### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Multicenter external validation of the radical cystectomy pentafecta in a European cohort of patients undergoing robot-assisted radical cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion for bladder cancer

P. Baron<sup>1</sup> · Z. Khene<sup>2</sup> · F. Lannes<sup>3</sup> · G. Pignot<sup>3</sup> · A. S. Bajeot<sup>4</sup> · G. Ploussard<sup>5</sup> · G. Verhoest<sup>2</sup> · A. Gasmi<sup>2</sup> · O. Perrot<sup>6</sup> · M. Roumiguie<sup>4</sup> · K. Mori<sup>7</sup> · G. E. Cacciamani<sup>8</sup> · M. Rouprêt<sup>6</sup> · F. Bruyère<sup>1</sup> · B. Pradere<sup>1,9</sup>

Received: 7 March 2021 / Accepted: 29 May 2021 / Published online: 3 July 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

# Abstract

Objective To perform an external validation of this RC-pentafecta.

**Method** Between January 2014 and December 2019, 104 consecutive patients who underwent RARC with ICUD within 6 urological centers were analyzed retrospectively. Patients who simultaneously demonstrated negative soft tissue surgical margins (STSMs), a lymph node (LN) yield  $\geq$  16, absence of major (Clavien–Dindo grade III–V) 90-day postoperative complications, absence of UD-related long-term sequelae, and absence of 12-month clinical recurrence were considered to have achieved RC-pentafecta. A multivariable logistic regression model was used to measure predictors for achieving RC-pentafecta. We analyzed the influence of this RC-pentafecta on survival, and the impact of the surgical experience.

**Results** Since 2014, 104 patients who had completed at least 12 months of follow-up were included. Over a mean follow-up of 18 months, a LN yield  $\geq$  16, negative STSMs, absence of major complications at 90 days, and absence of UD-related surgical sequelae and clinical recurrence at  $\leq$  12 months were observed in 56%, 96%, 85%, 81%, and 91% of patients, respectively, resulting in a RC-pentafecta rate of 39.4%. Multivariate analysis showed that age was an independent predictor of pentafecta achievement (odds ratio [OR], 0.96; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.90. 0.99; *p* = 0.04). The surgeon experience had an impact on the validation of the criteria.

**Conclusion** This study confirmed that the RC-pentafecta is reproducible and could be externally used for the outcome assessment after RARC with ICUD. Therefore, the RC-pentafecta could be a useful tool to assess surgical success and its impact on different outcomes.

Keywords Robotics · Bladder neoplas · Cystectomy · Urinary diversion · Urothelial carcinoma · Validation

B. Pradere benjaminpradere@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Urology, University Hospital of Tours, Loire Valley, Tours, France
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Urology, Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France
- <sup>3</sup> Service de Chirurgie Oncologique 2, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Urology, Andrology and Renal Transplantation, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Urology, Clinique La Croix du Sud, Toulouse, France

- <sup>6</sup> Department of Urology, Sorbonne Université, GRC no 5, predictive onco-urology, AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Urology, 75013 Paris, France
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Urology, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>8</sup> USC Institute of Urology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Urology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

# Introduction

Every year, 2.7 million people worldwide are diagnosed or treated for bladder cancer (BCa) [1, 2]. For locally advanced muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) without evidence of metastasis, neoadjuvant platinum-based systemic therapy and radical cystectomy (RC) with extensive bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND) is the recommended first-line curative treatment [3]. However, this procedure is technically demanding and has a complication rate of approximately 25% with a mortality rate of 1–2% regardless of the surgical approach [4]. Several techniques, including the robot-assisted approach, have been developed to reduce the perioperative morbidity and mortality rates.

The use of composite criteria to assess the quality of surgical procedures is being increasingly described in the literature. A trifecta developed by Brasseti et al. evaluate robot-assisted radical cystectomy on surgical, oncological and functional criteria but has been developed only for intracorporeal orthotopic neobladder procedure [5]. A RC-pentafecta was proposed in 2015 [6]; where the surgical approach of the total cohort was open in 98.7% of cases. This RC-pentafecta was modified by Cacciamani et al. in 2020 [7] to combine functional and oncological criteria for the evaluation of RARC with intracorporeal urinary diversion (ICUD). Although these criteria are relevant for assessing the learning curve and the quality of surgery, an external validation remains still required before a wide acceptance and use in future studies.

