Original Paper

Medical Principles and Practice

Med Princ Pract 2013;22:250–254 DOI: 10.1159/000345641 Received: June 4, 2012 Accepted: November 5, 2012 Published online: December 18, 2012

Changes in the Cell Surface Hydrophobicity of Oral *Candida albicans* from Smokers, Diabetics, Asthmatics, and Healthy Individuals following Limited Exposure to Chlorhexidine Gluconate

Arjuna N.B. Ellepola^a Bobby K. Joseph^b Z.U. Khan^c

Departments of ^aBioclinical Sciences and ^bDiagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, and ^cDepartment of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Health Sciences Center, Kuwait University, Jabriya, Kuwait

Key Words

Candida albicans · Cell surface hydrophobicity · Chlorhexidine gluconate

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the cell surface hydrophobicity of 40 oral Candida albicans isolates obtained from smokers, diabetics, asthmatics using steroid inhalers, and healthy individuals, following brief exposure to subtherapeutic concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate. Materials and Methods: Forty C. albicans oral isolates (10 isolates each from smokers, diabetics, asthmatics using steroid inhalers, and healthy individuals) were exposed to 3 subtherapeutic concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate (0.00125, 0.0025, and 0.005%) for 30 min. Thereafter, the antiseptic was removed and the cell surface hydrophobicity was measured by a biphasic aqueous-hydrocarbon assay. Results: Compared to the unexposed controls, the cell surface hydrophobicity of C. albicans isolates was suppressed by 5.40% (p>0.05), 21.17% (p<0.05), and 44.67% (p < 0.05) following exposure to 0.00125, 0.0025, and 0.005% chlorhexidine gluconate, respectively. Conclusions: A brief period of transient exposure to subtherapeutic concentra-

KARGER

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/mpp © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel 1011–7571/13/0223–0250\$38.00/0



This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-No-Derivs 3.0 License (www.karger.com/OA-license), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution for non-commercial purposes only. tions of chlorhexidine gluconate may modulate the cell surface hydrophobicity of *C. albicans* isolates and thereby may reduce candidal pathogenicity.

Copyright © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Candida albicans is renowned as the foremost fungal pathogen implicated in oral candidosis and is considered the most common human fungal infection implicated in a variety of clinical manifestations [1]. Interestingly, more than 90% of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected individuals develop oral candidosis at some point in their disease, which is by far the commonest oral manifestation in these patients [2]. Further, *Candida* infections have been implicated in persistent apical periodontitis [3], and *Candida*-like organisms have been demonstrated in root canals and dentinal tubules in situ [4].

Adhesion of microorganisms to host mucosal surfaces is a fundamental prerequisite for successful microbial colonization and infection. The process of candidal adhesion is rather complex and involves both biological and nonbiological factors. Microbial cell surface hydropho-

Dr. Arjuna Ellepola, BDS, PhD, FIBiol Department of Bioclinical Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Health Sciences Center Kuwait University, PO Box 24923 Safat 13110 (Kuwait) E-Mail arjuna@hsc.edu.kw bicity (CSH), which contributes to hydrophobic interactions between cells and surfaces, is thought to be an important nonbiological factor associated with the adherence of *Canida* to inert surfaces [5]. Studies have also shown that hydrophobic yeasts are more virulent than their hydrophilic counterparts [6, 7]. Significant correlations between CSH and candidal adhesion to buccal epithelial cells and denture acrylic surfaces has also been reported previously [8, 9].

Chlorhexidine gluconate (CG) in a concentration of 0.2% is widely prescribed as an antiseptic mouthwash in routine dental practice due to its broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity which includes Candida species [10]. The antifungal effect of CG has been demonstrated in several in vivo and in vitro trials, including some related to Candida infection [11]. It has also been demonstrated that exposure of either Candida isolates or buccal epithelial cells to 0.2% CG overwhelmingly suppresses the ability of the former to adhere to buccal epithelial cells in healthy [12] as well as in compromised patients, such as diabetics [13]. Likewise, pretreatment of acrylic dentures with 2% CG has also been shown to suppress the adhesion of the yeasts to denture acrylic surfaces [14]. In addition, a recent study showed that subtherapeutic levels of CG are also effective in suppressing germ tube formation of oral C. albicans isolates obtained from healthy individuals as well as diabetics, asthmatics using steroid inhalers, and smokers [15]. For these reasons, oral rinses containing CG may be an appropriate substitute for conventional antimycotics in the management of oral candidosis [16].

