
BY-NC

RSC Advances



PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 5846

Highly electron-deficient 1-propyl-3,5dinitropyridinium: evaluation of electron-accepting ability and application as an oxidative quencher for metal complexes†

Received 2nd February 2024 Accepted 8th February 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra00845f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Impacts of the nitro groups on the electron-accepting and oxidizing abilities of N-propylpyridinium were evaluated quantitatively. A 3,5-dinitro derivative has efficiently quenched emission from photosensitizing Ru(II) and Ir(III) complexes owing to the thermodynamically-favored electron transfer to the pyridinium whose LUMO is greatly lowered by the presence of electron-withdrawing nitro groups.

Introduction

Pyridines are typical electron-deficient heterocyclic compounds that can be seen all around us as substructures of functional materials such as pharmaceuticals, ligands, and optical and electronic devices.¹ The nucleophilic ring nitrogen, furthermore, undergoes *N*-alkylation, resulting in pyridinium salt. Owing to their highly electron-deficient aromatic character, pyridinium skeletons have been utilized in a variety of natural/artificial systems as electron acceptors as represented by NAD⁺,² methyl viologen³ and so forth.⁴ On the other hand, a nitro group exhibits strong electron-withdrawing ability due to both resonance and inductive effects, with the latter effect equivalent to two chloro groups.⁵ Therefore, a combination of the highly electron-deficient pyridinium and strong electron-withdrawing nitro group is expected to significantly increase the oxidation or electron-accepting abilities.


In our previous work, we have demonstrated that 1-propyl-3,5-dinitropyridinium salt $2\mathbf{a} \cdot \text{OTs}$ is formed *in situ* upon treatment of *N*-propyl- β -formyl- β -nitroenamine $1\mathbf{a}$ (R = Pr) with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH), and formation of the salt $2\mathbf{a} \cdot \text{OTs}$ is confirmed by trapping as 4-arylated 1,4-dihydropyridine derivatives 3 with electron-rich benzenes.⁶ On the other hand, 3,5-dinitropyridine 4 was obtained when *N-tert*-butylenamine

1b (R = t-Bu) was subjected to the same reaction, which is because a stable tert-butyl cation is readily eliminated (Scheme 1). The easy access to 3,5-dinitropyridine 4 facilitates the N-modification to afford versatile N-alkyl-3,5-dinitropyridinium salts 2. Indeed, treatment of 4 with propyl triflate (PrOTf) proceeded at room temperature to furnish $2a \cdot OTf$. This easily modifiable feature prompted us to evaluate the electron-acceptability of its N-alkylated form ($2a^+$) by comparing with 3-nitro and unsubstituted pyridinium relatives (5^+ and 6^+) that are prepared from commercially available pyridines by N-propylation.

Experimental

Preparation of 2a · OTf

A mixture of 3,5-dinitropyridine 4 (86 mg, 0.5 mmol) and PrOTf (122 mg, 0.63 mmol) was stirred without solvent at room temperature for 2 d. Colorless precipitates were collected and washed with CH₂Cl₂ to afford 2a ·OTf (95 mg, 0.28 mmol, 56%) as colorless powder, mp 217.0–217.8 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 1.03 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.12 (tq, J = 7.6, 7.6 Hz, 2H),



Scheme 1 Generation of 1-alkyl-3,5-dinitropyridinium salts $2 \cdot \text{OTs}$ in situ from N-alkyl- β -normyl- β -nitroenamines 1.

[&]quot;School of Engineering Science, Kochi University of Technology, Tosayamada, Kami, Kochi 782-8502, Japan. E-mail: ito.akitaka@kochi-tech.ac.jp, nishiwaki.nagatoshi@kochi-tech.ac.jp

^bResearch Center for Molecular Design, Kochi University of Technology, Tosayamada, Kami, Kochi 782-8502, Japan

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Cyclic voltammograms of the metal complexes and emission quenching data by 5^{+} and $6^{+}.$ See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra00845f

[‡] Present address: The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research (SANKEN), Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan.

