

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# **COVID-19** Articles Fast Tracked Articles

# National Outreach of Telepalliative Medicine Volunteers

for a New York City Safety Net System COVID-19 Pandemic



# Response

Sigal Israilov, BA, Mona Krouss, MD, Milana Zaurova, MD, Hillary S. Jalon, MS, Georgia Conley, BSN, MPA, RN, Pavel Shulman, MD, Marina Ivanyuk, MD, Elizabeth Jalkut, MD, Carla Saladini-Aponte, MD, Haseen Sharma-Cooper, MD, Robert Smeltz, MA, RN, NP, ACHPN, Robert T. Faillace, MD, Eric K. Wei, MD, MBA, and Hyung J. Cho, MD

Department of Quality and Safety (S.I., M.K., M.Z., H.S.J., G.C., E.K.W., H.J.C.), NYC Health and Hospitals, New York, New York; Department of Medical Education (S.I.), Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; Department of Medicine (M.K.), Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; NYC Health and Hospitals/Elmhurst (M.Z.), New York, New York; Department of Emergency Medicine (M.Z.), Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; NYC Health and Hospitals/ Kings County (P.S.), New York, New York; Department of Medicine (P.S.), SUNY Downstate, New York, New York; Department of Medicine (M.I.), NYC Health and Hospitals/Coney Island, New York, New York; Department of Medicine (E.J.), NYC Health and Hospitals/ Woodhull, New York, New York; Department of Medicine (C.S.-A., R.T.F.), NYC Health and Hospitals/Jacobi, New York, New York; Department of Psychiatry (H.S.-C.), NYC Health and Hospitals/North Central Bronx, New York, New York; NYC Health and Hospitals/ Bellevue (R.S.), New York, New York; Department of Medicine (R.S., H.J.C.), NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York; and Department of Medicine (R.T.F.), Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

#### Abstract

The coronavirus disease 2019 surge in New York City created an increased demand for palliative care (PC) services. In staff-limited settings such as safety net systems, and amid growing reports of health care worker illness, leveraging help from less-affected areas around the country may provide an untapped source of support. A national social media outreach effort recruited 413 telepalliative medicine volunteers (TPMVs). After expedited credentialing and onboarding of 67 TPMVs, a two-week pilot was initiated in partnership with five public health hospitals without any previous existing telehealth structure. The volunteers completed 109 PC consults in the pilot period. Survey feedback from TPMVs and on-site PC providers was largely positive, with areas of improvement identified around electronic health record navigation and continuity of care. This was a successful, proof of concept, and quality improvement initiative leveraging TPMVs from across the nation for a PC pandemic response in a safety net system. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020;60:e14-e17. © 2020 American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### Key Words

Palliative care, telehealth, COVID-19, pandemic response

# Introduction

The first confirmed case of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in New York City (NYC) occurred on March 1, 2020.<sup>1</sup> Since then, the city became the unfortunate epicenter of the pandemic in the U.S., with more than 40,000 hospitalizations and 13,000 deaths, as of May 1, 2020.<sup>1</sup> NYC Health and Hospitals (NYC

H + H), the largest public health system in the U.S., was pivotal in its role to deliver care to the people of the city. Amidst the growing number of critically ill patients, a centralized response was mobilized for palliative care (PC).

Previously published models for COVID-19 response in PC included strategies from institutions

Accepted for publication: May 22, 2020.

Address correspondence to: Hyung J. Cho, MD, NYC Health and Hospitals, 125 Worth Street, #507, New York, NY 10013, USA. E-mail: choh7@nychhc.org

<sup>© 2020</sup> American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

that relied on high levels of staffing,<sup>2,3</sup> which many safety-net health systems lack.<sup>4</sup> Within our system, most hospitals had two or fewer full-time equivalents (FTEs), with some lacking any PC clinicians (Table 1). In staff-limited settings, and amid growing reports of health care worker illness and further reduction of staffing,<sup>5</sup> leveraging help from lessaffected areas around the country may provide an untapped source of support. Telepalliative medicine allows for consultative services from remote locations, limiting provider exposure and personal protective equipment use.<sup>3</sup> As a part of the NYC H + H surge response, we rapidly developed a multihospital quality improvement strategy to support local PC efforts through sourcing of telepalliative medicine volunteers (TPMVs) from across the country.

## Methods

NYC H + H is an urban safety-net health system with 11 hospitals and five postacute care facilities, serving more than 1.1 million patients yearly. The systemwide PC response began on March 30, 2020, with peak surge on April 9. Central office quality and safety team partnered with the system PC council and convened conference calls one to two times/week during the peak surge, centering on how to rapidly expand PC efforts. The call for external TPMVs was initiated on April 2 through social media outreach (Twitter and LinkedIn). Site visits or calls were made during a three-week period to each of the system's hospitals and included discussion with various leads from PC, medicine, emergency medicine, critical care, as well as hospital executive leadership, to determine if and how TPMVs would be helpful for their site.

