 1–2h-PBLBG measurements during the first 2 years were 6.9 years (IQR 3.3–13.9) and five times (IQR 2–8), respectively. Patients who developed DR had a longer duration of diabetes (P = 0.0001), a higher mean body mass index (P = 0.014), a higher mean HbA1c level (P < 0.0001), a higher mean 1–2h-PBLBG level (P < 0.0001), higher mean SBP and DBP (P = 0.002 and P = 0.006, respectively), and higher percentages of users of oral antidiabetic agents (P = 0.0005), antihypertensive agents (P = 0.018) and lipid-lowering agents (P = 0.0001) compared with those who did not develop DR.

A total of 92 out of 323 patients in the 1–2h-PBBG group developed DR. The median of the follow-up period and the number of 1–2h-PBBG measurements during the first 2 years were 7.5 years (IQR 3.3–14.2 years) and two times (IQR 1–6), respectively. Patients who developed DR had a longer duration of diabetes (P = 0.014), a higher mean body mass index (P = 0.040), a higher mean HbA1c level (P < 0.0001), a higher mean SBP and diastolic BP (DBP; P = 0.008 and P = 0.002, respectively), and higher percentages of users of oral antidiabetic agents

(P = 0.0002) and lipid-lowering agents (P = 0.0006) compared with those who did not develop DR.

A total of 126 out of 406 patients in the 1–2h-PLBG group developed DR. The median of the follow-up period and the number of 1–2h-PLBG measurements during the first 2 years were 6.7 years (IQR 3.3–13.7 years) and three times (IQR 1–5), respectively. Patients who developed DR had a longer duration of diabetes (P = 0.002), a higher mean HbA1c level (P < 0.0001), a higher mean 1–2h-PLBG level (P = 0.0005), higher mean SBP and DBP (P = 0.010 and P = 0.018, respectively), and higher percentages of users of oral antidiabetic agents (P = 0.002), antihypertensive agents (P = 0.010) and lipid-lowering agents (P = 0.0008) compared with those who did not develop DR.

Postprandial glycemia and the risk of the incidence of DR

Table 2 shows the HRs for mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels for the incidence of DR that were estimated by multivariate Cox proportional hazard models. In models 1–9, mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels significantly predicted the incidence of DR. In all models, the mean HbA1c level was a strong predictor of the incidence of DR, whereas postprandial glycemia (i.e., mean levels of 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG) was also significant, independent of the mean HbA1c level.

Additionally, severe hypoglycemia was defined as fasting or casual blood glucose levels <54 mg/dL (3.0 mmol/L) once or more during 2 years from the first visits. Severe hypoglycemia occurred in five (1.0%) patients in the 1–2h-PBLBG group, in two (0.6%) patients in the 1–2h-PBBG group and in five (1.2%) patients in the 1–2h-PLBG group. When severe hypoglycemia was used as a covariate instead of hypoglycemia, similar results were obtained (data not shown).

Contribution of postprandial hyperglycemia to the incidence of DR stratified by the mean HbA1c level

Table 3 shows the HRs for mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels for the incidence of DR stratified by the mean HbA1c level of 7.0%. The covariates in models 1, 4 and 7 in Table 3 are the same as those in Table 2. In models 1, 4 and 7, for the stratum of patients with a mean HbA1c value <7.0%, mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels were significant predictors of the incidence of DR. For the stratum of patients with a mean HbA1c value \geq 7.0%, neither the mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG nor 1–2h-PLBG level was significant, whereas the mean HbA1c level remained significant. There was no significant interaction in all models. When severe hypoglycemia was used as a covariate instead of hypoglycemia, similar results were obtained (data not shown).

