

Citation: Lippi CA, Stewart-Ibarra AM, Loor MEFB, Zambrano JED, Lopez NAE, Blackburn JK, et al. (2019) Geographic shifts in *Aedes aegypti* habitat suitability in Ecuador using larval surveillance data and ecological niche modeling: Implications of climate change for public health vector control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13(4): e0007322. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322

Editor: Audrey Lenhart, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, UNITED STATES

Received: August 30, 2018

Accepted: March 21, 2019

Published: April 17, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Lippi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data associated with this paper can be found as follows: Lippi, Catherine, 2019, "Presence only data for Aedes aegypti in Ecuador derived from larval survey data collected by the Ministerio de Salud Pública (MSP) Ecuador (2000-2012)", https://doi.org/10.7910/ DVN/KSEL0Y, Harvard Dataverse, V1.

Funding: SJR, AMS, and CAL were supported by NSF DEB EEID 1518681. The funders had no role

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Geographic shifts in *Aedes aegypti* habitat suitability in Ecuador using larval surveillance data and ecological niche modeling: Implications of climate change for public health vector control

Catherine A. Lippi^{1,2}, Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra³, M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor⁴, Jose E. Dueñas Zambrano⁴, Nelson A. Espinoza Lopez⁴, Jason K. Blackburn^{2,5}, Sadie J. Ryan^{1,2}*

1 Quantitative Disease Ecology and Conservation (QDEC) Lab Group, Department of Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America, 2 Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America, 3 Institute for Global Health and Translational Science, Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, United States of America, 4 Ministerio de Salud Pública, Guayaquil, Ecuador, 5 Spatial Epidemiology and Ecology Research (SEER) Laboratory, Department of Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, Gainesville, Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America, 9 Ministerio de Salud Pública, Guayaquil, Ecuador, 9 Spatial Epidemiology and Ecology Research (SEER) Laboratory, Department of Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America

* sjryan@ufl.edu

Abstract

Arboviral disease transmission by Aedes mosquitoes poses a major challenge to public health systems in Ecuador, where constraints on health services and resource allocation call for spatially informed management decisions. Employing a unique dataset of larval occurrence records provided by the Ecuadorian Ministry of Health, we used ecological niche models (ENMs) to estimate the current geographic distribution of Aedes aegypti in Ecuador, using mosquito presence as a proxy for risk of disease transmission. ENMs built with the Genetic Algorithm for Rule-Set Production (GARP) algorithm and a suite of environmental variables were assessed for agreement and accuracy. The top model of larval mosquito presence was projected to the year 2050 under various combinations of greenhouse gas emissions scenarios and models of climate change. Under current climatic conditions, larval mosquitoes were not predicted in areas of high elevation in Ecuador, such as the Andes mountain range, as well as the eastern portion of the Amazon basin. However, all models projected to scenarios of future climate change demonstrated potential shifts in mosquito distribution, wherein range contractions were seen throughout most of eastern Ecuador, and areas of transitional elevation became suitable for mosquito presence. Encroachment of Ae. aegypti into mountainous terrain was estimated to affect up to 4,215 km² under the most extreme scenario of climate change, an area which would put over 12,000 people currently living in transitional areas at risk. This distributional shift into communities at higher elevations indicates an area of concern for public health agencies, as targeted interventions may be needed to protect vulnerable populations with limited prior exposure to mosquito-borne diseases. Ultimately, the results of this study serve as a tool for informing public health policy and mosquito abatement strategies in Ecuador.

in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author summary

The yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*) is a medically important vector of arboviral diseases in Ecuador, such as dengue fever and chikungunya. Managing *Ae. aegypti* is a challenge to public health agencies in Latin America, where the use of limited resources must be planned in an efficient, targeted manner. The spatial distribution of *Ae. aegypti* can be used as a proxy for risk of disease exposure, guiding policy formation and decision-making. We used ecological niche models in this study to predict the range of *Ae. aegypti* in Ecuador, based on agency larval mosquito surveillance records and layers of environmental predictors (e.g. climate, elevation, and human population). The best models of current range were then projected to the year 2050 under a variety of greenhouse gas emissions scenarios and models of climate change. All modeled future scenarios predicted shifts in the range of *Ae. aegypti*, allowing us to assess human populations that may be at risk of becoming exposed to *Aedes* vectored diseases. *As* climate changes, we predict that communities living in areas of transitional elevation along the Andes mountain range are vulnerable to the expansion of *Ae. aegypti*.

Introduction

Mosquito-borne disease transmission poses an ongoing challenge to global public health. This is especially true in much of Latin America, where arboviral disease management is complicated by the proliferation of mosquito vectors in tropical conditions, frequently coupled with limited resources for medical care and comprehensive vector control services [1]. In Ecuador, the yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*) is of particular medical importance as it is a competent vector for several established and emerging viral diseases, including all four serotypes of dengue virus (DENV), chikungunya (CHKV), Zika virus (ZKV), and yellow fever virus (YFV) [2–5]. The *Ae. albopictus* mosquito, also a competent vector of arboviruses, was recently reported for the first time in the city of Guayaquil, Ecuador [6]. Mosquito-borne diseases caused by arboviruses transmitted by *Aedes* spp. have no treatment beyond palliative care, and with the exception of yellow fever, there are no clinically established vaccines [7–9]. As a result, mosquito surveillance and control remain the best tools available for preventing and managing outbreaks of arboviral disease.

In Ecuador, the Ministry of Health, or Ministerio de Salud Pública (MSP), oversees public health vector control services in the country, including mosquito surveillance, indoor residual spraying, larvicide application, and ultra-low volume (ULV) fogging. The MSP conducts larval index (LI) surveys at the household level, wherein containers of water are sampled for mosquito larvae. Larval indices (e.g. household, container, and Breteau) are among the most common indicators used by public health agencies to establish mosquito presence and quantify abundance, which are key considerations for understanding localized transmission potential and planning larval source reduction [10]. Although cost effective relative to the delivery of clinical services, mosquito abatement and surveillance activities are nevertheless limited by financial constraints, necessitating informed strategies for focusing resources and personnel [11,12]. This becomes a critical factor when developing surveillance and control programs on very large scales, such as an entire country, where misdirection of program activities can rapidly deplete program funding. Advancing the understanding of where vectors of interest can occur on the landscape would provide valuable guidance in communicating risk of exposure and avoiding the pitfalls associated with indiscriminately rolling out interventions.

Like many mosquito species, the presence of Aedes spp. on the landscape is closely tied to environmental conditions [13–15]. Adult survival and larval development are largely driven and restricted by temperature, while successful oviposition and larval emergence rely on the persistence of standing water in the environment [16-21]. In contrast with other medically important mosquito species in the region, such as Anopheline vectors of malaria, Ae. aegypti typically does very well in heavily urbanized environments, largely due to their reproductive strategy of exploiting small volumes of water in manmade containers around the home as larval habitats [22]. In landscapes with heterogeneous topography, elevation also serves as a limiting factor for mosquito distributions, as temperature and precipitation change with elevation [23,24]. Situated in northwestern South America, Ecuador exemplifies high landscape diversity, with hot, humid areas of low elevation along the Pacific coast in the west and interior Amazon basin in the east, and the cool, arid Andes mountain range in the central portion of the country (Fig 1). Historically, the western coastal and interior regions experience a much higher incidence in mosquito-borne diseases than mountainous areas, where sharp increases in elevation and decreases in temperature limit the geographic distribution and vectorial capacity of the mosquito vector.

