

Iron-catalyzed decarboxylative alkenylation of cycloalkanes with arylvinyl carboxylic acids via a radical process

Jiancan Zhao¹, Hong Fang¹, Jianlin Han^{*1,2} and Yi Pan^{*1}

Full Research Paper	Open Access
Address:	Beilstein J. Ora. Chem. 2013. 9. 1718–1723.
¹ School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering. State of Key	doi:10.3762/bioc.9.197
Laboratory of Coordination, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093,	······
China and ² Institute for Chemistry & BioMedical Sciences, Nanjing	Received: 01 July 2013
University, Nanjing, 210093, China	Accepted: 02 August 2013
	Published: 21 August 2013
Email:	
Jianlin Han [*] - hanjl@nju.edu.cn; Yi Pan [*] - yipan@nju.edu.cn	This article is part of the Thematic Series "Organic free radical chemistry".
* Corresponding author	Guest Editor: C. Stephenson
Keywords:	© 2013 Zhao et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut.
alkenylation; cycloalkanes; decarboxylative; Fe(acac) ₃ ; free radical;	License and terms: see end of document.
sp ³ C–H bonds	

Abstract

A Fe(acac)₃-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of 2-(aryl)vinyl carboxylic acids with cycloalkanes was developed by using DTBP as an oxidant through a radical process. This reaction tolerates a wide range of substrates, and products are obtained in good to excellent yields (71–95%). The reaction also shows excellent stereoselectivity, and only *trans*-isomers are obtained.

Introduction

Direct C–H functionalization has become one of the most useful and attractive tools in organic chemistry because it can construct carbon–carbon or carbon–heteroatom bonds in a highly atom economical manner [1-8]. Among all these C–H functionalization methods, the direct C(sp³)–H functionalization attracts particular attention due to its low reactivity and challenging activation [9-11]. In previous studies, numerous transition-metal-catalyzed processes, such as Pd [12-18], Cu [19-23], Ru [24-27], Rh [28-31], Co [32-34], Au [35,36], Ir [37-39], Fe [40-43] and other metals [44-47], have been developed for sp³ C–H activation reactions. Additionally, metal-free methodologies, which use TBHP, PhI(OAc)₂, TBAI, I₂ or Lewis/Brønsted acids, have also been employed for cross-dehydrogenative coupling reactions [48-57].

Owing to the general low reactivity of cycloalkane $C(sp^3)$ –H bonds, the direct alkenylation of cycloalkanes with high selectivity and stereospecificity remains a great challenge and attracted a lot of attention in the past years. In 1996, the Fuchs group described the alkenylation of cyclohexane by a radical reaction with vinyl triflone [58]. In 2003, the Yao group reported that styryl cycloalkanes were prepared based on a radical substitution of cyclohydrocarbon units to (*E*)- β -nitrostyrenes by using the radical initiator benzoyl peroxide

[59]. Recently, the Liu group developed a copper-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of vinylic carboxylic acids with simple alcohols and ethers in high yields. Cycloalkanes were also investigated in this catalytic system, though only moderate yields were obtained [60].

Several other groups have also found that Pd, Ag or Cu could catalyze the decarboxylative coupling of various aromatic, alkenyl, and alkynyl carboxylic acids [61-68]. Our group was surprised to find that low-cost Fe(acac)₃ could catalyze the direct alkenylation of cyclohexane sp³ C–H bonds by decarboxylative couplings with high efficiency.

