SCIENCE PROGRESS

Seismic design of rectangular/ square columns in SDOF systems based on their damage performance

Science Progress 2022, Vol. 105(4) 1–14 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/00368504221127788 journals.sagepub.com/home/sci



Yukui Wang^{1,2}, Zhefeng Liu², Dan Zhang¹, Zhangqi Hu¹ and Dong Yu¹

¹College of Civil Engineering, Hunan City University, Yiyang, 413099, China

²College of Civil Engineering, Changsha University of Science & Technology, Changsha, 410004, China

Abstract

The quantitative relationship between the energy dissipation capacity of RC members and displacement deformation, cumulative energy dissipation and structural design parameters were established by the research group in the early stage, and then the damage index based on energy dissipation capacity and performance index limits were proposed. Based on the existing research, the seismic design method of RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems based on damage performance is proposed, and the method is introduced by an example. It is found that the seismic design method establishes a quantitative relationship between the structural design parameters and seismic parameters, which is convenient to guide the structural design. The increase in the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious. The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage to the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow. This seismic design method can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.

Keywords

Performance design, reinforced concrete column, energy dissipation capacity, damage index, performance index limits

Corresponding author:

Zhefeng Liu, College of Civil Engineering, Changsha University of Science & Technology, Changsha, 410004, China.

Email: Lzf0072006@163.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access page (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

I. Introduction

Displacement-based and bearing-capacity-based seismic design methods can reflect the amplitude and frequency characteristics of earthquakes, but fail to consider the impact of earthquake duration on structures.^{1–4} The energy-based seismic design method not only reflects the displacement deformation and bearing capacity, but also considers the cumulative energy dissipation damage caused by the earthquake duration,^{5–9} which can fully reflect the impact of earthquake action on the structure.

In 1985, the energy-based seismic design method at the theoretical level was first proposed by Akiyama,¹⁰ but the design method was not directly used to guide structural design. In the same year, based on the test results of a large number of RC beam and column members, the Park-Ang damage index including deformation terms and energy dissipation terms was proposed.^{11,12} Then, the Park-Ang damage index was used as a damage evaluation criterion to study energy-based seismic design methods. Fajfar¹³ found that in order to ensure that the Park-Ang damage index is less than 1, the ductility coefficient of the structure under earthquake should be less than the ultimate ductility under monotonic load. Therefore, it is proposed to compensate for the adverse effects of cumulative energy dissipation damage by limiting the ductility of the structure under earthquake. Chai et al.¹⁴ considered this idea further and proposed an energy-based nonlinear design spectrum by setting the Park-Ang damage index to 1. Ye et al.¹⁵ used the Park-Ang damage index to evaluate the energy dissipation damage of RC members and proposed the implementation framework of the energy-based seismic design method. According to different values of the Park-Ang damage index, a nonlinear design spectrum based on ductility constraints is proposed by Saman.¹⁶ It can be seen that the researchers introduced the energy index into the structural design process, which is of great significance for the practical development of an energy-based seismic design. However, the coupling effect between the deformation term and energy dissipation term in the Park-Ang damage index has not been analyzed.^{17,18} Therefore, the applicability of the Park-Ang damage index as the damage evaluation standard is still questionable.

The research group obtained the decay law of energy dissipation capacity of RC members under variable amplitude loading history in previous research. The quantitative relationship between the energy dissipation capacity of RC members and displacement history (deformation term), cumulative energy dissipation (energy dissipation term) and structural design parameters was established,¹⁷ and the damage index and performance index limits of RC members based on energy dissipation capacity were proposed.¹⁸ Based on existing research, the seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems based on damage index was proposed, and the seismic design process was introduced as an example.

2. Damage index based on the energy dissipation capability

2.1. Expression of damage index

The damage index D_k of RC square/rectangular column members under variable amplitude displacement history is defined as¹⁷

$$D_k = (A_{\text{E},k}^* (1 - e^{-0.47n_k^* B_{\text{E},k}^*}))^{0.09}$$
(1)

Where, n_k^* is the normalized cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the virtual halfcycle, as given in Equation (2). The parameters $A_{E,k}^*$ and $B_{E,k}^*$ are calculated by Equation (3) and (4) respectively.

$$n_k^* = \frac{E_{\rm C}}{0.5M_{\rm y}\theta_{\rm y}}\tag{2}$$

Here, $E_{\rm C}$ is the cumulative energy dissipation capacity. $M_{\rm y}$ is the yield moment of the section, $\theta_{\rm y}$ is the yield drift angle.