The present-day distribution of *Ae. aegypti* is broadly defined by regional temperature and precipitation trends, but global climate change has the potential to significantly alter the future geographic range of mosquito vectors [3]. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has established four representative concentration pathways (RCP), or different scenarios for future greenhouse gas emissions, which are the basis for modeling future climates. Even under the most conservative of these scenarios (RCP 2.6), mean global temperatures are projected to increase [25]. As temperature trends increase globally, it has been estimated that observed patterns in the distribution of mosquito vectors will shift accordingly; previous studies have projected that *Aedes* mosquitoes will increase their global range as temperature and rainfall patterns become more suitable under various climate change scenarios [18,26–28]. Modeling and visualizing changes in mosquito distributions at the national level will provide a useful tool for managing disease and planning the delivery of health services, as public health resources can be better allocated in anticipation of disease emergence in naïve populations driven by mosquito range expansions.

Ecological niche models (ENMs) have been used to estimate current potential distributions in insect populations, including mosquitoes, as well as range expansions resulting from environmental and climate changes [29-32]. Ecological niche modeling methodologies have been applied to many systems, spanning regional to global scales, in an effort to estimate Ae. aegypti distribution and the associated risk of exposure to humans, often indicating that water availability and land cover factor heavily into overall mosquito habitat suitability [3,29,33,34]. In Ecuador and other areas of Latin America, elevation also becomes a limiting factor for Ae. *aegypti* presence, though it is suggested that climate change may allow for the encroachment of mosquitoes into higher elevations [32,35]. While many prior studies have utilized records of adult stages of mosquitoes for ENMs, this study leverages existing larval surveillance data collected in Ecuador as an indicator of species presence, providing a predictive tool about the source of mosquitoes in the environment. This complements predictive models for adult stages, particularly in considering potential for intervention, as it can target larvicidal approaches, rather than reactive adulticidal spraying methods. The Genetic Algorithm for Rule-Set Production (GARP) is a machine-learning algorithm that builds species ENMs using presence-only occurrence records and continuous environmental variables [36]. The genetic algorithm (GA) employed by GARP to build rule-sets for distribution models is stochastic in nature, resulting in a set of models from a single dataset of species occurrence records and allowing for the assessment of agreement between resulting models. This methodology offers a



Fig 1. Ecuador, situated on the northwestern coast of South America (inset), has historically high prevalence of mosquito-borne diseases. The Ecuadorian Ministerio de Salud Pública (MSP) conducted household entomological surveys of *Aedes aegypti* throughout the country from 2000–2012. Spatially unique larval index (LI) occurrence records (n = 478) collected in the survey were aggregated to cities and towns and used to model the ecological distribution of *Ae. aegypti* in Ecuador. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using shapefiles from the GADM database of Global Administrative Areas, ver. 2.8 (gadm.org), elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm), and georeferenced mosquito surveillance data provided by the MSP and edited by CAL.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.g001

robust option for modeling the potential distribution of species on a landscape from presenceonly records, as absence of a species is difficult to discern through historical records and field sampling (e.g. entomological surveys) [36,37]. GARP also provides a platform for projecting future climate scenarios onto the landscape with the natively generated rule-sets for species distribution prediction, allowing for the estimation of future geographic distributions [38].

Assessing current and future vector distributions in an ENM framework is useful for defining the spatial distribution and possible changes in risk exposure, using mosquito presence as a proxy for transmission risk. Previous work in Ecuador's southern coast has focused on describing interannual variation in dengue transmission for a single region [39,40]. In this study, we contribute to the available climate-informed tools used by the public health sector in Ecuador to assist decision-making, examining potential geographic shifts in risk at broader spatial and temporal scales. We had three main objectives 1) use an ENM approach to estimate the current geographic range of *Ae. aegypti* in Ecuador using a unique set of larval survey data; 2) use projected climate data to model the future geographic range under a variety of climate change scenarios; and 3) compare current and future climate models to describe changes in *Ae. aegypti* range over time, where we hypothesized that larval *Ae. aegypti* distribution in Ecuador would expand into areas of higher elevation with projected increases in global temperature.

Methods

Data sources

Presence only data on the occurrence of Ae. aegypti in Ecuador were made available for this study by the MSP. From 2000-2012 the MSP sampled aquatic larval mosquitoes from standing water in and around households in cities and towns throughout mainland Ecuador following standard protocols for entomological surveillance recommended by the World Health Organization [41]. These data were collected year-round by vector control technicians from the National Service for the Control of Vector-Borne Diseases (SNEM) of the MSP as part of routine vector surveillance activities. Upon entering households, technicians visually inspected all potential larval habitat sites inside and outside of the home. Live samples of juvenile mosquitoes from positive containers were collected and transported to local vector control offices, where laboratory technicians confirmed species identification. Although the possibility for confusion with Ae. albopictus exists, this species was only recently detected in Ecuador [6]. Originally used by the MSP as an indicator of mosquito abundance around households, positive LI records for Ae. aegypti were used in this study to indicate the presence of mosquitoes at a given location. These occurrence data were de-identified from households and aggregated to the administrative level of parroquia (township or parish) by the MSP for each year of the study. Figures were produced in ArcMap (ver. 10.4, ESRI, Redlands, CA) using shapefiles from the GADM database of Global Administrative Areas, ver. 2.8 (gadm.org), elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm), georeferenced mosquito surveillance data provided by the MSP and edited by the authors for this project, and ENM output produced in the course of this study.

Informed disaggregation

Parroquias (n = 991) represented in this data set range in size from roughly 2 km² to over 8,000 km². Therefore, we felt it prudent to reduce this high spatial variation prior to analyses. To correct for this extreme variation in the spatial resolution of aggregated presence data, the number of positive LI locations in a given parroquia were reassigned from the centroid of the administrative boundary to the centroids of cities, barrios (neighborhoods), and villages where MSP mosquito surveillance was conducted, \leq 5km in urban extent. Human settlements were identified via a combination of OpenStreetMap (http://openstreetmap.org) and Google Earth (http://earth.google.com) satellite imagery in ArcMap. While satellite images were used to identify population dense areas, guiding disaggregation of LI data, this imagery was not used in mapping or creation of figures. Given the potential for uncertainty, a conservative approach to disaggregation was taken, where occurrence records were not included in the final dataset in cases of spatial ambiguity (e.g. cities larger than 5km in extent with a single occurrence record, multiple developments in an administrative unit exceeding the number of surveys conducted, etc). This method of informed disaggregation allowed for better spatial representation and improved model performance compared to ENMs built with aggregated data, without compromising de-identification (S1 Table).