It has been shown that 30% of the total CG dose may be retained in the mouth for 24 h after a 1-min rinse but is removed from the oral cavity during the first hour due to the diluent effect of saliva and the cleansing effect of the oral musculature, thus affecting its therapeutic efficacy [17]. Hence, intraorally, the pathogenic yeasts undergo a brief exposure to high concentrations of CG following an oral rinse during therapy, while thereafter the drug concentration is likely to be subtherapeutic. However, the conduct of yeasts under the latter conditions has not been adequately studied. For instance, there has only been one study [18] which has quantitatively compared the CSH of oral C. albicans isolates following brief exposure to CG. In addition to HIV infection, C. albicans has also been implicated in oral candidosis in other patients such as diabetics, asthmatics using inhalation steroids, and smokers [19-21]. However, the CSH of oral C. albicans isolates obtained from these patients following brief exposure to subtherapeutic concentrations of CG has not

been studied heretofore. Hence, the main aim of this study was to investigate the CSH of 40 oral *C. albicans* isolates obtained from diabetics, asthmatics using inhalation steroids, smokers, and healthy individuals after their brief (30 min) exposure to 3 subtherapeutic concentrations of CG.

Materials and Methods

Organisms

A total of 40 oral isolates of C. albicans recovered from oral rinse samples from patients attending the Kuwait University Dental Clinic (KUDC) for dental treatment were included in the study (10 isolates each were from smokers, diabetics, asthmatics using steroid inhalation, and healthy individuals). These isolates were from a total of 370 patients screened at the KUDC in a previous prevalence study [22]. Though non-albicans species of Candida were also isolated in the previous study [22], only C. albicans isolates were used for the current study. The diabetic patients were on oral hypoglycemic drugs, and the asthmatic patients were on steroid inhalation therapy but were otherwise healthy at the time of attending the KUDC. The patients who smoked more than 25 cigarettes per day were considered as smokers. None of the patients from which the isolates were recovered had oral candidosis. Initially, all of the yeast isolates were tested for germ tube formation. Thereafter, the colony characteristics were observed using CHROMagar Candida medium (Becton Dickinson and Company, Sparks, Md., USA) and identified using the VITEK 2 yeast ID system (BioMérieux, France) as well as the API 20C AUX yeast ID system (BioMérieux, Inc., Hazelwood, Mo., USA).

Antifungal Agents and Media

The CG 0.2% (Corsodyl; GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, UK) was dissolved in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.2 and was prepared as 0.00125, 0.0025, and 0.005% solutions immediately prior to each experiment as previously described [16, 18].

Preparation of the Cell Suspension for the Hydrophobicity Assay

A previously described method was used for this purpose [16, 18]. Briefly, yeast cells maintained on Sabouraud's dextrose agar were inoculated onto fresh plates and incubated overnight at 37°C for 24 h prior to use. The organisms were harvested and a cell suspension prepared in sterile PBS at 520 nm to an optical density of 1.5. From this cell suspension, 0.5 ml was added to tubes containing 2 ml of PBS (control) and 2 ml of PBS/CG (test). This gave a cell suspension of 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ in each assay tube. The tubes were then incubated at 37°C for a period of 30 min. Following this limited exposure, the drugs were removed by 2 cycles of dilution with sterile PBS and centrifugation for 10 min at 3,000 g. Afterwards, the supernatant was completely decanted and the pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of sterile PBS. This procedure, as previously used for drug removal [16, 18], has been shown to reduce the concentration of the drug by as much as 10,000-fold, thereby minimizing any carryover effect of the drug following its removal. Viable counts of the control and the test were performed after drug

