


LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Stability and compatibility of antibiotics in PD solutions—call for including antibiotics for drug-resistant infections

Chau Wei Ling ¹, Kamal Sud^{2,3,4,5,6}, Rahul P. Patel⁷, Gregory M. Peterson^{7,8} and Ronald L. Castelino^{1,9}

¹School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ²Department of Renal Medicine, Nepean Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ³Nepean Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ⁴Peritoneal Dialysis Unit, Regional Dialysis Centre, Blacktown Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ⁵Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant (ANZDATA) Registry Peritoneal Dialysis Working Group, South Australia, Australia, ⁶Departments of Renal Medicine, Blacktown and Westmead Hospitals, New South Wales, Australia, ⁷School of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia, ⁸Faculty of Health, University of Canberra, Bruce, Australian Capital Territory, Australia and ⁹Department of Pharmacy, Blacktown Hospital, Blacktown, New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence to: Chau Wei Ling; E-mail: clin6270@uni.sydney.edu.au

We read the review by So *et al.* [1] with interest and would like to commend the authors for this much-needed compilation of updated information on the stability and compatibility of the commonly used antibiotics in peritoneal dialysis (PD) solutions [2–6]. Prompt treatment with antibiotics via the intraperitoneal (IP) route admixed with PD solutions with a minimum dwell time of 6 h, as recommended by the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis, remains the cornerstone for the treatment of peritonitis [7]. Therefore, robust data on the stability and compatibility of antibiotics in PD solutions is crucial for clinicians to ensure the safety and efficacy of antibiotics leading to good treatment outcomes. We also note that Ma *et al.* [8] published a review over 5 years ago on newer antibiotics that could be used for the treatment of drug-resistant PD-associated peritonitis. However, they provided limited information on their stability and compatibility with PD solutions and no information on the stability and compatibility of these antibiotics in low glucose degradation products PD solutions that come in multi-compartment bags, and are being increasingly used [9]. More-

over, available stability and compatibility data on some of these antibiotics have not been updated in the review by So *et al.* [1], which would be especially useful in regions and units with emerging antibiotic-resistant strains. Whilst we recognize that pharmacokinetic data is currently unavailable for many newer antibiotics for IP administration, the compilation of data on the stability and compatibility of antibiotics with PD solutions would facilitate the use of these antibiotics when more pharmacokinetic information becomes available. We therefore propose that an updated review that summarizes the chemical stability and physical compatibilities of newer antibiotics in PD solutions, not included in the review by So *et al.* [1], would provide more explicit guidance for clinicians when deciding the type of PD solution they could use to treat peritonitis due to multi-drug resistant organisms.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

K.S. has received speaker's honoraria from Baxter Healthcare.

Received: 20.4.2022; Editorial decision: 3.5.2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

REFERENCES

1. So SWY, Chen L, Woo AYH et al. Stability and compatibility of antibiotics in peritoneal dialysis solutions. *Clinical Kidney Journal* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfac012>
2. Patel RP, Farawahida S, Shastri M et al. Physical and chemical stability of ceftazidime and cefazolin in peritoneal dialysis solutions packaged in dual-chamber infusion bags. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2013; **70**: 1477–1478
3. Nguyen TT, Harmanjeet H, Wanandy T et al. Pyridine levels in ceftazidime–peritoneal dialysis admixtures stored at body temperature. *Perit Dial Int* 2020; **40**: 171–178
4. Mendes K, Harmanjeet H, Sedeeq M et al. Stability of meropenem and piperacillin/tazobactam with heparin in various peritoneal dialysis solutions. *Perit Dial Int* 2018; **38**: 430–440
5. Kandel S, Zaidi STR, Wanandy ST et al. Stability of ceftazidime and heparin in four different types of peritoneal dialysis solutions. *Perit Dial Int* 2018; **38**: 49–56
6. Yousaf F, Zaidi ST, Wanandy T et al. Stability of cefepime in pH-neutral peritoneal dialysis solutions packaged in dual-compartment bags. *Perit Dial Int* 2016; **36**: 457–459
7. Li PK, Chow KM, Cho Y et al. ISPD peritonitis guideline recommendations: 2022 update on prevention and treatment. *Perit Dial Int* 2022; **42**: 110–1153
8. Ma TK, Leung CB, Chow KM et al. Newer antibiotics for the treatment of peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis. *Clin Kidney J* 2016; **9**: 616–623
9. ANZDATA Registry. 43rd Report, Chapter 5: Peritoneal dialysis. Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant Registry, Adelaide, Australia. 2020. <http://www.anzdata.org.au>, (17 April 2022, date last accessed)