Socio-environmental data acquisition

Environmental coverage datasets for current climatic conditions, comprised of rasterized elevation and 19 derived biophysical variables (Bioclim), were compiled using publicly available interpolated weather station data (WorldClim ver. 1.4., http://worldclim.org) (Table 1) [42]. WorldClim provides long-term climate averages based on weather station records from 1950– 2000, a period coinciding with the start of the MSP's larval survey. Although more contemporary long-term averages of interpolated climate are available, these datasets have yet to incorporate models of future climate conditions into publicly available products. Because *Ae. aegypti* is primarily considered an urban vector in close association with human development, gridded human population density, adjusted to data from the United Nations World Population Prospects 2015 Revision, was also included as an environmental predictor for initial model building as a proxy for built land covers (Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC) Gridded Population of the World (GPW)) [43,44]. A resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes (i.e. 5km grid cells) was chosen for all raster layers to reflect variability in the resolution of geolocated data.

Environmental coverages for estimated future climatic conditions in the year 2050 were taken from forecasted Bioclim variables, allowing for direct comparison between current and future predicted ranges. We chose three general circulation models (GCMs) of physical climate processes commonly used in projecting shifts in species distributions, the Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model (BCC-CSM-1), National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Climate System Model (CCSM4), and the Hadley Centre Global Environment Model version 2, Earth-System configuration (HADGEM2-ES) under the four standard emissions scenarios (RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5, RCP 6.0, RCP 8.5) [25,45–49]. Gridded human population data available through SEDAC are only projected through the year 2020 [43]. To obtain

Environmental Variable (unit)	Coded Variable Name	Data Source
Elevation (m)	Elev	Worldclim
Annual Mean Temperature (°C)	Bio 1	Bioclim
Mean Diurnal Range (°C)	Bio 2	Bioclim
Isothermality	Bio 3	Bioclim
Temperature Seasonality	Bio 4	Bioclim
Max Temp of Warmest Month (°C)	Bio 5	Bioclim
Min Temp of Coldest Month (°C)	Bio 6	Bioclim
Temperature Annual Range (°C)	Bio 7	Bioclim
Mean Temp of Wettest Quarter (°C)	Bio 8	Bioclim
Mean Temp of Driest Quarter (°C)	Bio 9	Bioclim
Mean Temp of Warmest Quarter (°C)	Bio 10	Bioclim
Mean Temp of Coldest Quarter (°C)	Bio 11	Bioclim
Annual Precipitation (mm)	Bio 12	Bioclim
Precip of Wettest Month (mm)	Bio 13	Bioclim
Precip of Driest Month (mm)	Bio 14	Bioclim
Precip Seasonality	Bio 15	Bioclim
Precip of Wettest Quarter (mm)	Bio 16	Bioclim
Precip of Driest Quarter (mm)	Bio 17	Bioclim
Precip of Warmest Quarter (mm)	Bio 18	Bioclim
Precip of Coldest Quarter (mm)	Bio 19	Bioclim
Human Population Density	GPW	SEDAC Gridded Population of the World (GPW)

Table 1. Environmental variables used in building GARP models for Aedes aegypti in Ecuador.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.t001

human population for the year 2050, a simple linear extrapolation, wherein we assume a stable rate of growth, was performed on a pixel-by-pixel basis in ArcMap with available years of SEDAC data. Although a rudimentary means of estimating human population growth, the resulting trend mirrors more sophisticated cohort-based population estimates for Ecuador projected for the same time period [50,51].

Ecological niche modeling

Ecological niche models reflecting current and future climate conditions were built using DesktopGARP ver. 1.1.3 (DG) [37]. Although more contemporary methods of building ENMs are available, GARP was chosen for this study because of its demonstrated ability to produce models that are transferable to novel time periods [52]. Furthermore, while other methods of estimating species distributions are known to overfit geographic models to training data, an issue which could exacerbate any spurious errors in our disaggregated occurrence data, GARP has been shown in other studies to exclude a degree of outlier data from geographic predictions [53,54]. LI point records and environmental coverage datasets were prepared for modeling using the 'GARPTools' package (co-developed by C.G. Haase and J.K. Blackburn) in the program R (ver. 3.3.1). Spatially unique LI records (n = 478) were split into 75% training (n = 358) and 25% testing datasets (n = 119) for ten randomly selected iterations; training datasets were used in model building and testing datasets were used to compute model accuracy metrics [36,37,55,56]. Ten experiments were run in DG, each using one of the randomly selected LI training datasets and the full set of current environmental coverage variables (Table 2). Each experiment was run for 200 models, allowing for a maximum of 1,000 iterations with a convergence limit of 0.01. Occurrence training data were internally partitioned in DG into 75% training/25% testing for model building and subset selection, and top models were selected using the 'Best Subsets' option, specifying a 10% hard omission threshold and 50% commission threshold [57]. The top ten best subsets models from each GARP experiment were summated with the GARPTools package to assess model agreement and accuracy. Model accuracy metrics for each GARP experiment were calculated from the 25% testing dataset withheld from the model building process. Three standard measures of accuracy, calculated in GARPTools, were used to compare best subsets from each experiment: receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve with area under the curve (AUC), commission (i.e. false positives), and omission (i.e. false negatives) [58]. The AUC is an indicator of a model's ability to predict areas of species presence versus absence, with an AUC of 0.5 indicating a model that performs no better than random, and an AUC of 1.0 indicating a perfect model [58]. We additionally

Experiment	AUC	Avg. Commission	Avg. Omission	Avg. pAUC	Avg. AUC Ratio
1	0.72	63.98	3.70	0.72	1.44
2	0.73	64.19	3.19	0.72	1.44
3	0.68	59.49	8.40	0.68	1.37
4	0.73	62.01	5.96	0.72	1.44
5	0.67	67.02	5.55	0.68	1.36
6	0.73	60.86	4.03	0.73	1.47
7	0.70	67.18	2.69	0.71	1.42
8	0.76	64.88	5.63	0.77	1.54
9	0.74	58.78	4.45	0.73	1.47
10	0.72	60.92	5.63	0.72	1.44

Table 2. Accuracy metrics for best model subsets built using the full set of environmental coverage variables. Each experiment was performed with a randomly chosen subset (75%) of LI presence points. The subset of LI presence points used in variable selection is shown in bold.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.t002

performed partial ROC (pROC) analyses for model accuracy, a method which addresses some of the limitations identified in the classic ROC approach [59]. Partial ROC analyses were performed with Niche Toolbox (ver. 0.2.5.4), specifying an omission threshold of E = 10 and 1000 bootstrap replicates, where resulting AUC ratios >1 indicate that model predictions are significantly better than random (p < 0.01) [59,60].

The model building process was then repeated in DG with the best performing training dataset (i.e. high AUC relative to low omission) to compare full model performance with more parsimonious sets of environmental variables. In addition to variable combinations selected based on previous literature, the GARPTools package was used to extract ruleset trends from the full model (e.g. prevalence and importance of given variables in the resulting model) to assemble additional candidate variable sets for model comparison. The subset of models with the highest AUC and lowest omission (i.e. best model) was chosen as the most probable estimate of current larval mosquito geographic distribution, and rulesets generated from the best model were then projected to the year 2050 for all combinations of GCMs and RCPs. To compare the relative changes in geographic predictions between current and future climate scenarios, the best subsets of current and projected future models for each RCP scenario were recoded as binary geographic distributions (i.e. presence and absence) in ArcMap, where cells with model agreement of \geq 6 were considered present. Recoded distributions were combined using the 'Raster Calculator' tool in the Spatial Analyst extension of the program ArcMap, allowing for the visualization of range agreement across GCMs. The number of people at risk in areas of expanding mosquito distribution, where range expansion was predicted under at least one GCM, was estimated in ArcMap, using the Raster Calculator tool to extract information on GPW and extrapolated population for the year 2050.

