Effect of 3-Month Yoga on Oxidative Stress in Type 2 Diabetes With or Without Complications

A controlled clinical trial

Shreelaxmi V. Hegde, phd¹ Prabha Adhikari, md² Shashidhar Kotian, msc³ VEENA J. PINTO, MD² Sydney D'Souza, md² Vivian D'Souza, md⁴

OBJECTIVE—To assess the effect of yoga on anthropometry, blood pressure, glycemic control, and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic patients on standard care in comparison with standard care alone.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The study involved 123 patients stratified according to groups with microvascular complications, macrovascular complications, and peripheral neuropathy and without complications and assigned to receive either standard care or standard care along with additional yoga for 3 months.

RESULTS—In comparison with standard care alone, yoga resulted in significant reduction in BMI, glycemic control, and malondialdehyde and increase in glutathione and vitamin C. There were no differences in waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, blood pressure, vitamin E, or superoxide dismutase in the yoga group at follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS—Yoga can be used as an effective therapy in reducing oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes. Yoga in addition to standard care helps reduce BMI and improve glycemic control in type 2 diabetic patients.

xidative stress has been implicated as the root cause underlying the development of insulin resistance, β -cell dysfunction, diabetes, and its associated clinical conditions such as atherosclerosis, microvascular complications, and neuropathy (1,2). Yoga has been found to be beneficial in reducing oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes (3,4), but there is a lack of controlled trials to demonstrate the same. This report describes the effect of yoga on oxidative stress, glycemic control, blood pressure control, and anthropometry in type 2 diabetic patients with or without complications compared with control subjects on standard care.

Diabetes Care 34:2208-2210, 2011

RESEARCH DESIGN AND

METHODS—This study was conducted at the diabetes clinic of Kasturba Medical College hospital and at four community diabetes clinics offering primary care to diabetic patients in Mangalore, India. A total of 123 type 2 diabetic patients aged between 40 and 75 years, none of whom were alcoholics or smokers, gave written informed consent and were included. Patients with acute macrovascular complications, cancer, pulmonary tuberculosis, and rheumatoid arthritis and those who were unable to perform yoga were excluded. Patients were grouped as 60 for yoga and 63 for control. Stratified sampling was used at the time of allocation to maintain an equal number of patients with uncomplicated diabetes and with microvascular, macrovascular, and peripheral neuropathy in these groups.

Three months' yoga included tadasana, padahastasana, vrikshasana, trikonasana, parshvothanasana, vajrasana, vakrasana, gomukasana, paschimotasana, uttanapadasana, pawanamuktasana, bhujangasana, shalabasana, dhanurasana, viparitakarani, sitkari and bhramari pranayama, anuloma viloma, and shavasana poses. The control group at their baseline visit was given general oral and written information about diet and exercise. Compliance with the intervention was defined as attendance for at least 3 days/week at the yoga center for 3 months. Drug dosages with regard to diabetes and blood pressure were kept constant throughout the study period.

Malondialdehyde (5), glutathione (6), superoxide dismutase (7), vitamin C (8), and vitamin E (9) were measured to assess the oxidative stress and antioxidant status. BMI, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), postprandial plasma glucose (PPPG), and HbA_{1c} were analyzed.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 11.0. Paired *t* test was used to compare the continuous variables from baseline to follow-up. Wilcoxon signed rank test, a nonparametric test, was used to compare the differences in various parameters before and after intervention between the two groups.

RESULTS—Three participants withdrew from yoga intervention during the first month of the study and were not included in the final analysis. Among these, two moved their residence and one reported illness unrelated to the study. Mean \pm SD age was 59.8 \pm 9.9 years for the yoga group and 57.5 \pm 8.9 years in the control group. There were no significant differences in sex, duration of diabetes, or hypertension between the groups at baseline. Average attendance at the yoga classes was 82–88%.

From the ¹Department of Biochemistry, Srinivas Institute of Medical Science and Research Centre, Mangalore, Karnataka, India; the ²Department of Medicine, Kasturba Medical College and Hospital, Manipal University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India; the ³Department of Community Medicine, Kasturba Medical College and Hospital, Manipal University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India; and the ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Kasturba Medical College and Hospital, Manipal University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Corresponding author: Shreelaxmi V. Hegde, shreelaxmi.hegde@gmail.com.

© 2011 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

Received 25 December 2010 and accepted 1 July 2011.

DOI: 10.2337/dc10-2430. Clinical trial reg. no. CTRI/2011/05/001739, ctri.nic.in.

