

Hypothesis

Open Access

At the crossroads of SUMO and NF- κ B

Martin P Kracklauer*¹ and Christian Schmidt*²

Address: ¹Section of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station, A4800, 78712, Austin, TX, USA and ²Department of Surgical Oncology and Molecular Oncology, University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77030, USA

Email: Martin P Kracklauer* - mordechai30@hotmail.com; Christian Schmidt* - christian.schmidt@molecular-cancer.org

* Corresponding authors

Published: 05 November 2003

Received: 01 September 2003

Molecular Cancer 2003, **2**:39

Accepted: 05 November 2003

This article is available from: <http://www.molecular-cancer.com/content/2/1/39>

© 2003 Kracklauer and Schmidt; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Background: Recognition of pathogens by immune receptors leads to activation of macrophages, dendritic cells, and lymphocytes. Signals are communicated to enhance expression of target molecules such as cytokines and adhesion molecules, depending on activation of various inducible transcription factors, among which the family NF- κ B transcription factors plays an evolutionarily conserved and critical role. Classical activation of NF- κ B involves phosphorylation, polyubiquitination and subsequent degradation of the inhibitor molecules of NF- κ B, referred to as I κ B. Modification of I κ B α , one of the mammalian I κ B isoforms, with the small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) results its protection from degradation.

Presentation of the hypothesis: SUMO-I κ B α localizes in the nucleus. The nuclear SUMO-I κ B α pool may be dynamic. SUMO-I κ B α functions as synergy control factor.

Testing the hypothesis: Immunoprecipitation from cellular fractions, ³⁵S methionine pulse-chase, and FRET assays should reveal the localization of SUMO-I κ B α and the dynamics of the pool. Expression of SUMOylation defective I κ B α in an I κ B α ^{-/-} background should yield insights into the function of SUMO-I κ B α .

Implication of the hypothesis: I κ B α contains the required SUMOylation motif but I κ B β does not. The suggested study would provide evidence whether or not I κ B α and I κ B β can substitute each other. In addition, the suggested assays would reveal a possible redundancy in controlling transcriptional activity of NF- κ B.

Background

For nearly two decades, the NF- κ B activation pathway has been the focus of experimental investigation. This pathway is highly conserved among metazoans and plays key roles in immune responses [1], cell proliferation [2], inflammation [3], apoptosis [4], early embryonic development [5], and many other processes.

Five members of mammalian NF- κ B are described: NF- κ B₁ (p50 and its precursor p105), NF- κ B₂ (p52 and its precursor p100), c-Rel, RelA (p65) and RelB [6–9], each of which has a 300-residue Rel homology domain (RHD) [1,10–14]. The C-terminal domains are responsible for dimerization with other Rel proteins, but sequence-spe-

cific interactions come primarily from loops in the N-terminal domain [15].

Interaction of c-Rel, RelA and RelB with its inhibitors, referred to as IκB, results in inactive complexes in the cytoplasm by masking the nuclear localization signal, which is located at the C terminal end of the Rel homology domain [1,10–14]. Currently, the inhibitor proteins IκBα, IκBβ, IκBγ, IκBε, Bcl-3, and the *Drosophila* protein Cactus are described and characterized [1,10–14].

In most cell types, NF-κB proteins are sequestered in the cytoplasm in an inactive form through their non-covalent association with the inhibitor IκB [7]. This association masks the nuclear localization signal of NF-κB, thereby preventing NF-κB nuclear translocation and DNA binding activity [16]. NF-κB is activated through complex signaling cascades that are integrated by activation of IκB kinase complex (IKK) [17–21], which phosphorylates IκB bound to NF-κB complexes as its substrates [22]. Consequently, NF-κB proteins are translocated into the nucleus, where they activate transcription of their target genes [11,12]. One of the key target genes regulated by NF-κB is its inhibitor IκBα. A feedback inhibition pathway for control of IκBα gene transcription and down-regulation of transient activation of NF-κB activity is described [23–25].