The objective of our study was to carry out an external validation of RC-pentafecta by evaluating the perioperative and oncological results of RARC-ICUD.

#### **Patients and methods**

This is a multicenter retrospective study performed between January 2014 and December 2019 at six urological centers. All consecutive patients who had a RARC-ICUD for muscle-invasive bladder cancer or high-risk no muscle-invasive bladder cancer, whatever pathology, were included. Only patients with a follow-up period of at least 12 months were included. Patients who had undergone open or pure laparoscopic surgery and those with ECUD (n = 99) were excluded. Patients with metastasis at the time of surgery were also excluded.

Robot-assisted surgery was performed using a Da Vinci ® Surgical System Si or Xi robot (Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) using four arms according to the surgical technique conventionally described and according to the surgeon experience [8]. The decision to perform an orthotopic neobladder or ileal conduit was at the discretion of the surgeon according to the preoperative assessment and the patient- and disease-related features [3].

#### **Perioperative evaluation**

For each patient, preoperative characteristics were collected, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale, cardiovascular co-morbidities (hypertension, diabetes, smoking), preoperative creatinine and hemoglobin, and the use and regimen of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC). The collected perioperative variables included the type of urinary diversion, operating time (min), estimated blood loss (EBL) (quantified by the sum of suction device and pads) and perioperative transfusions. The postoperative data included: complications within 90 days according to the Clavien-Dindo (CD) classification [9] by separating minor (CD  $\leq$  II) and major (CD  $\geq$  III) postoperative complications, urinary diversion (UD)-related sequelae as previously reported [10] within 12 months, length of hospital stay (LOS), and the 90 days readmission rate. UD-related sequelae complications were defined by all urinary events within 12 months after surgery, and included urinary fistulas, uretero-ileal anastomotic strictures, stoma hernias, and stones [10, 11].

Oncological results were assessed for the overall recurrence rate, with recurrences within 12 months divided into local and distant recurrences. All recurrences were collected after the last imaging assessment. Death due to any cause and specific cause death were reported according to the patient's death certificate or medical record.

#### Anatomopathological evaluation

All excised tissues were evaluated according to the standard anatomopathological protocol of each center and the staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer [12]. The tumor grade was determined according to the 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) classification [13]. Positive margins were defined by the presence of tumor cells facing the section slice. The following results were recorded: the numbers of lymph nodes removed, the anatomopathological T and N stage, and the rate of patients with locally advanced disease defined by a pT  $\geq$  3 stage and/or a positive N stage.

#### Patient follow-up

The patients were monitored according to the protocol of each center and the recommendations of the European Association of Urology (EAU) [3, 14].

#### External validation of the pentafecta

To be considered a validated pentafecta, patients had to meet all of the following five criteria: removal of  $\geq 16$  lymph nodes, negative soft tissue surgical margins, absence of major complications (CD  $\geq$  III) within 90 days, absence of UD-related sequelae within 12 months as previously defined [10] and absence of local or distant recurrence within 12 months.

To assess the learning curve influence on the pentafecta validation, the surgeon's experience was classified according to three stages (the cut-off between groups was obtain by consensus between all the experts among co-authors): unexperienced surgeon (<10 RARC), intermediate (10–30 RARC) and experienced surgeon (> 30 RARC with intracorporeal or extracorporeal urinary diversion).

#### **Statistical analysis**

The Chi-squared and Fisher exact tests were used to compare qualitative variables, and Welch's test was used to compare quantitative variables. Continuous variables were expressed as means and medians with standard deviations (SD) and interquartile range (IQR). After selection of predictors in unvariable, multivariable analysis was performed by logistic regression to identify predictors of "validated RC-pentafecta". The data set with a *p* value < 0.2 in univariable analysis was studied in multivariable analysis. The overall and recurrence-free survival was analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the log-rank test was applied to test the difference between the two groups.

All reported p values were two-sided, and statistical significance was set at < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.6.3.

