CrossMark

Association between Blood Cadmium Levels and 10-Year Coronary Heart Disease Risk in the General Korean Population: The Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2010



1 Department of Occupational & Environmental Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 2 Center for Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Abstract

Background: Non-occupational heavy metals are considered risk factors for coronary heart disease (CHD). Several recent epidemiologic studies have evaluated the relationship between non-occupational cadmium exposure and risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD). This study was designed to investigate the relationship between non-occupational cadmium exposure and risk factors for CHD using the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk.

Methods: The heavy metal dataset of the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey for 2008 through 2010, a cross-sectional survey of a representative sample of 4,668 non-institutionalized Koreans, was analyzed. Subjects were stratified into seven age groups to minimize the effects of age. The log-transformed blood cadmium concentrations were compared with the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk in each age stratum.

Results: The Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was significantly associated with the log-transformed blood cadmium concentrations (p<0.05) in all age groups of Korean men, with the lowest regression coefficient (0.254) for men aged 20 to <35 years and the highest (3.354) for men aged 55 to <60 years; similar results, however, were not observed in Korean women. After adjusting for survey year, age, and urinary cotinine concentration, the log-transformed blood cadmium levels among men aged 20 to <35, 40 to <45, 50 to <55, and 60 to <65 years were significantly associated with systolic blood pressure (p<0.05), but not with total and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations.

Conclusions: Cadmium exposure, even at non-occupational levels, may be associated with CHD risk in men. Despite the declines in non-occupational cadmium exposure over the past several decades, more efforts are needed.

Citation: Myong J-P, Kim H-R, Jang T-W, Lee HE, Koo J-W (2014) Association between Blood Cadmium Levels and 10-Year Coronary Heart Disease Risk in the General Korean Population: The Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2010. PLoS ONE 9(11): e111909. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0111909

Editor: Jaymie Meliker, Stony Brook University, Graduate Program in Public Health, United States of America

Received June 3, 2014; Accepted October 2, 2014; Published November 10, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Myong et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: om1024@hanmail.net

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD), including coronary heart disease (CHD), cardiomyopathy, heart failure, hypertension, and valvular heart disease, is a leading cause of death worldwide, with 17.3 million people worldwide dying of CVD in 2008, including 7.3 million people who died of CHD [1]. CVD is also a leading cause of death in South Korea, where the death rate in 2010 was 112.5 per 100,000, and the death rate for CHD was 47.2 per 100,000 [2].

Smoking, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and other factors (age, sex, and air pollution) are among the major risk factors for CHD [3]. In addition, non-occupational exposure to several heavy metals has been associated with CHD [4]. Lead, which is both hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic, may induce cholesterogenesis and

phosphogelipidosis in tissue [5,6]. Blood cadmium level was found to be positively associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure in the general Korean population [7]. In a US study using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), blood cadmium was found to positively correlate with peripheral artery disease [8]. Few studies, however, have evaluated the associations between heavy metal concentrations in blood and overall estimates of CHD risk [9,10].

The Framingham risk score, based on the Framingham Heart Study [11], can be calculated using various factors, including age, sex, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations, and smoking. Ten year CHD risk categories were classified as >20%, 10-20%, and <10%. However, only one study, in Swedish females aged ≥ 70

years, has shown an association between blood cadmium concentrations and Framingham risk score (regression coefficient: 0.98, *p*-value = 0.0001), but even that study could not elucidate a relationship in those aged <70 years [10]. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the relationship between the blood cadmium concentrations and CHD risk in persons aged <70 years old. This study was therefore designed to investigate the relationship between blood cadmium concentrations and the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and its components among the general Korean population aged 25–64 years.

Materials and Methods

Data source and study subjects

The heavy metal dataset of the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) IV and V (from 2008 through 2010), a representative annual survey of the health, nutritional status, and blood heavy metal concentrations in the civilian, non-institutionalized Korean general population, was analyzed. Representative non-institutionalized Koreans were selected using a stratified multistage clustered probability design developed by the Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention [12]. Subjects were assessed for blood heavy metal concentrations, within 200 primary sampling units (PSU) in 2008 and 2009, and 192 PSU in 2010. Ten to twelve individuals from each PSU were randomly selected while maintaining a uniform distribution across gender. Five age groups (20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, and \geq 60 years) were assessed in 2008 and 2009, and six age groups (<20, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, and ≥ 60 years) in 2010. A total of 2,006, 1,991, and 2,355 subjects participated in the KNHANES in 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively. Participants without laboratory test results (blood chemistry: HDL cholesterol and total cholesterol; urinary cotinine) were excluded (n = 7; 2 in 2008, 3 in 2009, and 2 in 2010), as were those aged \geq 65 and ≤ 25 (n = 1,658; 449 in 2008, 435 in 2009, and 774 in 2010, respectively), leaving a total of 4,688 subjects. The study design was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Catholic University of Korea, College of Medicine (approval ID: KC12EIS0545).