Paper RSC Advances

4.80 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 9.78 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 9.94 ppm (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 10.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₂), 66.5 (CH₂), 121.8 (q, J = 318.0 Hz, CF₃), 135.9 (CH), 147.3 (CH), 147.9 ppm (C); 19 F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 79.36 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₈H₁₀N₃O₄ (M⁺): 212.0666, found: 212.0676.

Characterization of 5 · OTf

mp 102.3–102.7 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 1.00 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.06 (tq, J=7.2, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.66 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 8.30 (dd, J=8.4 Hz, 6.2 HZ, 1H), 9.01 (dd, J=6.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 9.18 (ddd, J=8.4, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.63 ppm (d, J=1.2 Hz 1H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 10.3 (CH₃), 25.2 (CH₂), 65.2 (CH₂), 125.1 (q, J=319 Hz, CF₃), 130.4 (CH), 141.0 (CH), 142.7 (CH), 147.8 (C), 150.1 ppm (CH); 19 F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 79.31 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₈H₁₁N₂O₂ (M[†]): 167.0815, found: 167.0819.

Characterization of 6 · OTf

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 1.00 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.06 (tq, J = 7.6, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (br, 2H), 8.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.70 ppm (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 9.3 (CH₃), 24.0 (CH₂), 62.9 (CH₂), 120.9 (q, J = 318 Hz, CF₃), 128.1 (CH), 144.2 (CH), 145.5 ppm (CH); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 79.30 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₈H₁₂N (M⁺): 122.0964, found: 122.0966.

Other chemicals

 $[Ru(bpy)_3](PF_6)_2 \ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) \ is the same sample which has been used in the earlier literatures. \ [Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]PF_6 \ (ppyH=2-phenylpyridine) \ was synthesized and purified similarly to the reported procedure. \ Tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF_6, Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was purified by repeated recrystallizations from ethanol. Ferrocene (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was used as supplied. Anhydrous or spectroscopic-grade CH_3CN (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was used without further purification for the electrochemical or spectroscopic measurements, respectively.$

Electrochemical measurements

Cyclic voltammetry of the complexes in CH₃CN at 298 K was performed by using a BAS ALS-1202A electrochemical analyzer with a three-electrode system using glassy-carbon working, Ag auxiliary, and Ag/AgNO₃ reference electrodes (\sim 0.01 mol dm⁻³ (=M) in CH₃CN containing \sim 0.1 M TBAPF₆) supplied by BAS Inc. The sample solutions containing a pyridinium salt or metal complex (\sim 1.0 mM) and TBAPF₆ as a supporting electrolyte (\sim 0.1 M) in the absence or presence of ferrocene as an internal standard were deaerated by purging an argon-gas stream over 20 min prior to measurements. The potential sweep rate was 100 mV s⁻¹.

Emission quenching study

Emission spectra were recorded and emission quantum yields $(\Phi_{\rm em})$ were determined by the absolute method using

a Hamamatsu Photonics Quantaurus-QY Plus C13534-02. Emission intensity at each wavelength was corrected for system spectral response so that the vertical axis of a spectrum corresponds to the photon number at each wavelength. Emission decay profiles of [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)]PF₆ was measured by using a Hamamatsu C4334 streak camera with a C5094 polychromator by exciting at 400 nm using second harmonics of a femtosecond-pulse mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (MKS Instruments Spectra-Physics Tsunami® 3941-M1BB and 3980 frequency doubler/pulse selector, 1 MHz) and analyzed by a single exponential decay function. Sample solutions were deaerated by purging with an argon-gas stream for over 30 min.