# Results

#### **Patient characteristics**

One hundred and four patients who underwent RARC-ICUD were included. Within the cohort, the mean age of the patients was 65.8 ( $\pm$ 9.63) years, and NAC was administered in 68.2% (n=71) of cases. The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

#### **Perioperative outcomes**

The perioperative results are summarized in Table 1. The mean operating time (SD) was 366 ( $\pm$ 94.2) min, and the mean EBL was 411 ml ( $\pm$ 281). Urinary diversion by ileal conduit was performed in 27.8% of cases (n=29), and orthotopic neobladder was performed in 72.2% (n=75) of

cases. The mean LOS was 13.7 ( $\pm$ 7.47) days, with a 90-day readmission rate of 27%. The median LOS was significantly longer for the patient with neobladder in comparison to ileal conduit (13.0 [10.2; 15.8] vs 11.0 [8.0; 13.2]; p=0,017).

The overall complication rate was 59.6% (n=62), including 25.8% (n=16) major complications (CD  $\geq$  III). The rate of urinary complications in the first 12 months was 19.2%, among them, more than two-thirds (70.3%) were ureteroileal strictures.

#### **Pathological outcomes**

A complete pathological response on specimen (pT0) patients constituted 37.5% (n=39) of the total cohort, 74.4% of them had received NAC (ypT0). The mean number of lymph nodes yield was 17.9 ( $\pm$ 7.89), 29 (27.9%) patients presented with locally advanced disease (pT  $\geq$  3 and/or N+), and 4 had a positive margin (3.8%). All the results are reported in Table 2.

#### **Oncological outcomes**

With a median follow-up period of 18 months, 16 patients (15.4%) experienced recurrence during the 12 months postoperatively; among them, 14.3% presented with local recurrence, while 71.4% had distant recurrence (Table 2). The overall mortality rate was 3.9% (n=4), and the specific death rate was 1.9% (n=2).

# External validation of the RC-pentafecta and the learning curve impact

A total of 39.4% (n = 41) of the procedures validated five criteria of the RC-pentafecta. The percentages of validation of the different criteria of the RC-pentafecta are summarized in Fig. 1.

The rate of validated RC-pentafecta for orthotopic neobladder and ileal conduit was not significantly different (44.0% vs 27.6%, p = 0.37: Fig. 2a). The comparison between orthotopic neobladder and ileal conduit is shown in Fig. 2b.

The percentage of patients with validated RC-pentafecta increased with the number of procedures performed by the surgeon; the rate was 31.4% for less than 10 RARC and increased to 55.8% for more than 30 RARC (p=0.05) (Fig. 3).

In univariable analysis, age, presence of diabetes, the readmission rate, and the number of patients with locally advanced disease were significantly different between the "validated RC-pentafecta" and the "non-validated RC-pentafecta" groups (Tables 1, 2).

In multivariable logistic regression analysis, age was the only independent predictor for RC-pentafecta

Table 1 Patient's characteristics and	perioperative outcomes
---------------------------------------	------------------------

Characteristics and perioperative outcomes	Total	RC-pentafecta validated	RC-pentafecta not validated	<i>P</i> *
Patients n (%)	104	41 (39.4%)	61 (60.6%)	
Age, years				
Mean (SD)	65.8 (±9.63)	63.4 (±9.64)	67.5 (±8.98)	0.03
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>				
Mean (SD)	26.1 (±4.93)	25.9 (±3.59)	$26.3 (\pm 5.75)$	0.67
Gender $n$ (%)				0.55
Male	88 (84.6%)	36 (87.8%)	52 (85.2%)	
Female	16 (15.4%)	5 (12.2%)	9 (14.8%)	
ASA score $n$ (%)				
ASA≤II	86 (82.6%)	37 (90.2%)	47 (77.0%)	0.09
ASA > II	18 (17.4%)	4 (9.8%)	14 (33.0%)	
Diabetes <i>n</i> (%)	11 (10.6%)	0 (0%)	11 (18.0%)	< 0.01
ECOG <i>n</i> (%)				
0	71 (68.2%)	26 (63.4%)	43 (70.4%)	1
1	31 (29.8%)	14 (34.1%)	17 (27.8%)	
2	2 (2.0%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (1.8%)	
NAC <i>n</i> (%)	71 (68.2%)	29 (70.7%)	42 (68.9%)	0.84
Clinical T-stage $n$ (%)				
cT<2				
cTIS	2 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	0.96
сТа	6 (5.8%)	1 (2.4%)	5 (8.4%)	
cT1	13 (12.5%)	4 (9.8%)	8 (13.3%)	
cT2	79 (76.0%)	33 (80.5%)	46 (76.5%)	
cT>3				
 cT3	3 (2.9%)	2 (4.9%)	1 (1.8%)	
cT4	1 (0.9%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	
Clinical N-stage $n$ (%)				
cN0	93 (89.4%)	39 (95.1%)	52 (85.2%)	0.19
cN1	11 (10.6%)	2 (4.9%)	9 (14.8%)	
cN2	0 (0%)	0(0%)	0 (0%)	
cN3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
cNx	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Operative time, min	0 (0,0)		0 (0,0)	
Mean (SD)	366(+94.2)	359 (+80.8)	376(+99.1)	0.34
Median (IOR)	360 [300: 436]			
EBLs. ml				
Mean (SD)	411(+281)	450(+321)	396(+247)	0.37
Median (IOR)	320 [234: 500]			
Type of UD $n$ (%)				
Incontinent	29 (27.8%)	8 (19.5%)	19 (31.1%)	0.19
Continent	75 (72.2%)	33 (80,5%)	42 (68.9%)	0117
Transfusions $n$ (%)			(****	
Perioperative	2 (2.8%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (1.6%)	1
Postoperative	11 (10.6%)	4 (9.8%)	7 (11.4%)	1
Overall 90 day complications $n$ (%)	(1010/0)	. (	. (//)	-
Overall	62 (59.6%)	22 (53.7%)	40 (62.5%)	0.06
Minor	46 (75.8%)	22 (100%)	24 (60.0%)	0.15
Major <sup>b</sup>	16 (24.2%)	0 (0%)	16 (40.0%)	< 0.001