Signal-induced degradation of IκB family members has traditionally been considered to regulate NF-κB activity. However, accumulating evidence suggests that NF-κB activity is also controlled by transcriptional repression mediated by Rel-family members [26], phosphorylation of RelA [27–29], NF-κB₂ [30], and interaction of RelA and NF-κB₂ with histone deacetylases (HDACs) [31–33]. In addition, modification of *Dorsal* by *Smt3*, the *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs of NF-κB and SUMO-1, respectively, has been demonstrated to regulate *Dorsal*-mediated activation [34]. Indeed, modification of proteins at lysine residues by ubiquitination, SUMOylation and acetylation has been suggested as a means by which control of transcriptional responses in general can be fine-tuned [35].

Small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) is the best-characterized member of a growing family of ubiquitin-related proteins. SUMO is conjugated to target proteins using an enzyme conjugation system similar to that of ubiquitin [36,37]. The targets of SUMOylation suggest roles for protein SUMOylation in TNFR-mediated apoptosis [38] and, paradoxically, protection from cell death [39]; nucleocytoplasmic transport [40–44] and subnuclear [45] localization; and modulation of transcription factor activity [46,47].

Among the perhaps more intriguing targets for SUMO modification is IκBα. Polyubiquitination of IκBα chiefly

at lysine residues 21 and 22 targets it for proteasomal degradation, whereas SUMOylation at lysine 21 appears to protect IκBα from proteasome-mediated degradation [48]. Furthermore, current data suggest that SUMOylation of IκBα is an event that occurs in the nucleus, as pyruvate kinase-IκBα fusion protein constructs that lack a functional NLS fail to be modified by SUMO [49].

Presentation of the hypothesis

Desterro et al showed that a modified form of IκBα is found in whole cell extracts from HEK 293, COS7, Jurkat, and HeLa cell lines. Reciprocal immunoprecipitation experiments with anti-IκBα and anti-SUMO-1 antibodies demonstrated that IκBα is SUMOylated. Further experimentation revealed that the level of SUMO-IκBα in whole cell lysates from TNFα-stimulated COS7 cells remains constant over time, whereas levels of unmodified IκBα diminish and are replenished over time, consistent with a previous study [23].

In parallel, cellular fractionation was carried out on these cell lines. Cytoplasmic extracts showed little to no SUMO-IκBα. Roff et al suggest that SUMO-IκBα is located in the nucleus [50]. Supporting these data are data by Rodriguez et al that suggest that IκBα retained in the nucleus after LMB treatment is impervious to signal-induced degradation [52].

We therefore hypothesize that SUMO-IκBα localizes in the nucleus, creating a nuclear pool of SUMO-IκBα, which functions as synergy control factor.

Testing the hypothesis

Data from reporter gene assays suggest a role for SUMO-IκBα in inhibiting NF-κB-dependent transcription [48]. What may however be complicating assessment of function is the fact that the reporter gene data derive from cells in which SUMO is overexpressed. Given that little free SUMO-1 is detectable in mammalian cells [53], and that other members of the NF-κB pathway can crosstalk with Ubc9, the SUMO E2 conjugating enzyme [54], overexpression of SUMO-1 may result in phenotypes that do not correspond to in vivo situations. Moreover, all extant data regarding SUMO-IκBα stem from transformed and/or oncogenic cell lines, in which NF-κB activity cannot necessarily be assumed normal. To date, no data show the existence of SUMO-IκBα in primary cell lines. The following experiments are proposed in order to assess the presence of SUMO-IκBα in primary cells, and the physiological relevance of SUMO-IκBα.

Dynamics of the SUMO-IκBα pool

Hay et al stated that levels ranging from barely detectable to almost 50% of the total IκBα can be found in the cell types examined in their experiments [48,55]. The cell lines