Yoga practitioners achieved significant improvements in BMI, FPG, PPPG, HbA_{1c}, malondialdehyde, glutathione, and vitamin C at 3 months compared with the standard care group (Table 1). In the yoga group, the mean percentage reduction in malondialdehyde was 20% $(-10.8 \pm 1.4 \ \mu \text{mol/L})$ and in HbA_{1c} $1.4\% (-0.1 \pm 0.2\%)$. In the control group, the mean percentage increase in malondialdehyde was 3.2% (1.6 ± 1.6 μ mol/L) and in HbA_{1c} 6.25% (0.5 ± 0.3%). Significant reductions in glutathione and vitamin C were seen in control subjects. No significant changes in waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, blood pressure, vitamin E, or superoxide dismutase were observed in the yoga group compared with control subjects. No adverse events were observed during the intervention period.

CONCLUSIONS—Yoga practitioners achieved a 20% reduction in oxidative stress, which is similar to the findings of Gordon et al. (10): 6 months of yoga in type 2 diabetic subjects showed a 19.9% reduction in oxidative stress. Other lifestyle interventions such as aerobic exercise and resistance training are known to increase stress parameters (11,12). Antioxidants like glutathione and vitamin C improved by 15 and nearly 60% compared with standard care. To the best of our knowledge, to date there are no reports of the effect of yoga on glutathione and vitamin status in type 2 diabetes. In this study, yoga improved the antioxidant levels, thereby reducing the oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic patients.

The effect on glycemic control and BMI was marginal compared with results obtained by other lifestyle interventions such as aerobic exercise and resistance training. In the current study, mean percentage reduction in HbA_{1c} was 1.4% in the yoga group, whereas it increased by 6.25% in the control group. From a clinical perspective, this represents a small change. However, long-term, regular practice of yoga can sustain the improved glycemic control brought about by standard care. Greatest improvements in HbA_{1c} values after yoga in type 2 diabetes have come from studies in which the sample sizes are small, about 10-30 in each group (4,13) and from studies where yoga was delivered along with exercises (14).

Skora-Kondza et al. (15) observed difficulty with adherence of patients to yoga intervention. This was overcome in our study because yoga classes were held