#### Table 1 (continued)

Characteristics and perioperative outcomes	Total	RC-pentafecta validated	RC-pentafecta not validated	<i>P</i> *
Overall 12 month UD-related surgical sequelae <sup>a</sup> $n(\%)$	20 (19.2%)	0 (0%)	20 (32.8%)	< 0.001
LOS, days				
Mean (SD)	13.7 (±7.47)	13.0 (±3.92)	14.2 (±9.07)	0.38
90 day readmission rate $n$ (%)	29 (27.9%)	7 (17.1%)	22 (36.1%)	0.04
Adjuvant Chemotherapy n (%)	4 (3.8%)	1 (2.4%)	3 (4.9%)	1
ERAS protocol <i>n</i> (%)	53 (50.9%)	19 (46.3%)	32 (52.5%)	0.54

Bold values mean statistically significant

<sup>a</sup>Test: Welch, Fisher and Chi2

<sup>b</sup>Key outcomes included in the RC-Pentafecta

RC, radical cystectomy; ASA, American society of anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NAC, neoadjuvante chemotherapy; EBL, estimated blood loss; UD, urinary diversion; LOS, length of stay

validation (odds ratio [OR] 0.95; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.90–0.99; p = 0.04) (Table 3).

With a median follow-up of 18 months, the 5-year overall survival (OS) rate did not differ significantly between the groups. Indeed, at 60 months, the OS rate was 73.8% in the "validated pentafecta" group vs. 93.2% in the "non-validated pentafecta" group (p=0.78) (Fig. 4).

# Discussion

The current study represents a component of the process of evaluating the quality of surgical procedures and the impact of the learning curve in onco-urology. Our findings confirm that the RC-pentafecta proposed by Cacciamani et al. is reproducible in a European cohort of patients undergoing RARC-ICUD for bladder cancer and that its validation increases with the surgeon's experience.

In our study, the rate of "validated RC-pentafecta" was 39.4% compared to 53% in the study by Cacciamani et al. The validation of the RC-pentafecta in our cohort was mainly affected by the number of removed lymph nodes and the rate of complications.

PLND has two objectives: prognostic staging and therapeutic. However, its clinical impact remains controversial. The quality of PLND is difficult to assess and remains a source of debate. It has been suggested that OS was correlated with the number of removed lymph nodes [15], and several studies have suggested a minimum number of 10 to 16 nodes [16, 17] as a cut-off. May et al. showed that the removal of 16 lymph nodes improved specific survival by 11% [18].

Several retrospective studies have shown that extensive PLND improves 5-year recurrence-free survival compared to standard dissection [19–23]. A meta-analysis of 19,793 patients confirmed that PLND improved oncological results

without being able to demonstrate a difference in the extent of lymph node dissection performed [24]; however, the study presented very heterogeneous data. In addition, a recent prospective study showed no significant difference in OS or specific survival depending on the type of dissection (extensive vs. standard) [25].