Results

The original dataset of LI occurrences in Ecuador, provided by the MSP, consisted of 3,655 collection events aggregated to 374 parroquia centroids, indicating the number of parroquias that had positive surveillance results for *Ae. aegypti* larvae during the study period. Disaggregation of these data yielded 478 spatially unique locations within these parroquias, corresponding with areas of human habitation regularly surveyed by the MSP. Incorporating prior knowledge regarding the agency's collection of data in developed areas allowed for the adoption of a finer spatial scale for analysis without changing the overall distribution of larval mosquito presence in Ecuador (e.g. mosquitoes remained conspicuously absent in most high-elevation parroquias located in the Andes mountains).

Much of Ecuador was predicted to be suitable for the presence of *Ae. aegypti* larvae under current climatic conditions, with the notable exception of the eastern portion of the country associated with the Amazon basin and high elevation areas associated with the Andes mountain range, running north to south through the center of the country (Fig 2). This iteration of model subsets generated by GARP had the highest AUC, relative to low omission (AUC = 0.73, Avg. Commission = 63.47, Avg. Omission = 3.02), and was built with a reduced set of environmental variables including elevation, human population, maximum temperature of the warmest month, annual temperature range, mean temperature of the wettest month, mean temperature of the driest month, mean temperature of the driest quarter, precipitation of the wettest month, precipitation seasonality, precipitation of the driest quarter, and precipitation of the coldest quarter (Table 3).

The projected geographic distribution of larval *Ae. aegypti* for the year 2050 (Figs <u>3B-3D</u>, <u>3F-3H</u> and <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u>), built with the best-performing selection of environmental coverages under four climate change scenarios, showed marked changes in pattern when compared with



Fig 2. Agreement of best model subsets built with best-ranked suite of environmental variables for larval *Aedes aegypti* presence in Ecuador under current climate conditions. Models had high levels of agreement in the western coastal lowlands, and lower levels of agreement in the eastern Amazon basin. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using rasters of model output produced with DesktopGARP (ver. 1.1.3), and elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.g002

	NEGLECTED TROPICAL DISEASES
--	--------------------------------

Variable Subset	AUC	Avg. Commission	Avg. Omission	Avg. pAUC	Avg. AUC Ratio
Full Model	0.77	64.88	5.63	0.73	1.47
Elev, GPW, Bio 5,7,8,9,10–11,13,15	0.71	67.38	2.60	0.70	1.40
Elev, GPW, Bio 2,5,7–11,13,15–17	0.71	67.32	3.28	0.69	1.39
Elev, GPW, Bio 1,5,6,8,10-11,14,17,19	0.63	65.68	8.32	0.64	1.29
Elev, Bio 5,8,10,16,17	0.62	64.30	12.01	0.64	1.29
Elev, GPW, Bio 5,8,10,16,17	0.66	67.95	2.60	0.64	1.28
Elev, Bio 3,5,8,10,12–13,16–17,19	0.65	68.37	3.19	0.64	1.29
Elev, GPW, Bio 3,5,8,10,12–13,16–17,19	0.66	69.88	2.18	0.64	1.28
Elev, Bio 1,3,5,7,8,9,11–13,15–17,19	0.71	64.62	6.13	0.70	1.40
Elev,GPW, Bio 1,3,5,7-9,11-13,15-17,19	0.72	63.39	3.28	0.70	1.41
Elev, Bio 1–3,5,7–13,15–17,19	0.71	61.85	4.54	0.68	1.37
Elev, GPW, Bio 1-3,5,7-12,13,15-17,19	0.72	64.09	2.94	0.71	1.42
Elev, Bio 5,7–11,13,15	0.70	65.29	4.12	0.69	1.39
Elev, GPW, Bio 5,7-11,13,15,17,19	0.73	63.47	3.02	0.71	1.43
Elev, GPW, Bio 1,3,5,7–11,13,15–17,19	0.71	66.20	2.06	0.69	1.39
Elev, GPW, Bio5,7-11,13,15-17,19	0.69	67.60	3.19	0.67	1.35
Elev, GPW, Bio 5,7,8,9,11,13,15,17,19	0.71	66.22	2.44	0.69	1.39
Elev, GPW, Bio 1,5,7-11,13,15,17,19	0.71	66.90	2.18	0.69	1.40
Elev, GPW, Bio 1,3,5,7–13,15–17,19	0.71	63.54	3.11	0.69	1.39
Elev, Bio 5,7–11,13,15,17,19	0.71	63.24	4.62	0.69	1.40
GPW, Bio 5,7-11,13,15,17,19	0.71	64.70	3.61	0.69	1.39

Table 3. Accuracy metrics for best model subsets built using the best-ranked training dataset and selected subsets of environmental coverages. The variable subset used in building the final models is shown in bold.

estimated mosquito presence under current conditions (Figs <u>3A</u> and <u>3E</u> and <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u>). Potential distributional shifts were generally consistent across GCMs, with slight range expansions into areas of higher elevation and large portions of the eastern Amazonian basin predicting mosquito absence (Figs <u>3</u> and <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u>). Combining the current and future model agreement rasters for best subset models by RCP revealed predicted areas of geographic stability in western Ecuador and the eastern foothills of the Andes, range contraction throughout much of Amazon basin in the east, and range expansions along transitional elevation boundaries over time (Fig <u>4</u>). Range expansions and contractions were generally consistent across climate models, with the magnitude of distribution change increasing with more extreme climate change scenarios (Fig <u>4</u>). Similarly, the human population with the potential to experience increased exposure to mosquito presence generally increases with RCP, with an additional 9,473 (RCP2.6), 11,155 (RCP4.5), 10,492 (RCP6.0), and 12,939 (RCP8.5) people currently living in areas of transitional elevation estimated at risk of becoming exposed under different climate change scenarios (Table <u>4</u>).

