






<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16007-z>

OPEN

# Author Correction: A VEGF receptor vaccine demonstrates preliminary efficacy in neurofibromatosis type 2

Ryota Tamura , Masato Fujioka, Yukina Morimoto, Kentaro Ohara, Kenzo Kosugi, Yumiko Oishi, Mizuto Sato, Ryo Ueda, Hirokazu Fujiwara, Tetsuro Hikichi, Shinobu Noji, Naoki Oishi , Kaoru Ogawa, Yutaka Kawakami, Takayuki Ohira, Kazunari Yoshida & Masahiro Toda 

Correction to: *Nature Communications* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13640-1>, published online 17 December 2019.

The original version of this Article omitted from the author list the 10th author Tetsuro Hikichi, who is from OncoTherapy Science, Inc., 3-2-1, Sakado, Takatsu-ku, Kawasaki City, Kanagawa, 213-0012, Japan. This author has been added to the article. Consequently, the 5th sentence in the Authors Contributions has been modified to read “RT, MF, YM, KO, KK, YO, MS, NO, HF, TH, and SN collected the data”. Additionally, the following was added to the Competing Interests: TH is an employee of OncoTherapy Science, Inc. This has been corrected in both the PDF and HTML versions of the Article.

Additionally, the original version of the Supplementary Information associated with this Article contained an error in the ELISPOT method described on page 5, which incorrectly read ‘positive CD8+ T cell responses were identified when the mean number of spot-forming cells per well in response to the respective peptide was  $\geq 10$  after subtracting background reactivity as determined via a control (non-immunogenic) peptide. The degrees of positivity classified were 10–199 spots = “1+”, 200–299 spots = “2+”, and  $\geq 300$  spots = “3+”. The correct version states ‘positivity for an antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell response was quantitatively defined according to a modified evaluation tree algorithm based on that described previously<sup>19</sup>. In brief, the number of peptide-specific spots was calculated as the average of triplicates by subtracting the number of spots in the control well from that observed in the well with peptide-pulsed stimulator. Positivity for each antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell response was classified into four grades (–, +, ++, and +++) depending on the number and variability of peptide-specific spots at different responder/stimulator ratios.’ in place of the incorrect text. The original version of this Article omitted a reference to the ELISPOT method in the Supplementary Information. This has been added as reference 19 at the text mentioned above and at the list of references in the Supplementary Information. The HTML has been updated to include a corrected version of the Supplementary Information.

Published online: 21 April 2020



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020