

# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Torres-Cosme R, Rigg C, Santamaría AM, Vásquez V, Victoria C, Ramirez JL, et al. (2021) Natural malaria infection in anophelines vectors and their incrimination in local malaria transmission in Darién, Panama. PLoS ONE 16(5): e0250059. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0250059

**Editor:** Humberto Lanz-Mendoza, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, MEXICO

Received: August 19, 2020

Accepted: March 30, 2021

Published: May 3, 2021

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This study was funded by the Ministry of Economy and Finance of Panama to LCC (92,000, SINIP: 9044 - 059).

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. LC and JEC are members of the Sistema Nacional de Investigación (SNI), SENACYT, Panama. Any opinions, findings,

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Natural malaria infection in anophelines vectors and their incrimination in local malaria transmission in Darién, Panama

Rolando Torres-Cosme<sup>1®</sup>, Chystrie Rigg<sup>2®</sup>, Ana María Santamaría<sup>2®</sup>, Vanessa Vásquez<sup>2</sup>, Carlos Victoria<sup>3</sup>, José Luis Ramirez<sup>4</sup>, José E. Calzada<sup>2</sup>, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Departamento de Investigación en Entomología Médica, Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud (ICGES), Panam, Repblica de Panam, 2 Departamento de Investigación en Parasitología, Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud (ICGES), Panam, Repblica de Panam, 3 Departamento de Control de Vectores, Ministerio de Salud (MINSA), Panam, Repblica de Panam, 4 Crop Bioprotection Research Unit, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Peoria, Illinois, United States of America

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* lcaceres@gorgas.gob.pa, cacereslorenzo@gmail.com

# Abstract

# Background

More than 85% of the malaria cases in Panama occur in poor, rural and indigenous regions like Darien Province. Vector diversity, infection rate and spatial distribution are important entomological parameters of malaria transmission dynamics. Their understanding is crucial for the development of effective disease control strategies. The objective of this study was to determine the composition of *Anopheles* species, their natural infection rate and their geographic distribution to better understand the malaria transmission dynamics in Darién, Panama.

# Methods

Anophelines mosquitoes were captured during the rainy and dry season of 2016. We selected five communities where adult anophelines were collected using CDC light-traps, and through protective human-baited traps. Detection of natural infection and *Plasmodium* genotype were detected via nested PCR through the amplification of ssrRNA and the circumsporozoite protein gene (csp), respectively.

# Results

A total of 1,063 mosquitoes were collected mosquitoes were collected for the detection of natural infection with *Plasmodium spp*. Nine Anophelines species were identified, with the predominant species being: *An. (Nys.) darlingi* (45.0%) and *An. (Nys.) albimanus* (42.6%). Natural infection in *An. (Nys.) albimanus* with *P. vivax* was detected in one mosquito pool from the community Pueblo Tortuga (0.6%), three from Marraganti (1.7%), two from Bajo Chiquito (1.1%) and three pools from Alto Playona 3 (1.7%). For *An. (Nys.) darlingi* mosquitoes, we detected seven positive pools from the community Bajo Chiquito (4.0%), two pools

conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The mention of firm names or trade products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the U.S. Department of Agriculture over other firms or similar products not mentioned; the USDA is an equal opportunity employer. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

Abbreviations: MINSA, Ministry of Health; NMP, National Malaria Program; ED, Epidemiology Department; ICGES, Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud; MEF, Ministry of Economy and Finance of Panama; WHO, World Health Organization; PAHO, Pan American Health Organization; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; PHBC, Protective human-baited capture; IR, Mosquito infection rate; PCR, Polymerase Chain Reaction; ELISA, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; DNA, Deoxyribonucleic acid; RNA, Ribonucleic acid; CEP, Circumsporozoite protein. from Marraganti (1.1%) and two pools from Alto Playona (1.1%). The *P. vivax* allelic variant VK210 was detected in infected mosquitoes.

## Conclusion

The results from this study provide new information on the transmission dynamics associated with anophelines vectors in the Darién region. This is the first report of natural *P. vivax* infection in *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and its incrimination as a potential malaria vector in this region of Panama. Additional studies are necessary to expand our knowledge and determine crucial parameters in malaria transmission in Darién, which in turn will aid the National Malaria Program in attaining an adequate malaria control strategy towards malaria elimination.

## Introduction

Malaria is one of the most important public health problems; in 2018 it caused more than 228 million cases and 405,000 deaths according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. This disease affects the health and work capacity of large number of people due to its vast geographic distribution, morbidity, mortality and socio-economic impact [2]. Malaria transmission currently exists in 21 countries and territories of the American continent. In these areas, 132 million people are at risk of infection, while 21 million people live in areas of high transmission risk. According to Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), since 2015 the Americas have experienced an increase in the number of cases mainly due to a rise in the incidence in Venezuela, and to a higher transmission rate in endemic areas from countries like Brazil, Colombia, Guyana, Nicaragua and Panama. In addition, there has been recent outbreaks in countries that have been advancing towards malaria elimination (Costa Rica, Dominican Republic and Ecuador). In comparison, Paraguay and Argentina have received certification as malaria-free countries in July 2018 and May 2019, respectively. Also, is worth mentioning the scenario in El Salvador and Belize, who have presented no autochthonous cases since 2017 and 2019, respectively [3].

Despite the regional efforts, malaria in Panama continues to represent an important public health problem [4], with active transmission limited to 10 municipalities (12.5% of the total Panamanian municipalities), and with the poorest rural regions (mostly indigenous), being the most affected population. This is in part due to the geographical isolation and the lack of access to public health services, poverty and illiteracy. Indigenous regions, which occupy 22% of the national territory and only 12% of the total population, register more than 85% of the total malaria diagnosed cases [5]. The variations that occur in these regions determine the main changes affecting malaria epidemiology in Panama.

