

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Resuscitation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitation

Letter to the Editor

EUROPEAN RESUSCITATION COUNCIL

Which intravascular access should we use in patients with suspected/confirmed COVID-19?



The current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is challenging the healthcare system around the world. Control of the pandemic is based on isolation, social distancing, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), as well as testing to detect the virus, which results make it possible to isolate COVID-19 positive patients.

SARS-CoV-2 is predominantly transmitted by contact or droplet transmission. Medical personnel are particularly vulnerable to the risk of SARS-2 infection as well as to the potentially devastating sequelae, including severe pneumonia, ARDS, or even death.¹ More than 100 physicians in Italy have died as a result of COVID-19. The very high number of infections and the routes of viral transmission necessitates medical personnel to use personal protective equipment (PPE), in which appropriate use of PPE significantly reduces the risk of viral transmission.

At the forefront of prevention of the spread of COVID-19 to and from health care workers (HCWs) who had contact with patients with suspected/confirmed SARS-CoV-2, is PPE for aerosol-generating procedures. The use of protective equipment, however, may prove difficult in undertaking medical procedures, including limiting the possibilities of diagnostics, careful physical examination of the patient, and carrying out essential medical procedures. Quick administration of treatment in many cases, however, improves the patient's prognosis, as indicated by numerous studies on patient survival; these studies show higher survival rates in patients treated early with adrenaline, especially in the subset of patients with a shockable rhythm.² Peripheral prehospital medications are typically administered via the intravenous (PIV) route. Establishing PIV access may be particularly difficult or impossible in patients with a collapsed vascular bed, as is the case with cardiac arrest or hypovolemic shock.

For our analysis, we reviewed the results of articles, including randomized studies comparing the use of intravenous and intraosseous access with the "rescuer" in full protective gear in the following databases: Pubmed, Embase, WebofScience, Cochrane database. The analysis of randomized trials³⁻⁵ shows that the use of PPE significantly reduces the efficacy of PIV (RR = 1.0; 95% CI, 0.93-1.08; I2=88%; p=0.006) and extends the time to obtain access (MD = 9.37; 95% CI, 0.81-17.93; I2 = 98%; p < 0.001). Instead, intraosseous access may be used with effectiveness of IO at 100% and higher than for PIV - 89.9% (RR = 1.11; 95% CI, 1.03-1.20; I2=88%; p=0.006). The time of vascular access was also statistically different between IO and PIV; in favor of IO (MD = -17.60; 95%CI, -19.44 to -15.76; I2 = 99%; p < 0.001; Fig. 1).Further, the use of intraosseous access in comparison with intravenous cannulas may be associated with a lower risk of stabbing, especially when using double gloves by medical personnel.

To summarize, medical personnel dressed in full protective gear as the preferred method of gaining intravascular access in patients with suspected/confirmed COVID-19 should choose intraosseous access.



Fig. 1 – Meantime to establish intravascular access during full protective gear wearing.

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgements

We would thank to all medical students participated in this trial. Study supported by the ERC Research NET and Polish Society of Disaster Medicine.

REFERENCES

- Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;55 (3):105924.
- Ewy GA, Bobrow BJ, Chikani V, et al. The time dependent association of adrenaline administration and survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2015;96:180–5.
- Castle N, Owen R, Hann M, et al. Impact of chemical, biological, radiation, and nuclear personal protective equipment on the performance of low- and high-dexterity airway and vascular access skills. Resuscitation. 2009;80(11):1290–5.
- Lamhaut L, Dagron C, Apriotesei R, et al. Comparison of intravenous and intraosseous access by pre-hospital medical emergency personnel with and without CBRN protective equipment. Resuscitation. 2010;81 (1):65–8.
- Suyama J, Knutsen CC, Northington WE, et al. IO versus IV access while wearing personal protective equipment in a HazMat scenario. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2007;11(4):467–72.

^aDepartment of Emergency Medical Service, Wroclaw Medical University, Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Wroclaw, Poland

Lukasz Szarpak^{b,*} ^bLazarski University, Warsaw, Poland, Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland

Krzysztof J. Filipiak^c ^cFirst Chair and Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Milosz Jaguszewski^d ^dFirst Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

Jerzy R. Ladny^e

^eClinic of Emergency Medicine, Medical University of Bialystok, Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Bialystok, Poland

* Corresponding author: Lazarski University, Faculty of Medicine, 43 Swieradowska Str., 02-662 Warsaw, Poland. E-mail address: Lukasz.szarpak@lazarski.pl (L. Szarpak).

> http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.014 © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.