investigated were HEK 293, COS7, Jurkat and HeLa. In order to establish the extent of I κ B α SUMOylation in non-transformed/non-oncogenic cell lines, the following experiments might prove to be of considerable interest, and should not entail significant technical difficulties. From wild-type mice, isolate fibroblast and B- and T-cell populations. These primary cells could be directly used in the subsequent experiments. However, strategies for creating genetically stable, nontumorigenic immortalized cell lines have been described [56,57]. The use of these or comparable strategies for creating immortalized, genetically stable and nontumorigenic fibroblast, B- and T-cell populations may therefore be useful for keeping these primary cells in culture for repeat experiments. With these cell lines in hand, prepare cytoplasmic and nuclear fraction of cells according to Roff et al [50], and whole cell extracts as described by Desterro et al [48]. Reserve some of the whole cell extracts for immunoprecipitation experiments. Fractionate the cytoplasmic, nuclear and whole cell extracts on a denaturing, reducing polyacrylamide gel and transfer to membranes for immunoblot analysis with an anti-I κ B α antibody. In parallel, immunoprecipitate with anti-SUMO-1 antibody as described [58], followed by an I κ B α Western analysis, and vice versa [48].

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) may present an additional means by which a nuclear association of SUMO-1 and I κ B α could be demonstrated. CFP-I κ B α and SUMO-YFP have both been described [47,59], as has the use of FRET microscopy to assess protein interactions in living cell nuclei [60]. As stated previously, overexpression experiments are potentially problematic due both to interactions and localization that may occur only when proteins are overexpressed. Therefore, the use of a targeted knock-out/knock-in strategy to make CFP-I κ B α and SUMO-YFP double mutant mice might provide the specificity needed in this experimental system. The creation of such mice may be time consuming, yet the techniques for making the mice are established.

Two different options suggest potential (and mutually inclusive) roles for the pool in controlling NF- κ B responses and in nucleocytoplasmic transport. To address the issue of static vs. dynamic pools of SUMO-I κ B α , the following experiment is suggested: A pulse-chase ³⁵S-methionine assay using cytoplasmic, nuclear and whole extracts from cells at time points described above and by Desterro et al [48,61].

Both nucleocytoplasmic trafficking and nuclear translation [62] would result in radiolabeled proteins being found in the nucleus. However, most of these proteins are not expected to associate with I κ B α pulled down in the immunoprecipitation. If, contrary to expectation, radiolabeled proteins other than Rel family members are found

to associate with I κ B α , the likelihood that any of these would have the same molecular weight as SUMO-I κ B α is assumed to be manageably small. If no radiolabel is detected at 36 kD, this suggests that the SUMO-I κ B α pool does not turn over appreciably. If radiolabel is detected at 36 kDa, this would suggest that, while the total pool of SUMO-I κ B α remains constant, this pool turns over. Changing levels of radioactivity would suggest that SUMO-I κ B α turns over in the nucleus. This in turn may indicate a key role in nucleocytoplasmic transport to ensure a constant nuclear pool of I κ B α .

SUMO-I κ B α – a Synergy Control Factor

Cheng et al created I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice, and no abnormalities in viability or reproduction were observed in these animals [63]. This suggests a functional redundancy of these two I κ B family members. However, I κ B α is rapidly degraded upon stimuli that trigger NF- κ B responses, whereas I κ B β is degraded at a significantly slower rate [64]. In addition, the observation that deletion of I κ B α or I κ B β from the genome appears to be compensated by other I κ B family members [65,66], but that deletion of I κ B α results in neonatal lethality [67], together hint at distinct roles for I κ B α and I κ B β in NF- κ B responses. Inspection of the amino acid sequence of I κ B β shows it lacks the Ψ KXE amino acid motif characteristic of proteins that are SUMOylated. Therefore, I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice may phenocopy mice in which wild-type I κ B α is replaced with I κ B α ^{K21R}, a mutant I κ B α for which SUMOylation, but not ubiquitination, is compromised.

The creation of I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice indicates that the creation of I κ B α ^{K21R} mice is feasible. Whether or not such mutant mice phenocopy I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice, the viability of the I κ B α /I κ B β mouse suggests that I κ B α ^{K21R} mutants would also live to reproductive age. The following suggested experiments should prove to be informative with respect to SUMO-I κ B α function: Create I κ B α /I κ B α ^{K21R} mutant mice using established protocols [68,69]. Assess viability. Challenge immune systems of mutant, wild-type and I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice as described [70,71], and assess immune responses at the level of the organism. Establish immortalized cell lines from the I κ B α /I κ B α ^{K21R} mutant mice as described above. Stimulate an NF- κ B response using TNF- α in fibroblasts and lymphoid immortalized cell lines from I κ B α ^{K21R} mutant, wild type, and I κ B α /I κ B β knockout/knock-in mice and analyze the response.