Generally, the circulation of the malaria parasites follows the spatial distribution of their anophelines vectors [6]. The genus *Anopheles* is found throughout the world and the majority of the 465 known species are not malaria vectors. Approximately, 41 species of the genus Anopheles are associated with *Plasmodium* transmission to humans [7]. In Panama, *An. (Nys.) albimanus* is of great entomological and epidemiological importance given that its predominance and role as primary malaria vector along the coastal areas of the Caribe and the Pacific. *Anopheles (Ano.) punctimacula*, is known as an important secondary vector. Nevertheless, there are other anophelines species that could be implicated in malaria transmission in the different endemic regions of Panama. For instance, *An. (Nys.) darlingi*, has recently been described in specific regions of Panama [8]. However, it is the primary malaria vector of much of Latin America and is known to readily colonize habitats with diverse ecological characteristics. Furthermore, depending on the environment, this mosquito species displays a range of behaviors: anthropophily, opportunism, endo-exophagy, and endo-exophily. It also frequently colonizes anthropogenic sites and is susceptible to *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* [9, 10].

The determination of natural *Plasmodium* infection in anophelines mosquitoes is an important step to discern the main malaria vector species that exists in a region [11]. In Panama, experimental infections with human blood infected with circulating gametocytes, have found that seven *Anopheles* species are susceptible to infection with either *P. vivax* or *P. falciparum*. Nevertheless, only four of these species have been found to be naturally infected in the wild with *Plasmodium spp*. oocysts and/or sporozoites [12–16]. Currently there are 26 *Anopheles* species described in Panama [17–19]. The species *An. (Ano.) malefactor*, was also included by Wilkerson and Strickman [19] following a systematic revision differentiating this species from its synonym *An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l.* [18]. Additionally, a recent report described the presence of *An. (Nys.) darlingi* in two communities in Darién province located at the eastern end of the country bordering with Colombia [20].

Social, environmental, economic, demographic and climatic changes can significantly influence the distribution of anophelines in endemic areas [21–23]. Although some species have been incriminated as local or regional vectors in neighboring countries [24], their importance as malaria vectors in Panama has yet to be determined. Additional entomological studies evaluating the vectorial capacity of other anophelines species are needed to determine their epidemiological importance in each of the Panamanian endemic regions. Equally important is the frequent monitoring of entomological parameters such as abundance, composition and natural infection with *Plasmodium*. Information gathered in these areas will contribute to the improvement of regional and local vector control strategies that can lead to malaria elimination in Panama. In this regard the objectives of this entomological study were to determine the species composition, spatial distribution, detection of natural *Plasmodium* infection and genotype, and their association with dominant mosquito species in Darién. This study provides new information on malaria transmission dynamics and has great public health significance allowing a more adequate selection of vector control interventions in this endemic region of Panama.

# Material and methods

#### Description of the study area

The collection of adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes was conducted in the indigenous comarcas Emberá-Wounaan and Wargandi, located in Darién. The term comarca refers to an administrative region within Panama and it is assigned to a given indigenous population. The eastern side of Panama, where Darién is located, has seen 61.5% of national malaria cases in the last years [5]. The climate of this region is tropical, with high humidity like the rest of Panama, and it is influenced by the intertropical convergence zone [25]. Darién has two well defined seasons with moderate high temperature and humidity: the dry season (January—April) and the rainy season (May—December). The average annual precipitation ranges from 1,700 to 2,000 mm, with May and November being the rainiest months. The temperature does not present significant variations, ranging from 25.6 to 27.1°C. This region registers a relative humidity of 84% and it ranges from 75% (March, dry season) to 93% (November, rainy season) [26]. According to the system of biosphere classifications by Holdridge, Darién belongs to a tropical rainforest [27].

#### Study design and site selection

Site selection was conducted in conjunction with technical personnel from the National Malaria Program (NMP) of the Ministry of Health (known as MINSA in Spanish). The

selected sites were indigenous communities that presented active malaria transmission: Marraganti, Bajo Chiquito, Pueblo Tortuga and Alto Playona, located in the comarca Embera-Wounaan, and Morti in the indigenous comarca Guna of Wargandi (Fig 1 and Table 1). All the communities were characterized by being located near a river that are used as the main communication means with other nearby communities, surrounded by an ecology of lowlands, creeping vegetation and secondary forest. Most households in this area presented wooden walls, tin roofs and a few were protected from insect entrance. Selection of communities was based on the following criteria: (1) its entomological importance with regards to vectors, (2) its epidemiological importance according to the transmission rate and (3) accessibility and safety to visit the community, as the presence of irregular armed groups and drug traffickers is frequent in certain areas from Darién.

**Comarca:** The term comarca refers to an administrative region within Panama and it is assigned to a given indigenous population. We designed a cross-sectional study to identify the species of *Anopheles* vectors present in the selected communities and to detect natural *Plasmodium* infection and genotype in these vectors. Mosquitoes were collected via two methods



Fig 1. Geographical location of the studied communities in the Darién region, Panama. The Map was created using the software ArcGIS Desktop 10.7.1 and ArcGIS Online.