	Yoga group $(n = 60)$			Control group ($n = 63$)	
Baseline	After 3 months	Change at 3 months	Baseline	After 3 months	Change at 3 months	Р
8.1 ± 2.6	7.3 ± 2.3	-0.8 ± 0.3	8.6 ± 3.1	9.0 ± 3.0	0.4 ± 0.1	< 0.001
12.0 ± 4.0	10.9 ± 3.6	-1.1 ± 0.4	12.3 ± 5.1	12.6 ± 4.7	0.3 ± 0.4	< 0.03
8.4 ± 1.3	8.3 ± 1.5	-0.1 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 1.8	0.5 ± 0.3	< 0.001
25.9 ± 3.5	25.4 ± 3.4	-0.5 ± 0.1	25.3 ± 3.9	25.5 ± 4.1	0.3 ± 0.2	< 0.001
92.9 ± 9.5	92.7 ± 9.4	-0.2 ± 0.1	90.5 ± 9.8	90.0 ± 9.1	-0.5 ± 0.7	0.903
0.93 ± 0.08	0.91 ± 0.07	-0.02 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.06	0.93 ± 0.05	0.0 ± 0.01	0.080
137.8 ± 17.9	133.3 ± 13.8	-4.5 ± 4.1	139.6 ± 21.0	138.0 ± 15.4	-1.6 ± 5.6	0.166
82.8 ± 9.3	79.8 ± 7.4	-3.0 ± 1.9	84.1 ± 9.9	83.9 ± 8.5	-0.2 ± 1.4	0.072
53.0 ± 11.3	42.2 ± 9.9	-10.8 ± 1.4	50.7 ± 9.3	52.3 ± 10.9	1.6 ± 1.6	< 0.001
7.5 ± 2.6	8.3 ± 2.5	0.8 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 3.6	6.3 ± 2.4	-0.8 ± 1.2	< 0.001
29.0 ± 27.8	37.0 ± 21.0	8.0 ± 6.8	30.0 ± 29.0	23.8 ± 18.2	-6.2 ± 10.8	< 0.002
58.0 ± 18.6	59.7 ± 23.9	1.7 ± 5.3	59.7 ± 24.2	58.0 ± 23.5	-1.7 ± 0.7	0.238
$5,669.9 \pm 1,410.7$	$5,249.0 \pm 1,247.2$	-420.9 ± 163.5	$5,691.6 \pm 1,641.4$	$5,593.1 \pm 1,768.0$	-98.5 ± 126.6	0.121
	Baseline 8.1 ± 2.6 12.0 ± 4.0 8.4 ± 1.3 25.9 ± 3.5 92.9 ± 9.5 0.93 ± 0.08 137.8 ± 17.9 82.8 ± 9.3 53.0 ± 11.3 7.5 ± 2.6 29.0 ± 27.8 58.0 ± 18.6 $5,669.9 \pm 1,410.7$		Yoga group $(n = 60)$ After 3 months7.3 ± 2.310.9 ± 3.68.3 ± 1.525.4 ± 3.492.7 ± 9.40.91 ± 0.07133.3 ± 13.879.8 ± 7.442.2 ± 9.98.3 ± 2.537.0 ± 21.059.7 ± 23.95,249.0 ± 1,247.2	Yoga group $(n = 60)$ After 3 monthsChange at 3 months 7.3 ± 2.3 -0.8 ± 0.3 10.9 ± 3.6 -1.1 ± 0.4 8.3 ± 1.5 -0.1 ± 0.2 25.4 ± 3.4 -0.5 ± 0.1 92.7 ± 9.4 -0.2 ± 0.01 0.91 ± 0.07 -0.02 ± 0.01 133.3 ± 13.8 -4.5 ± 4.1 79.8 ± 7.4 -3.0 ± 1.9 42.2 ± 9.9 -10.8 ± 1.4 8.3 ± 2.5 0.8 ± 0.1 37.0 ± 21.0 8.0 ± 6.8 59.7 ± 23.9 1.7 ± 5.3 $5,249.0 \pm 1,247.2$ -420.9 ± 163.5	Yoga group $(n = 60)$ BaselineAfter 3 monthsChange at 3 monthsBaseline7.3 \pm 2.3 $-0.8 \pm$ 0.3 $8.6 \pm$ 3.1 $10.9 \pm$ 3.6 $-1.1 \pm$ 0.4 $12.3 \pm$ 5.1 $8.3 \pm$ 1.5 $-0.1 \pm$ 0.2 $8.0 \pm$ 1.5 $25.4 \pm$ 3.4 $-0.5 \pm$ 0.1 $25.3 \pm$ 3.9 $92.7 \pm$ 9.4 $-0.2 \pm$ 0.1 $90.5 \pm$ 9.8 $0.91 \pm$ 0.07 $-0.02 \pm$ 0.01 $0.93 \pm$ 0.06 $133.3 \pm$ 13.8 $-4.5 \pm$ 4.1 $139.6 \pm$ 21.0 $79.8 \pm$ 7.4 $-3.0 \pm$ 1.9 $84.1 \pm$ 9.9 $42.2 \pm$ 9.9 $-10.8 \pm$ 1.4 $50.7 \pm$ 9.3 $8.3 \pm$ 2.5 $0.8 \pm$ 0.1 $7.1 \pm$ 3.6 $37.0 \pm$ 21.0 $8.0 \pm$ 6.8 $30.0 \pm$ 29.0 $5,249.0 \pm$ 1,247.2 $-420.9 \pm$ 163.5 $5,691.6 \pm$ 1,641.4	Yoga group $(n = 60)$ Control group $(n = 63)$ Control group $(n = 63)$ After 3 monthsChange at 3 monthsBaselineAfter 3 months 7.3 ± 2.3 -0.8 ± 0.3 8.6 ± 3.1 9.0 ± 3.0 10.9 ± 3.6 -1.1 ± 0.4 12.3 ± 5.1 9.0 ± 3.0 10.9 ± 3.6 -1.1 ± 0.4 12.3 ± 5.1 12.6 ± 4.7 8.3 ± 1.5 -0.1 ± 0.2 8.0 ± 1.5 8.5 ± 1.8 25.4 ± 3.4 -0.5 ± 0.1 25.3 ± 3.9 25.5 ± 4.1 $9.2.7 \pm 9.4$ -0.2 ± 0.1 90.5 ± 9.8 90.0 ± 9.1 0.91 ± 0.07 -0.02 ± 0.01 0.93 ± 0.06 0.93 ± 0.05 133.3 ± 13.8 -4.5 ± 4.1 139.6 ± 21.0 138.0 ± 15.4 79.8 ± 7.4 -3.0 ± 1.9 84.1 ± 9.9 83.9 ± 8.5 42.2 ± 9.9 -10.8 ± 1.4 50.7 ± 9.3 52.3 ± 10.9 8.3 ± 2.5 0.8 ± 0.1 7.1 ± 3.6 6.3 ± 2.4 37.0 ± 21.0 8.0 ± 6.8 30.0 ± 29.0 23.8 ± 18.2 59.7 ± 23.9 1.7 ± 5.3 59.7 ± 24.2 58.0 ± 23.5 $5,249.0 \pm 1,247.2$ -420.9 ± 163.5 $5,691.6 \pm 1,641.4$ $5,593.1 \pm 1,768.0$

Hegde and Associates

iable 1—Parameters at baseline and after 3 months

Yoga effect on oxidative stress in diabetes

at several community centers in the city, which made it easy for the patients to attend the classes; in addition, culturally, Indian patients would accept yoga better than the Western population.