Blood Cadmium

Blood cadmium concentrations were measured by the NEO-DIN Medical Institute, which was certified by the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare. There was no background cadmium contamination at collection or in storage materials [12]. Cadmium concentrations in whole blood were assessed by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry using a PerkinElmer AAnalyst AAS-600 (PerkinElmer, Turku, Finland), with Zeeman correction. For internal quality assurance and control, commercial standards (Lyphochek Whole Blood Metals, Bio-Rad, CA, USA) were used as reference materials. The coefficients of variation for blood cadmium were 1.51-11.89%, 1.35-5.29%, and 0.97-9.68% in 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively. For external quality assurance, both the German External Quality Assessment Scheme (G-EQUAS), operated by Friedrich Alexander University, and the quality assurance program, operated by the Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency (KOSHA), were used in 2008. Quality assurance by the National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) was added in 2009, and by the Lead and Multielement Proficiency Program (LAMP) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2010. The limits of detection for blood cadmium in 2008, 2009, and 2010 were 0.056, 0.087, and 0.062 µg/L, respectively. No subject had a blood cadmium concentration below the detection limit.

Systolic blood pressure, clinical laboratory tests, and hypertension treatment

After 10 minutes of rest, blood pressure was measured three times at 5 minute intervals with a mercury sphygmomanometer while subjects were in a seated position. The first result was discarded, and the next two were used to calculate the average systolic blood pressure. Blood samples for clinical laboratory tests (total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol) were drawn by skilled practitioners after an overnight fast for >10 hours, and total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol were measured using a Hitachi Automatic Analyzer 7600 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The limits of detection for urinary cotinine and cholesterol were 0.25 µg/L and 33 mg/dL, respectively. Antihypertensive treatment was assessed by answers to the question 'Are you taking antihypertensive drugs or other treatment?' Participants who answered 'yes' to this question were considered to be taking antihypertensive treatment. Age, systolic blood pressure, and HDL cholesterol levels were categorized by the formula from the Framingham point score [3].

Urinary cotinine and smoking verification

Spot urinary samples were collected for measurement of urinary cotinine by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry using a PerkinElmer Clarus 600T, with a limit of detection of 1.26 ng/ml. For internal quality assurance and control purposes, standard reference materials were used (ClinChek, RECIPE, Munich, Germany), which showed that the coefficients of variation for urinary cotinine in 2008, 2009, and 2010 were 3.43-9.11%, 0.79-8.17%, and 0.48-5.29%, respectively. The G-EQUAS utilizes a standard protocol to measure urinary cotinine. Individuals with urinary cotinine ≥ 50 ng/mL were defined as cotinine-verified smokers [13–15].

Framingham estimate of 10-year coronary heart disease (CHD) risk

The Framingham estimate of 10 year risk of CHD was derived from the Framingham point score, based on age, HDL cholesterol and total cholesterol concentrations, systolic blood pressure, and smoking by gender [11]. The Framingham risk score is widely used to predict the 10 year CHD risk of an individual. Total points of risk factors ranged from $0\sim17$ in males and $1\sim25$ in females, with both representing Framingham point score ranges of 1% to 30%.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS institute, Cary, NC, USA). Analyses were designed to account for the stratified multistage clustered probability design and weights in KNHANES (2008 through 2010). The distribution of cadmium in blood was skewed and was therefore log-transformed to estimate geometric mean (GM) and geometric standard error (GSE). To control for confounders on both the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and blood cadmium levels, age and gender were stratified for all analyses. Cadmium levels were classified into quartiles within each age category. To determine the association between the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and blood cadmium levels, the log-transformed blood cadmium concentrations were regressed against the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk in each of the seven age groups, 20 to <35, 35 to <40, 40 to <45, 45 to <50, 50 to <55, 55 to <60, and 60 to <65 years, after adjusting for survey years. To evaluate the associations between log-transformed blood cadmium levels and the components of the Framingham points scores (systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol), multiple survey regression

analyses were performed after adjusting for survey years, age, and urinary cotinine levels (SAS Syntax: PROC SURVEYREG).