$$A_{E,K}^* = 0.62\mu_e^{*0.2} \tag{3}$$

$$B_{\mathrm{E},k}^{*} = \frac{3.64\rho_{\mathrm{sv}}^{-0.13}}{(1+\mu_{e}^{*})^{5.63\rho_{\mathrm{sv}}^{0.09}}} \tag{4}$$

Here, μ_e^* is the normalized effective amplitude of the virtual half-cycle, as given in Equation (5). ρ_{sv} is the ratio of transverse reinforcement.

$$\mu_{\rm e}^* = \frac{0.1}{\theta_{\rm y}} \tag{5}$$

Substitute Equations (2) to (5) into Equation (1)

$$D_k = f(\rho_{\rm sv}, M_{\rm y}, \theta_{\rm y}, E_{\rm C}) \tag{6}$$

According to Equation (6), The quantitative relationship between damage index D_k , structural design parameters (ρ_{sv} , M_y , θ_y) and cumulative energy dissipation capacity E_C is established.

2.2. Relationship between damage index and seismic parameters

To establish the quantitative relationship between damage index D_k and seismic parameters, the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of target members E_C is calculated from¹⁹

$$E_{\rm C} = \frac{0.3455E}{n} \tag{7}$$

Here, *E* is the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the structure according to Kunnath et al.,²⁰ n is the number of column members.

$$E = m\alpha E_1 \tag{8}$$

Here, *m* is the mass of the single degree of freedom (SDOF) systems. The ratio parameter *a* is calculated from Equation (9), and the input earthquake energy E_1 is calculated from Equation $(10)^{21}$

$$\alpha = 1.13 \frac{(\mu - 1)^{0.82}}{\mu} \tag{9}$$

Here, μ is the ductility factor of the structure.

$$E_1 = 0.5(\Omega_v \text{PGV})^2 \tag{10}$$

Here, Ω_v is the amplification factor for the input energy, as given in Equation (11), PGV is the peak ground velocity.

$$\Omega_{\nu} = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\nu}^* (\frac{2T}{T_g} - (\frac{T}{T_g})^2)T < T_g \\ \Omega_{\nu}^* (\frac{T}{T_g})^{-\lambda}T > T_g \end{cases}$$
(11)

Here, Ω_{ν}^* is the peak amplification factor for the input energy spectrum, as given in Equation (12). *T* is the fundamental period of the structure. T_g is the characteristic period of the ground motion according to Vidic et al.,²² as given in Equation (13). λ is the type of ground motion, $\lambda = 0.5$ is considered in the study.

$$\Omega_{\nu}^{*} = \frac{0.25 \text{PGA}}{\text{PGV}} \sqrt{t_{\text{d}} T_{g}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + 0.5}{2\lambda + 2}}$$
(12)

Here, t_d is the duration of the strong motion.

$$T_g = 2\pi \frac{c_v \text{PGV}}{c_a \text{PGA}} \tag{13}$$

Here, PGA is the peak ground acceleration. c_v is the ratio of spectral elastic response velocity to peak ground velocity, and c_a is the ratio of spectral elastic response acceleration to peak ground acceleration, the coefficients c_v and c_a were taken as 1.9 and 2.4, respectively, which were used by Chai et al.¹⁴

Substitute Equations (7) to (13) into Equation (6), the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the target RC members can be obtained.

$$D_k = f(\rho_{\rm sv}, M_{\rm y}, \theta_{\rm y}, \mu, m, T, \text{PGA}, \text{PGV}, t_{\rm d})$$
(14)

According to Equation (14), the damage index D_k establishes a quantitative relationship with structural design parameters (ρ_{sv} , M_y , θ_y , μ , T, m) and seismic parameters (PGA, PGV, t_d).

2.3. Performance index limits of the damage index

9 RC members with different reinforcement conditions were subjected to low cycle reciprocating loading tests,¹⁸ and the damage development of each half-cycles was recorded in detail to study the performance index limits of the damage index D_k . It is found that the apparent damage development process of 9 RC members is similar, the damage process of the 9 RC members can be divided into the following stages: (1) The first crack appeared on the concrete surface. (2) The cable-stayed cracks appeared, then the concrete cover appeared to have spalling damage at the interface. (3) The cable-stayed cracks developed into cross cracks, and the concrete cover appeared to have severe spalling damage.(4) The core concrete was crushed, and the longitudinal reinforcement

buckled. Figure 1 shows the damage development process of one of the 9 specimens. Table 1 presents the performance index limits of the damage index D_k . More details can be found in Wang et al.¹⁸