Comarca	Community	Coordinates	Altitude	Households	Population	Malaria Cases
Embera-Wounaan Marraganti		8°27'56.15" N	50 m	95	390	8
		77°41'54.77" W				
	Bajo Chiquito	8°27'22.17" N	40 m	48	180	4
		7°40'45.84" W				
	Pueblo Tortuga	8°32'2.32" N	71 m	42	190	2
		77°42'44.53" W				
	Alto Playona	8°32'20.02" N	49 m	67	215	2
		77°52'56.55" W				
Wargandi	Morti	9° 10'13.29" N	11 m	80	395	20
		78°47'58.72" W				
Total				479	1,755	36

Table 1.	Epidemiolog	gical informa	tion from	the studied	communities	in Darién,	2016.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.t001

in each of the selected communities where malaria cases were registered: protective humanbaited capture (PHBC), which consists of exposing only one limb and capturing the mosquito upon landing before the bite begins [24]; and CDC light traps (LTs). Each locality represented a sampling site, and for each selected location mosquito samplings were conducted for nine months; twice during the dry season (March and April) and twice during the rainy season (May to November) of 2016. The NMP provided the following epidemiological data: geographical location of the malaria cases, clinical characteristics of the disease, date of the first cases, affected and exposed population, socioeconomic information, basic sanitation services, health service characteristics, demographic information and prevention and control interventions carried out in the region. This information was important to select the study sites, based on the communities that registered higher malaria transmissions in recent years.

#### Collection of adult mosquitoes

To collect adult mosquitoes, four houses that presented malaria or were near to a mosquito breeding sites were selected. Mosquito collection in each of the sites were conducted at different dates but within the same dry and rainy season. Mosquito collections with PHBC were carried out in the five selected communities during four consecutive nights in the intra and peridomicile areas simultaneously once a month, for nine months including the dry (March and April) and rainy seasons (May to November) of 2016. To determine the human biting rate per night (HBRs), the PHBC consisted in simultaneously having one-person intradomicile and another in the peridomicile per night in the four households within each locality. Mosquito collections were conducted during the 18:00 and 22:00 hours, given that previous reports have indicated that this is the period of greater mosquito biting activity [28, 29]. Collections were made during the first 50 min of every hour. During this period, HBRs were calculated, such as the biting/human/night (BHN) rate during the period from 18:00 p.m. to 22:00 p.m. [30]. The biting rate was obtained from the total number of mosquitoes captured divided by the number of collectors and the total hours of captures [31]. Mosquito collections were conducted by experienced technical personnel from the NMP and the Medical Entomology Department from the Gorgas Memorial Institute for Health Studies, trained in the capture of malaria mosquito vectors. To reduce bias during collections, personnel were rotated at specific periods every hour. The technical biosafety recommendations from WHO [32] were followed to minimize the risk of malaria infection in the field team.

Mosquito collections using LTs were also conducted during four consecutive nights simultaneously to the PHBC collections. The (LTs) were located at the height of 1.5 m above the

ground during the hours of 18:00 and 6:00 [33, 34]. Neither octanol nor carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) were used as mosquito attractant. Traps were distributed near houses (different from the homes where PHBC collections were made) and mosquito breeding sites (extradomicile) to a distance about 25 m. Collections with PHBC were used to measure the intensity of the anophelines bite, while the LTs were used to improve the opportunity to collect a greater variety of species of [35, 36]. Additional parameters such as temperature and relative humidity were recorded during the periods of mosquito collection using a data logger (EXTECH instruments® RHT10, No. 11092261). Rainfall data were obtained from a meteorological station in the locality of Yaviza, near the collection sites.

#### **Taxonomic determination**

All biological material collected was placed in previously labeled containers and preserved in liquid nitrogen (Thermo Scientific<sup>\*\*</sup>). Each container had information such as locality, type of collection (intra, peridomicile and LTs) and date. Samples were sent to the Department of Medical Entomology at the Gorgas Institute for its identification. Mosquito identification to the species level was conducted using taxonomical keys for adult mosquitoes [19, 37] and the Reference Collection from the Gorgas Memorial Institute for Health Studies.

To confirm the identity of *Anopheles* species in *Plasmodium* positive pools, the mitochondrial COI gene was analyzed using primers UEA3 (5-'TATAGCATTCCCACGAATAAATAA-3') and UEA10 (5-'TCCAATGCACTAATCTGCCATATTA-3') and PCR conditions as previously described [20, 38, 39]. The PCR-amplified products from these samples were excised from agarose gel and purified using the Qiaquick gel extraction Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA sequencing of both strands was carried out using the same primers with an ABI Prism 3500 XL130 sequencer (Applied Byosistems, Foster City, CA, USA). Resulting sequences were edited using Sequencher software. The DNA sequences were compared with Anopheles COI sequences available in the GenBank by performing a BLAST search from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/).

# Detection of *Plasmodium spp*. genotype and natural infection in *Anopheles spp*. mosquitoes

Adult female mosquitoes were grouped per species in anophelines pools. Each pool was made of two to five female mosquitoes of the same species, according to the date and site of collection, and type of capture (PHBC or LTs). It has been described that it is possible to detect infectivity in mixtures of mosquitoes; thus, complete mosquitoes of the same species were processed [40–44]. Each pool was placed in an Eppendorf tube, where they were first macerated in a solution of 180  $\mu$ l of 1X PBS. Next, DNA extraction was performed following the protocol from Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue (Qiagen, USA). The isolated DNA was stored at -20°C until further use.

We used a nested PCR that amplifies the small subunit ribosomal RNA (ssrRNA) genes to confirm the presence of natural infection of *Plasmodium spp*. in pools of *Anopheles spp*. mosquitoes, following a slightly modified methodology proposed by Snounou et al. [45]. Two genus-specific primers rPLU5 (5'-CCT GTT GTT GCC TTA AAC TTC-3') and rPLU6 (5'-TTA AAA TTG TTG CAG TTA AAA CG-3'), are used for the first cycle of amplification. An aliquot of the product obtained is used for a second amplification cycle, in which each parasite species is detected separately using species specific primers. In the second PCR reaction only specific primers for *P. falciparum* (205 pb): rFAL1 (5'-TTA AAC TGG TTT GGG AAA ACC AAA TAT ATT-3'), rFAL2 (5-'ACA CAA TGA ACT CAA TCA TGA CTA

CCC GTC-3') and *P. vivax* (120 pb) rV1V1 (5'-CGC TTC TAG CTT AAT CCA CAT AAC TGA TAC-3'), rV1V2 (5'-ACT TCC AAG CCG AAG CAA AGA AAG TCC TTA-3') were included, because the other *Plasmodium* human species (*P. ovale* and *P. malarie*) have not been reported in Panama in more the four decades. All mixture and amplification conditions were as described previously [45].