Results

The GMs and GSEs of cadmium in blood are presented by sex in Table 1. The GMs of blood cadmium levels were higher in older participants and in those with higher systolic blood pressure, higher total cholesterol, and higher urinary cotinine concentrations.

Table 2 shows the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk by age and blood cadmium quartiles and their linear trends. The means of the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk were higher among Korean men than Korean women. For men, the means of the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk increased with increasing blood cadmium quartiles (*p* for linear trend < 0.05). For women, significantly increasing trends were observed in groups aged 20 to <35, 45 to <50, and 60 to <65 years.

The relationships between blood cadmium levels and the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk by age groups among Korean men and women are shown in Table 3. For Korean men, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was positively related to log-transformed blood cadmium levels (p < 0.05) in all age groups. For Korean women, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was positively related to log-transformed blood cadmium levels (p < 0.05) in all age groups. For Korean women, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was positively related to log-transformed blood cadmium levels in those aged 45 to <50 years (p < 0.05).

Table 4 shows the relationships between blood cadmium levels and each component of the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk by age groups, after adjusting for survey years, age, and urinary cotinine. The log-transformed blood cadmium levels among men aged 20 to <35, 40 to <45, 50 to <55, and 60 to < 65 years were found to positively correlate with systolic blood pressure (p<0.05), but not with total cholesterol or HDL cholesterol concentration. Log-transformed blood cadmium concentrations also correlated with urinary cotinine concentrations.

The relationship between blood cadmium levels and each component of the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk by age groups among Korean women are shown in Table 5. After adjusting for survey years, age, and urinary cotinine, the log-transformed blood cadmium levels among women aged 20 to < 35, 45 to <50, and 50 to <55 years were found to positively correlate with systolic blood pressure (p<0.05), but not with total or HDL cholesterol concentrations. Urinary cotinine concentration also correlated with log-transformed blood cadmium levels in women aged 20 to <35, 35 to <40, 45 to <50, and 60 to <65 years.

Discussion

This study found that the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was positively associated with log-transformed blood cadmium concentrations in Korean men. Furthermore, logtransformed blood cadmium in specific age groups was positively correlated with systolic blood pressure, after adjusting for survey year, age, and urinary cotinine concentration.

Age was found to be the most powerful factor influencing the Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk, with Framingham point scores ranging from -9 in subjects aged 20-34 years to 13 in subjects aged 75–79 years [11]. A study of subjects aged ≥ 70 years, thus controlling for the effect of age on the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk, did not show associations in all age groups [10]. In the present study, the association between the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and blood cadmium concentration was analyzed in subjects aged ≤ 65 years. To avoid bias due to the wide range in ages, subjects were stratified into

seven age groups (20-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, and 60-64 years), and the Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk was assessed in each.

Smoking may be an important confounder of both blood cadmium concentrations and Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk. To elucidate the association between these two parameters, without considering the effect of smoking, an additional sensitivity test was performed (Table S1). Although a positive association was observed between blood cadmium concentrations and Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk, the association was not statistically significant, suggesting that smoking may be a confounder. However, cotinine-verified nonsmokers frequently include former smokers. An additional analysis was performed for questionnaire-verified current smokers vs. never smokers (Table S2), with the results suggesting a positive association between log-transformed blood cadmium levels and Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk score in questionnaireverified never smokers among Korean males aged 40 to <45 and 55 to <60 years (p < 0.01). Thus, the blood cadmium levels per se may be related to risks of CHD. To assess the role of smoking in this patient cohort, the association between blood cadmium and Framingham estimated 10 year CHD risk was analyzed, after stratification for smoking status (Table S3). The regression coefficients for log-transformed blood cadmium levels with the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk among Korean male non-smokers and smokers were 1.739 (p < 0.001) and 3.172 (p <0.001), respectively. The correlations between blood cadmium and Framingham 10 year CHD risk among non-smokers suggest that smoking may play a modifying rather than a confounding role in this patient population. The results in Tables S1 and S2, however, should be interpreted cautiously, due to the small numbers of never smokers and a possible overestimation of the effects of smoking due to information bias. Previous studies of hidden smoking among Koreans [14] and overestimation of the health effect by questionnaire-verified smoking status [16] suggested caution in interpreting health effects related to questionnaireverified smoking status. Further studies are needed to overcome these limitations.