The technique for calculating the number of lymph nodes during anatomopathological analysis has not yet been standardized. Indeed, counting the lymph nodes in bloc would increase the total number of lymph nodes found in the dissection, which highlights the influence of the anatomopathological technique used [26]. In our study, the mean number of lymph nodes was 17.8, which is much lower than that reported by Cacciamani et al. (mean 41.3). For less than 10 RARCs, the dissection rate with more than 16 lymph nodes was 43%, which increased to 74% after the 30th RARC. With a dissection rate of  $\geq 16$  lymph nodes of 56% versus 93% in the study by Cacciamani et al., this factor strongly modifies our results for the validation of pentafecta. Different methods of assessing the number of lymph nodes are likely to be partly responsible for this difference. In the future, to homogenize and improve the evaluation of the quality of surgical excision during cystectomy, the criterion "number of lymph nodes yield" could be modified by a criterion "the extent of PLND". We found in our study a relative high ratio of pT0 that did not received NAC. This is a bit higher than what is reported in the literature where approximately 10% of patients (6-41% depending on preoperative risk factors) no tumor is found in the specimen [27, 28]. One of the reason of this results might be inclusion criteria that included high-risk NMIBC.

UD-related events account for a major proportion of complications following RC and directly affect the long-term renal function and quality of life of patients [11]. The rate of overall urinary complications in our study was higher than that in the study by Cacciamani et al. (19.2% vs 7.8%). This

#### Table 2 Pathological and oncological outcomes

Pathological and oncological outcomes	Total	RC-pentafecta validated	RC-pentafecta not validated	P <sup>a</sup>
Patients n (%)	104	41 (39.4%)	61 (60. 6%)	
LN count				
Mean (DS)	17.9 (±7.89)	22.7 (±6.41)	14.6 (±7.07)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	17.0 [12.0; 22.0]			
LN count $\geq 16^{b} n (\%)$	57 (54.8%)	41 (100%)	16 (26.2%)	< 0.001
PSM <sup>b</sup> <i>n</i> (%)	4 (3.8%)	0 (0%)	4 (6.6%)	0.29
Pathological T-stage n (%)				
$pT \leq 2$				
pT0	39 (37.5%)	20 (48.8%)	18 (29.5%)	0.50
pTis	17 (16.3%)	7 (17.1%)	10 (16.4%)	
pT1	12 (11.5%)	5 (12.2%)	6 (9.8%)	
pT2	14 (13.5%)	3 (7.3%)	11 (18.1%)	
$pT \ge 3$				
pT3	21 (20.2%)	6 (14.6%)	15 (24.6%)	
pT4	1 (1.0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.6%)	
Pathological N-stage n (%)				
pN0	86 (83.7%)	38 (92.7%)	47 (77.1%)	0.23
pN1	8 (7.7%)	3 (7.3%)	5 (8.2%)	
pN2	6 (5.8%)	0 (0%)	6 (9.8%)	
pN3	1 (1.0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.6%)	
pNx	2 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	2 (3.3%)	
None organ confined $n$ (%)	29 (27.9%)	7 (17.1%)	22 (36.1%)	0.04
Follow-up				
Mean (DS)	23.5 (±15.6)	23.0 (±13.3)	24.0 (±17.3)	0.75
Median (IQR)	18.0 [12.8; 29.0]			
Overall recurrence rate $n$ (%)	16 (15.4%)	2 (4.9%)	14 (22.9%)	0.02
Overall recurrence rate $n$ (%)				
$\leq 12 \text{ month}^{b}$	7 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	7 (16%)	0.08
Local	1 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (14.3%)	0.09
Distant	5 (71.4%)	0 (0%)	5 (71.4%)	
Both	1 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (14.3%)	
Overall death $n$ (%)	4 (3.9%)	2 (4.9%)	2 (3.3%)	1
Specific death $n$ (%)	2 (1.9%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (1.6%)	1

Bold values mean statistically significant

<sup>a</sup>Test: Welch, Chi2 and Fisher

<sup>b</sup>Key outcomes included in the RC-Pentafecta

RC, radical cystectomy; LN, lymph node; PSM, positive surgical margin; none organ confined: pT≥3 et /ou N+

difference may be explained by the greater number of orthotopic neobladder procedures in our series, which increases the risk of urinary complications, especially leakage in the early postoperative period [11]. The greater number of orthotopic neobladder is probably secondary to a selection bias and a center side effect.