 Table 1. Mean (±SEM) CSH of 40 oral C. albicans isolates

| Source of <i>C. albicans</i> isolates (n = 40) | Unexposed controls | Chlorhexidine, % | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | 0.00125 | 0.0025 | 0.005 |
| Healthy $(n = 10)$ | 21.62 ± 1.24 | 20.32 ± 0.92 | 16.34 ± 0.78 | 11.43 ± 0.84 |
| Diabetic $(n = 10)$ | 23.73 ± 1.08 | 22.92 ± 1.04 | 18.65 ± 1.02 | 12.68 ± 0.76 |
| Asthmatic $(n = 10)$ | 21.46 ± 0.92 | 19.82 ± 0.62 | 16.93 ± 0.88 | 12.17 ± 1.22 |
| Smokers $(n = 10)$ | 24.22 ± 0.87 | 23.12 ± 0.64 | 19.92 ± 1.05 | 14.12 ± 0.83 |
| Mean ± SEM p value | 22.76 ± 0.71 | 21.55±0.86 >0.05 | 17.96±0.82 <0.005 | 12.60 ± 0.57 <0.005 |

removal. As the procedure of drug removal effectively eliminated any carryover effect, there was virtually no difference in the viable counts of the control and the tests following exposure to already diluted subtherapeutic concentrations of the drug as observed in previous studies [16, 18].

Hydrophobicity Assay

A biphasic aqueous-hydrocarbon assay previously used for the assessment CSH on oral Candida species [8, 9, 18, 23] was used in the current study. In brief, 5 ml of yeast suspension was vortex mixed and the absorbance was measured at 520 nm. For each organism tested, 2.5-ml volumes of suspension were added to 2 sterile glass test tubes, representing 1 test and 1 control. In addition, a test and a control were prepared from the suspending medium alone as spectrophotometer blanks. Xylene (0.5 ml) was added to each test suspension. The test and the controls were placed in an incubator at 37°C for 10 min to equilibrate, and then taken in turn and vortex mixed for 30 s and returned to the incubator for a further 30 min to allow the immiscible xylene and aqueous phases to separate. The lower aqueous phase of the sample was carefully removed using a pipette and transferred to a clean test tube. Any traces of contaminating xylene that may have been carried over in the pipette or bound to the yeast was removed by bubbling air through the suspension at a rate of 180 ml per min, for 2 min. The absorbance was measured as before at 520 nm following vortex mixing for 5 s to disrupt and resuspend any aggregates that may have formed. The hydrophobicity was expressed as the percentage reduction in optical density of the test suspension compared with the control. Thus, the greater the change in absorbance, the greater the shift in yeasts from the bulk medium to the interface, i.e. the more hydrophobic the yeast strain. Suspensions without xylene were used as the negative controls.

All experiments were repeated on 3 separate occasions with duplicate determinations on each occasion.

Statistical Analysis

The effect of CG on each isolate was statistically analyzed. The data obtained from all 3 CSH assays in duplicate were analyzed using ANOVA Dunnett's t tests, which treated one group as the control (unexposed to CG) and compared all other groups (exposed to CG) to it. The difference between the suppressive effect on CSH elicited by the 3 different concentrations (0.00125 vs. 0.0025 vs. 0.005%) was also compared by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Table 2. Summary of the mean percentage suppression of CSH in40 oral C. albicans isolates

| Source of <i>C. albicans</i> | Chlorhexidine, % | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| isolates $(n = 40)$ | 0.00125 | 0.0025 | 0.005 | |
| Healthy subjects | | | | |
| (n = 10) | 6.01 | 24.42 | 47.13 | |
| Diabetics $(n = 10)$ | 3.41 | 21.41 | 46.57 | |
| Asthmatics $(n = 10)$ | 7.64 | 21.11 | 43.29 | |
| Smokers $(n = 10)$ | 4.54 | 17.75 | 41.70 | |
| Mean ± SEM | 5.40 ± 0.92 | 21.17 ± 1.36 | 44.67 ± 1.30 | |