Results and Discussion

We initiated our investigation by reacting cinnamic acid (1a, 0.3 mmol) with cyclohexane (2a, 2 mL) in the presence of iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (20 mol %) and 2.0 equiv of di-*tert*-butyl peroxide (DTBP) as the oxidant at 120 °C under nitrogen, which provided the expected (E)-(2-cyclohexylvinyl)benzene (3a), but in a moderate 54% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The use of aqueous TBHP as oxidant instead of DTBP reduced the yield to only 38% (Table 1, entry 2). With the help of 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %) as the ligand, the

yield could be slightly improved to 68% (Table 1, entry 3). Iron(III) acetylacetonate provided a superior yield (91%) compared to the other Fe salts such as FeCl₃, ferrocene, Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ tested (Table 1, entries 4–8). Application of other oxidants such as K₂S₂O₈, H₂O₂ (30% aqueous solution) or TBPB did not afford any improvements (Table 1, entries 9–11). A decreased loading of Fe(acac)₃ to 10 mol % or an increased amount of DTBP to 5.0 equiv and a lower temperature (110 °C), decreased the yield to 63%, 69% and 79%, respectively (Table 1, entries 12–14). The reaction did not proceed without the iron catalyst or DTBP (Table 1, entries 15 and 16).

We then examined the substrate scope and limitation of the procedure by reacting cyclohexane with a variety of substituted cinnamic acid derivatives under the optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 8). As shown in Scheme 1, almost all of the tested substrates worked well in this reaction. Several substituents on the aromatic ring were tolerated and the position of these substituents showed almost no effect on the chemical yield. We also observed that electron-donating substituents, such as methyl or methoxy groups at any position of the ring, efficiently took part in the reaction with a slightly decreased yield in case of ortho-substituted products (Scheme 1, **3b–g**).

Table 1: Optimization of typical reaction conditions. ^a				
$\begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\$				
Entry	cat. (mol %)	oxidant	yield (%) ^b	
1	FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O (20)	DTBP	54	
2	FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O (20)	TBHP	38	
3	FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O (20)	DTBP	68 ^c	
4	FeCl ₃ (20)	DTBP	Trace	
5	Ferrocene (20)	DTBP	74	
6	Fe ₂ O ₃ (20)	DTBP	78	
7	Fe ₃ O ₄ (20)	DTBP	80	
8	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	DTBP	91	
9	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	K ₂ S ₂ O ₈	N.D.	
10	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	$H_2O_2^d$	21	
11	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	ТВРВ	49	
12	Fe(acac) ₃ (10)	DTBP ^e	63	
13 ^f	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	DTBP	69	
14	Fe(acac) ₃ (20) ^g	DTBP	79	
15	Fe(acac) ₃ (20)	_	N.D.	
16	-	DTBP	N.D.	

^aCatalytic conditions: cinnamic acid (0.3 mmol), cyclohexane (2 mL), iron catalyst (20 mol %), oxidant (2.0 equiv), 120 °C, 24 h, N₂. ^bIsolated yields based on cinnamic acid. ^cUsing 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %) as the ligand. ^d30% aqueous solution. ^e5 equiv. ^f12 h. ^g110 °C.

Furthermore, a reaction of 2,6-disubstituted cinnamic acid **1m** lead to the expected product **3m**, which was obtained in a lower yield due to steric hindrance. In addition, heteroaryl-substituted acrylic acids can also be efficiently converted under these conditions. This was shown by the reaction of 3-(thiophen-2-yl)acrylic acid (**1p**) with cyclohexane furnishing the product **3p** in 89% yield. In general, the stereoselectivity of this reaction was excellent and only *trans*-isomers were obtained in all cases.

Next, other cycloalkanes, including cyclopentane, cycloheptane and cyclooctane, were reacted with different cinnamic acids 1, giving products **4a–f** in 83–95% chemical yield (Scheme 2). As already shown for cyclohexane as a substrate, the reaction of other cycloalkanes performs equally well with a variety of cinammic acid derivatives under these conditions. It is noteworthy, that the decarboxylative cross-coupling with cyclooctane showed a higher efficiency than with smaller cycloalkanes. Finally two control experiments were carried out to shed light on the reaction mechanism. Addition of the radical scavenger 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine N-oxide (TEMPO) or azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) completely inhibited the reaction, and almost no desired product was obtained. Based on these results and literature reports [69,70], a plausible mechanism for the radical oxidative coupling is illustrated in Scheme 3. At the beginning, Fe-catalyzed cleavage of DTBP by Fe(III) in the presence of cinnamic acid, gives tert-butoxy radical A, intermediate **B** and one acac. Next, a cyclohexane radical **C** is generated by the reaction between tert-butoxy radical A and cyclohexane. Subsequently, addition of cyclohexane radical C to the α -position of the double bond in **B** gives intermediate **D**. Finally, the radical intermediate **D** is oxidatively decarboxylated by Fe(III) to give product 3, Fe(II) and carbon dioxide. The Fe(III) catalyst is then reformed via DTBP oxidation [71].