Contribution of postprandial hyperglycemia to the incidence of DR stratified by age at baseline

Table 3 shows the HRs for mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels for the incidence of DR stratified by age at baseline of 60 years. In models 1, 4 and 7, for the stratum

	1-2h-PBLBG	group		1-2h-PBBG gr	dno.		1—2h-PLBG gr	dno
	Total	DR onset (–)	DR onset (+)	Total	DR onset (–)	DR onset (+)	Total	DR onset (–)
u	487	342	145	323	231	92	406	280
Men (%)	382 (78.4)	275 (80.4)	107 (73.8)	257 (79.6)	188 (81.4)	69 (75.0)	316 (77.8)	225 (80.4)
Age (years)	59.2 ± 8.6	59.4 土 8.9	58.8 ± 7.9	58.8 ± 8.7	59.1 ± 8.9	58.1 ± 8.1	59.2 ± 8.6	59.4 ± 9.0
Duration of diabetes (years)	7.1 ± 5.6	6.5 ± 5.5	8.3 ± 5.8*	6.8 ± 5.3	6.5 土 5.4	7.5 ± 4.9*	7.2 ± 5.8	6.8 ± 5.7
Mean HbA1c (%)	6.8 ± 0.8	6.6 ± 0.7	7.1 ± 0.7*	6.7 ± 0.7	6.6 土 0.7	7.1 ± 0.7*	6.8 ± 0.8	6.6 土 0.7
(mmol/mol)	50 土 8	49 土 8	54 土 8*	50 土 8	48 ± 8	54 土 8*	51 土 8	49 土 8
Mean 1–2h-PBLBG (mmol/L)	10.3 ± 2.6	9.9 ± 2.5	$11.1 \pm 2.7^*$	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι

128.5 ± 13.8*

124.4 土 14.8

125.7 土 14.6

128.9 ± 14.1*

24.1 ± 14.7

25.4 ± 14.7

128.6 ± 14.1*

124.1 土 14.9

125.5 ± 14.8

Mean 1–2h-PBBG (mmol/L) Mean 1–2h-PLBG (mmol/L)

23.1 ± 2.7* '4.1 ± 8.7*

 22.5 ± 2.8 71.6 ± 9.2

22.7 ± 2.8 72.3 ± 9.1

Mean DBP (mmHg)

Mean SBP (mmHg)

 5.5 ± 0.8 1.4 ± 0.3

 5.5 ± 0.8 1.4 土 0.3

 5.5 ± 0.8 $.4 \pm 0.3$

71.4 ± 9.1 22.5 ± 2.6

7.4 ± 8.9 22.7 ± 2.6

11.0 土 3.2*

9.2 ± 2.7

9.7 ± 2.9

 10.4 ± 2.9

 10.7 ± 3.1

74.0 ± 8.9*

23.0 ± 2.7

22.5 ± 2.9 71.7 ± 9.1

22.7 ± 2.8

 5.5 ± 0.8 i.4 ± 0.3

72.4 ± 9.1

74.7 ± 8.0* 23.2 ± 2.6* 5.4 ± 0.8 1.4 土 0.3

 5.5 ± 0.8

i.4 ± 0.3

80.0 ± 15.4

78.8 ± 12.9

79.1 ± 13.7

 80.1 ± 16.8

77.7 ± 12.9

78.4 ± 14.1

79.9 ± 15.7

78.4 ± 12.9

78.9±13.8

Mean eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)

Hypoglycemia^r

Mean HDLC (mmol/L)

Mean TC (mmol/L)

Mean BMI (kg/m²)

2 (2.2)

153 (66.2) 35 (58.4) 101 (43.7)

212 (65.6) 183 (50.2) 162 (56.7)

13 (4.0)

11 (4.8)

1.4 ± 0.3

 5.6 ± 0.8

 5.5 ± 0.8 1.4 土 0.4

 5.5 ± 0.8 i.4 ± 0.3 81 (64.3)*

80 (63.5) 65 (51.6)

189 (67.5) 160 (57.1) 134 (47.9)

269 (66.3) 225 (55.4)

25 (6.2)

215 (53.0)

61 (66.3)*

48 (52.2) 59 (64.1)

17 (6.1)

8 (6.4)

41 (32.5)*

21 (16.7)

30 (10.7) 58 (20.7)

99 (24.4) 51 (12.6)

29 (31.5) 14 (15.2)

25 (10.8)

39 (12.1)

92 (63.5)*

23 (15.9) 46 (31.7)*

74 (21.6)

120 (24.6)

Antihypertensive agents

Insulin[‡]

34 (9.9)

77 (53.1)

92 (63.5) 9 (6.2)

> 227 (66.4) 200 (58.5) 158 (46.2)

319 (65.5)

27 (5.5)

250 (51.3) 277 (56.9)