TPMVs who could volunteer for at least three shifts received expedited crosscredentialing at all acute care facilities, including remote access to the electronic health record (EHR) for documentation. The central coordinators developed a schedule for each site of one to two volunteers/day and modified coverage for weekends. Central coordinators relayed contact information and orientation materials via group electronic mail daily. Site leads reached out to volunteers daily to orient and coordinate consults. Brief surveys and verbal feedback were elicited from TPMVs after each shift. In addition, a survey was sent to site leads after the pilot period.

## Results

Five hospitals agreed to participate. The other hospitals felt they had adequate staffing, either from internal staff redeployment or external in-person volunteers. Among participating hospitals, the dedicated FTE before the surge averaged 1.0 per site (range 0-2.0), with two sites having no existing PC service. In contrast, the hospitals that did not participate averaged 4.2 FTE per site (range 2.0-4.5), with three sites having additional fellows. Hospital capacity ranged from 213 to 627 beds (Table 1).

The external recruitment process enlisted 413 volunteers (150 physicians, 96 chaplains, 66 nurse practitioners, 39 nurses, 28 social workers, 15 medical students, 2 physician assistants, and 17 others). Sixtyfour clinicians were emergency credentialed and onboarded for a 14-day pilot period, from April 15 to 28, 2020. A total of 109 consults were completed during this two-week period, staffed by 22 volunteers for more than 67 shifts, averaging 8.7 TPMV consults per day.

Twenty-eight postshift volunteer surveys were collected from 20 volunteers. Of all reported consults, most involved goals-of-care conversations (56%) and family updates (41%), followed in frequency by emotional support (39%), anticipatory guidance (24%), hospice placement (5%), and bereavement (5%). Consult types were not mutually exclusive, as survey respondents could choose multiple options. Critical care patients comprised most consults (60%), with the remainder of consults coming from medical-surgical units. TPMV feedback encompassed the following domains: communication, electronic medical record, familiarity with local policies, continuity of care, and workload (Table 2). Although feedback was largely positive, the most commonly received

Table 1   Baseline PC Staffing and TPMV Consults per Participating Hospital						
Hospital	Bed Capacity	PC Staffing Before Surge (FTE)	TPMV Consults per Day			
Coney Island	371	1.0 nurse and 1.0 physician	1.7			
Jacobi	457	1.5 nurse practitioner and 0.5 physician	1.4			
Kings County	627	1.0 physician	1.8			
North Central Bronx	213	0	0.9			
Woodhull	320	0	2.9			
Total	1988	1.0 nurse; 1.5 nurse practitioner; and 2.5 physician	8.7			

PC = palliative care; TPMV = telepalliative medicine volunteer; FTE = full-time equivalent.

Domain	Changes Made		
Communication			
Communication with patients/families	Encouraging use of Doximity Dialer application or Google Voice application to maintain TPMV privacy Instructing medical teams to make families aware of upcoming call from TPMVs		
Communication with medical team	Providing site-specific team/unit/service directories		
Communication with site and central coordinators EHR	Creating a daily group message connecting TPMVs with coordinators		
EHR access/log-in	Specifying correct EHR context in introductory electronic mail		
EHR documentation	Creating a remote PC visit documentation template (dot phrase)		
Inconsistent location of patient/family contact information	Creating EHR tip sheet		
1 /	Adding family contact information to handoff format		
Site-specific logistics			
Role of social work, nursing, and medical teams	Including all team members' roles and responsibilities in introductory electronic mail		
Services available	Creating site-specific orientation manuals		
End-of-life policy or guidance	Connecting TPMVs to on-site social workers		
Continuity	0		
Sign-out process	Providing contact information of previous day's TPMVs to facilitate verbal handoff		
TPMVs wanting to follow patients daily beyond shift	Allowing continuity of communication beyond formal consulting days		
Rapport building with families	Encouraging TPMVs to take shifts on consecutive days with the same hospital		
Workload	•		
Not enough consults	Reaching out to primary teams daily		
	Developing shared patient lists for potential consults		

		Table 2		
TPMV	Feedback an	d Changes	Made to	Operations

TPMV(s) = telepalliative medicine volunteer(s); EHR = electronic health record; PC = palliative care.

constructive feedback included difficulty contacting the primary team, documenting in the EHR, coordinating social services, and following up on previously assigned consults. Workflow was rapidly modified in response to feedback through interventions such as disseminating local directories to TPMVs, providing an EHR documentation tip sheet, pairing TPMVs with site-based social workers when appropriate, and connecting TPMVs assigned to the same site on consecutive days to facilitate verbal handoff.