The Ψ KXE amino acid sequence element required for SUMOylation strongly resembles what is referred to as a synergy control (SC) motif. SC motifs in certain transcription factors (TFs) have been shown to confer onto TFs the property of downregulating transcription at promoters

with multiple binding sites for that TF, while promoters with single TF binding sites are unaffected. When the SC motifs are disrupted, transcription from promoters with multiple sites is enhanced. SUMOylation of TFs at SC motifs has been demonstrated in at least two cases [34,72], suggesting role for SUMOylation in transcriptional control.

Does SUMOylation of I κ B α play a role in transcriptional control? A nuclear pool of SUMO-I κ B α may help titrate NF- κ B and influence transcriptional responses. As stated previously, NF- κ B transcriptional responses can be regulated at the level of repression by certain Rel-family homodimers [26], by phosphorylation [27–29], and by interaction with histone deacetylases [31–33]. Therefore, titration of NF- κ B by nuclear I κ B α , whether SUMOylated or not, may be a redundant mechanism to control NF- κ B transcriptional activity. Given the general importance of the NF- κ B pathway, redundancy in controlling transcriptional responses by ensuring a nuclear pool of I κ B α may be useful.

Implications of the hypothesis

I κ B α contains the required SUMOylation but I κ B β does not. The suggested study may demonstrate whether or not I κ B α and I κ B β can substitute each other. In addition, the suggested assays would reveal a possible redundancy in controlling transcriptional activity of NF- κ B.

Authors' contributions

MPK drafted the paper. CS provided suggestions for its finalization. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

CS acknowledges support from the German Research Foundation.