Oral antidiabetic agents alone

Alcohol intake Ever smoker

57 (11.7)

18 (5.3)

50 (21.7)

11.5 土 3.4*

Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of the patients classified according to the incidence of diabetic retinopathy

both oral antidiabetic agents and insulin. 1–2h-PBBG, 1–2 h post-breakfast blood glucose; 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2 h post-breakfast and post-lunch blood glucose; 1–2h-PLBG, 1–2 h post-lunch Values are n (%) or mean ± standard deviation. Intrapersonal mean values during the 2-year period from the first visit were used as baseline data. *P < 0.05 versus diabetic retinopathy blood glucose; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDLC, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; 42 (33.3)* (DR) onset (–). ^{*}Presence of fasting or casual blood glucose levels <70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L) at least once during the 2-year period from the first visit. [‡]Including patients treated with 51 (18.2) 93 (22.9) 31 (33.7)* 38 (16.5) 79 (24.5) 69 (21.4) 49 (33.8)* 51 (17.8) SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol. 110 (22.6) Lipid-lowering agents

DR onset (+)

58.7 ± 7.8

91 (72.2)

126

8.3 ± 5.8* 7.1 ± 0.8*

54 土 8*

934

Table 2	Multivariate	Сох	proportional	hazard	models	for	the
incidence	of diabetic	retino	opathy				

	HR (95%CI)	P-value
1–2h-PBLBG group events/patients	145/487	
Model 1		
Mean 1—2h-PBLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.10 (1.03–1.18)	0.007
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.94 (1.51–2.49)	< 0.0001
Model 2		
Mean 1—2h-PBLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.10 (1.03–1.19)	0.008
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.85 (1.43–2.39)	< 0.0001
Model 3		
Mean 1–2h-PBLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.08 (1.01–1.17)	0.033
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.70 (1.28–2.26)	0.0003
1–2h-PBBG group events/patients	92/323	
Model 4		
Mean 1–2h-PBBG (1 mmol/L)	1.09 (1.01–1.18)	0.029
Mean HbA1c (%)	2.22 (1.57–3.13)	< 0.0001
Model 5		
Mean 1–2h-PBBG (1 mmol/L)	1.09 (1.01–1.18)	0.031
Mean HbA1c (%)	2.19 (1.54–3.10)	< 0.0001
Model 6		
Mean 1–2h-PBBG (1 mmol/L)	1.08 (1.00–1.17)	0.047
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.73 (1.17–2.56)	0.006
1–2h-PLBG group events/patients	126/406	
Model 7		
Mean 1–2h-PLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	0.007
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.94 (1.51–2.49)	< 0.0001
Model 8		
Mean 1–2h-PLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	0.007
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.88 (1.46–2.44)	< 0.0001
Model 9		
Mean 1–2h-PLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.0/ (1.01-1.13)	0.026
Mean HbA1c (%)	1.71 (1.29–2.29)	0.0002

Intrapersonal mean values during the 2-year period from the first visit were used as baseline data. Model 1 included the number of 1–2 h post-breakfast and post-lunch blood glucose (1-2h-PBLBG) measurements (In-transformed), age, sex, duration of diabetes, mean systolic blood pressure and hypoglycemia as covariates. Hypoglycemia was defined as the presence of fasting or casual blood glucose levels <70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L) at least once during the 2-year period from the first visit. Model 2 included mean body mass index, mean total cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and ever smoking in addition to model 1. Model 3 included use of oral antidiabetic agents, insulin, antihypertensive agents and lipid-lowering agents in addition to model 2. Models 4-6 included the number of 1-2 h post-breakfast blood glucose (1-2h-PBBG) measurements (In-transformed) instead of the number of 1-2h-PBLBG measurements (In-transformed) in models 1–3. Models 7–9 included the number of 1–2 h post-lunch blood glucose (1-2h-PLBG) measurements (In-transformed) instead of the number of 1-2h-PBLBG measurements (In-transformed) in models 1–3. Cl, confidence interval; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio.

of patients with age at baseline <60 years, mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels were significant predictors of the incidence of DR. For the stratum of patients with age at

baseline \geq 60 years, neither the mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG nor 1–2h-PLBG level was significant, whereas the mean HbA1c level remained significant. There was no significant interaction in all models. When severe hypoglycemia was used as a covariate instead of hypoglycemia, similar results were obtained (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that postprandial glycemia, which was expressed as mean 1–2h-PBLBG, 1–2h-PBBG and 1–2h-PLBG levels at clinic visits during 2 years after the first visit, was a predictor of the incidence of DR in patients with type 2 diabetes. This finding was independent of the mean HbA1c level. Additionally, the effect of postprandial hyperglycemia on the incidence of DR was obvious in well-controlled patients with an HbA1c value <7.0% and in patients aged <60 years.