Discussion

The predicted current geographic distribution of *Ae. aegypti* suitability in Ecuador, under current climate conditions, largely reflects present-day risk maps for many of the mosquito-borne diseases currently circulating in the country, wherein populations living at high altitudes are not considered at-risk for transmission [61]. Predicted larval distributions are roughly continuous in the eastern and western portions of Ecuador, but are sharply restricted along increasing elevation gradients in the central portion of the country, the area corresponding with the location of the Andes mountain range (Fig 2) [9]. This conspicuous absence of mosquitoes in the Andes reflects the generally protective nature of high mountain elevations from mosquito





Fig 3. Agreement of best model subsets built with best ranked suite of environmental variables for larval *Aedes aegypti* presence in Ecuador under A) current climate conditions and future climate conditions projected to the year 2050 under Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 2.6 (B1,C1,D1) and 8.5 (B2,C2,D2) for the B) BCC-CSM-1, C) CCSM4, and D) HADGEM2-ES General Circulation Models (GCM) climate models. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using rasters of model output produced with DesktopGARP (ver. 1.1.3), and elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.g003

presence, with all models predicting larval mosquito absence throughout central Ecuador (Figs 2-4 and S1 and S2). The predicted low habitat suitability for *Ae. aegypti* in the eastern portion of the Amazon basin is notable, as this is a region currently perceived as potentially higher risk for mosquito exposure by public health officials relative to mountainous regions, mostly owing to its low elevation, despite having generally low human population density (Fig 2). Although similar in elevation to regions of active disease transmission in the West, the hydrology and seasonal temperature patterns of the Amazon basin differ considerably from coastal areas. Previous work in this region suggests a great deal of spatial variability in the basin with regards to climate patterns, which drive differences in biodiversity [62–64]. Given that the mosquito life cycle depends heavily on the availability of water in the environment, spatial discrepancies in precipitation could account for the low model agreement of mosquito habitat suitability in the easternmost portion of the Amazon.

Range expansion of *Ae. aegypti* into higher elevations as a result of changing climate was supported across GCM models and emissions scenarios (Figs 3–4 and S1 and S2). All best model subsets suggest that areas of transitional elevation along the eastern and western peripheries of the Andes mountains may experience some level of increased exposure to the presence of mosquitoes, though much of the mountain range, including densely populated areas like the capital city, Quito, will remain unsuitable habitat. The intrusion of *Ae. aegypti* into areas of transitioning elevation represents a potential area of concern for public health managers, as communities in these areas are largely protected from mosquito exposure and associated





Fig 4. Best model subsets for current and future climate (GCMs projected to the year 2050) were combined by RCP emissions scenarios to illustrate the estimated contraction and expansion of larval *Aedes aegypti* geographic range in Ecuador. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using rasters of model output produced with DesktopGARP (ver. 1.1.3), and elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.g004

Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP)	GPW 2010 Population	Projected 2050 Population	Area (km ²)
RCP 2.6	9,473	15,399	2,755
RCP 4.5	11,155	18,439	3,530
RCP 6.0	10,492	17,100	3,155
RCP 8.5	12,939	21,298	4,215

Table 4. Estimated human population inhabiting areas of transitional elevation in Ecuador, which may experience increased exposure to moquito-borne disease transmission under climate change.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322.t004

diseases under current climatic conditions. Excluding travel-related cases, reporting of arboviral diseases in Ecuador's mountain dwelling populations is quite low, although there are lowlying valleys near Quito that may be suitable for arbovirus transmission. Accordingly, the MSP primarily directs mosquito-borne disease outreach and intervention efforts to high-risk communities, particularly in large coastal cities with consistently high disease incidence, such as Guayaquil and Machala. As a result, communities situated in the foothills of the Andes will not necessarily have the same baseline risk perceptions and preventative behaviors as those communities burdened with historically high incidence of mosquito-borne diseases. This sets the stage for potential disparities in preventative knowledge and health services should *Aedes* mosquitoes expand into naïve populations [5,65].

Models projected to future climate scenarios predict the extirpation of *Ae. aegypti* in several areas of Ecuador, with a particularly large range contraction in the Amazon basin shown across scenarios. This finding is consistent with other studies on potential geographic shifts in arthropod vectors in response to climate change, which demonstrate that increasing temperatures do not necessarily lead to net increases in geographic disease risk, but rather shifts in distribution as high temperatures decrease habitat suitability [32,66]. While our models do not account for the possibility of vector populations adapting to changing climate, evidence suggests that ectotherms have a limited capacity for exceeding physiological thermal limits [67]. The potential loss of mosquito habitat in Ecuador has considerable implications for the public health sector. Localized extinctions would conserve valuable health resources by triggering allocation shifts as unsuitable areas no longer support active disease transmission.

Our findings are broadly consistent with a previous coarser scale ENM analysis of adult mosquitoes in Ecuador, which suggests that while Aedes mosquitoes may shift into highland areas under changing climate conditions, the total area of suitable habitat will ultimately decrease as localized climatic conditions favor extirpation [32]. However, models of Aedes distribution in the previous study were made through the year 2100, representing an extended time horizon for guiding agency decision making. While predicted ranges in 2100 are visually similar to results presented here, notable discrepancies exist between the spatial distributions predicted in our models and the previous study for 2050, where the previous model predicts widespread absence of mosquitoes in central Ecuador and presence throughout much of the eastern Amazon basin. In contrast to our methods, Escobar et al. [32] used a different niche modeling algorithm, a different model of climate change (A2), a coarser spatial resolution (20 km), and combined global species occurrence for two adult arbovirus vectors, Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus, to predict pooled arbovirus risk throughout Ecuador. Though Ae. aegpyti and Ae. albopictus are competent vectors of diseases that occur in Ecuador (e.g. dengue, chikungunya, Zika), these species differ significantly in their physiology, possibly driving observed discrepancies between the models of pooled adult Aedes spp. risk and larval Ae. aegypti range [68]. Reaching consensus across ENMs is a known area of conflict in ecology that requires more research, where various methodologies can lead to vastly different forecasts of geographic distributions and risk, making direct comparisons between models difficult [69].

Future studies combining multiple approaches and comparing the impact of input on models could help resolve this conundrum.

The scale of analysis used in this study presents a limitation in applying resulting ENMs for local management decisions. We chose a moderately low spatial resolution for this study (5km raster cells) to reflect the highest level of precision that could be assigned to larval mosquito occurrence (i.e. points could be matched to cities or clusters of villages, but not to individual households or neighborhoods). Arboviral disease transmission and larval mosquito presence, especially for Ae. aegypti, are typically managed at the household or neighborhood level, and although we can use these results to discuss regional changes in mosquito distribution throughout Ecuador, we cannot overstate the findings as a means to assess risk at the level of disease transmission [70]. Furthermore, the LI survey conducted by the MSP was limited in that focus was placed on sampling areas with perceived arbovirus transmission risk throughout Ecuador, especially households in densely populated urban centers and established communities where cases had been reported in the past. Low accessibility and human population density in Ecuador's eastern basin region may have contributed to under sampling of mosquito presence in these areas, possibly accounting for low model agreement in this area. Ultimately, robust vector surveillance for Ae. aegypti in eastern Ecuador would be required to validate absence in this region, though such intensive ground-truthing would be wrought with logistical concerns, including diversion of scarce surveillance resources from high-demand management districts and the inherent difficulty of establishing "true" absence via surveys.

Aedes aegypti is a globally invasive species, owing much of its success to its close connection with human activity and urban environments. As such, predicted habitat suitability does not guarantee the introduction and establishment of a species in the future due to a myriad of factors, such as physical and geographical barriers to movement [71]. Patterns of human movement and land use also have the potential to influence mosquito expansion in ways that we cannot predict with ENMs. Additionally, microclimate can become a critical factor in determining true habitat suitability, and there are many examples of anthropogenic behaviors and structures providing a buffering effect, or refuge, against climatic conditions that would be otherwise physiologically limiting to insect vectors [5,72–75]. Dramatic shifts in species compositions in Ecuador, mediated by elevation, also occur on very fine spatial scales [76,77]. Moving forward, observed areas of range expansion on the edge of unsuitable habitat may be better modeled at finer resolutions, which would aid in making community-targeted management decisions based on estimated risk.