Scheme 1: Fe(acac)₃-catalyzed alkenylation of cyclohexane. Catalytic conditions: cinnamic acid (1) (0.3 mmol), cyclohexane (2 mL), Fe(acac)₃ (20 mol %), DTBP (2.0 equiv), 120 °C, 24 h, N₂. Yields are isolated yields based on cinnamic acid.



Scheme 2: Fe(acac)₃-catalyzed alkenylation of cyclopentan, cycloheptane and cyclooctane. Catalytic conditions: cinnamic acid (1) (0.3 mmol), cycloalkanes (2.0 mL), Fe(acac)₃ (20 mol %), DTBP (2 equiv), 120 °C, 24 h, N₂. Yields are isolated yields based on cinnamic acid.



Conclusion

In conclusion, an efficient procedure for the $Fe(acac)_3$ -catalyzed direct alkenylation of sp^3 C–H bonds of cycloalkanes with DTBP as an oxidant has been reported. This method provides a

useful strategy for the stereospecific synthesis of substituted *E*-alkenes. Various cinnamic acids and cycloalkanes are well-tolerated in this catalytic system with good to excellent chemical yields. The mechanism of this reaction has also been

studied, and a radical mechanism was proposed. Further studies on the alkenylation of other sp³ C–H substrates are currently investigated in our laboratory.

Experimental

General procedure for the iron-catalyzed decarboxylative alkenylation of cycloalkanes: To a Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added Fe(acac)₃ (21.2 mg, 0.06 mmol) and cinnamic acid (0.3 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Cycloalkane (2.0 mL, 15–25 mmol) and DTBP (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide, 0.6 mmol, 113 μ L) were added under a nitrogen atmosphere and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature and removal of volatiles, the products were isolated by flash column chromatography (PE).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1 Experimental details and spectral data. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-9-197-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21102071) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 1107020522 and No. 1082020502). The Jiangsu 333 program (for Pan) and Changzhou Jin-Feng-Huang program (for Han) are also acknowledged.

References

- Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094–5115. doi:10.1002/anie.200806273
- Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074–1086. doi:10.1021/ar9000058
- 3. Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335-344. doi:10.1021/ar800164n
- 4. Coperet, C. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 656-680. doi:10.1021/cr900122p
- Werner, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4714–4728. doi:10.1002/anie.201000306
- Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293–1314. doi:10.1021/cr100198w
- Campbell, A. N.; Stahl, S. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 851–863. doi:10.1021/ar2002045
- Kozhushkov, S. I.; Ackermann, L. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 886–896. doi:10.1039/c2sc21524a
- Chatani, N.; Asaumi, T.; Yorimitsu, S.; Ikeda, T.; Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10935–10941. doi:10.1021/ja011540e
- 10. Jiang, X. F.; Shen, M.; Tang, Y.; Li, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 487–489. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2004.11.113
- Song, C.-X.; Cai, G.-X.; Farrell, T. R.; Jiang, Z.-P.; Li, H.; Gan, L.-B.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6002–6004. doi:10.1039/b911031c