There is little evidence on the role of postprandial glycemia in the incidence of DR. Shiraiwa *et al.* reported that postprandial hyperglycemia on admission is a stronger predictor of the progression of DR than HbA1c levels in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes^{7,8}. In the present study of real-life conditions, the mean HbA1c level was the most important predictor of the incidence of DR among traditional risk factors, whereas postprandial glycemia had an additional value of predicting DR.

The relative contribution of postprandial glycemia is predominant in patients with type 2 diabetes who show a satisfactory level of diabetes control based on HbA1c, whereas basal glycemia becomes the major contributor in poorly controlled patients⁹. This finding could explain our result that postprandial hyperglycemia was associated with the incidence of DR in patients with well-controlled HbA1c levels, but not in those with poorly controlled HbA1c levels.

The Wisconsin Epidemiological Study of Diabetes Retinopathy reported that the severity of DR was related to a younger age at diagnosis^{1,19}. Cross-sectional studies have reported that a younger age is associated with an increased risk of DR in type 2 diabetes $^{20-22}$. The relative contribution of postprandial hyperglycemia to total glycemic exposure, as reflected by HbA1c levels, is greater in older patients than in younger patients with type 2 diabetes¹⁰. However, the present study showed that postprandial hyperglycemia was obviously associated with the incidence of DR, independent of HbA1c levels, in patients aged <60 years. The effect of a greater contribution of postprandial hyperglycemia on HbA1c levels in older patients might be negligible compared with the higher susceptibility to DR in younger patients. Furthermore, visit-to-visit variability in fasting blood glucose levels is greater in younger patients than in older patients²³, and is associated with the incidence of DR²⁴. This finding might partly explain the difference in the incidence of DR according to age groups.

Several biochemical pathways that are overactivated in diabetes are based on one common abnormality, mitochondrial overproduction of reactive oxygen species, which is caused by intracellular excess glucose flux²⁵. Intermittent high glucose

aseline
e at bi
evel and age
abin le
hemogla
glycated
mean
y the
ed D
stratifi
tinopathy
etic re
diabe
nce of
ncider
r the i
ratios fo
Hazard
Table 3

	Mean HbA1c <7.	%0.	Mean HbA1c ≥7.	%C	Interaction <i>P</i>	Age at baseline <	<60 years	Age at baseline ≥	60 years	Interaction
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value		HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	
Model 1 (events/patients)	75/327		70/160			83/270		62/217		
Mean 1–2h-PBLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.12 (1.02–1.23)	0.023	1.11 (0.99–1.24)	0.066	0.56	1.12 (1.02–1.22)	0.014	1.09 (0.94–1.27)	0.27	0.74
Mean HbA1c (%)	3.46 (1.76–6.81)	0.0003	1.99 (1.26–3.14)	0.003		1.65 (1.14–2.39)	600.0	2.31 (1.56–3.44)	<0.0001	
Model 4 (events/patients)	47/220		45/103			55/185		37/138		
Mean 1–2h-PBBG (1 mmol/L)	1.12 (1.00–1.24)	0.042	1.05 (0.94-1.18)	0.39	0.73	1.12 (1.02–1.23)	0.023	1.07 (0.93-1.22)	0.35	0.92
Mean HbA1c (%)	3.12 (1.37–7.13)	0.007	2.48 (1.27–4.84)	0.008		1.66 (1.06–2.60)	0.027	3.13 (1.73–5.68)	0.0002	
Model 7 (events/patients)	65/270		61/136			73/226		53/180		
Mean 1–2h-PLBG (1 mmol/L)	1.12 (1.03–1.21)	0.011	1.07 (0.99–1.16)	0.11	0.25	1.08 (1.01–1.15)	0.023	1.12 (0.96–1.30)	0.15	0.32
Mean HbA1c (%)	3.27 (1.60–6.68)	0.001	2.24 (1.40–3.58)	0.0008		1.69 (1.17–2.43)	0.005	2.24 (1.48–3.39)	0.0001	
Intrapersonal mean values durin	g the 2-year period	from the fi	rst visit were used	as baseline o	data. Model 1 inc	cluded the number	r of 1–2 h p	oost-breakfast and p	ost-lunch b	ood glucose
(1–2h-PBLBG) measurements (In	-transformed), age, :	sex, duratior	n of diabetes, mear	systolic blc	od pressure and	hypoglycemia as c	covariates. N	Aodel 4 included th	ie number (of 1–2 h post
breakfast blood glucose (1–2h-P	BBG) measurement	s (In-transfor	med), age, sex, dur	ation of dia	betes, mean syst	olic blood pressure	and hypog	Ilycemia as covariate	es. Model 7	included the