Based on the results of this study, we conclude that the geographic distribution of *Ae*. *aegypti* in Ecuador will be impacted by projected shifts in climate. Extensive changes in modeled vector distributions were observed even under the most conservative climate change scenario, and these changes, although consistent in pattern, became more evident with increasingly high greenhouse gas emissions scenarios. Although there is a continued need for surveillance activities, these findings enable us to anticipate transitioning risk of arboviral diseases in a spatial context throughout Ecuador, allowing for long-term planning of agency vector control strategies.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Accuracy metrics for best model subsets built with the original dataset of aggregated LI occurrence points provided by the MSP using the full set of environmental coverage variables. Each experiment was performed with a randomly chosen subset (75%) of LI presence points. (DOCX) **S2** Table. Prevalence of environmental coverages in model building ruleset. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Agreement of best model subsets built with best ranked suite of environmental variables for larval Aedes aegypti presence in Ecuador under A) current climate conditions and future climate conditions projected to the year 2050 under Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 for the B) BCC-CSM-1, C) CCSM4, and D) HADGEM2-ES General Circulation Models (GCM) climate models. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using rasters of model output produced with DesktopGARP (ver. 1.1.3), and elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm). (TIF)

S2 Fig. Agreement of best model subsets built with best ranked suite of environmental variables for larval Aedes aegypti presence in Ecuador under A) current climate conditions and future climate conditions projected to the year 2050 under Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 6.0 for the B) BCC-CSM-1, C) CCSM4, and D) HADGEM2-ES General Circulation Models (GCM) climate models. This figure was produced in ArcMap 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) using rasters of model output produced with DesktopGARP (ver. 1.1.3), and elevation data freely available from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (jpl.nasa.gov/srtm). (TIF)

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to the field technicians and other staff at the National Service for the Control of Vector Borne Diseases of the Ministry of Health of Ecuador, who generated the data used in this analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Catherine A. Lippi, Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, Sadie J. Ryan.

Data curation: Catherine A. Lippi, Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor, Jose E. Dueñas Zambrano, Nelson A. Espinoza Lopez.

Formal analysis: Catherine A. Lippi, Sadie J. Ryan.

Funding acquisition: Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, Sadie J. Ryan.

Investigation: M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor, Jose E. Dueñas Zambrano, Nelson A. Espinoza Lopez.

Methodology: Catherine A. Lippi, Jason K. Blackburn, Sadie J. Ryan.

Project administration: M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor, Jose E. Dueñas Zambrano, Nelson A. Espinoza Lopez.

Resources: Jason K. Blackburn, Sadie J. Ryan.

Software: Catherine A. Lippi.

Supervision: Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, Jason K. Blackburn, Sadie J. Ryan.

Visualization: Catherine A. Lippi, Sadie J. Ryan.

Writing – original draft: Catherine A. Lippi, Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor, Jason K. Blackburn, Sadie J. Ryan. Writing – review & editing: Catherine A. Lippi, Anna M. Stewart-Ibarra, M. E. Franklin Bajaña Loor, Jose E. Dueñas Zambrano, Nelson A. Espinoza Lopez, Jason K. Blackburn, Sadie J. Ryan.

References

- 1. Alava A., Mosquera C., Vargas W., Real J. Dengue en el Ecuador 1989–2002. Rev Ecuat Hig Med Trop. 2005; 42: 11–34.
- Fontenille D, Diallo M, Mondo M, Ndiaye M, Thonnon J. First evidence of natural vertical transmission of yellow fever virus in Aedes aegypti, its epidemic vector. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1997; 91: 533– 535. PMID: 9463659
- Campbell LP, Luther C, Moo-Llanes D, Ramsey JM, Danis-Lozano R, Peterson AT. Climate change influences on global distributions of dengue and chikungunya virus vectors. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2015; 370: 20140135–20140135. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0135 PMID: 25688023
- Pan American Health Organization, World Health Organization. Zika suspected and confirmed cases reported by countries and territories in the Americas. Cumulative cases, 2015–2016. [Internet]. PAN/ WHO, Washington, D.C.; 2016. Available: http://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_ content&view=article&id=12390&Itemid=42090&Iang=en
- Stewart Ibarra AM, Ryan SJ, Beltrán E, Mejía R, Silva M, Muñoz Á. Dengue Vector Dynamics (Aedes aegypti) Influenced by Climate and Social Factors in Ecuador: Implications for Targeted Control. Mores CN, editor. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e78263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078263</u> PMID: 24324542
- Ponce P, Morales D, Argoti A, Cevallos VE. First Report of Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae), the Asian Tiger Mosquito, in Ecuador. J Med Entomol. 2018; 55: 248–249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjx165</u> PMID: 29029173
- Aggarwal A, Garg N. Newer Vaccines against Mosquito-borne Diseases. Indian J Pediatr. 2018; 85: 117–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-017-2383-4 PMID: 28560654
- 8. WHO. Questions and answers on dengue vaccines: efficacy and longer-term safety of CYD-TDV. World Health Organization; 2015.
- 9. Gershman MD, Jentes ES, Stoney RJ, Tan KR, Arguin PM, Steele SF. Yellow fever and malaria information by country. CDC Health Inf Int Travel. 2016; 3.
- 10. WHO. Global Strategy for dengue prevention and control, 2012–2020. World Health Organization Report; 2012.
- Pepin KM, Marques-Toledo C, Scherer L, Morais MM, Ellis B, Eiras AE. Cost-effectiveness of Novel System of Mosquito Surveillance and Control, Brazil. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013; 19: 542–550. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1904.120117</u> PMID: 23628282
- White MT, Conteh L, Cibulskis R, Ghani AC. Costs and cost-effectiveness of malaria control interventions—a systematic review. Malar J. 2011; 10: 337. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-10-337 PMID: 22050911
- Grillet M-E, Barrera R, Martinez J-E, Berti J, Fortin M-J. Disentangling the Effect of Local and Global Spatial Variation on a Mosquito-Borne Infection in a Neotropical Heterogeneous Environment. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2010; 82: 194–201. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0040 PMID: 20133991
- Smith DL, Dushoff J, McKenzie FE. The Risk of a Mosquito-Borne Infectionin a Heterogeneous Environment. Andy P. Dobson, editor. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2: e368. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 0020368 PMID: 15510228
- Attaway DF, Jacobsen KH, Falconer A, Manca G, Waters NM. Risk analysis for dengue suitability in Africa using the ArcGIS predictive analysis tools (PA tools). Acta Trop. 2016; 158: 248–257. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.02.018 PMID: 26945482
- Bar-Zeev M. The Effect of Temperature on the Growth Rate and Survival of the Immature Stages of Aëdes aegypti (L.). Bull Entomol Res. 1958; 49: 157. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300053499
- Bargielowski I, Nimmo D, Alphey L, Koella JC. Comparison of life history characteristics of the genetically modified OX513A line and a wild type strain of Aedes aegypti. PloS One. 2011; 6: e20699. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020699</u> PMID: 21698096
- Roiz D, Rosà R, Arnoldi D, Rizzoli A. Effects of temperature and rainfall on the activity and dynamics of host-seeking Aedes albopictus females in northern Italy. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2010; 10: 811–816. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2009.0098 PMID: 20059318