Urinary cotinine has been found to correlate positively with blood cadmium levels [17]. A recent study found that self-reported smoking rates in Korean men and women were 5.3% and 8.0%, respectively, lower than cotinine-verified smoking rates [14]. The reported sensitivity for self-reported smoking status was 87.9% for men and 41.1% for women [14]. Thus, urinary cotinine concentrations were measured to verify current smokers, thus avoiding information bias. In evaluating the association between the components of the Framingham point scores and blood cadmium, we adjusted for urinary cotinine levels in regression analysis.

There is evidence for the biological effects of cadmium as influencing the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk. Our study found that non-occupational cadmium exposure may be associated with the Framingham estimate of 10 year risk by elevating systolic blood pressure. Studies have assessed the association between non-occupational cadmium exposure and blood pressure [18–23]. Cadmium has been found to increase blood pressure through several mechanisms, including acting as a partial agonist for calcium channels, inhibiting vasodilation [22], having a direct vasoconstrictor action via oxidative stress [21], depleting glutathione, and altering sulfhydryl homeostasis in the vascular wall [19,20,23]. Epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that chronic exposure to cadmium may be related to blood pressure in the general population [7,24]. In a previous study of the general Korean population, the odds ratio for hypertension in

Table 1. Distribution in blood cadmium levels (μ g/L) by sex.						
		Men			Women	
	z	GM	GSE	z	GM	GSE
Age (years)						
25–34	595	0.73	1.03	615	0.74	1.03
35–39	350	0.88	1.03	328	0.98	1.03
40-44	316	0.93	1.03	286	1.11	1.03
45–49	282	1.01	1.04	312	1.30	1.03
50-54	306	1.02	1.04	315	1.37	1.03
55-59	285	1.13	1.04	285	1.39	1.03
60–64	217	1.11	1.04	196	1.30	1.04
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)						
<120	1218	0.84	1.02	1619	0.98	1.02
120–129	545	0.92	1.03	339	1.19	1.03
130–139	335	1.03	1.03	186	1.33	1.04
140–159	217	1.17	1.04	158	1.37	1.04
≥160	36	1.17	1.12	35	1.61	1.11
Hypertension treatment						
Yes	281	1.00	1.04	262	1.37	1.03
No	2070	06.0	1.02	2075	1.03	1.02
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)						
<160	481	0.88	1.03	486	0.99	1.03
160-199	1027	06.0	1.02	1055	1.05	1.02
200-239	642	0.94	1.03	602	1.11	1.02
240-279	174	0.96	1.05	158	1.22	1.05
≥280	27	1.01	1.17	36	1.20	1.18
p for linear trend	-	0.023			<0.001	
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)						
≥60	398	.98	1.04	836	0.99	1.02
50-59	586	0.85	1.03	708	1.08	1.03
40–49	870	0.89	1.02	603	1.12	1.03
<40	497	0.96	1.03	190	1.17	1.04
Cotinine-verified current smoker						
Ucot <50 (ng/mL)	1157	0.70	1.02	2053	1.04	1.02
Ucot ≥50 (ng/mL)	1194	1.16	1.02	284	1.25	1.04
Total	2351	0.91	1.02	2337	1.06	1.01
All values were accounted for in study weights. GM: geometric mean; GSE: geometric standard error. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111909.t001						

Cadmium levels	Men				Women			
		Mean	SE	p for linear trend	<u>ح</u>	Mean	SE	p for linear trend
20≤Age<35								
Q	148	1.09	0.04	<0.001	153	0.01	0.01	0.033
2Q	148	1.26	0.07		153	0.02	0.01	
3Q	150	1.66	0.13		154	0.04	0.02	
4Q	149	1.43	0.07		155	0.08	0.03	
35 ≤ Age < 40								
10	85	2.79	0.40	<0.001	82	0.20	0.10	0.458
2Q	06	2.63	0.35		82	0.05	0.03	
3Q	87	4.56	0.43		82	0.10	0.03	
4Q	88	5.54	0.63		82	0.32	60.0	
$40 \leq Age < 45$								
10	79	2.41	0.25	<0.001	17	0.29	0.14	0.929
2Q	79	4.02	0.40		72	0.11	0.05	
3Q	79	5.39	0.51		71	0.20	0.06	
4Q	79	6.42	0.35		72	0.25	0.06	
45≤Age<50								
1Q	70	4.82	0.58	<0.001	78	0.28	0.09	0.010
2Q	70	4.71	0.45		78	0.42	0.08	
3Q	71	6.51	0.76		78	0.67	0.14	
4Q	71	10.02	1.13		78	1.16	0.36	
50≤Age<55								
10	76	6.97	0.51	<0.001	78	1.07	0.11	0.456
2Q	76	7.66	0.48		79	0.96	0.11	
3Q	77	8.50	0.57		79	1.25	0.11	
4Q	77	9.46	0.47		79	1.09	0.11	
55≤Age<60								
1Q	70	8.08	0.64	0.002	71	1.84	0.23	0.293
2Q	72	9.60	0.78		71	1.78	0.28	
3Q	71	11.81	1.20		71	1.68	0.21	
4Q	72	12.93	1.54		72	2.36	0.38	
60≤Age<65								
10	54	11.38	0.57	<0.001	49	2.61	0.23	0.033
2Q	54	11.18	0.51		49	2.24	0.20	
3Q	54	12.99	0.57		49	3.21	0.24	
4Q	55	14.52	0.70		49	3.74	0.54	
All values were accounted for in study we doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111909.t002	eights.							