A recent multicenter study try to validate the Cacciamani 's RC-pentafecta [29] The authors performed a multicentric external validation of the RC-pentafecta. Among the 730 patients included 208 (28.5%) acheieved the RC-pentafecta. At the multivariate analysis revealed that RC-pentafecta was a significant predictor of overall death. In their multicenter validation, Oh et al. considered only the uretero-enteric strictures, arguing that several events overlapped with postoperative complications factors within 90 days and stomarelated complications were shown to only minimally influence survival outcomes. Accordingly to the EAU- ad-hoc Panel For Complication reporting, in contrast to a 90- complication, the sequelae of a procedure should be defined as an after-effect of that procedure [30]. Accordingly, in the



\*LNs: lymph nodes. SMs: surgical margins UD: urinary diversion



\*LNs : lymph nodes, SMs : surgical margins, UD : urinary diversion

**Fig. 2** a Validation of RC-pentafecta according to the type of urinary diversion. **b** RC-pentafecta criteria according to the type of urinary diversion





\*RARC: robot-assisted radical cystectomy, LNs: lymph nodes, SMs: surgical margins, UD: urinary

diversion

OR (95% IC)	р		
0.95 [0.90; 0.99]	0.04		
0.31 [0.06; 1.20]	0.11		
0.51 [0.13; 1.68]	0.29		
0.71 [0.22; 2.13]	0.55		
0.96 [0.28; 2.80]	0.99		
0.94 [0.29; 3.06]	0.91		
	OR (95% IC)   0.95 [0.90; 0.99]   0.31 [0.06; 1.20]   0.51 [0.13; 1.68]   0.71 [0.22; 2.13]   0.96 [0.28; 2.80]   0.94 [0.29; 3.06]		

Table 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis

Bold values mean statistically significant

\*ASA, American society of anesthesiologists

\*UD, urinary diversion

RC-Pentafecta proposed by Cacciamani et al. the UD-related sequelae are not overlapping with postoperative complications at 90 days [7]. Some of these sequelae may occur months after surgery; therefore, long-term and tightened follow-up of patients with UD is of paramount importance [10] Considering the impact on health-related quality of life of all these long-term UD-related sequelae, regular follow-ups in patients undergoing RC is imperative. Prospective evaluation of complications and side effects of treatments based on patient-reported data seems essential.

The overall recurrence rate was similar to that reported by Cacciamani et al. (15.4% vs 18.5%). Interestingly, there was a significantly higher rate of NAC in our series compared to that observed in the American series (68.2% vs 24.4%). The learning curve was also found to have an oncological impact; in fact, there was no recurrence in the patients operated by experienced surgeon (> 30 RARC), suggesting that



Log rank test p=0.72

Fig. 4 Kaplan–Meier survival curves comparing the groups validated vs not validated RC-pentafecta: overall survival at 5 years

this surgery should be referred to expert centers with experienced operators.

Another possible explanation for the differences in the number of the validated RC-pentafecta compared to the

original study is that 68.3% of the cystectomies were performed by inexperienced surgeons who had performed less than 30 procedures in our study. Although this ratio likely impacted our validated RC-pentafecta rates, and despite the important difference in terms of surgeon's experience, our results were not widely different, and, therefore, could not fully explain this difference.

Our series confirms the significant influence of the learning curve, with a net increase in the rate of validated RCpentafecta from 31% in the early stage of the learning curve to 56% for experienced surgeons. Furthermore, centers in which only one surgeon performed the RARC had the best results.

In multivariable logistic regression analysis, we found age to be the only significant predictor of RC-pentafecta validation; this was likely due to the effect of age on high-grade complications.

In the study of Cacciamani et al., the pentafecta was related to overall survival. However in our study this could not be highlighted. This is might be secondary to the lower number of patients and events, resulting in a lack of statistical power as well as the number of patients who received NAC or who had a high-risk NMIBC at time of surgery.