- Tun-Lin W, Burkot TR, Kay BH. Effects of temperature and larval diet on development rates and survival of the dengue vector Aedes aegypti in north Queensland, Australia. Med Vet Entomol. 2000; 14: 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.2000.00207.x PMID: 10759309
- Rueda LM, Patel KJ, Axtell RC, Stinner RE. Temperature-dependent development and survival rates of Culex quinquefasciatus and Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 1990; 27: 892–898.
 PMID: 2231624
- Yang HM, Macoris MLG, Galvani KC, Andrighetti MTM, Wanderley DMV. Assessing the effects of temperature on the population of Aedes aegypti, the vector of dengue. Epidemiol Infect. 2009; 137: 1188. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268809002040 PMID: 19192322
- Araújo H, Carvalho D, Ioshino R, Costa-da-Silva A, Capurro M. Aedes aegypti Control Strategies in Brazil: Incorporation of New Technologies to Overcome the Persistence of Dengue Epidemics. Insects. 2015; 6: 576–594. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6020576 PMID: 26463204
- 23. Townsend Peterson A, Osorio J, Quiao H, Escobar LE. Zika virus, elevation, and transmission risk. PLOS ONE Curr Outbreaks. 2016;
- Watts AG, Miniota J, Joseph HA, Brady OJ, Kraemer MUG, Grills AW, et al. Elevation as a proxy for mosquito-borne Zika virus transmission in the Americas. PloS One. 2017; 12: e0178211. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178211 PMID: 28542540
- 25. IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of the Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA; 2014.
- Hopp MJ, Foley JA. Global-scale relationships between climate and the dengue fever vector, Aedes aegypti. Clim Change. 2001; 48: 441–463.
- Rochlin I, Ninivaggi DV, Hutchinson ML, Farajollahi A. Climate Change and Range Expansion of the Asian Tiger Mosquito (Aedes albopictus) in Northeastern USA: Implications for Public Health Practitioners. Oliveira PL, editor. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e60874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060874</u> PMID: 23565282
- Patz JA, Campbell-Lendrum D, Holloway T, Foley JA. Impact of regional climate change on human health. Nature. 2005; 438: 310–317. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04188 PMID: 16292302
- Peterson AT, Martínez-Campos C, Nakazawa Y, Martínez-Meyer E. Time-specific ecological niche modeling predicts spatial dynamics of vector insects and human dengue cases. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2005; 99: 647–655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.02.004 PMID: 15979656
- **30.** Sidder AM, Kumar S, Laituri M, Sibold JS. Using spatiotemporal correlative niche models for evaluating the effects of climate change on mountain pine beetle. Ecosphere. 2016; 7: e01396. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1396</u>
- Alimi TO, Fuller DO, Qualls WA, Herrera SV, Arevalo-Herrera M, Quinones ML, et al. Predicting potential ranges of primary malaria vectors and malaria in northern South America based on projected changes in climate, land cover and human population. Parasit Vectors. 2015; 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13071-015-1033-9 PMID: 26289677
- Escobar LE, Romero-Alvarez D, Leon R, Lepe-Lopez MA, Craft ME, Borbor-Cordova MJ, et al. Declining Prevalence of Disease Vectors Under Climate Change. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 39150. https://doi.org/10. 1038/srep39150 PMID: 27982119
- Baak-Baak CM, Moo-Llanes DA, Cigarroa–Toledo N, Puerto FI, Machain-Williams C, Reyes-Solis G, et al. Ecological Niche Model for Predicting Distribution of Disease-Vector Mosquitoes in Yucatán State, México. J Med Entomol. 2017; 54: 854–861. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjw243 PMID: 28399263
- Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA, Mylne AQN, Shearer FM, Barker CM, et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. eLife. 2015; 4: e08347. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 7554/eLife.08347 PMID: 26126267
- 35. Equihua M, Ibáñez-Bernal S, Benítez G, Estrada-Contreras I, Sandoval-Ruiz CA, Mendoza-Palmero FS. Establishment of Aedes aegypti (L.) in mountainous regions in Mexico: Increasing number of population at risk of mosquito-borne disease and future climate conditions. Acta Trop. 2017; 166: 316–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.11.014 PMID: 27863974
- Stockwell D, Peters D. The GARP modelling system: problems and solutions to automated spatial prediction. Int J Geogr Inf Sci. 13: 143–158.
- Adjemian JCZ, Girvetz EH, Beckett L, Foley JE. Analysis of genetic algorithm for rule-set production (GARP) modeling approach for predicting distributions of fleas implicated as vectors of plague, Yersinia pestis, in California. J Med Entomol. 43: 93–103. PMID: <u>16506453</u>
- Peterson AT, Ortega-Huerta MA, Bartley J, Sánchez-Cordero V, Soberón J, Buddemeier RH, et al. Future projections for Mexican faunas under global climate change scenarios. Nature. 2002; 416: 626– 629. https://doi.org/10.1038/416626a PMID: 11948349