5

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

-

Table 3. Regression coefficients of log-transformed blood cadmium levels with the Framingham estimate of 10-year CHD risk among Korean.

Dependent variables	Men			Women			
	beta	SE	p value	beta	SE	p value	
20≤Age<35	0.254	0.056	<0.001	0.039	0.020	0.057	
35≤Age<40	1.731	0.416	<0.001	0.212	0.181	0.244	
40≤Age<45	2.861	0.318	<0.001	-0.016	0.098	0.872	
45≤Age<50	3.229	0.825	<0.001	0.549	0.213	0.011	
50≤Age<55	1.979	0.378	<0.001	0.151	0.127	0.234	
55≤Age<60	3.534	1.091	0.001	0.229	0.365	0.531	
60≤Age<65	2.471	0.576	<0.001	0.891	0.571	0.120	

All regression analyses were adjusted for survey year.

Results were estimated with study weights.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111909.t003

the highest vs. the lowest quartile of blood cadmium level was 1.52 (95% CI: 1.13–2.05) [7]. An association between blood cadmium levels and a modest elevation in blood pressure was also shown in the general US population [24]. However, in that study, hypertension was defined as a binomial variable (presence or absence). Binomial variable analysis, however, may result in information bias, since it depends on an interviewee's memory or intention. To overcome any possible misclassification bias (presence or absence of hypertension), we assessed blood pressure as a continuous variable in multiple survey regression analyses, finding significant positive relationships between log-transformed cadmium levels in blood and systolic blood pressure among several age groups, after adjusting for survey year, age, and smoking.

We observed gender differences in the association between the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and blood cadmium levels. The Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was lower in women, and the range of estimates in women was narrower than in men. For women aged 45-49 years, however, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and systolic blood pressure were associated with blood cadmium levels, suggesting that hormonal changes may make the influence of cadmium more prominent in perimenopausal women. Korean women aged ≥ 45 years had higher (${\geq}1.30~\mu\text{g/L})$ blood cadmium levels than those aged $<\!\!45$ years. Interestingly, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk for women was likely to increase at a similar age. Metallothionein (MT) plays a protective role in cadmium toxicity [17]. Animal studies have shown that female hormones, such as 17 beta-estradiol and progesterone, could reduce the inhibition of MT mRNA expression [25]. Therefore, changes in female hormones may reduce the protective effect of MT on cadmium exposure during perimenopause. Moreover, diseases that influence the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk, such as elevated blood pressure, may occur at a certain cadmium threshold level, but additional studies are required.

Another possible cause of gender difference influencing the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk is smoking. In a study examining the relationship between the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and non-occupational heavy metal levels among elderly Swedish subjects, blood cadmium was related to Framingham risk score among women, but not men [10], a finding inconsistent with our study results. The proportion of current smokers was higher in Swedish females than in males (11.4% vs. 9.9%) [10], whereas the proportion of cotinine-verified smokers was much higher in Korean males than in females (52.2% vs.

12.1%). Smoking is related to blood cadmium levels as well as to the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk and its components [3,7,24,26]. Therefore, differences in current smoking status may have influenced the primary results of both the previous study [10] and ours. A previous study concerning hidden female smoking found that the sensitivity of the interview was <50% among Korean females [14]. To overcome this information bias, urinary cotinine concentration was used to verify smoking status, with urinary cotinine and blood cadmium levels being linearly associated in each age group of females in the present study.