- Guin, S.; Rout, S. K.; Banerjee, A.; Nandi, S.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5294–5297. doi:10.1021/ol302438z
- 13. Rousseaux, S.; Liégault, B.; Fagnou, K. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 244–248. doi:10.1039/c1sc00458a
- 14. Solé, D.; Mariani, F.; Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10272–10284. doi:10.1021/jo301924e
- Wasa, M.; Chan, K. S. L.; Zhang, X.-G.; He, J.; Miura, M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18570–18572. doi:10.1021/ja309325e
- 16. Yin, Z.; Sun, P. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 11339–11344. doi:10.1021/jo302125h
- 17. Saget, T.; Perez, D.; Cramer, N. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 1354–1357. doi:10.1021/ol400380y
- Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Nack, W. A.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2124–2127. doi:10.1021/ja312277g
- 19. Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11810–11811. doi:10.1021/ja0460763
- 20. Xie, J.; Huang, Z.-Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10181–10185. doi:10.1002/anie.201004940
- 21. Yang, F.; Li, J.; Xie, J.; Huang, Z.-Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5214–5217. doi:10.1021/ol102252n
- 22. Rout, S. K.; Guin, S.; Ghara, K. K.; Banerjee, A.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3982–3985. doi:10.1021/ol301756y
- 23. Xia, R.; Niu, H.-Y.; Qu, G.-R.; Guo, H.-M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5546–5549. doi:10.1021/ol302640e
- 24. Pastine, S. J.; Gribkov, D. V.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14220–14221. doi:10.1021/ja064481j
- Rankin, M. A.; Schatte, G.; McDonald, R.; Stradiotto, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6390–6391. doi:10.1021/ja071684e
- Deng, G.; Zhao, L.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6278–6282. doi:10.1002/anie.200801544
- 27. Wang, M.-Z.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Wong, M.-K.; Che, C.-M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5723–5735. doi:10.1002/chem.200902387
- 28. Shi, L.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Wang, M.; Zhang, F.-M.; Fan, C.-A.; Zhao, Y.-M.; Xia, W.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 10836–10837. doi:10.1021/ja0528331
- 29. Jo, E.-A.; Lee, J.-H.; Jun, C.-H. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5779–5781. doi:10.1039/b814166e
- Rakshit, S.; Patureau, F. W.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9585–9587. doi:10.1021/ja104305s
- Kuninobu, Y.; Nakahara, T.; Takeshima, H.; Takai, K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 426–428. doi:10.1021/ol303353m
- Harden, J. D.; Ruppel, J. V.; Gao, G.-Y.; Zhang, X. P. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4644–4646. doi:10.1039/b710677g
- Hung-Low, F.; Krogman, J. P.; Tye, J. W.; Bradley, C. A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 368–370. doi:10.1039/c1cc15458c
- 34. Lu, H.; Hu, Y.; Jiang, H.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5158–5161. doi:10.1021/ol302511f
- Horino, Y.; Yamamoto, T.; Ueda, K.; Kuroda, S.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2809–2811. doi:10.1021/ja808780r
- 36. Bhunia, S.; Ghorpade, S.; Huple, D. B.; Liu, R.-S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 2939–2942. doi:10.1002/anie.201108027
- 37. DeBoef, B.; Pastine, S. J.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6556–6557. doi:10.1021/ja049111e
- Pan, S.; Endo, K.; Shibata, T. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4692–4695. doi:10.1021/ol201907w
- 39. Obora, Y.; Ogawa, S.; Yamamoto, N. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9429–9433. doi:10.1021/jo3019347
- Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Fu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1863–1866. doi:10.1021/ol800593p