- Lowe R, Stewart-Ibarra AM, Petrova D, García-Díez M, Borbor-Cordova MJ, Mejía R, et al. Climate services for health: predicting the evolution of the 2016 dengue season in Machala, Ecuador. Lancet Planet Health. 2017; 1: e142–e151. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30064-5 PMID: 29851600
- 40. Stewart-Ibarra AM, Lowe R. Climate and Non-Climate Drivers of Dengue Epidemics in Southern Coastal Ecuador. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013; 88: 971–981. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.12-0478 PMID: 23478584
- Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health Organization, editors. Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and control. New ed. Geneva: TDR: World Health Organization; 2009.
- Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int J Climatol. 2005; 25: 1965–1978. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276
- 43. Center For International Earth Science Information Network-CIESIN-Columbia University. Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4): Population Density Adjusted to Match 2015 Revision of UN WPP Country Totals [Internet]. Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC); 2016. https://doi.org/10.7927/H4HX19NJ
- 44. UN DESA. 2015 Revision of World Population Prospects [Internet]. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division; 2015. Available: https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/
- 45. Randall DA, Wood RA, Bony S, Colman R, Fichefet T, Fyfe J, et al. Cilmate Models and Their Evaluation. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA; 2007.
- **46.** Collins WJ, Bellouin N, Doutriaux-Boucher M, Gedney N, Hinton T, Jones CD, et al. Evaluation of the HadGEM2 model [Internet]. Met Office Hadley Centre; 2008. Report No.: Technical Note no. HCTN 74. Available: http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/publications/HCTN/index.html
- Carlson CJ, Burgio KR, Dougherty ER, Phillips AJ, Bueno VM, Clements CF, et al. Parasite biodiversity faces extinction and redistribution in a changing climate. Sci Adv. 2017; 3: e1602422. https://doi.org/10. 1126/sciadv.1602422 PMID: 28913417
- Samy AM, Elaagip AH, Kenawy MA, Ayres CFJ, Peterson AT, Soliman DE. Climate Change Influences on the Global Potential Distribution of the Mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus, Vector of West Nile Virus and Lymphatic Filariasis. LaDeau SL, editor. PLOS ONE. 2016; 11: e0163863. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163863</u> PMID: 27695107
- Sales LP, Neves OV, De Marco P, Loyola R. Model uncertainties do not affect observed patterns of species richness in the Amazon. Guralnick R, editor. PLOS ONE. 2017; 12: e0183785. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0183785 PMID: 29023503
- 50. Siegel J, Swanson D. Population Projections. The Methods and Materials of Demography. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego; 2004.
- UN DESA. 2017 Revision of World Population Prospects [Internet]. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division; 2017. Available: https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/
- 52. Townsend Peterson A, Papeş M, Eaton M. Transferability and model evaluation in ecological niche modeling: a comparison of GARP and Maxent. Ecography. 2007; 30: 550–560. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.0906-7590.2007.05102.x
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol Model. 2006; 190: 231–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026
- Blackburn JK, McNyset KM, Curtis A, Hugh-Jones ME. Modeling the geographic distribution of Bacillus anthracis, the causative agent of anthrax disease, for the contiguous United States using predictive ecological [corrected] niche modeling. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 77: 1103–1110. PMID: 18165531
- 55. Barro AS, Fegan M, Moloney B, Porter K, Muller J, Warner S, et al. Redefining the Australian Anthrax Belt: Modeling the Ecological Niche and Predicting the Geographic Distribution of Bacillus anthracis. Small PLC, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10: e0004689. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.</u> 0004689 PMID: 27280981
- Blackburn JK, Matakarimov S, Kozhokeeva S, Tagaeva Z, Bell LK, Kracalik IT, et al. Modeling the Ecological Niche of Bacillus anthracis to Map Anthrax Risk in Kyrgyzstan. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2017; 96: 550–556. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.16-0758 PMID: 28115677
- Anderson RP, Lew D, Peterson AT. Evaluating predictive models of species' distributions: criteria for selecting optimal models. Ecol Model. 2003; 162: 211–232.
- McNyset KM. Use of ecological niche modelling to predict distributions of freshwater fish species in Kansas. Ecol Freshw Fish. 2005; 14: 243–255. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2005.00101.x

- Peterson AT, Papeş M, Soberón J. Rethinking receiver operating characteristic analysis applications in ecological niche modeling. Ecol Model. 2008; 213: 63–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.11</u>. 008
- Osorio-Olvera L, Barve V, Barve N, Soberón J, Falconi M. ntbox: from getting biodiversity data to evaluating species distribution models in a friendly GUI environment [Internet]. 2018. Available: https://github.com/luismurao/ntbox
- CDC. Health Information for Travelers to Ecuador, Including the Galapages Islands [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control; 2016. Available: http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/destinations/traveler/none/ecuador
- Laraque A, Ronchail J, Cochonneau G, Pombosa R, Guyot JL. Heterogeneous Distribution of Rainfall and Discharge Regimes in the Ecuadorian Amazon Basin. J Hydrometeorol. 2007; 8: 1364–1381. https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JHM784.1
- Espinoza Villar JC, Ronchail J, Guyot JL, Cochonneau G, Naziano F, Lavado W, et al. Spatio-temporal rainfall variability in the Amazon basin countries (Brazil, Peru, Bolivia, Colombia, and Ecuador). Int J Climatol. 2009; 29: 1574–1594. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1791
- Sierra R, Ceron C, Palacios W, Valencia R. Propuesta preliminar de un sistema de clasificacion de vegetacion para el Ecuador continental. Quito, Ecuador: Proyecto INEFAN/GEF-BIRF y EcoCiencia; 1999.
- Siregar FA., Rusli Abdu M, Omar J, Muda Sarum S, Supriyadi T, Makmur T, et al. Social and Environmental Determinants of Dengue Infection Risk in North Sumatera Province, Indonesia. Asian J Epidemiol. 2015; 8: 23–35. https://doi.org/10.3923/aje.2015.23.35
- Ryan SJ, McNally A, Johnson LR, Mordecai EA, Ben-Horin T, Paaijmans K, et al. Mapping Physiological Suitability Limits for Malaria in Africa Under Climate Change. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2015; 15: 718–725. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2015.1822 PMID: 26579951
- Araújo MB, Ferri-Yáñez F, Bozinovic F, Marquet PA, Valladares F, Chown SL. Heat freezes niche evolution. Ecol Lett. 2013; 16: 1206–1219. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12155 PMID: 23869696
- Mordecai EA, Cohen JM, Evans MV, Gudapati P, Johnson LR, Lippi CA, et al. Detecting the impact of temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue, and chikungunya using mechanistic models. Althouse B, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11: e0005568. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005568 PMID: 28448507
- Carlson CJ, Dougherty E, Boots M, Getz W, Ryan SJ. Consensus and conflict among ecological forecasts of Zika virus outbreaks in the United States. Sci Rep. 2018; 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22989-0 PMID: 29563545
- 70. Yoon I-K, Getis A, Aldstadt J, Rothman AL, Tannitisupawong D, Koenraadt CJM, et al. Fine Scale Spatiotemporal Clustering of Dengue Virus Transmission in Children and Aedes aegypti in Rural Thai Villages. Barrera R, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012; 6: e1730. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0001730 PMID: 22816001
- Guagliardo SA, Barboza JL, Morrison AC, Astete H, Vazquez-Prokopec G, Kitron U. Patterns of Geographic Expansion of Aedes aegypti in the Peruvian Amazon. Barrera R, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8: e3033. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003033 PMID: 25101786
- Paaijmans KP, Thomas MB. The influence of mosquito resting behaviour and associated microclimate for malaria risk. Malar J. 2011; 10: 183. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-10-183 PMID: 21736735
- 73. Murdock CC, Evans MV, McClanahan TD, Miazgowicz KL, Tesla B. Fine-scale variation in microclimate across an urban landscape shapes variation in mosquito population dynamics and the potential of Aedes albopictus to transmit arboviral disease. Scarpino SV, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11: e0005640. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005640 PMID: 28558030
- 74. Tsunoda T, Cuong TC, Dong TD, Yen NT, Le NH, Phong TV, et al. Winter Refuge for Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus Mosquitoes in Hanoi during Winter. Zhu KY, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9: e95606. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095606 PMID: 24752230
- 75. Vazquez-Prokopec G., Ceballos L., Cecere M., Gürtler R. Seasonal variations of microclimatic conditions in domestic and peridomestic habitats of Triatoma infestans in rural northwest Argentina. Acta Trop. 2002; 84: 229–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-706X(02)00204-8 PMID: 12443801
- **76.** Keating P. Changes in Paramo vegetation along an elevation gradient in Southern Ecuador. J Torrey Bot Soc. 1999; 126: 159–175.
- 77. Rodríguez-Castañeda G, Dyer LA, Brehm G, Connahs H, Forkner RE, Walla TR. Tropical forests are not flat: how mountains affect herbivore diversity: Tropical forests are not flat. Ecol Lett. 2010; 13: 1348–1357. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01525.x PMID: 20807233