Results

The mean CSH of the 40 C. albicans isolates following limited exposure to 3 different subtherapeutic concentrations of CG, drug removal, and subsequent biphasic aqueous-hydrocarbon assay is shown in table 1. The mean CSH of the unexposed isolates was 22.76 \pm 0.71, whereas this value following exposure to 0.00125, 0.0025, and 0.005% CG was 21.55, 17.96, and 12.6, respectively. The mean percentage reduction in CSH of these isolates compared to the unexposed controls is shown in table 2. Compared to the controls, a marked reduction in the CSH of all of the isolates was seen following exposure to 0.005% CG, with a mean percentage reduction of 44.67% (p < 0.005). The overall suppressive effect on CSH following exposure to 0.0025% dilution of the antiseptic was also significant in comparison to that of the unexposed controls, although it was considerably lower than for the higher concentration (21.17 vs. 44.67%). Overall, though there was a reduction in the CSH of all the yeasts exposed to 0.00125% of CG (5.4%), the suppressive effect was statistically not significant on the isolates tested.

Analysis of the suppressive effect on CSH elicited by the 3 different concentrations revealed a statistically significant difference in the mean percentage reduction for each concentration tested.

Discussion

The present results indicate that, limited exposure of isolates of C. albicans from 0.0025 to 0.005% and CG could suppress the CSH of these isolates to a significant level, with a mean percentage reduction of 21.17 and 44.67% (p < 0.005), respectively, which confirmed our previous results [18]. However, exposure to 0.00125% CG did not generate a significant mean percentage reduction of CSH, which was only 5.4% (p > 0.05). Interestingly, in a recent study [24] with C. dubliniensis isolates, it was shown than 0.00125, 0.0025, and 0.005% CG were capable of reducing the CSH of these isolates by 7.01% (p > 0.05), 21.82% (p < 0.005), and 44.49% (p < 0.005), respectively, which is almost similar to the suppressive effect elicited in the current study. Though the number of C. dubliniensis isolates used in this study [24] was much smaller (n = 12) compared to the current study (n = 40), the similarity is not surprising as these two Candida species are closely related in evolutionary terms, sharing each other's phenotypic properties of commensalism and opportunistic infection.

The relative CSH of Candida is considered a nonbiological factor of critical importance relating to candidal adhesion as reported by Hazen and Hazen [25] that hydrophobic yeasts are more virulent than their hydrophilic counterparts. Others have shown that the reduction in CSH following limited exposure to antibiotics promoted increased ingestion of bacteria by polymorphonuclear leukocytes, thus increasing the susceptibility of bacteria to the killing effect of polymorphonuclear leukocytes [26, 27]. Hydrophobic cells also exhibited greater adherence to epithelial cells and extracellular matrix proteins and decreased susceptibility to phagocytic killing [28]. In addition, the superior virulence of hydrophobic cells over hydrophilic cells may be due, in part, to the potential of hydrophobic cells to bind throughout various organs following clearance from the bloodstream [28]. Microbial structures that contribute to the CSH include outer membrane protein, lipoprotein, phospholipid, lipopolysaccharide, and fimbriae [27]. Thus, drugs that alter these structural features have been shown to reduce the CSH of bacteria. In the case of C. albicans it has been shown that CSH correlates with

the concentration of fibrils in the exterior layer of the cell wall [28]. Hence, the aforementioned suppression of CSH elicited by CG on phenotypically similar *Candida* species (i.e. *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis*) may be related to the pharmacodynamics of the antiseptic on the cell wall of *Candida*. Scanning and transmission electron micrographic studies have demonstrated that the antifungal effect of this antiseptic is most likely the result of a loss of cytoplasmic components and coagulation of nucleo-proteins and associated morphological changes in the cell wall structure [29]. Therefore, it is rational to surmise that by affecting the cell wall structure CG may be capable of subduing the CSH of *Candida* species.