The circumsporozoite protein gene (*csp*) was used as marker to genotype *Plasmodium vivax* in positive pools of natural infected *An.* (*Nys.*) *darlingi* and *An.* (*Nys.*) *albimanus*. A nested PCR amplification method was used to amplify the *csp* gene (700 to 800bp) following previously reported protocols with some minor modifications [46]. The primers were as follows: VCS-OF (ATGTAGATCTGTCCAAGGCCATAAA), VCS-OR (TAATTGAATAATGCTAG GACTAACAATATG) as primary primers and VCS-NF (GCAGAACCAAAAAATCCACGTGA AAATAAG), VCS-NR (CCAACGGTAGCTCTAACTTTATCTAGGTAT) as a nested primer. All mixture and amplification conditions were as described previously [46]. The nested PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel and then directly sequenced in both directions using an ABI Prism 3500 XL sequencer (Applied Byosistems, Foster City, CA, USA). The sequences were edited and aligned with Sequencher 4.1.4, and Phylogenetic trees were made using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 7.0.

#### Data analysis

The abundance of each species of mosquito per community was expressed as a percentage, obtained from the number of each species of mosquito captured in each community among the total of the species captured in all communities. The percentage of *Plasmodium* infected *Anopheles* was assessed by the number of positive *Anopheles* specimens of a given species (np) out of the total analyzed by community (nt) by 100 [IR = (np/nt) × 100]. The natural infection rate (IR) was also estimated by the method of pooled prevalence for variable pool size and perfect tests using the pooled prevalence calculator (https://epitools.ausvet.com.au/ppvariablepoolsize). In addition, the confidence interval (CI 95%) was calculated to indicate the reliability of the estimated value. The BHN activity was registered directly from PHBC. Hourly data from all collections were grouped and the total number of bites per hour was obtained for each species by intradomiciliary and peridomiciliary behavior. Mosquito species mean density for *Anopheles* species with more than five specimens per locality, was calculated as the geometric mean of the number of mosquitoes captured per person each night.

#### **Ethical considerations**

The methodology used to collect the mosquitoes was approved by the Technical and Institutional Ethics Committee of the NMP of the Ministry of Health (MINSA) (Note No. 25/DCV/ DGS/MINSA/2016). Samples and human data were not used.

#### Results

#### **Biting activity**

The collections yielded 1,063 female adult mosquitoes, from which we were able to identify nine species (Table 2). The most predominant species were *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi, 45% (n = 478) and *An*. (*Nys.*) albimanus 42.6% (n = 453), followed by *An*. (*An.*) pseudopunctipennis s.l. 8.2% (n = 87), *An*. (*Nys.*) oswaldoi s.l. 1.4% (n = 15), *An*. (*Ano.*) punctimacula s.l. 0.3% (n = 3) and *An*. (*Nys.*) triannulatus s.l. 0.3% (n = 3).

**IR: Mosquito infection rate.** *Anopheles (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) albimanus* were present during the entire collection period presenting a maximum endophagic and exophagic