3. Seismic design process of rc square/rectangular column members based on damage index

The yield moment of section M_y , the yield drift angle θ_y and the ductility factor μ are related to the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement. The fundamental period *T* is related to the mass *m* and the stiffness of the structure. The seismic parameters are determined by the peak ground acceleration PGA, the peak ground velocity PGV and the duration of the strong motion t_d . Therefore, according to Equation (14), when the cross-sectional dimensions, longitudinal reinforcement, fundamental period and seismic parameters are determined, the damage index D_k (performance design target) establishes a corresponding relationship with the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv} , that is, when the owner proposes the expected performance design target at the end of the earthquake, the designer can obtain the corresponding ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv} according to Equation (14). Figure 2 shows the seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index D_k .

The seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index can be divided into the following four steps.

3.1. Elastic design stage under minor earthquakes

The elastic design stage under minor earthquakes is consistent with the Chinese code for seismic design of buildings (GB50011-2010).²³ Firstly, the cross-sectional dimensions of the target member are preliminarily estimated, and the mass *m* and the representative values of gravity load are calculated. According to the most unfavorable combination of seismic load effect and gravity load effect, the longitudinal reinforcement of target members can be obtained. Secondly, based on the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement, the yield moment of section M_y and the yield drift angle θ_y can be calculated.



Figure 1. The damage development process.

Damage development process	D _k	Damage stages	Performance levels
a	(0, 0,31	no cracking on the concrete surface	no damage
b	(0.3,0.6]	the first crack appeared on the concrete surface	mild damage
c	(0.6, 0.7]	the cable-stayed cracks appeared, then the concrete cover appeared to have spalling damage at the interface	moderate damage
d	(0.7, 0.8]	the cable-stayed cracks developed into cross cracks, and the concrete cover appeared to have severe spalling damage	severe damage
e	(0.8, 1]	the core concrete was crushed, and the longitudinal reinforcement buckled.	destruction

Table 1. Performance index limits of the damage index D_k .



Figure 2. The seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index D_k .

3.2. Calculation of μ

The finite element analysis software MIDAS Gen (2021 v2.1) is used to carry out pushover analysis on the designed SDOF systems, and the capacity curve of the structure can be obtained. The acceleration response spectrum of the code for seismic design of buildings is transformed into the demand spectrum curve, then the target performance point of the structure can be obtained by comparing the capacity curve with the demand spectrum curve. The ductility factor μ_1 of the structure can be obtained by dividing the spectrum displacement value at the target performance point under moderate earthquakes by the spectrum displacement value at the yield displacement on the capacity curve. Similarly, the ductility factor μ_2 of the structure can be obtained.

3.3. Calculation of ρ_{sv}

According to the expected performance target value (D_k) selected by the owner under moderate earthquake, the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement (M_y, θ_y) , the fundamental period T(m), the ductility factor μ_1 and the seismic parameters (PGA, PGV, t_d), the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv1} under moderate earthquakes is calculated by Equation (14). Similarly, the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv2} under major earthquakes can be obtained.

3.4. Determination of ρ_{sv}

Comparing the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv1} calculated under the moderate earthquake and the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv2} calculated under the major earthquake, the larger value of the two is selected as the target ratio of transverse reinforcement. If the increase of ρ_{sv} still cannot achieve the expected performance target, it is necessary to limit the ductility by increasing the cross-sectional dimensions or adding the longitudinal reinforcement of the RC column member to achieve the expected performance target.

4 Examples

4.1. Application of the seismic design of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index

This section takes a SDOF structural as an example to introduce the application of the seismic design method based on damage index. Figure 3 shows the SDOF structural model. Table 2 shows the design details of the SDOF structural. Referring to Table 2, $b \times h$ refers to the cross-sectional dimensions. *H* and *l* represent the height of columns and the span of beams, respectively. *c* refers to the thickness of the concrete cover. In the example, the seismic intensity is 8 degrees (0.2g), the design earthquake group is Group 1, the site category is Category II, and the floor load is 100kN/m.²

4.1.1. Elastic design stage under minor earthquakes. The finite element analysis software Midas Gen is used for calculating the design parameters under minor earthquakes. The yield drift angle θ_y of the column members can be obtained from Equation (15),²⁴ and the yield moment of the column end section M_y can be obtained from Equation (16).²³

$$\theta_{\rm y} = 0.5 \frac{f_{\rm y}l}{E_{\rm s}h} \tag{15}$$



Figure 3. The SDOF structural model.