Our study has several limitations due to its cross-sectional study design. First, subjects in our study were recruited in three different years. Despite efforts to ensure a representative population in each year, there may have been possible selection bias. To reinforce the power of the estimation of results, we used KNHANES from three different years. The Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention has provided a statistical method of evaluating the results of 3 years in a dataset, using an adjusting equation for sampling weights [12]. We utilized these methods to generate new weights and estimated the regression coefficients in multiple survey regression analysis. In addition, we also adjusted for survey year. Second, this national survey did not make use of laboratory tests for chronic heavy metal exposure such as urinary cadmium. This limitation should be considered in interpreting our study results. Although blood cadmium levels do not reflect lifetime exposure, the markers used were not only the most valid biomarkers for recent cadmium exposure but also reflect the slow components of heavy metal exposure, since cadmium and lead are released into the blood from bone or other organs [27,28]. However, this inevitable limitation should be considered carefully. Third, the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk was derived from a white US population rather than from Koreans. Therefore, further evaluation should utilize a CHD risk scale derived from the Korean population.

Despite these limitations, our study has several strengths. First, as age is the most powerful influencing factor in the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk, we controlled for age by stratifying subjects into seven age groups for multivariate analysis. Thus, the present study was able to control for the potential confounding effect of age. Second, the large number of subjects in our study may provide a higher statistical power than that of a previous study of elderly Swedish subjects [10]. Third, since there may be a high false negative rate with regard to current smoking as assessed by questionnaire, we verified current smoking status and

Dependent variables	beta	SE	p value	Dependent variables	beta	SE	p value
20≤Age<35				50≤Age<55			
Age	0.702	0.215	0.001	Age	0.242	0.152	0.114
SBP*	2.539	1.276	0.047	SBP*	7.999	1.958	< 0.001
Total cholesterol [*]	0.889	2.615	0.734	Total cholesterol [*]	- 3.593	4.964	0.470
HDL cholesterol [*]	1.258	1.027	0.221	HDL cholesterol [*]	1.216	1.316	0.356
Urinary cotinine	832.616	80.250	<0.001	Urinary cotinine	1010.182	128.276	< 0.001
35≤Age<40				55≤Age<60			
Age	-0.069	0.148	0.643	Age	-0.013	0.146	0.932
SBP*	1.972	1.553	0.205	SBP*	3.650	1.940	0.061
Total cholesterol [*]	-2.082	3.439	0.545	Total cholesterol [*]	2.091	5.408	0.699
HDL cholesterol [*]	0.145	1.334	0.914	HDL cholesterol [*]	1.765	1.443	0.223
Urinary cotinine	732.704	77.385	<0.001	Urinary cotinine	809.187	112.660	< 0.001
40≤Age<45				60≤Age<65			
Age	-0.113	0.180	0.528	Age	0.189	0.214	0.378
SBP*	7.085	3.211	0.028	SBP*	7.218	2.464	0.004
Total cholesterol [*]	6.893	6.363	0.280	Total cholesterol [*]	8.403	5.785	0.148
HDL cholesterol [*]	2.714	1.870	0.148	HDL cholesterol [*]	1.897	1.687	0.262
Urinary cotinine	1012.800	117.604	<0.001	Urinary cotinine	790.009	1 26.085	< 0.001
45≤Age<50							
Age	0.384	0.145	0.009				
SBP*	3.893	2.308	0.093				
Total cholesterol [*]	4.484	4.897	0.361				
HDL cholesterol [*]	-0.499	1.466	0.734				
Urinary cotinine	971.939	126.092	<0.001				
Results were estimated with study beta: regression coefficients; SE: st Units: SPP (mmHg); Age (year); Tot All regression analyses were adjust *. adjusted for survey years, age at Action 1371 (Acturned Docord 111000)	weights. andard error, SBP: systolic E ial cholesterol (mg/dL); HDL ed for survey year in multiv nd urinary cotinine in multiv	olood pressure; HDL: high - cholesterol (mg/dL); Urin variate regression analysis: variate regression analysis;	density lipoprotein. 1ary cotinine (ng/mL).				