Raje ChiqueNate of any and seriesMarriagentiPauble PaubleMarriagentiPauble PaubleMarriagentiPauble PaubleAn. (by.) abinance %30166410CDC trap05.544.714.10.61Na (0.9) abinance %30.95.544.714.10.61Na (0.9) abinance %1.01.00.00.6 (0.5-1.7)11Na (0.9) abinance %3.83.11.11146.845.0CDC trap67.01.11146.845.0Na (0.9) abinance %81.83.11.16111Na (0.9) abinance %7.72.01111Na (0.9) abinance %7.83.11.16111Na (0.9) abinance %7.82.11.1111Na (0.9) abinance % LPHID()111111Na (0.9) abinance % LPHID()1111111Na (0.9) abinance % LPHID()	Species Mosquitos		Embera	Wounaan		Wargandi	Total Mosquitos	(%) Mosquitos	
Ac. My. altimation (PHEC)1324215643414.10.6100Relative abundance %36.95.548.714.10.6100Relative abundance %23310100Relative abundance %23310100Relative abundance %1.164-2.01.7(0.2)1.7		Bajo Chiquito	Bajo Chiquito Alto Playona		Marraganti Pueblo Tortuga		Species	Species	
CDC rap9095544714100Na pool positives23310R*(G)11 (0.4-2)13 (0.2)13 (0.2)0.6 (0.5-17)1R*(G)11 (0.4-2)13 (0.2)13 (0.2)0.6 (0.5-17)1An. (Ng.) alring (PIIBC)3610730.60.0GC Crap64400Reture shundance %81.82.116.11.01.0No pool positives7221.01.0An. (Ng.) pont positives72.02.01.01.0An. (Ano.) punctimenale al. (PIBC)1.11.02.03.06.0At (Ano.) punctimenale al. (PIBC)1.11.01.01.01.0Matter abundance %1.01.01.01.01.0No pool positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pool positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pool positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.01.01.01.01.0No pol positives1.0 </td <td>An. (Nys.) albimanus (PHBC)</td> <td>137</td> <td>24</td> <td>215</td> <td>64</td> <td>3</td> <td>443</td> <td>42.6</td>	An. (Nys.) albimanus (PHBC)	137	24	215	64	3	443	42.6	
Reduce Nonopolytike110.600.00<	CDC trap	3	1	6			10		
No.polonities133111R*(C)11(4.4.2)17(4.2. <bb></bb> 1.5.0)3.6.06(4.5.17)0An.(Ny.) adming (PHBC)3610730.6.(4.5.17)0.14.6.8An.(Ny.) adming (PHBC)67702.010.010.0Relative admandance %8.182.101.1 <td>Relative abundance %</td> <td>30.9</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>48.7</td> <td>14.1</td> <td>0.6</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Relative abundance %	30.9	5.5	48.7	14.1	0.6			
R8%(G)11 (0.4-20) 3.017 (0.2) 3.006 (0.5-1.7) 0000An. (by.) during (PHBC)38510730066.04.0045.0CDC rap664000000000Rathere abundance %81.82.111.0410.010.010.00<	No. pool positives	2	3	3	1				
An. (by:) darling (PHBC)38510734446845.0CDC tap64410Relative abundance%81.82.116.1No. polo positives722 </td <td>IR % (CI)</td> <td>1.1 (-0.4–2.6)</td> <td>1.7 (-0.2– 3.6)</td> <td>1.7 (-0.2– 3.6)</td> <td>0.6 (-0.5–1.7)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	IR % (CI)	1.1 (-0.4–2.6)	1.7 (-0.2– 3.6)	1.7 (-0.2– 3.6)	0.6 (-0.5–1.7)			-	
CDC ray664610Relative abundance %722000Relative abundance %722000Relative abundance %722000Relative abundance %10(1-69)11(04- 2.0)1002330000Chorpo punctinaculo s.l. (PHBC)1010101010100 <td>An. (Nys.) darlingi (PHBC)</td> <td>385</td> <td>10</td> <td>73</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>468</td> <td>45.0</td>	An. (Nys.) darlingi (PHBC)	385	10	73			468	45.0	
Relate shundance %81.82.116.1000No pool positives722000R% (C)40 (1.1-6%)11 (0.4- 2,0)12 (0.4- 2,0)230.3CDC trap110230.3Rakive abundance %1001066.70No, pool positives11066.70R% (C)1111000RA (Axe, ) maily (corr (PHBC)11100No, pool positives10011000Relative abundance %10011000No, pool positives10011000R% (C1)1110100Relative abundance %10011000R% (C1)111010R% (C1)1110000Relative abundance %10011000Relative abundance %10011000R% (C1)1110000R% (C1)1110000R% (C1)1110000R% (C1)111000R% (C1) <td>CDC trap</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td>	CDC trap	6		4			10		
No. pool positives72201010R*(C)40(1.1-6.0)11(0.442.6)10101010An. (Ano.) punctinacula sl. (PHBC)111230.3COC trap1011230.3Relative abundance %1033.3166.71No. pool positive11111R% (C)111111R% (C)111111Relative abundance %1001111No. pool positives11111Relative abundance %1001111No. pool positives11111R% (C)111111No. pool positives1100111R% (C)111111R% (C)111111No. pool positive11111R% (C)111111R% (C)111111R% (C)111111No. pool positive11111R% (C)111111R% (C)111111	Relative abundance %	81.8	2.1	16.1					
R* (C1)4.0 (.11-6.9)1.1 (.0.4- 1.1 (.0.4- 1.2.6)1.1 (.0.4- 1.2.6)1.0.1 (.0.4- 2.2.3.1CDC trapIIIIIIRelative abundance %IIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1No, pool positivesIIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1R* (C1)III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1RA (Areo) malefactor (PHBC)III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1No, pool positivesIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1Reative abundance %III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1No, pool positivesIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1R% (C1)III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1CDC trapIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1R% (C1)III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1CDC trapIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1No, pool positivesIII.0.1III.0.1III.0.1III.0.1R% (C1)III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1Relative abundance %III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1Relative abundance %III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1Relative abundance %III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1Relative abundance %III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1Relative abundance %III.1.1III.1.1III.1.1III.	No. pool positives	7	2	2					
Art. (ano) punctimacula sl. (PHBC)IntIntIntIntIntIntIntCDC trapInt33.3Int66.7IntNo pool positivesInt33.3Int66.7IntNo, pool positivesIntIntIntIntIntRA(10)IntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10)IntIntIntIntIntIntCDC trapIntIntIntIntIntIntRative abundance %IntIntIntIntIntIntNo, pool positivesIntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10)IntIntIntIntIntIntRative abundance %IntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) apticimacula (PHBC)IntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) apticimacula (PHBC)IntIntIntIntIntIntRative abundance %IntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) appositivesIntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) appositivesIntIntIntIntIntIntRative abundance %IntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) appositivesIntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) appositivesIntIntIntIntIntIntRA(10) appositives <td>IR % (CI)</td> <td>4.0 (1.1-6.9)</td> <td>1.1 (-0.4– 2.6)</td> <td>1.1 (-0.4– 2.6)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	IR % (CI)	4.0 (1.1-6.9)	1.1 (-0.4– 2.6)	1.1 (-0.4– 2.6)					
CDC tapInd<	An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l. (PHBC)		1			2	3	0.3	
Bedire shundance %10.33.310.66.710.No. pod positives10.10.10.10.10.10.An. (Ano.) male/factor (PHBC)1110.10.10.10.10.10.CDC trap10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Relative abundance %10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.No. pool positives10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.CDC trap10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.CDC tray10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.No. pool positives10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.10.10.10.Ref (Ci)10.10.10.10.<	CDC trap								