Hypoglycemia was defined as the presence of fasting or casual blood glucose levels <70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L) at least once during the 2-year period from the first visit. Cl, confidence

interval; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio.

number of 1–2 h post-lunch blood glucose (1–2h-PLBG) measurements (In-transformed), age, sex, duration of diabetes, mean systolic blood pressure and hypoglycemia as covariates.

levels enhance cellular proliferation and overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor through reactive oxygen species overproduction at the mitochondrial transport chain level in human retinal endothelial cells. This suggests that glucose variability has an important pathological effect on the development of DR that is dependent on mitochondrial reactive oxygen species²⁶. In a cross-sectional study of patients with type 2 diabetes, the time that an individual was within their target glucose range (usually 3.9-10.0 mmol/L) was associated with the prevalence of DR²⁷. This metric (i.e., time in range) derived from continuous glucose monitoring provides a great deal of information about the frequency and duration of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia over time. The relationship between short-term glucose variability and DR needs to be clarified in the future.

The strengths of the present study are the long-term observational period, the use of real-world data and the various measures of postprandial glycemia monitored at the clinic. However, several limitations should be mentioned in this study. First, the design of this study was retrospective. No direct causality was proven. Changes in the laboratory measurement methods, self-reporting of postprandial time intervals and differences in the number of postprandial blood glucose measurements among patients were considered as potential sources of information bias. However, linear regression equations that were obtained from duplicate assays were used to transform the data acquired by different laboratory techniques. Postprandial time intervals were carefully confirmed by experienced laboratory technicians. Data that were derived from having a snack between meals or from feeding after hypoglycemia were excluded. Furthermore, the number of intrapersonal measurements of postprandial blood glucose levels, which were In-transformed, was added to the models as a covariate for adjustment. Second, the number of study participants and events was relatively small. Larger studies are required to confirm the results of the present study. Finally, the participants of this study were treated at a single Japanese clinic. Therefore, there is a limit to the generalizability of our findings to other ethnic groups.