Our study is limited by the fact that the allocation to the groups was not randomized. Random allocation in community settings is difficult. In this study, social and environmental factors during these training sessions may have a beneficial influence on oxidative stress. The strength of our study was the stratification of sample according to complications. Participants with various complications may have increased oxidative stress; stratification made the two groups identical.

In conclusion, yoga can be used as an effective therapy in reducing oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes. Yoga is also beneficial in improving glycemic parameters and BMI and can be administered as an add-on therapy to standard lifestyle interventions. Yoga was not beneficial in reducing the blood pressure or waist circumference in this short-term study. Further studies are needed to confirm that yoga is beneficial in preventing the progression of diabetes and its complications.

Acknowledgments—This study was funded by a grant from Manipal University (431/013/ 2007).

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

S.V.H. designed the study, acquired and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. P.A. developed the protocol, designed the study, interpreted data, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. S.K. analyzed data. V.J.P. acquired data and contributed to discussion. S.D. and V.D. reviewed and edited the manuscript.

The authors thank Laura Prakash, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, for her contribution to the study. The authors express appreciation to the participants whose cooperation and dedication made this study possible. The authors also acknowledge International Training and Research In Environmental and Occupational Health (ITREOH) training grant from Fogarty Foundation, which was responsible for their training in medical writing.

References

- 1. Ceriello A, Motz E. Is oxidative stress the pathogenic mechanism underlying insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease? The common soil hypothesis revisited. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004;24:816– 823
- 2. Robertson RP, Harmon J, Tran PO, Tanaka Y, Takahashi H. Glucose toxicity in β -cells: type 2 diabetes, good radicals gone bad, and the glutathione connection. Diabetes 2003;52:581–587
- Singh S, Malhotra V, Singh KP, Sharma SB, Madhu SV, Tandon OP. A preliminary report on the role of yoga asanas on oxidative stress in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Indian J Clin Biochem 2001;16: 216–220
- Mahapure HH, Shete SU, Bera TK. Effect of yogic exercise on superoxide dismutase levels in diabetics. Int J Yoga 2008;1:21–26
- 5. Stocks J, Dormandy TL. The autooxidation of human red cell lipids induced by hydrogen peroxide. Br J Haematol 1971;20:95–111
- 6. Beutler E, Duron O, Kelly BM. Improved method for the determination of blood

glutathione. J Lab Clin Med 1963;61:882– 888

- Beauchamp C, Fridovich I. Superoxide dismutase: improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. Anal Biochem 1971;44:276–287
- Tietz NW. Methods of determination of ascorbic acid. In *Text Book of Clinical Biochemistry*. Tietz NW, Ed. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Elsevier Saunders, 1986, p. 960–962
- 9. Bieri JG, Teets L, Belavady B, Andrews EL. Serum vitamin E levels in a normal adult population in Washington, D.C., area. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1964;117:131– 133
- Gordon LA, Morrison EY, McGrowder DA, et al. Effect of exercise therapy on lipid profile and oxidative stress indicators in patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Complement Altern Med 2008;8:21–30
- Ji LL. Antioxidants and oxidative stress in exercise. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1999; 222:283–292
- Laaksonen DE, Atalay M, Niskanen L, Uusitupa M, Hänninen O, Sen CK. Increased resting and exercise-induced oxidative stress in young IDDM men. Diabetes Care 1996;19:569–574
- Monroe R, Power J, Comer A, Nagarathna R, Dan Dona P. Yoga therapy for NIDDM: a controlled trial. Complement Med Res 1992;6:66–68
- 14. Agrawal RP, Aradhana, Hussain S, et al. Influence of yogic treatment on quality of life outcomes, glycemic control and risk factors in diabetes mellitus. Int J Diab Dev Countries 2003;23:130–134
- 15. Skoro-Kondza L, Tai SS, Gadelrab R, Drincevic D, Greenhalgh T. Community based yoga classes for type 2 diabetes: an exploratory randomised controlled trial. BMC Health Serv Res 2009;9:33–40