No. pool positivesInt <th< td=""><td>Relative abundance %</td><td></td><td>33.3</td><td></td><td></td><td>66.7</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Relative abundance %		33.3			66.7			
IR % (C1)IndIndIndIndIndIndIndAn. (Ano.) malificator (PIBC)IIIIIIIICDC trapIn0III </td <td>No. pool positives</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	No. pool positives								
An (Ano) makificator (PHBC)11 <td>IR % (CI)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	IR % (CI)								
CDC trapIndIndIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndIndIndIR % (C1)IndIndIndIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndIndIndIndNo. pool positivesIndIndIndIndIndIndIndIndIndNo. pool positivesIndIn	An. (Ano.) malefactor (PHBC)	1					1	0.1	
Relative abundance %100IIIIINo. pool positivesIIIIIIIIR % (C1)IIIIIIIICDC trapII	CDC trap								
No. pool positivesIndication of the second seco	Relative abundance %	100							
IR % (C1)Image: Characterization of the sector	No. pool positives								
An. (Ano.) apicimacula (PHBC)II<	IR % (CI)								
CDC trapIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndNo. pool positivesIndIndIndIndIndR% (CI)IndIndIndIndIndIndAn. (Ny. 5) strodei (PHBC)IndIndIndIndIndCDC trapIndIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRo. (Dy. 5) strodei (PHBC)IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndNo. pool positivesIndIndIndIndIndR% (CI)IndIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %6.793.3IndIndIndNo. pool positivesIndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %6.793.3IndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndIndIndRelative abundance %IndIndIndInd <td< td=""><td>An. (Ano.) apicimacula (PHBC)</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>0.1</td></td<>	An. (Ano.) apicimacula (PHBC)			1			1	0.1	
Relative abundance %Image: state of the state	CDC trap								
No. pool positives         Image: sector of the secto	Relative abundance %			100					
R $\&$ (CI)       Image: descent of the second	No. pool positives								
An. (Nys.) strodei (PHBC)       I	IR % (CI)								
CDC trap       1       1       1       1         Relative abundance %       100       100       100       100       100         No. pool positives       100       100       100       100       100       100         R8 (C1)       1       14       100       15       1.4         CDC trap       6.7       93.3       100       100       14       100       11       14       11       1	An. (Nys.) strodei (PHBC)							0.1	
Relative abundance %         100         Image: matrix state	CDC trap	1					1		
No. pool positives       Image: matrix of the second	Relative abundance %	100							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	No. pool positives								
An. $(Nys.)$ oswaldoi s.l. (PHBC)       1       14       16       15       1.4         CDC trap               1.4         CDC trap	IR % (CI)								
CDC trap         Image: CDC trap </td <td>An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l. (PHBC)</td> <td>1</td> <td>14</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td>1.4</td>	An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l. (PHBC)	1	14				15	1.4	
Relative abundance %         6.7         93.3         Image: constraint of the second	CDC trap								
No. pool positives         Image: Clip and the second	Relative abundance %	6.7	93.3						
IR % (CI)       I       I       I       2         An. (Nys.) triannulatus s.l.(PHBC)       1       1       2       0.3         CDC trap       1       1       1       1       0.3         Relative abundance %       1       1       1       0.3         No. pool positives       66.7       33.3       1       1         IR % (CI)       1       25       8       74       8.2         (PHBC)       2       11       13       8.2       8.2         No. pool positives       1.1       41.4       9.2       8       74       8.2         No. pool positives       11       11       13       13       11       14       9.2       13         Relative abundance %       48.3       1.1       41.4       9.2       14	No. pool positives								
An. (Nys.) triannulatus s.l.(PHBC)       1       1       2       0.3         CDC trap       1        1       1       1       1       0.3         CDC trap       1         1       1       1       1       1       0.3         Relative abundance %           1       1       1       1       0.3         No. pool positives       66.7       33.3	IR % (CI)							-	
CDC trap         1         1         1         1           Relative abundance %         1         1         1         1           Relative abundance %         66.7         33.3         1         1           No. pool positives         66.7         33.3         1         1           IR % (CI)         1         25         8         74         8.2           An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l.         40         1         25         8         74         8.2           CDC trap         2         11         13         8.2         13         8.2         13           Relative abundance %         48.3         1.1         41.4         9.2         14         13           No. pool positives         1         1         1         1         1         1           IR % (CI)         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	An. (Nys.) triannulatus s.l.(PHBC)	1		1			2	0.3	
Relative abundance %       66.7       33.3       66.7       33.3       8.2         IR % (CI)       1       25       8       74       8.2         An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l.       40       1       25       8       74       8.2         CDC trap       2       11       13       13       8.2         Relative abundance %       48.3       1.1       41.4       9.2       9.2         No. pool positives       1       1       13       13         Relative abundance %       48.3       1.1       41.4       9.2         No. pool positives       1       1       1       1         IR % (CI)       1       1       1       1	CDC trap	1					1	-	
No. pool positives         66.7         33.3 <th< td=""><td>Relative abundance %</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></th<>	Relative abundance %							1	
IR % (CI)     Interference     Inte	No. pool positives	66.7		33.3				1	
An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l. (PHBC)         40         1         25         8         74         8.2           CDC trap         2         11         13         13         8         14         9.2         11         13         14         1	IR % (CI)							1	
CDC trap         2         11         13           Relative abundance %         48.3         1.1         41.4         9.2           No. pool positives         Image: Construction of the second secon	<i>An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis</i> s.l. (PHBC)	40	1	25		8	74	8.2	
Relative abundance %         48.3         1.1         41.4         9.2           No. pool positives         III         IIII         IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	CDC trap	2		11			13	1	
No. pool positives     Image: Clip and C	Relative abundance %	48.3	1.1	41.4		9.2		-	
IR % (CI)	No. pool positives							1	
	IR % (CI)							]	