References

- Ghosh S, May MJ and Kopp EB: **NF- κ B and Rel proteins: evolutionarily conserved mediators of immune responses.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998, **16**:225-260.
- Luque I and Gelinas C: **Rel/NF- κ B and I κ B factors in oncogenesis.** *Semin Cancer Biol* 1997, **8**:103-111.
- Barnes PJ and Karin M: **Nuclear factor- κ B: a pivotal transcription factor in chronic inflammatory diseases.** *N Engl J Med* 1997, **336**:1066-1071.
- Sonenshein GE: **Rel/NF- κ B transcription factors and the control of apoptosis.** *Semin Cancer Biol* 1997, **8**:113-119.
- Reach M, Galindo RL, Towp P, Allen JL, Karin M and Wassermann SA: **A gradient of cactus protein degradation establishes dorsoventral polarity in the Drosophila embryo.** *Dev Biol* 1996, **180**:353-364.
- Sen R and Baltimore D: **Multiple nuclear factors interact with the immunoglobulin enhancer sequences.** *Cell* 1986, **46**:705-716.
- Sen R and Baltimore D: **Inducibility of immunoglobulin enhancer-binding protein NF- κ B by a posttranslational mechanism.** *Cell* 1986, **47**:921-928.
- Lenardo MJ and Baltimore D: **NF- κ B: a pleiotropic mediator of inducible and tissue-specific gene control.** *Cell* 1989, **58**:227-229.
- Lenardo MJ, Fan CM, Maniatis T and Baltimore D: **The involvement of NF- κ B in β -interferon gene regulation reveals its role as widely inducible mediator of signal transduction.** *Cell* 1989, **57**:287-294.
- Siebenlist U, Franzoso G and Brown K: **Structure, regulation and function of NF- κ B.** *Annu Rev Cell Biol* 1994, **10**:405-455.
- Verma IM, Stevenson JK, Schwarz EM, Van Antwerp D and Miyamoto S: **Rel/NF- κ B/I κ B family: intimate tales of association and dissociation.** *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:2723-2735.
- Baldwin AS Jr: **The NF- κ B and I κ B proteins: new discoveries and insights.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 1996, **14**:649-683.
- Karin M and Ben-Neriah Y: **Phosphorylation meets ubiquitination: the control of NF- κ B activity.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 2000, **18**:621-663.
- Ghosh S and Karin M: **Missing pieces in the NF- κ B puzzle.** *Cell* 2002, **109**(Suppl):S81-S96.
- Jacobs MD and Harrison SC: **Structure of an I κ B α /NF- κ B complex.** *Cell* 1998, **95**:749-758.
- Bauerle PA and Baltimore D: **A 65-kD subunit of active NF- κ B is required for inhibition of NF- κ B by I κ B.** *Genes Dev* 1989, **3**:1689-1698.
- Brown K, Gerstberger S, Carlson L, Franzoso G and Siebenlist U: **Control of I κ B α proteolysis by site-specific, signal-induced phosphorylation.** *Science* 1995, **267**:1485-1488.
- Chen ZJ, Parent L and Maniatis T: **Site-specific phosphorylation of I κ B α by a novel ubiquitination-dependent protein kinase activity.** *Cell* 1996, **84**:853-862.
- Regnier CH, Song HY, Gao X, Goeddel DV, Cao Z and Rothe M: **Identification and characterization of an I κ B kinase.** *Cell* 1997, **90**:373-383.
- Zandi E, Rothwarf DM, Delhase M, Hayakawa M and Karin M: **The I κ B kinase complex (IKK) contains two kinase subunits, IKK α and IKK β , necessary for I κ B phosphorylation and NF- κ B activation.** *Cell* 1997, **91**:243-252.
- DiDonato JA, Hayakawa M, Rothwarf DM, Zandi E and Karin M: **A cytokine-responsive I κ B kinase that activates the transcription factor NF- κ B.** *Nature* 1997, **388**:548-554.
- Zandi E, Chen Y and Karin M: **Direct phosphorylation of I κ B by IKK α and IKK β : discrimination between free and NF- κ B-bound substrate.** *Science* 1998, **281**:1360-1363.
- Chiao PJ, Miyamoto S and Verma IM: **Autoregulation of I κ B α activity.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994, **91**:28-32.
- Sun SC, Ganchi PA, Ballard DW and Greene WC: **NF- κ B controls expression of inhibitor I κ B α : evidence for an inducible autoregulatory pathway.** *Science* 1993, **259**:1912-1915.
- Rice NR and Ernst MK: **In vivo control of NF- κ B activation by I κ B α .** *EMBO J* 1993, **12**:4685-4695.
- May MJ and Ghosh S: **Rel/NF- κ B and I κ B proteins: an overview.** *Semin Cancer Biol* 1997, **8**:63-73.
- Sakurai H, Chiba H, Miyoshi H, Sugita T and Toriumi W: **I κ B kinases phosphorylate NF- κ B p65 subunit on serine 536 in the trans-activation domain.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:30353-30356.
- Wang D, Westerheide SD, Hanson JL and Baldwin AS Jr: **Tumor necrosis factor α -induced phosphorylation of RelA/p65 on Ser529 is controlled by casein kinase II.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:32592-32597.
- Zhong H, Voll RE and Ghosh S: **Phosphorylation of NF- κ B p65 by PKA stimulates transcriptional activity by promoting a novel bivalent interaction with the coactivator CBP/p300.** *Mol Cell* 1998, **1**:661-671.
- Koul D, Yao Y, Abbruzzese JL, Yung WK and Reddy SA: **Tumor suppressor MDM2/PTEN inhibits cytokine-induced NF- κ B activation without interfering with the I κ B degradation pathway.** *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**:11402-11408.
- Chen LF, Mu Y and Greene WC: **Acetylation of RelA at discrete sites regulates distinct nuclear functions of NF- κ B.** *EMBO J* 2002, **21**:6539-6548.
- Ashburner BP, Westerheide SD and Baldwin AS Jr: **The p65 (RelA) subunit of NF- κ B interacts with the histone deacetylase (HDAC) corepressors HDAC1 and HDAC2 to negatively regulate gene expression.** *Mol Cell Biol* 2001, **21**:7065-7077.
- Lee SK, Kim JH, Lee YC, Cheong J and Lee JW: **Silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptors, as a novel transcriptional corepressor molecule of activating protein-1, nuclear factor- κ B, and serum response factor.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:12470-12474.