Free energy changes for the electron-transfer processes $(-\Delta G)$ were calculated by:⁹

$$-\Delta G = nF[E_{1/2}(Q^{+/0}) - E_{1/2}(M^*)] + Z_Q Z_M e^2 / D_s d = nF[E_{1/2}(Q^{+/0}) - E_{1/2}(M)] + E_0(M^*) + Z_Q Z_M e^2 / D_s d$$
 (1)

In eqn (1), $E_{1/2}(Q^{+/0})$ is the reduction potential of Q^+ , and $E_{1/2}(M)$ is the oxidation potential of the complex (1.32 and 1.63 V vs. SCE for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$, respectively, see Fig. S1†). $E_0(M^*)$ is the excited-state zeroth energy and has been determined to be 16 360 and 16 850 cm⁻¹ for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)]⁺, respectively, by the Franck-Condon analysis. 10 $Z_{\rm O}$ and $Z_{\rm M}$ are the charges of Q⁺ and complex. d is the sum of effective radii of Q⁺ and complex estimated for the optimized geometries by DFT calculations (4.7, 4.6, 4.4, 6.2 and 6.2 Å for $2\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{5}^+, \mathbf{6}^+, [\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} \text{ and } [\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{bpy})]^+, \text{ respectively}). D_s, n, F$ and e are the static dielectric constant of the solvent (relative dielectric constant of CH₃CN: 37.5), the number of electrons transferred, the Faraday constant and the formal charge, respectively. It should be noted that, in eqn (1), an electrostatic work term for the electron-transfer products was omitted since the reduced pyridiniums are charge-neutral.

Theoretical calculations

Theoretical calculations for the compounds were conducted with Gaussian 09W software (Revision C.01).¹¹ The ground-state geometries of the pyridinium cations were optimized by using density functional theory (DFT) using the restricted B3LYP functional with 6-31+G(d,p) basis set. All the optimized geometries did not gave any negative frequencies under identical methodologies. Lowest-energy unoccupied molecular orbitals were plotted using GaussView 5.¹² All the calculations were carried out as in acetonitrile by using a polarizable continuum model (PCM).

Results and discussion

Down-field shifts of the ring protons in the 1 H NMR spectra in CD₃CN were observed as the number of nitro groups increased (Fig. 1), indicating a decrease in the electron density of the pyridine ring. All the pyridiniums $2\mathbf{a}^{+}$, 5^{+} and $\mathbf{6}^{+}$ in CH₃CN exhibited an irreversible reduction wave as shown in Fig. 2. Half reduction potential ($E_{1/2}$) was shifted to a positive potential region with increasing the nitro group ($E_{1/2} = -0.061$ ($2\mathbf{a}^{+}$), -0.41 (5^{+}) and -0.80 V ($\mathbf{6}^{+}$) vs. saturated calomel electrode

RSC Advances Paper




Fig. 1 Chemical shifts of 1H NMR in CD $_3$ CN (given in ppm) and LUMO distributions/energies of pyridiniums $2a^+$, 5^+ and 6^+ .





Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammograms of $2a \cdot OTf$ (blue), $5 \cdot OTf$ (pink) and $6 \cdot OTf$ (red) in deaerated CH $_3$ CN containing 0.1 M TBAPF $_6$. Reversible waves at around +0.43 V represent redox couples of ferrocene as an internal standard.

(SCE)). These tendencies were supported by DFT calculations, as the LUMO energy of $2\mathbf{a}^+$ was lowered to -4.4 eV (see Fig. 1). It is, furthermore, worth emphasizing that the $E_{1/2}$ value of $2\mathbf{a}^+$ is surprisingly positive even by comparing with that of methyl viologen (-0.44 V νs . sodium saturated calomel electrode (SSCE)¹³). In contrast to fully reversible redox behavior of methyl viologen and resulting applications as a redox shuttle,³ the highly-positive reduction potential of 2^+ is advantageously utilizable as a sacrificial electron acceptor in the various photochemical systems.

The strong electron-accepting ability of $2a^+$ is utilizable as an oxidative quencher in photoinduced electron-transfer reactions. As shown in Fig. 3(a), emission from a famous photosensitizer $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2^+}$ (ref. 14) in CH₃CN (3.8 × 10⁻⁵ M) was reduced upon addition of $2a^+$ ((0.0–4.0) × 10^{-3} M), and emission quantum yield (Φ_{em}) of $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2^+}$ was decreased from 0.096 to






Fig. 3 Emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)₃](PF₆)₂ (a, 3.8×10^{-5} M, $\lambda_{ex} = 500$ nm) and [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)]PF₆ (b, 3.8×10^{-4} M, $\lambda_{ex} = 470$ nm) in the absence and presence of dinitropyridinium salt $2a \cdot \text{OTf}$ ((0.0–4.0) $\times 10^{-3}$ M: orange/green \rightarrow black) in deaerated CH₃CN.