In conclusion, postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits might predict the incidence of DR, independent of HbA1c levels, in a real-world clinical setting among patients with type 2 diabetes. The effect of postprandial hyperglycemia on the incidence of DR is obvious in patients with HbA1c levels of <7.0% and in patients aged <60 years. Therefore, even with well-controlled HbA1c levels, correcting postprandial hyperglycemia is important for preventing DR, especially in middleaged adults with type 2 diabetes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Ms Kumiko Kimura at the Institute for Adult Diseases, Asahi Life Foundation, Tokyo, Japan, for her excellent assistance with collection of research data. We thank Ellen Knapp, PhD, from Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, *et al.* The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. III. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is 30 or more years. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1984; 102: 527–532.
- 2. Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, *et al.* The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Retinopathy in adults with newly discovered and previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus. *Ophthalmology* 1992; 99: 58–62.
- 3. Mitchell P, Smith W, Wang JJ, *et al.* Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in an older community. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 1998; 105: 406–411.
- 4. Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, *et al.* Glycosylated hemoglobin predicts the incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. *JAMA* 1988; 260: 2864–2871.
- 5. Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, *et al.* Relationship of hyperglycemia to the long-term incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. *Arch Intern Med* 1994; 154: 2169–2178.
- 6. van Leiden HA, Dekker JM, Moll AC, *et al.* Blood pressure, lipids, and obesity are associated with retinopathy: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetes Care* 2002; 25: 1320–1325.
- 7. Shiraiwa T, Kaneto H, Miyatsuka T, *et al.* Post-prandial hyperglycemia is an important predictor of the incidence of diabetic microangiopathy in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005; 336: 339–345.
- 8. Shiraiwa T, Kaneto H, Miyatsuka T, *et al.* Postprandial hyperglycemia is a better predictor of the progression of diabetic retinopathy than HbA1c in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 2806–2807.
- 9. Monnier L, Colette C, Owens D. Postprandial and basal glucose in type 2 diabetes: Assessment and respective impacts. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2011; 13: S-25–S-32.
- Munshi MN, Pandya N, Umpierrez GE, et al. Contributions of basal and prandial hyperglycemia to total hyperglycemia in older and younger adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Am Geriatr Soc 2013; 61: 535–541.
- 11. Takao T, Suka M, Yanagisawa H, *et al.* Predictive ability of visit-to-visit variability in HbA1c and systolic blood pressure for the development of microalbuminuria and retinopathy in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2017; 128: 15–23.
- 12. Wilkinson CP, Ferris FL III, Klein RE, *et al.* Proposed international clinical diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema disease severity scales. *Ophthalmology* 2003; 110: 1677–1682.
- 13. Takao T, Suka M, Yanagisawa H, *et al.* Impact of postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits on the incidence of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Investig* 2017; 8: 600–608.
- 14. Takao T, Takahashi K, Suka M, *et al.* Association between postprandial hyperglycemia at clinic visits and all-cause and

cancer mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: A long-term historical cohort study in Japan. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2019; 148: 152–159.

- 15. Kashiwagi A, Kasuga M, Araki E, *et al.* International clinical harmonization of glycated hemoglobin in Japan: From Japan Diabetes Society to National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program values. *J Diabetes Investig* 2012; 3: 39–40.
- Sone H, Tanaka S, Tanaka S, *et al.* Comparison of various lipid variables as predictors of coronary heart disease in Japanese men and women with type 2 diabetes: Subanalysis of the Japan Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35: 1150–1157.
- 17. Jiang R, Schulze MB, Li T, *et al.* Non-HDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein B predict cardiovascular disease events among men with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27: 1991–1997.
- 18. Holman RR, Coleman RL, Shine BSF, *et al.* Non-HDL cholesterol is less informative than the total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio in predicting cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 1796–1797.
- 19. Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, *et al.* The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy XIV. Ten-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1994; 112: 1217–1228.
- 20. Lim MCC, Lee SY, Cheng BCL, *et al.* Diabetic retinopathy in diabetics referred to a tertiary centre from a nationwide screening programme. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 2008; 37: 753–759.
- 21. Raman R, Vaitheeswaran K, Vinita K, *et al.* Is prevalence of retinopathy related to the age of onset of diabetes? Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Report No. 5. *Ophthalmic Res* 2011; 45: 36–41.
- 22. Zou W, Ni L, Lu Q, *et al.* Diabetes onset at 31–45 years of age is associated with an increased risk of diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6: 38113.
- 23. Takao T, Okayasu M, Yanagisawa H, *et al.* Influence of plasma glucose variability and age on onset of diabetic retinopathy in diabetic patients: Analysis of results of long-term outpatient follow-up for 30 years or more. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi.* 2009; 46: 528–536. (Japanese).
- 24. Takao T, Ide T, Yanagisawa H, *et al.* The effect of fasting plasma glucose variability on the risk of retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients: Retrospective long-term follow-up. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010; 89: 296–302.
- 25. Hammes HP. Diabetic retinopathy: hyperglycaemia, oxidative stress and beyond. *Diabetologia* 2018; 61: 29–38.
- 26. Sun J, Xu Y, Sun S, *et al.* Intermittent high glucose enhances cell proliferation and VEGF expression in retinal endothelial cells: the role of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2010; 343: 27–35.
- 27. Lu J, Ma X, Zhou J, *et al.* Association of time in range, as assessed by continuous glucose monitoring, with diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2018; 41: 2370–2376.