In addition, all five site leads completed a survey sent after the end of the pilot period, consisting of seven statements on a Likert scale and two openended questions regarding the initiative's challenges and strengths. All respondents strongly agreed that advanced care planning discussions were needed at a higher rate during COVID-19 surge and that additional PC services were needed to meet the demand. In addition, all respondents agreed or strongly agreed that medical teams were receptive to working with TPMVs and that the benefits of the consultation service outweighed the time spent coordinating workflow and orienting new volunteers. Finally, all respondents reported an interest in leveraging this program for future surges.

## Discussion

We successfully implemented a telepalliative medicine response within a large safety-net system without a pre-existing telehealth infrastructure. Within just 72 hours of the initial outreach, more than 400 volunteers enlisted, reflecting a rapid and robust response. Overall, volunteers and site leads were appreciative of this program and viewed it as a mutually beneficial partnership for current and future surges. To our knowledge, this is the first model to leverage external TPMVs from across the country for an institutional COVID-19 pandemic response. Although early in our operations, several concepts resonated through our process, which may aid other institutions in future efforts.

Changes to the credentialing and onboarding process were crucial to the program's success. We began expedited disaster-level crosscredentialing across the facilities and ensured malpractice coverage. Remote EHR access and onboarding were simplified, and dedicated staff helped usher volunteers through this complex process and troubleshoot any issues instead of relying on already busy site leads. Altogether, our modified time for TPMVs to go live took three days, a dramatic improvement from the three-month process before the surge. We believe this program would not be feasible without the concerted interdepartmental effort from executive leadership, credentialing, human resources, ambulatory, and informatics to help streamline this cumbersome process.

Through a call for help from the city and state, there were a significant number of in-person volunteers available at the peak of the surge. For many reasons, help on the ground is often preferred over remote, largely stemming from the ease and efficiency of communication. A physically present volunteer can naturally absorb conversations in between phone calls, and communication is augmented through facial and body expressions.<sup>6</sup> However, remote volunteers offer unique advantages. They do not require the additional coordination of hotel, transportation, food, as well as logistical setups such as photo IDs, local orientations, immunization checks, or N-95 fit testing. They spared personal protective equipment use, a critical supply during the pandemic. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, TPMVs prevented unnecessary exposure of health care workers. Telemedicine should be considered a standard during this pandemic given the potential for health care worker harm.<sup>4</sup>

Certain limitations were noted. First, this was a twoweek pilot study, with limited data for sustainability or continued need. However, as we continue to see many deaths, intensive care units well beyond capacity, and potential for subsequent surges, we anticipate the need to continue. In addition, as in-person volunteer numbers are expected to wane, the need for TPMV redeployment may increase. Second, this consult service was seldomly used in some sites. This was largely because of availability of in-person volunteers, and, as with any new consulting service, adoption required significant time and effort to spread the word, troubleshoot, and build trusting relationships among clinicians. The primary goal of this initiative was to support PC team efforts on the ground. Offloading any goals-of-care discussions from the PC or inpatient teams during a busy day of the pandemic surge was considered a victory. Third, we did not obtain direct feedback from the primary teams or patients regarding the quality of the consults. Finally, this program depended in part to the uplifting of certain regulations, including Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and the need for a New York medical license.<sup>8</sup> We anticipate these regulatory changes to continue, but some TPMVs may come from within the state.

In summary, this was a proof of concept and pilot study harnessing a national source of PC clinicians for a pandemic response for our safety-net health system. Further study is needed to understand the continued need, sustainability, and optimal level of operations. In addition to increasing video communications, next steps can focus on upstream goals-of-care discussions, integrating automatic inpatient consults, and supporting ambulatory and postacute facilities. We anticipate this initiative to continue, and we hope this may serve as a model for other institutions during subsequent COVID-19 surges and other disaster events.

#### **Disclosures and Acknowledgments**

This research received no specific funding/grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

1. COVID-10 data, NYC health. Available from https:// www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/covid/covid-19-data.page. Accessed May 3, 2020.

2. Fausto J, Hirano L, Lam D, et al. Creating a palliative care inpatient response plan for COVID19—the UW medicine experience. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.03.025.

3. Humphreys J, Schoenherr L, Elia G, et al. Rapid implementation of inpatient telepalliative medicine consultations during COVID-19 pandemic. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.04.001.

4. Lyles CR, Handley MA, Ackerman SL, et al. Innovative implementation studies conducted in US safety net health care settings: a systematic review. Am J Med Qual 2019;34: 293–306.

5. Characteristics of health care personnel with COVID-19—United States, February 12–April 9, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:477–481.

**6.** Mehrabian A. Nonverbal communication. Piscataway, NJ; Transaction Publishers, 1972.

7. Cho HJ, Feldman LS, Keller S, et al. Choosing wisely in the COVID-19 era: preventing harm to healthcare workers. J Hosp Med 2020;15:360-362.

8. No. 202.27: Continuing temporary suspension and modification of laws relating to the disaster emergency, New York state. Available from https://www.governor.ny.gov/news/no-20227-continuing-temporary-suspension-and-modification-laws-relating-disaster-emergency. Accessed May 12, 2020.