Our study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study, and consequently had some missing data. Second, the multicentric character implies great variability in the surgical technique or the experience of the operators. Third, according to the RC-pentafecta definition all patients with less than 12 months of follow-up were excluded; therefore, the number of included patients was low, which led to a lack of capacity to confirm, in particular, an advantage of the pentafecta on survival and the significance of certain data in the multivariate analysis. We believe that another tool such as the trifecta proposed by Brasseti et al. would had been also interesting to evaluate especially due to less debatable criteria. More studies are awaited to decide which tool should be used and which criteria are of utmost importance in the future.

# Conclusion

Our study confirms that the RC-pentafecta proposed by Cacciamani et al. is reproducible in a European cohort of patients undergoing RARC-ICUD for bladder cancer and that its validation increased with the surgeon's experience. It appears to be essential to change the evaluation of surgical procedures from purely quantitative criteria to qualitative composite criteria such as the one proposed by the pentafecta. Author contribution PB: project development, data collection, data analysis and manuscript writing. ZK: project development. FL: data collection and manuscript editing. GP: manuscript editing. ASB: data collection. GP: manuscript editing. GV: manuscript editing. AG: data collection. OP: data collection. MR: manuscript editing. KM: manuscript editing. CG: project development and manuscript editing. MR: manuscript editing. FB: manuscript editing. BP: project development, manuscript editing and data analysis.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna. The authors did not receive support from any organization for submitted work. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval** Ethical approval was waived by the local Ethics Committee of University of Tours view of the retrospective nature of the study and all the procedures being performed were part of the routine care.

**Informed consent** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Uhry Z, Remontet L, Colonna M, Belot A, Grosclaude P, Mitton N et al (2013) Cancer incidence estimation at a district level without a national registry: a validation study for 24 cancer sites using French health insurance and registry data. Cancer Epidemiol 37(2):99–114
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2018) Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 68(1):7–30
- Alfred Witjes J, Lebret T, Compérat EM, Cowan NC, De Santis M, Bruins HM et al (2017) Updated 2016 EAU guidelines on muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder cancer. Eur Urol 71(3):462–475
- Skinner DG, David Crawford E, Kaufman JJ (1980) Complications of radical cystectomy for carcinoma of the bladder. J Urol 123(5):640–643
- Brassetti A, Tuderti G, Anceschi U, Ferriero M, Guaglianone S, Gallucci M, Simone G (2019) Combined reporting of surgical quality, cancer control and functional outcomes of robot-assisted radical cystectomy with intracorporeal orthotopic neobladder into a novel trifecta. Minerva Urol Nefrol 71:6
- 6. Aziz A, Gierth M, Rink M, Schmid M, Chun FK, Dahlem R et al (2015) Optimizing outcome reporting after radical cystectomy for organ-confined urothelial carcinoma of the

bladder using oncological trifecta and pentafecta. World J Urol 33(12):1945–1950

- Cacciamani GE, Winter M, Medina LG, Ashrafi AN, Miranda G, Tafuri A, et al. Radical cystectomy pentafecta: a proposal for standardisation of outcomes reporting following robot-assisted radical cystectomy. BJU Int. https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.14861
- Masson E. Cystoprostatectomie totale laparoscopique robotassistée pour le traitement des tumeurs de la vessie infiltrant le muscle. EM-Consulte (cited 2020 May 16). https://www.em-consu lte.com/article/1216567/cystoprostatectomie-totale-laparoscop ique-robot-as
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A (2004) Classification of surgical complications. Ann Surg 240(2):205–213
- Cacciamani GE, Aron M, Gill I, Desai MR (2020) Oncological outcome according to attainment of pentafecta after robot-assisted radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer in the multicentre KORARC database. BJU Int. https://doi.org/10.1111/bju. 15178
- 11. Amini E, Djaladat H (2015) Long-term complications of urinary diversion. Curr Opin Urol 25(6):570–577
- AJCC American Joint Committee on Cancer (cited 2020 May 8). https://cancerstaging.org/Pages/default.aspx.
- Soukup V, Čapoun O, Cohen D, Hernandez V, Babjuk M, et al. Prognostic Performance and Reproducibility of the 1973 and 2004/2016 World Health Organization Grading Classification Systems in Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A European Association of Urology Non-muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Guidelines Panel Systematic Review. European urology. 2017 (cited 2021 Apr 18). https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28457661/.
- 14. l'Urologie M de. Recommandations françaises du Comité de Cancérologie de l'AFU – Actualisation 2018–2020 : tumeurs de la vessie. 2019 (cited 2019 Nov 11). https://www.urofrance.org/ base-bibliographique/recommandations-francaises-du-comite-decancerologie-de-lafu-actualisation-16
- Koppie TM, Vickers AJ, Vora K, Dalbagni G, Bochner BH (2006) Standardization of pelvic lymphadenectomy performed at radical cystectomy. Cancer 107(10):2368–2374
- Fleischmann A, Thalmann GN, Markwalder R, Studer UE (2005) Extracapsular extension of pelvic lymph node metastases from urothelial carcinoma of the bladder is an independent prognostic factor. J Clin Oncol 23(10):2358–2365
- 17. Wright JL, Lin DW, Porter MP (2008) The association between extent of lymphadenectomy and survival among patients with lymph node metastases undergoing radical cystectomy. Cancer 112(11):2401–2408
- May M, Herrmann E, Bolenz C, Brookman-May S, Tiemann A, Moritz R et al (2011) Association between the number of dissected lymph nodes during pelvic lymphadenectomy and cancerspecific survival in patients with lymph node-negative urothelial carcinoma of the bladder undergoing radical cystectomy. Ann Surg Oncol 18(7):2018–2025
- Simone G, Papalia R, Ferriero M, Guaglianone S, Castelli E, Collura D et al (2013) Stage-specific impact of extended versus