 Table 2. Design details of the SDOF structural.

Member	$b \times h(mm \times mm)$	<i>H</i> (mm) //(mm)	Longitudinal reinforcement type	Transverse reinforcement Type	Strength grade of concrete	c (mm)
Columns	400×400	3000	HRB400	HPB300	C30	35
Beams	200×350	4000	HRB400	HPB300	C30	35

Here, f_y represents the design value of tensile strength for the longitudinal reinforcement, E_s represents the elastic modulus of longitudinal reinforcement, l represents the height of the column, h represents the height of the column cross-sectional.

$$M_{\rm y} = f_{\rm y}^* A_{\rm s}^* (h_0 - a_{\rm s}^*) + \alpha_{\rm l} f_{\rm c} b x (h_0 - \frac{x}{2})$$
(16)

Here, f_y^* represents the design value of compressive strength for the longitudinal reinforcement, A_s^* represents the area of the compressed longitudinal reinforcement, h_0 represents the effective height of the column section, α_s^* represents the distance from the center of gravity of the compressed longitudinal reinforcement to the compression edge of column section, α_1 represents the equivalent rectangular stress diagram coefficient of concrete compression zone, f_c represents the design value of concrete axial compressive strength, b represents the width of the column cross-sectional, x represents the equivalent rectangular height of concrete compression zone.

Since the reinforcement of the four RC column members is the same, column ① is selected as an example for the introduction. The calculation results of the reinforcement and related design parameters are shown in Table 3.

4.1.2. Ductility factors. The finite element analysis software MIDAS Gen is used for pushover analysis of the designed SDOF systems to obtain the target performance points of the structure. Figure 4 shows the pushover analysis curves, the abscissa is the displacement spectrum S_d , and the ordinate is the acceleration spectrum S_a . According to the spectrum displacement value S_{dm} at the target performance point and the spectrum displacement value S_{dy} at the yield displacement on the capacity curve, the ductility factors of the structure can be obtained from Equation (17). Table 4 shows the ductility

factors under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes.

$$\mu = \frac{S_{\rm dm}}{S_{\rm dy}} \tag{17}$$

4.1.3. PGA and PGV. When the seismic intensity is 8 degrees (0.2g), it can be seen from the code for seismic design of buildings that the PGA for time history analysis under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes are 196cm/s² and 400cm/s², respectively. When the site category is Category II and the design earthquake group is Group 1, the ratio of the PGV to the PGA is 0.15s.²⁵ Therefore, it can be obtained that the PGV under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes are 29.4 cm/s and 60 cm/s, respectively.

4.1.4. Determination of earthquake duration. According to the reference,²⁵ when the earthquake duration t_d is in the range of $0 \sim 10$ s, the damage to the RC members develops rapidly. When the earthquake duration t_d is in the range of $10 \sim 20$ s, the damage development of the RC members slows down. When the earthquake duration t_d is in the range of $20 \sim 30$ s, the increase of earthquake duration has relatively little effect on the damage development of RC members. Therefore, the earthquake duration 2.5s, 5s, 10s, 15s, 20s and 30s are selected in the example.

4.2. Seismic design results of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index

The cumulative energy dissipation capacity can be obtained by Equation (7) to (13). Substitute the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of target members $E_{\rm C}$ and structural design parameters (M_y , θ_y) into Equation (6), the target ratio of transverse reinforcement for RC square/rectangular column members under different performance levels can be calculated. Calculation results for the ratio of transverse reinforcement as shown in Figure 5.

It can be seen from Figure 5: (1) When the performance target (damage index D_k) is the same, the ratio of transverse reinforcement increases with the increase of the earthquake duration, that is, the increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of damage, the higher the performance target, the more obvious this phenomenon. (2) When the seismic duration is the same, the damage index decreases with the increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement, which indicates that the increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can effectively reduce the damage to RC column.

Longitudinal reinforcement	Transverse reinforcement($ ho_{sv}$ %)	m/kg	T/s	M _y /kN⋅mm	$\theta_{\rm y}$ /rad
8- <i>ф</i> 20	<i>φ</i> 10@100 (0.95)	172547	0.49	429235	0.00675

Table 3. The calculation results of the reinforcement and related design parameters.



Figure 4. Pushover analysis curve.

Table 4. The ductility factors under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes.