0.014 in the presence of $2a^+$ (4.0 \times 10⁻³ M). Emission from a cyclometalated iridium(III) complex [Ir(ppy)2(bpy)]+ in CH3CN (3.8 \times 10⁻⁴ M) was also quenched when 2a⁺ coexisted in a solution ($\Phi_{\mathrm{em}}=0.085$ and 0.017 in the absence and presence $(4.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}) \text{ of } 2a^+, \text{ respectively})$ as shown in Fig. 3(b). Stern-Volmer plots for emission quenching of the complexes by 2a⁺ are shown in Fig. 4, together with those by 5⁺ and 6⁺ (emission spectra are shown in Fig. S2-S5†). The plots exhibited good linear dependences, irrespective of the complex and pyridinium, as expressed by the Stern-Volmer equation: $\Phi_{\mathrm{em,0}}/\Phi_{\mathrm{em}}$ = 1 + $k_{\rm q} \tau_0 [{\rm Q}^+]$ with $\Phi_{\rm em,0}$ and $\Phi_{\rm em}$ the emission quantum yields in the absence and presence of the quencher (i.e., $2a^+$, 5^+ or 6^+), respectively, k_q the quenching rate constant, τ_0 the excited-state lifetime of the complex in the absence of the quencher (890 and 300 ns for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+15}$ and $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$, respectively), and [Q⁺] the quencher concentration. As clearly seen in Fig. 4 and Table 1, emission quenching by $2a^+$ ($k_{
m q}=1.6 imes 10^9$ and 3.2 imes $10^9~M^{-1}~s^{-1}~\mbox{for}~[\mbox{Ru}(\mbox{bpy})_3]^{2+}~\mbox{and}~[\mbox{Ir}(\mbox{ppy})_2(\mbox{bpy})]^+,~\mbox{respectively})$ Paper RSC Advances




Fig. 4 Stem–Volmer plots for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2^+}$ (top panel) and $[Ir(ppy)_2(-bpy)]^+$ (bottom panel) quenching by $2a^+$ (blue), 5^+ (pink) and 6^+ (red) in deaerated CH $_3$ CN. Solid lines represent linear regressions with the intercept fixed at 1.

Table 1 Reduction potentials, driving forces for electron transfer and quenching rate constants of pyridiniums $2a^+$, 5^+ and 6^+

		[Ru(bpy) ₃] ²⁺		[Ir(ppy) ₂ (bpy)] ⁺	
Q^{+}	$E_{1/2}/{ m V}$	$-\Delta G/\mathrm{eV}$	$k_{\rm q}/10^9~{\rm M}^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$	$-\Delta G/\mathrm{eV}$	$k_{\rm q}/10^9~{\rm M}^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$
2a ⁺ 5 ⁺ 6 ⁺	-0.061 -0.41 -0.80	+0.72 +0.37 +0.016	1.6 0.99 0.048	+0.43 +0.084 -0.30	3.2 1.0 <0.01

was more efficient than those by 5^+ and 6^+ ($k_q \leq 1.0 \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹), indicating that the more nitro group, the stronger the quenching ability.

The efficient emission quenching by $2\mathbf{a}^+$ can be discussed in terms of a driving force of the electron-transfer process $(-\Delta G, \text{Table 1})$, which is calculated from the reduction potentials of the pyridinium, the oxidation potentials of the excited-state complexes and so forth. The k_q value correlates well with the $-\Delta G$ value, suggesting that the observed emission quenching originates in the electron transfer from the metal complex (*i.e.*, $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2^+}$ or $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{bpy})]^+)$ in the excited state to pyridinium (*i.e.*, $2\mathbf{a}^+$, 5^+ or 6^+). It is worth noting that the electron transfer between $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{bpy})]^{+*}$ to 6^+ is highly an endergonic process $(-\Delta G = -0.30 \text{ eV})$ and, therefore, no emission quenching has been observed. Thus, an introduction of a nitro

group(s) into a pyridinium skeleton improves the electron-accepting ability.