standard pelvic lymph node dissection in radical cystectomy. Int J Urol 20(4):390–397

- Holmer M, Bendahl P-O, Davidsson T, Gudjonsson S, Månsson W, Liedberg F (2009) Extended lymph node dissection in patients with urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder: can it make a difference? World J Urol 27(4):521–526
- Poulsen AL, Horn T, Steven K (1998) Radical cystectomy: extending the limits of pelvic lymph node dissection improves survival for patients with bladder cancer confined to the bladder wall. J Urol 160(6 Pt 1):2015–2019 (discussion 2020)
- Jensen JB, Ulhoi BP, Jensen KME (2012) Extended versus limited lymph node dissection in radical cystectomy: Impact on recurrence pattern and survival. Int J Urol 19(1):39–47
- 23. Bhatta DN, Eric KA, Alwyn RM, George TN, Stephan M, Urs SE (2008) Outcome after radical cystectomy with limited or extended pelvic lymph node dissection. J Urol 179(3):873–878
- Bruins HM, Veskimae E, Hernandez V, Imamura M, Neuberger MM, Dahm P et al (2014) The impact of the extent of lymphadenectomy on oncologic outcomes in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a systematic review. Eur Urol 66(6):1065–1077
- 25. Gschwend JE, Heck MM, Lehmann J, Rübben H, Albers P, Wolff JM et al (2019) Extended versus limited lymph node dissection in bladder cancer patients undergoing radical cystectomy: survival results from a prospective. Randomized Trial Eur Urol 75(4):604–611
- 26. Zehnder P, Moltzahn F, Mitra AP, Cai J, Miranda G, Skinner EC et al (2016) Radical cystectomy with super-extended lymphadenectomy: impact of separate vs en bloc lymph node submission on analysis and outcomes. BJU Int 117(2):253–259
- 27. Kassouf W, Spiess PE, Brown GA, Munsell MF, Grossman HB, Siefker-Radtke A et al (2007) P0 stage at radical cystectomy for bladder cancer is associated with improved outcome independent of traditional clinical risk factors. Eur Urol 52(3):769–774
- Palapattu GS, Shariat SF, Karakiewicz PI, Bastian PJ, Rogers CG, Amiel G, et al. Cancer Specific Outcomes in Patients With PTO Disease Following Radical Cystectomy. J Urol. 2006 (cited 2019 Nov 17).
- Oh JJ, Lee S, Ku JH, Kwon TG, Kim T-H, Jeon SH et al (2020) Oncological outcome according to attainment of pentafecta after robot-assisted radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer included in the multicentre KORARC database. BJU Int. https:// doi.org/10.1111/bju.15178
- 30. Mitropoulos D, Artibani W, Graefen M, Remzi M, Rouprêt M, Truss M (2012) Reporting and grading of complications after urologic surgical procedures: an Ad hoc EAU guidelines panel assessment and recommendations. Eur Urol 61(2):341–349

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.