	S_{dm} (m)	S_{dy} (m)	μ
Moderate earthquakes	0.023	0.014	1.64
Major earthquakes	0.059	0.014	4.21

members. (3) This seismic design method can make up for the lack of considering the earthquake duration in the current seismic code. For example, when the damage index $D_k = 0.5$, under the condition of earthquake duration (2.5~20s), the ratio of transverse reinforcement can meet the demand according to the seismic code, but when the earthquake duration is greater than 20s, the ratio of transverse reinforcement can not meet the expected performance target according to the seismic code.

4.3. Comparison between seismic design method based on damage index and performance design method in seismic code

According to the performance design method in the code for seismic design of buildings, when the ductility factor $\mu < 1$, the structural members are intact after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu < 1.5$, the mild damage of structural members is allowed to appear after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu \approx 2$, the moderate damage of structural members is allowed to appear after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu \approx 2$, the moderate damage of structural members is allowed to appear after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu \approx 5$, the structural members are nearly seriously damaged after the earthquake action. Table 5 shows the corresponding relationship between the performance index limits of the damage index and the ductility coefficient limits in seismic code.

To study the difference between the seismic design method based on damage index and the performance design method in seismic code, $D_k \sim \rho_{sv} \sim t_d$ relations of different ductility factors are established based on the example. The $D_k \sim \rho_{sv} \sim t_d$ relations under different ductility factors are shown in Figure 5. The x-axis represents the ratio of transverse reinforcement ρ_{sv} , which varies from 0.1% to 2%. The y-axis represents the earthquake duration t_d , which varies from 0 to 30s. The z-axis represents the damage index D_k , which



Figure 5. Calculation results for the ratio of transverse reinforcement.

Table 5. Corresponding relationship between the performance index limit of damage index and the ductility coefficient limit in seismic code.

	no damage	mild damage	moderate damage	severe damage	destruction
Performance index limit of damage index	(0,0.3]	(0.3,0.6]	(0.6,0.7]	(07,0.8]	(0.8,1]
Ductility coefficient limit in seismic code	μ<Ι	μ<1.5	<i>μ</i> ≈ 2	<i>μ</i> ≈5	<i>μ</i> >5

varies from 0 to 1. Where, $\mu = 1.5$, $\mu = 2$ and $\mu = 5$ means that the structural members designed according to the seismic code after the earthquake action can ensure mild damage, moderate damage, and severe damage respectively.

It can be seen from Figure 6: (1) The increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious, The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage of the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow. (2) It can be seen from Figure 6 (a), (b) and (c), that with the increase of earthquake duration t_d , the damage index D_k exceeds the performance index limits of mild damage $(D_k=0.6)$, moderate damage $(D_k=0.7)$ and severe damage $(D_k=0.8)$, respectively. That is, with the increase of earthquake duration t_d , the performance design method of controlling ductility coefficient in the seismic code can not meet the expected damage, while the design method based on damage index can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.



Figure 6. $D_k \sim \rho_{sv} \sim t_d$ relations under different ductility factors.

5. Conclusions

The following conclusions were drawn from the results of this study.

- 1. The seismic design process of RC column members based on damage index is proposed. This seismic design method establishes a quantitative relationship between the structural design parameters and seismic parameters, which is convenient to guide the structural design.
- 2. The increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious. The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage to the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow.
- 3. This seismic design method can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.

This design process is limited to RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems with earthquake durations from 0 to 30s.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 50908022), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2022JJ40023, 2021JJ50157), the Scientific Research Project of Education Department of Hunan Province (Grant No. 20C0363, 18C0837).

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Scientific Research Project of Education Department of Hunan Province, Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, (grant number 50908022, 20C0363, 18C0837, 2022JJ40023, 2021JJ50157)