Analysis of the effect among the 3 different concentrations of CG (0.00125 vs. 0.0025 vs. 0.005%) revealed that there was a significant difference between all 3 concentrations tested on the suppressive effect elicited on the CSH of the *Candida* species tested. In the oral cavity the diluent effect of saliva and the cleansing effect of the oral musculature results in changeability of drug concentrations resulting in alterations in the initial therapeutic concentration, usually making it subtherapeutic. Hence, it can be speculated that the aforementioned significant concentration-dependent difference in suppressing the CSH of oral *Candida* species may have some implication in designing appropriate dosage regimens of topical antiseptic agents used in the oral cavity.

Oral candidosis has been implicated in populations of diabetics, asthmatics using inhalation steroids, and smokers [19-21]. Therefore, the information provided herein is noteworthy as it contributes to broadening the understanding of the pharmacodynamics of CG against a vital attribute (i.e. CSH) incriminated in the pathogenesis of C. albicans, which frequently colonizes in the compromised patients (diabetics, asthmatics using steroid inhalers, and smokers). As isolates from all of these patient groups were used in the study, this information may further promote the use of CG as an adjunct in the management of oral candidosis. In addition, antimicrobial resistance has become increasingly important in antifungal therapy. Resistance to nearly all antifungal agents has been reported in clinical isolates of Candida [30]. Development of such resistance may have important implications for antifungal therapy and indicate the need for possible alternative therapies, which may facilitate the management of oral candidiasis. In this context, this study clearly revealed that exposure to CG reduced candidal CSH to varying degrees, which appear to be an unrecognized yet salutary feature potentiating action of this antiseptic, and therefore the information provided lends further credence to the use of CG as an adjunct in the management of oral candidiasis.

Conclusions

Brief and transient exposure to subtherapeutic concentrations of CG may modulate the CSH of *C. albicans* isolates, thereby quelling its pathogenicity, and exemplifies additional pharmacodynamics of CG. Further studies on the impact of CG on other pathogenic attributes related to *Candida* adhesion with a larger battery of organisms are warranted.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Kuwait University research grant No. DB 01/11 and General Facility Project GD 01/11. Technical support from Ms. Rachel Chandy, Health Sciences Center, Kuwait University, and Ms. Leeba Philip, Ministry of Health, Kuwait, is thankfully acknowledged.

References

- 1 Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: Antimycotic agents in oral candidosis: an overview. 1. Clinical variants. Dent Update 2000;27:111– 112, 114–116.
- 2 Arendorf TM, Bredekamp B, Cloete CA, Sauer G: Oral manifestations of HIV infection in 600 South African patients. J Oral Pathol Med 1998;27:176–179.
- 3 Waltimo TM, Sirén EK, Torkko HL, Olsen I, Haapasalo MP: Fungi in therapy-resistant apical periodontitis. Int Endod J 1997;30:96– 101.
- 4 Sen BH, Safavi KE, Spångberg LS: Colonization of *Candida albicans* on cleaned human dental hard tissues. Arch Oral Biol 1997;42: 513–520.
- 5 Klotz SA, Drutz DJ, Zajic JE: Factors governing adherence of *Candida* species to plastic surfaces. Infect Immun 1985;50:97–101.
- 6 Hazen KC, Plotkin BJ, Klimas DM: Influence of growth conditions on cell surface hydrophobicity of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata*. Infect Immun 1986;54:269–271.
- 7 Hazen KC, Hazen BW: Surface hydrophobic and hydrophilic protein alterations in *Candida albicans*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1993; 107:83–87.
- 8 Panagoda GJ, Samaranayake LP: The relationship between the cell length, adhesion to acrylic and relative cell surface hydrophobicity of *Candida parapsilosis*. Med Mycol 1998; 36:373–378.
- 9 Panagoda GJ, Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: Adhesion to denture acrylic surfaces and relative cell-surface hydrophobicity of *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida albicans*. APMIS 1998;106:736–742.
- 10 MacNeill S, Rindler E, Walker A, Brown AR, Cobb CM: Effects of tetracycline hydrochloride and chlorhexidine gluconate on *Candida albicans*: an in vitro study. J Clin Periodontol 1997;24:753–760.
- 11 Budtz-Jorgensen E: Candida-associated denture stomatitis and angular chelitis; in Sama-

ranayake LP, MacFarlane TW (eds): Oral Candidosis. London, Wright-Butterworth, 1990, pp 156–183.