- 41. Zhang, S.-Y.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Fan, C.-A.; Zhang, F.-M.; Shi, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8761–8765. doi:10.1002/anie.200903960
- 42. Pan, S.; Liu, J.; Li, H.; Wang, Z.; Guo, X.; Li, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1932–1935. doi:10.1021/ol100670m
- Bloom, S.; Pitts, C. R.; Woltornist, R.; Griswold, A.; Holl, M. G.; Lectka, T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1722–1724. doi:10.1021/ol400424s
- 44. Vadola, P. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16525–16528. doi:10.1021/ja906480w
- Yoshikai, N.; Mieczkowski, A.; Matsumoto, A.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5568–5569. doi:10.1021/ja100651t
- 46. Qian, B.; Xie, P.; Xie, Y.; Huang, H. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2580–2583. doi:10.1021/ol200684b
- 47. Liu, X.; Sun, B.; Xie, Z.; Qin, X.; Liu, L.; Lou, H. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3104–3112. doi:10.1021/jo4000674
- Chen, L.; Shi, E.; Liu, Z.; Chen, S.; Wei, W.; Li, H.; Xu, K.; Wan, X. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 4085–4089. doi:10.1002/chem.201100192
 He, T.; Yu, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, L.; Wang, M. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*,
- 5016–5019. doi:10.1021/ol201779n
- Huang, J.; Li, L.-T.; Li, H.-Y.; Husan, E.; Wang, P.; Wang, B. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10204–10206. doi:10.1039/c2cc35450k
- 51. Li, L.-T.; Li, H.-Y.; Xing, L.-J.; Wen, L.-J.; Wang, P.; Wang, B. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2012**, *10*, 9519–9522. doi:10.1039/c2ob26636a
- 52. Mai, W.-P.; Wang, H.-H.; Li, Z.-C.; Yuan, J.-W.; Xiao, Y.-M.; Yang, L.-R.; Mao, P.; Qu, L.-B. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 10117–10119. doi:10.1039/c2cc35279f
- 53. Feng, J.; Liang, S.; Chen, S.-Y.; Zhang, J.; Fu, S.-S.; Yu, X.-Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1287–1292. doi:10.1002/adsc.201100920
- 54. Shi, E.; Shao, Y.; Chen, S.; Hu, H.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wan, X. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3384–3387. doi:10.1021/ol3013606
- Wang, F.-F.; Luo, C.-P.; Wang, Y.; Deng, G.; Yang, L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 8605–8608. doi:10.1039/c2ob26604k
- 56. Zhu, Y.-p.; Jia, F.-c.; Liu, M.-c.; Wu, L.-m.; Cai, Q.; Gao, Y.; Wu, A.-x. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5378–5381. doi:10.1021/ol302613q
- Zhu, Y.-p.; Liu, M.-c.; Jia, F.-c.; Yuan, J.-j.; Gao, Q.-h.; Lian, M.;
 Wu, A.-x. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3392–3395. doi:10.1021/ol301366p
- Xiang, J.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11986–11987. doi:10.1021/ja962790b
- 59. Jang, Y.-J.; Shih, Y.-K.; Liu, J.-Y.; Kuo, W.-Y.; Yao, C.-F. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2123–2128. doi:10.1002/chem.200204571
- Cui, Z.; Shang, X.; Shao, X.-F.; Liu, Z.-Q. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2853–2858. doi:10.1039/c2sc20712e
- Forgione, P.; Brochu, M.-C.; St-Onge, M.; Thesen, K. H.; Bailey, M. D.; Bilodeau, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11350–11351. doi:10.1021/ja063511f
- Gooßen, L. J.; Rudolphi, F.; Oppel, C.; Rodríguez, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3043–3045. doi:10.1002/anie.200705127
- Kim, H.; Lee, P. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2827–2832. doi:10.1002/adsc.200900502
- Wang, Z.; Ding, Q.; He, X.; Wu, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 863–865. doi:10.1039/b821870f
- 65. Zhang, F.; Greaney, M. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49,* 2768–2771. doi:10.1002/anie.200906921
- Wang, Z. T.; Zhu, L.; Yin, F.; Su, Z. Q.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4258–4263. doi:10.1021/ja210361z
- 67. Yin, F.; Wang, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10401–10404. doi:10.1021/ja3048255

- Liu, X.; Wang, Z.; Cheng, X.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14330–14333. doi:10.1021/ja306638s
- Liu, Z.-Q.; Sun, L.; Wang, J.-G.; Han, J.; Zhao, Y.-K.; Zhou, B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1437–1439. doi:10.1021/ol900145u
- 70. Yang, H.; Yan, H.; Sun, P.; Zhu, Y.; Lu, L.; Liu, D.; Rong, G.; Mao, J. Green Chem. 2013, 15, 976–981. doi:10.1039/c3gc37131j
- 71. Chowdhury, S.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 199–200. doi:10.1021/jo951991f

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: doi:10.3762/bjoc.9.197