Dependent variables	beta	SE	p value	Dependent variables	beta	SE	p value
20≤Age<35				50≤Age<55			
Age	1.086	0.246	<0.001	Age	-0.169	0.165	0.306
SBP*	2.006	0.861	0.017	SBP*	5.872	2.429	0.016
Total cholesterol [*]	-3.665	2.585	0.157	Total cholesterol*	-3.057	5.134	0.552
HDL cholesterol [*]	-0.848	0.980	0.387	HDL cholesterol [*]	-0.587	1.486	0.693
Urinary cotinine	173.655	36.654	<0.001	Urinary cotinine	44.197	23.852	0.065
35≤Age<40				55≤Age<60			
Age	0.029	0.157	0.856	Age	0.106	0.209	0.613
SBP*	2.602	1.531	060.0	SBP*	3.723	2.302	0.107
Total cholesterol [*]	-2.216	7.422	0.766	Total cholesterol*	3.563	5.349	0.506
HDL cholesterol [*]	0.959	1.716	0.577	HDL cholesterol [*]	-2.636	1.524	0.085
Urinary cotinine	180.985	54.994	<0.001	Urinary cotinine	-0.518	59.251	0.993
40≤Age<45				60≤Age<65			
Age	0.499	0.182	0.007	Age	-0.160	0.244	0.515
SBP*	-0.097	1.998	0.961	SBP*	-0.818	3.235	0.824
Total cholesterol [*]	-4.786	4.759	0.316	Total cholesterol*	12.705	6.998	0.071
HDL cholesterol [*]	-0.099	1.751	0.955	HDL cholesterol [*]	-1.923	2.104	0.362
Urinary cotinine	67.187	53.518	0.211	Urinary cotinine	182.213	86.820	0.037
45≤Age<50							
Age	-0.045	0.197	0.819				
SBP*	5.841	2.289	0.011				
Total cholesterol [*]	-3.726	4.741	0.433				
HDL cholesterol [*]	-0.150	1.809	0.934				
Urinary cotinine	213.596	69.155	0.002				
Results were estimated with study we beta: regression coefficients; SE: stand Units: SBP (mmHg); Age (year); Total c All regression analyses were adjusted *: adjusted for survey years, age and u	ights. ard error; SBP: systolic bl. holesterol (mg/dL); HDL. for survey year in multiv rrinary cotinine in multiv.	ood pressure; HDL: hi; cholesterol (mg/dL); U ariate regression analy ariate regression analy	gh density lipoprotein Irinary cotinine (ng/m/ sis.				
courter 10.13/11/journal.pone.01.13/11/51.01							

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

quantified the degree of smoking using a biologic marker (urinary cotinine) [14]. Fourth, we observed a relationship between blood cadmium and systolic blood pressure. Previous laboratory studies have demonstrated the biologic plausibility of this association [20,23]. Therefore, low-level cadmium exposure may influence the Framingham estimate of 10 year CHD risk by elevating blood pressure. Furthermore, our study subjects were from a healthy and community-based sample representative of the general Korean population, allowing our results to be generalized to the Korean population.

Conclusions

Low level cadmium exposure may be associated with CHD risk in men. Overall non-occupational cadmium exposure has been declining for several decades [29], Efforts to reduce nonoccupational cadmium exposure, by reinforcing tobacco control and reducing the use of metal-containing fertilizers, may reduce metal-related CHD in the general population.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Additional analysis for regression coefficients of log-transformed blood cadmium levels with the

References

- World Health Organization (WHO) (2011) Fact sheet no. 317, Cardiovascular disease (CVDs). Available: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/ en/index.html. Accessed 2014 May 12.
- 2. National Statistical Information Service (2012) Major causes of death in Korea, 2010. Available: http://kosis.kr/gen_etl/start.jsp?orgId=101&tbIId=DT_ 1 B 3 4 E 0 1 & c on n _ p a t h = I 2 & p a t h = 보건·사회·복지 - 보건 - 사망원인 - 사망원인 (103 항목)/성/연령(5 세)별 사망자수. Accessed 2014 May 12.
- Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) (2001) Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 285: 2486–2497.
- Houston MC (2007) The role of mercury and cadmium heavy metals in vascular disease, hypertension, coronary heart disease, and myocardial infarction. Altern Ther Health Med 13: S128–S133.
- Ademuyiwa O, Agarwal R, Chandra R, Behari JR (2009) Lead-induced phospholipidosis and cholesterogenesis in rat tissues. Chem Biol Interact 179: 314–320.
- Mudipalli A (2007) Lead hepatotoxicity & potential health effects. Indian J Med Res 126: 518–527.
- Eum KD, Lee MS, Paek D (2008) Cadmium in blood and hypertension. Sci Total Environ 407: 147–153.
- Navas-Acien A, Silbergeld EK, Sharrett R, Calderon-Aranda E, Selvin E, et al. (2005) Metals in urine and peripheral arterial disease. Environ Health Perspect 113: 164–169.
- Everett CJ, Frithsen IL (2008) Association of urinary cadmium and myocardial infarction. Environmental Research 106: 284–286.
- Olsen L, Lind PM, Lind L (2012) Gender differences for associations between circulating levels of metals and coronary risk in the elderly. Int J Hyg Environ Health 215: 411–417.
- Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) (2002) Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III), Final report. Available: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/ guidelines/cholesterol/index.htm. Accessed 2014 June 5.
- Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2011) Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. http://knhanes.cdc.go.kr/. Accessed 2014 June 5.
- Haufroid V, Lison D (1998) Urinary cotinine as a tobacco-smoke exposure index: a minireview. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 71: 162–168.
- Jung-Choi KH, Khang YH, Cho HJ (2011) Hidden female smokers in Asia: a comparison of self-reported with cotinine-verified smoking prevalence rates in representative national data from an Asian population. Tob Control 21: 536– 542.