ORCID iD

Yukui Wang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8370-7946

References

- Sun P, Zhai C and Wen W. Experimental investigation on the damage accumulation of reinforced concrete columns under mainshock-aftershock sequences [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2021; 50: 4142–4160.
- Rodriguez D, Perrone D, Filiatrault A, et al. A probabilistic strong floor motion duration model for seismic performance assessment of non-structural building elements [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2021; 50: 4161–4179.
- Ou YC, Song J, Wang PH, et al. Ground motion duration effects on hysteretic behavior of reinforced concrete bridge columns [J]. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 2014; 140: 04013065.
- Han J, Sun X and Zhou Y. Duration effect of spectrally matched ground motion records on collapse resistance capacity evaluation of RC frame structures [J]. *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings* 2017; 26: e1397.
- Marafi NA, Berman JW and Eberhard MO. Ductility dependent intensity measure that accounts for ground motion spectral shape and duration [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2016; 45: 653–672.
- Iervolino I, Manfredi G and Cosenza E. Ground motion duration effects on nonlinear seismic response [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2006; 35: 21–38.
- Diaz SA, Pujades LG, Barbat AH, et al. Energy damage index based on capacity and response spectra [J]. *Eng Struct* 2017; 152: 424–436.
- Sen N and Gupta VK. On estimation of seismic damage from ductility and hysteretic energy demands in equivalent oscillators using linear response [J]. *Eng Struct* 2018; 172: 663–686.
- Cosenza E, Manfredi G and Polese M. Simplified method to include cumulative damage in the seismic response of single-degree-of-freedom systems [J]. *Mechanics* 2009; 135: 1081–1088.
- 10. Akiyama H. Earthquake resistant limit state design for buildings [M]. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1985.
- 11. Park YJ and Ang HS. Mechanistic seismic damage model for reinforced concrete [J]. *Journal* of Structural Engineering 1985; 111: 722–739.
- Park YJ, Ang HS and Wen YK. Seismic damage analysis of reinforced concrete buildings [J]. Journal of Structural Engineering 1985; 111: 740–757.
- 13. Fajfar P. Equivalent ductility factors, taking into account low-cycle fatigue [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 1992; 21: 837–848.
- Chai YH, Fajfar P and Romstad KM. Formulation of duration dependent inelastic seismic design spectrum [J]. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 1998; 124: 913–921.
- Lieping Y, Zhiwei M, Guangyu C, et al. Study on the energy based seismic design method of building structures [J]. *Engineering Mechanics* 2014; 31: 1–12.
- Saman YS and Sahar M. Development of duration dependent damage based inelastic response spectra [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2017; 46: 771–789.

- 17. Liu ZF, Wang YK, Cao ZX, et al. Seismic energy dissipation under variable amplitude loading for rectangular RC members in flexure [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 2018; 47: 831–853.
- Wang YK, Liu ZF, Yang WJ, et al. Damage index of reinforced concrete members based on the energy dissipation capability degradation [J]. *Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings* 2020; 29: e1695.
- 19. Zhiwei M, Qianli M and Lieping Y. Study on energy based seismic design method of reinforced concrete frame structures [J]. *Journal of Building Structures* 2013; 34: 1–10.
- Kunnath SK and Chai YH. Cumulative damage-based inelastic cyclic demand spectrum [J]. Earthq Eng Struct Dyn 2004; 33: 499–520.
- 21. Fajfar P and Vidic T. Consistent inelastic design spectra: hysteretic and input energy [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 1994; 23: 523–537.
- Vidic T, Fajfar P and Fischinger M. Consistent inelastic design spectra:strength and displacement [J]. *Earthq Eng Struct Dyn* 1994; 23: 507–521.
- 23. Code for seismic design of buildings: GB 50011-2010 [S]. Beijing: China Architecture & Building Press, 2016.
- Welch DP, Sullivan TJ and Calvi GM. Developing direct displacement-based procedures for simplified loss assessment in performance-based earthquake engineering [J]. J Earthquake Eng 2014; 18: 290–322.
- 25. Liu ZF, Wang YK, Cao ZX, et al. Study on performance index of RC members considering effect of seismic duration [J]. *Journal of Building Structures* 2018; 39: 168–177.

Author biographies

Yukui Wang received the Ph.D. degree from College of Civil Engineering, Changsha University of Science & Technology, Changsha, China in 2020. He is currently working at College of Civil Engineering, Hunan City University, China. His research interests is seismic energy dissipation capacity of buildings.

Zhefeng Liu received the Ph.D. degree from College of Civil Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, China in 2006. He is currently working at College of Civil Engineering, Changsha University of Technology Changsha, China. His research interests is seismic energy dissipation capacity of buildings.

Dan Zhang received the Ph.D. degree from College of Civil Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China in 2018. She is currently working at College of Civil Engineering, Hunan City University, China. Her research interests is seismic energy dissipation capacity of buildings.

Zhangqi Hu received the Ph.D. degree from College of Civil Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, China in 2018. He is currently working at College of Civil Engineering, Hunan City University, China. His research interests is seismic energy dissipation capacity of buildings.

Dong Yu received the Ph.D. degree from College of Civil Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, China in 2017. He is currently working at College of Civil Engineering, Hunan City University, China. His research interests is seismic energy dissipation capacity of buildings.