34. Bhaskar V, Smith M and Courey AJ: **Conjugation of Smt3 to dorsal may potentiate the Drosophila immune response.** *Mol Cell Biol* 2002, **22**:492-504.
35. Freiman RN and Tjian R: **Regulating the regulators: lysine modifications make their mark.** *Cell* 2003, **112**:11-17.
36. Hochstrasser M: **Evolution and function of ubiquitin-like protein-conjugation systems.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2000, **2**:E153-157.
37. Melchior F: **SUMO – nonclassical ubiquitin.** *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2000, **16**:591-626.
38. Liou ML and Liou HC: **The ubiquitin-homology protein, DAP-1, associates with tumor necrosis factor receptor (p60) death domain and induces apoptosis.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:10145-10153.
39. Hanania U, Furman-Matarasso N, Ron M and Avni A: **Isolation of a novel SUMO protein from tomato that suppresses EIX-induced cell death.** *Plant J* 1999, **19**:533-541.
40. Panse VG, Kuster B, Gerstberger T and Hurt E: **Unconventional tethering of Ulp1 to the transport channel of the nuclear pore complex by karyopherins.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2003, **5**:21-27.
41. Pichler A and Melchior F: **Ubiquitin-related modifier SUMO1 and nucleocytoplasmic transport.** *Traffic* 2002, **3**:381-387.
42. Stade K, Vogel F, Schwienhorst I, Meusser B, Volkwein C, Nentwig B, Dohmen RJ and Sommer T: **A lack of SUMO conjugation affects cNLS-dependent nuclear protein import in yeast.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:49554-49561.
43. Wood LD, Irvin BJ, Nucifora G, Luce KS and Hiebert SW: **Small ubiquitin-like modifier conjugation regulates nuclear export of TEL, a putative tumor suppressor.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003, **100**:3257-3262.
44. Zhang H, Saitoh H and Matunis MJ: **Enzymes of the SUMO modification pathway localize to filaments of the nuclear pore complex.** *Mol Cell Biol* 2002, **22**:6498-6508.
45. Ross S, Best JL, Zon LI and Gill G: **SUMO-1 modification represses Sp3 transcriptional activation and modulates its subnuclear localization.** *Mol Cell* 2002, **10**:831-842.
46. Gill G: **Post-translational modification by the small ubiquitin-related modifier SUMO has big effects on transcription factor activity.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2003, **13**:108-113.
47. Girdwood D, Bumpass D, Vaughan OA, Thain A, Anderson LA, Snowden AW, Garcia-Wilson E, Perkins ND and Hay RT: **p300 Transcriptional Repression Is Mediated by SUMO Modification.** *Mol Cell* 2003, **11**:1043-1054.
48. Desterro JM, Rodriguez MS and Hay RT: **SUMO-1 modification of I κ B α inhibits NF- κ B activation.** *Mol Cell* 1998, **2**:233-239.
49. Rodriguez MS, Dargemont C and Hay RT: **SUMO-1 conjugation in vivo requires both a consensus modification motif and nuclear targeting.** *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**:12654-12659.
50. Roff M, Thompson J, Rodriguez MS, Jacques JM, Baleux F, Arenzana-Seisdedos F and Hay RT: **Role of I κ B α ubiquitination in signal-induced activation of NF- κ B in vivo.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:7844-7850.
51. Huang TT and Miyamoto S: **Postrepression activation of NF- κ B requires the amino-terminal nuclear export signal specific to I κ B α .** *Mol Cell Biol* 2001, **21**:4737-4747.
52. Rodriguez MS, Desterro JM, Lain S, Midgley CA, Lane DP and Hay RT: **SUMO-1 modification activates the transcriptional response of p53.** *EMBO J* 1999, **18**:6455-6461.
53. Saitoh H and Hinchev J: **Functional heterogeneity of small ubiquitin-related protein modifiers SUMO-1 versus SUMO-2/3.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:6252-6258.