#### Table 2. Abundance and natural Plasmodium vivax infection in mosquitoes collected in Darién, Panama.

(Continued)

<b>Species Mosquitos</b>		Embera-	Wounaan	Wargandi	<b>Total Mosquitos</b>	(%) Mosquitos	
	Bajo Chiquito	Alto Playona	Marraganti	Pueblo Tortuga	Morti	Species	Species
Anopheles (Nys.) spp. (PHBC)	4	1	3			8	0.9
CDC trap	2					2	
Relative abundance %	60.0	10.0	30.0				
No. pool positives							
IR % (CI)							
Anopheles (Ano.) spp. (PHBC)			1			1	0.1
CDC trap							
Relative abundance %			100				
No. pool positives							
IR % (CI)							
Anopheles spp. (PHBC)	4		4			8	0.9
CDC trap	1		1			2	
Relative abundance %	50.0		50.0				
No. pool positives							]
IR % (CI)							
Total	589	52	345	64	13	1063	100

#### Table 2. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.t002

behavior between 18:30 and 19:30 hours, and with a gradual decrease in activity along the collection period. All the species were collected with PHBC in the intradomicile and in the peridomicile in each of the communities. The species more frequently collected in the intradomicile and peridomicile respectively were with 195 and 273 for *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi, followed by 188 and 255 for *An*. (*Nys.*) albimanus, 31 and 46 for *An*. (*Ano.*) pseudopunctipennis s.l., and one and two for *An*. (*Ano.*) punctimacula s.l. (Table 3). No significant differences were observed in the BHN bite rate in the intra and peridomicile areas in the species captured in the studied communities.

The PHBC for *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) albimanus* in the intra and peridomicile area did not show a marked difference in the BHN rates. In the five communities, an average

#### Table 3. Number of Anopheles species captured in the intradomicile and peridomicile in the communities sampled in the Darien Region, Panama.

Species Mosquito		Embera-Wounann									Total Mosquito
	Bajo Cl	Bajo Chiquito		Alto Playona		Marraganti		Fortuga	Morti		-
	Intra	Peri	Intra	Peri	Intra	Peri	Intra	Peri	Intra	Peri	
An. (Nys.) darlingi	163	222	3	7	29	44					468
An. (Nys.) albimanus	55	82	10	14	99	116	24	40		3	443
An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l.	16	24		1	9	16			3	5	74
An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l.		1		6	8						15
An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l.			1							2	3
An. (Nys.) triannulatus s.l.	1					1					2
An. (Ano.) apicimacula						1					1
An. (Ano.) malefactor		1									1
Anopheles (Nys.) spp.		4	1			3					8
Anopheles (Ano.) spp.						1					1
Anopheles spp.	1	3				4					8
Total	236	337	15	28	145	186	24	40	3	10	1024

bite rate between 0.20 to 0.39 and 0.30 to 0.55 was obtained in the intra and peri-domicile, respectively for *An. (Nys.) darlingi*, For *An. (Nys.) albimanus* it was between 0.22 to 0.40 and 0.22 to 0.56, respectively. The monthly density of *An. (Nys.) darlingi* in the intra and peridomicile was between 0.13 to 0.44 and 0.25 to 0.57 mosquito/person/night, respectively (Figs 2 and 3). For *An. (Nys.) albimanus* it was 0.22 to 0.42 and 0.31 to 0.59 mosquito/person/night, respectively (Figs 4 and 5). Observations made in the nine months of this study, allowed to establish that *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) albimanus* were present throughout the year with endophagic and exophagic behavior, given that they were captured in the intradomicile and in the peridomicilio (Fig 5).

Collections with PHBC resulted more effective with respect to the number of adult mosquitoes collected (n = 1,024, 96.3%), in comparison to the number of mosquitoes collected with LTs (n = 39, 3.7%). The majority of mosquitoes were collected during May, when the rainy season begins, and October (n = 173, 16.3%) and November (n = 208, 19.6%); which traditionally are the rainiest months of the year (Table 4). Collections during the dry season months registered a lower number of captured adult mosquitoes, March (n = 47, 4.4%) and April (n = 18, 1.7%). The studied communities that registered the major percentage of captured mosquitoes were Bajo Chiquito (n = 589, 55.4%) and Marraganti (n = 345, 32.5%), followed by Pueblo Tortuga (n = 64, 6.0%) and Alto Playona (n = 52, 4.9%). In turn, the localities in which a larger number of mosquito species was collected were Bajo Chiquito with seven species, followed by Marraganti and Alto Playona with five species, respectively.

# Detection and genotype of natural *Plasmodium spp*. infection in *Anopheles spp*.

A total of 574 the 1,063 adult females collected were selected and processed according to the quantity, mosquito species, collecting date, capture type (PHBC or LTs) and study site for the detection and genotype of *Plasmodium* natural infections by PCR. The samples were grouped in 148 anophelines pools per species of varying size (between 1 and 5 individuals). The contribution of all the species selected for this test and number of the pools analyzed were as follow: *An. (Nys.) albimanus* (n = 179, 42 pools), *An. (Nys.) darlingi* (n = 305, 68 pools), *An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l.* (n = 29, 12 pools), *An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l.* (n = 43, 15 pools), *An.* 







Fig 3. Biting activity per hour of *Anopheles (Nys.) albimanus* in five communities of the Darien region, between March and November 2016 (geometric mean ± 95% confidence interval).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.g003

(Nys.) oswaldoi s.l. (n = 9, 3 pools), An. (Ano.) malefactor (n = 1, 1 pool), Anopheles (Nys.) spp. (n = 7, 6 pool) and Anopheles (Ano.) spp. (n = 1, 1 pool).