- 12 Tobgi RS, Samaranayake LP, Macfarlane TW: Adhesion of *Candida albicans* to buccal epithelial cells exposed to chlorhexidine gluconate. J Med Vet Mycol 1987;25:335–338.
- 13 Darwazeh AM, Lamey PJ, MacFarlane TW, McCuish AC: The effect of exposure to chlorhexidine gluconate in vitro and in vivo on in vitro adhesion of *Candida albicans* to buccal epithelial cells from diabetic and nondiabetic subjects. J Oral Pathol Med 1994;23: 130–132.
- 14 McCourtie J, MacFarlane TW, Samaranayake LP: A comparison of the effects of chlorhexidine gluconate, amphotericin B and nystatin on the adherence of *Candida* species to denture acrylic. J Antimicrob Chemother 1986;17:575–583.
- 15 Ellepola AN, Joseph BJ, Khan ZU: Effects of subtherapeutic concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate on germ tube formation of oral *Candida*. Med Princ Pract 2012;21:120– 124.
- 16 Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: Adjunctive use of chlorhexidine in oral candidoses: a review. Oral Dis 2001;7:11–17.
- 17 Bonesvoll P, Lökken P, Rölla G, Paus PN: Retention of chlorhexidine in the human oral cavity after mouth rinses. Arch Oral Biol 1974;19:209–212.
- 18 Anil S, Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: The impact of chlorhexidine gluconate on the relative cell surface hydrophobicity of oral *Candida albicans*. Oral Dis 2001;7:119–122.
- 19 Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: Inhalation and topical steroids, and oral candidosis: a mini review. Oral Dis 2001;7:211–216.
- 20 Soysa NS, Ellepola AN: The impact of cigarette/tobacco smoking on oral candidosis: an overview. Oral Dis 2005;11:268–273.
- 21 Soysa NS, Samaranayake LP, Ellepola AN: Diabetes mellitus as a contributory factor in oral candidosis. Diab Med 2005;23:455–459.

- 22 Ellepola AN, Khan ZU, Joseph BK, Chandy R, Philip L: Prevalence of *Candida dubliniensis* among oral *Candida* isolates in patients attending the Kuwait University Dental Clinic. Med Princ Pract 2011;20:271–276.
- 23 Anil S, Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP: The impact of polyene, azole, and DNA analogue antimycotics on the cell surface hydrophobicity of *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* in HIV infection. Mycopathologia 2002;153:179–185.
- 24 Ellepola AN, Joseph BK, Khan ZU: Cell surface hydrophobicity of oral *Candida dubliniensis* isolates following limited exposure to sub-therapeutic concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate. Mycoses 2012, E-pub ahead of print.
- 25 Hazen BW, Hazen KC: Dynamic expression of cell surface hydrophobicity during initial yeast cell growth and before germ tube formation of *Candida albicans*. Infect Immun 1988;56:2521–2525.
- 26 Shibl AM, Ramadan MA, Tawfik AK: Postantibiotic effect of roxithromycin on streptolysin O production, hydrophobicity, and bactericidal activity of PMNL by *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1994;20:7–11.
- 27 Hazen KC, Brawner DL, Riesselman MH, Jutila MA, Cutler JE: Differential adherence of hydrophobic and hydrophilic *Candida albicans* yeast cells to mouse tissues. Infect Immun 1991;59:907–912.
- 28 Hazen KC, Hazen BW: Hydrophobic surface protein masking by the opportunistic fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. Infect Immun 1992;60:1499–1508.
- 29 Bobichon H, Bouchet P: Action of chlorhexidine on budding *Candida albicans*: scanning and transmission electron microscopic study. Mycopathologia 1987;100:27–35.
- 30 Huang M, Kao KC: Population dynamics and the evolution of antifungal drug resistance in *Candida albicans*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2012;333:85–93.