54. Saltzman A, Searfoss G, Marcireau C, Stone M, Ressler R, Munro R, Franks C, D'Alonzo J, Tocque B, Jaye M and Ivashchenko Y: **hUBC9 associates with MEKK1 and type I TNF- α receptor and stimulates NF- κ B activity.** *FEBS Lett* 1998, **425**:431-435.
55. Hay RT, Vuillard L, Desterro JM and Rodriguez MS: **Control of NF- κ B transcriptional activation by signal induced proteolysis of I κ B α .** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 1999, **354**:1601-1609.
56. Cascio SM: **Novel strategies for immortalization of human hepatocytes.** *Artif Organs* 2001, **25**:529-538.
57. Mendonca MS, Antoniono RJ, Latham KM, Stanbridge EJ and Redpath JL: **Characterization of intestinal alkaline phosphatase expression and the tumorigenic potential of gamma-irradiated HeLa x fibroblast cell hybrids.** *Cancer Res* 1991, **51**:4455-4462.
58. Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Thompson J, Rodriguez MS, Bachelier F, Thomas D and Hay RT: **Inducible nuclear expression of newly synthesized I κ B α negatively regulates DNA-binding and transcriptional activities of NF- κ B.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1995, **15**:2689-2696.
59. Birbach A, Gold P, Binder BR, Hofer E, de Martin R and Schmid JA: **Signaling molecules of the NF- κ B pathway shuttle constitutively between cytoplasm and nucleus.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:10842-10851.
60. Day RN, Periasamy A and Schaufele F: **Fluorescence resonance energy transfer microscopy of localized protein interactions in the living cell nucleus.** *Methods* 2001, **25**:4-18.
61. Biermann E, Baack M, Kreitz S and Knippers R: **Synthesis and turnover of the replicative Cdc6 protein during the HeLa cell cycle.** *Eur J Biochem* 2002, **269**:1040-1046.
62. Iborra FJ, Jackson DA and Cook PR: **Coupled transcription and translation within nuclei of mammalian cells.** *Science* 2001, **293**:1139-1142.
63. Cheng JD, Ryseck RP, Attar RM, Dambach D and Bravo R: **Functional redundancy of the nuclear factor κ B inhibitors I κ B α and I κ B β .** *J Exp Med* 1998, **188**:1055-1062.
64. Velasco M, Diaz-Guerra MJ, Martin-Sanz P, Alvarez A and Bosca L: **Rapid Up-regulation of I κ B β and abrogation of NF- κ B activity in peritoneal macrophages stimulated with lipopolysaccharide.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:23025-23030.
65. Hoffmann A, Levchenko A, Scott ML and Baltimore D: **The I κ B-NF- κ B signaling module: temporal control and selective gene activation.** *Science* 2002, **298**:1241-1245.
66. Whiteside ST, Epinat JC, Rice NR and Israël A: **I κ B ϵ , a novel member of the I κ B family, controls RelA and cRel NF- κ B activity.** *EMBO J* 1997, **16**:1413-1426.
67. Beg AA, Sha WC, Bronson RT and Baltimore D: **Constitutive NF- κ B activation, enhanced granulopoiesis, and neonatal lethality in I κ B α -deficient mice.** *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:2736-2746.
68. Cohen-Tannoudji M and Babinet C: **Beyond 'knock-out' mice: new perspectives for the programmed modification of the mammalian genome.** *Mol Hum Reprod* 1998, **4**:929-938.
69. Müller U: **Ten years of gene targeting: targeted mouse mutants, from vector design to phenotype analysis.** *Mech Dev* 1999, **82**:3-21.
70. Parmely MJ, Wang F and Wright D: **-interferon prevents the inhibitory effects of oxidative stress on host responses to Escherichia coli infection.** *Infect Immun* 2001, **69**:2621-2629.
71. Cochran JR, Khan AM, Elidemir O, Xue H, Cua B, Fullmer J, Larsen GL and Colasurdo GN: **Influence of lipopolysaccharide exposure on airway function and allergic responses in developing mice.** *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2002, **34**:267-277.
72. Tian S, Poukka H, Palvimo JJ and Jänne OA: **Small ubiquitin-related modifier-1 (SUMO-1) modification of the glucocorticoid receptor.** *Biochem J* 2002, **367**:907-911.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