Framingham estimate of 10-year CHD risk from table 3 by cotinine verified smoking status. (DOCX)

Table S2 Additional analysis for regression coefficients of log-transformed blood cadmium levels with the Framingham estimate of 10-year CHD risk from table 3 by questionnaire verified smoking status (current smoker and never-smoker).

(DOCX)

Table S3 Regression coefficients of log-transformed blood cadmium levels with the Framingham estimate of 10-year CHD risk by smoking status. (DOCX)

(DOGA)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JPM HRK TWJ HEL JWK. Analyzed the data: JPM HRK TWJ HEL JWK. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: JPM TWJ HEL JWK. Wrote the paper: JPM JWK.

- SRNT Subcommittee on Biochemical Verification (2002) Biochemical verification of tobacco use and cessation. Nicotine Tob Res 4: 149–159.
- Kim KY, Myong JP, Kim HR, Lee HE, Jang TW, et al. (2014) Dose-related effect of urinary cotinine levels on pulmonary function among Korean women. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 18: 622–627.
- Nordberg GF, Nogawa K, Nordberg M, Friberg LT (2007) Cadmium. In: Nordberg GF, Fowler BA, Nordberg M, Friberg LT (ed) Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals. 3rd edn. Acdemic Press. Pp. 444–486.
- Alissa EM, Ferns GA (2011) Heavy metal poisoning and cardiovascular disease. J Toxicol 2011: 870125.
- Sarkar S, Yadav P, Trivedi R, Bansal AK, Bhatnagar D (1995) Cadmiuminduced lipid peroxidation and the status of the antioxidant system in rat tissues. J Trace Elem Med Biol 9: 144–149.
- Satarug S, Nishijo M, Ujjin P, Vanavanitkun Y, Moore MR (2005) Cadmiuminduced nephropathy in the development of high blood pressure. Toxicol Lett 157: 57–68.
- Valko M, Morris H, Cronin MT (2005) Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. Curr Med Chem 12: 1161–1208.
- Varoni MV, Palomba D, Gianorso S, Anania V (2003) Cadmium as an environmental factor of hypertension in animals: new perspectives on mechanisms. Vet Res Commun 27 Suppl 1: 807–810.
- Yiin SJ, Chern CL, Sheu JY, Tseng WC, Lin TH (1999) Cadmium-induced renal lipid peroxidation in rats and protection by selenium. J Toxicol Environ Health A 57: 403–413.
- Tellez-Plaza M, Navas-Acien A, Crainiceanu CM, Guallar E (2008) Cadmium exposure and hypertension in the 1999–2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Environ Health Perspect 116: 51–56.
- Sogawa N, Sogawa CA, Oda N, Fujioka T, Onodera K, et al. (2001) The effects of ovariectomy and female sex hormones on hepatic metallothionein-I gene expression after injection of cadmium chloride in mice. Pharmacol Res 44: 53–57.
- Lee MS, Park SK, Hu H, Lee S (2011) Cadmium exposure and cardiovascular disease in the 2005 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Environ Res 111: 171–176.
- Jarup L, Akesson A (2009) Current status of cadmium as an environmental health problem. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 238: 201–208.
- Jarup L, Berglund M, Elinder CG, Nordberg G, Vahter M (1998) Health effects of cadmium exposure-a review of the literature and a risk estimate. Scand J Work Environ Health 24 Suppl 1: 1–51.
- Tellez-Plaza M, Navas-Acien A, Caldwell KL, Menke A, Muntner P, et al. (2012) Reduction in cadmium exposure in the United States population, 1988– 2008: the contribution of declining smoking rates. Environmental health perspectives 120: 204–209.