Conclusions

A combination of an electron-deficient pyridinium and electron-withdrawing nitro group(s) enhanced electron-accepting and oxidizing abilities. Each nitro-group introduction lowered the LUMO by several tenths of an electron volt, and dinitropyridinium $2a^+$ especially served as an excellent oxidative quencher in photoinduced electron-transfer reactions. Since pyridinium derivatives have attracted increasing interest and utilized in a variety of photochemical systems such as natural/artificial photosynthesis, these nitropyridiniums are possible candidates as a new class of electron acceptors.

Author contributions

A. Ito: conceptulization, data curation, writing – original draft, and supervision. Y. Kuroda, K. Iwai and S. Yokoyama: investigation. N. Nishiwaki: supervision and writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 J. A. Joule and K. Mills, *Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Wiley-Blackwell, New York, 5th edn, 2010.
- 2 A. Fulton and L. E. Lyons, Aust. J. Chem., 1967, 20, 2267;
 G. Unden and J. Bongaerts, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1997, 1320, 217; J. Barber, Chem. Phys. Rev., 2009, 38, 185.
- 3 K. Kalyanasundaram, J. Kiwi and M. Grätzel, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1978, **61**, 2720; H. Misawa, H. Sakuragi, Y. Usui and K. Tokumaru, *Chem. Lett.*, 1983, **12**, 1021; A. Bose, P. He, C. Liu, B. D. Ellman, R. J. Twieg and S. D. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 4; A. Ito, D. J. Stewart, Z. Fang, M. K. Brennaman and T. J. Meyer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2012, **109**, 15132; H. Yamamoto, M. Taomoto, A. Ito and D. Kosumi, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2020, **401**, 112771.
- 4 D. Mauzerall and F. H. Westheimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1955, 77, 2261; S. Fukuzumi, S. Koumitsu, K. Hironaka and T. Tanaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 305; A. Kobayashi, H. Konno, K. Sakamoto, A. Sekine, Y. Ohashi, M. Iida and O. Ishitani, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 4219; S. Ikeyama and Y. Amao, *ChemCatChem*, 2017, **9**, 833.
- 5 N. Nishiwaki and M. Ariga, *Top. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 2007, **8**, 43.
- 6 H. Asahara, M. Hamada, Y. Nakaike and N. Nishiwaki, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 90778; Y. Nakaike, N. Nishiwaki, M. Ariga and Y. Tobe, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 2163.
- 7 A. Ito, D. J. Stewart, Z. Fang, M. K. Brennaman and T. J. Meyer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2012, 109, 15132;
 A. Ito, D. J. Stewart, T. E. Knight, Z. Fang,

RSC Advances Paper

M. K. Brennaman and T. J. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**, 3428; A. Ito, Z. Fang, M. K. Brennaman and T. J. Meyer, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 4880.

- 8 J. Sun, F. Zhong, X. Yi and J. Zhao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 6299.
- 9 Gibbs energy of photoinduced electron transfer, in *IUPAC Compendium of Chemical Terminology*, International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), 3rd edn, 2019, DOI: 10.1351/goldbook.GT07388.
- 10 A. Ito and T. J. Meyer, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 13731.
- 11 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd,
- E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09 (Revision C.01)*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 12 R. Dennington, T. Keith and J. Millam, *GaussView Version 5*, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2009.
- 13 J. N. Younathan, W. E. Jones and T. J. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1991, **95**, 488.
- 14 D. W. Thompson, A. Ito and T. J. Meyer, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 1257.
- 15 A. Nakagawa, E. Sakuda, A. Ito and N. Kitamura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 10287.