From 148 pools tested, 20 (13.5%) resulted positive for *P. vivax* by the ssrRNA PCR technique. These *P. vivax* positive pools were comprised of nine positive pools from *An. (Nys.) albimanus* (6.1%) and 11 positive pools from *An. (Nys.) darlingi* (7.4%). The overall *Plasmo-dium* prevalence was 0.037 (95% Cl, 0.0232–0.0552); 0.0551 (95% Cl, 0.0269–0.0974) in *An. (Nys.) albimanus* and 0.0384 (95% Cl, 0.0201–0.0649) in *An. (Nys.) darlingi* (Table 5).

The geographical distribution of the positive An. (*Nys.*) *albimanus* pools was: one *P. vivax* pool in the community of Pueblo Tortuga (0.6%), three positive pools in Marraganti (1.7%), two pools in Bajo Chiquito (1.1%) and three pools in Alto Playona (1.7%). As for the 11 *P*.







Fig 5. Behavior of the monthly density of *Anopheles (Nys.) darlingi* in five communities of the Darien region, between March and November 2016 (geometric mean  $\pm$  95% confidence interval).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.g005

*vivax*-positive *An. (Nys.) darlingi* samples, seven positive pools were from the community of Bajo Chiquito (4.0%), two pools from Marraganti (1.1%) and two pools from Alto Playona (1.1%) (Fig 1). All other species were negative for *P. vivax* by the ssrRNA PCR technique. All collected samples tested negative for *P. falciparum*. Most positive samples were captured in the peridomicile, and only two samples from *An. (Nys.) albimanus* were collected in the extra-domicile using LTs in the community of Alto Playona.

From the positive pool samples, it was possible to genotype *P. vivax* in seven of them, four from *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and three from *An. (Nys.) albimanus* by sequencing the csp gene marker. Amplified products were in the range between 700 to 800 bp and their sequence analysis determined that they were homologous to the VK210 variant, grouping together with other isolates from Central America (Fig 6). Sequences from this study are available at the GenBank database under the accession numbers: MN66917, MN66918, MN66919, MN66920, MN66921, MN66922, MN66923 (Fig 7).

the Darien Region, Panama, 2016.												
Species mosquito	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	Total		
An. (Nys.) darlingi	7	8	105	35	32	41	41	103	106	478		

Table 4. Number of Anopheles mosquito species captured with protective human-baited capture and CDC light traps per month in the communities under study in

Total mosquitoes per month	47		18	258	97	79	85	98	173	208	1063
Anopheles spp.					10						10
Anopheles (Ano.) spp.				1							1
Anopheles (Nys.) spp.					5			5			10
An. (Nys.) strodei				1							1
An. (Nys.) triannulatus s.l.				2				1			3
An. (An.) malefactor								1			1
An. (An.) apicimacula				1							1
An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l.				7			8				15
An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l.				3							3
An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l.	10	3		58		7	1	8			87
An. (Nys.) albimanus	30	7		80	47	40	35	42	70	102	453
An. (Nys.) darlingi	7	8		105	35	32	41	41	103	106	478

Species	Prevalence (95% CL)	Pools (+/n)	Abundance (N)
An. (Nys.) albimanus	0.0551 (0.0269–0.0974)	9/42	179
An. (Nys.) darlingi	0.0384 (0.0201-0.0649)	11/68	305
Total	0.037 (0.0232–0.0552)	20/148	574

#### Table 5. Plasmodium vivax prevalence and positive pool numbers from An. (Nys.) albimanus and An. (Nys.) darlingi mosquitoes collected in Darien, Panama.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.t005

In addition, analysis of *Anopheles* COI gene confirmed the identity of *An.* (*Nys.*) *darlingi* and *An.* (*Nys.*) *albimanus* as the species naturally infected with *P. vivax* in this study. These sequences were deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers: MT706455 for *An.* (*Nys.*) *darlingi* and MT706456 for *An.* (*Nys.*) *albimanus*.

### Discussion

#### **Biting activity**

The diversity of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in Panama is favored by a vast variety of habitats and environmental conditions that support the development, dispersion and persistence of these mosquito populations. The abundance and human feeding preference are among the main characteristics that define a mosquito species as an effective mosquito vector [47]. Nevertheless, the composition and frequency of mosquito species vary between sampling sites and seasons, making it even more important the need for a constant monitoring to better understand these parameters [47, 48]. Our data shows that *An. (Nys.) albi*manus was the dominant species



Fig 6. Nested PCR agarose gel electrophoresis to detect infection by *Plasmodium spp.* in pool of *Anopheles spp.* TM: DNA ladder (100bp, Qiagen), Pf: *P. falciparum* positive control (205 bp), Pv: *P. vivax* positive control (120 pb), Lane 1,3,6,8,9: pool samples of *An.* (*Nys.*) albimanus negative, lane 2,10,11,12,13: pool sample of *An.* (*Nys.*) darlingi negative, lane 4 and 5: pool sample *An.* (*Nys.*) darlingi positive for *P. vivax*, lane 7: pool sample *An.* (*Nys.*) albimanus positive for *P. vivax*, CN: negative control of PCR.



**Fig 7. Phylogenetic analysis of** *P. vivax* **CSP gene.** The phylogeny tree was constructed with the neighbor-joining method with the JTT (John Taylor Torton) model using the MEGA 3 program.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250059.g007

in four out of the five sampled sites. This species is described as predominantly exophagic, with preference for animals and with hematophagy occurring during the entire night [48].

In turn, An. (Nys.) darlingi was the species that registered the major number of captured mosquitoes and was dominant in one of the collection sites (Bajo Chiquito). Anopheles (Nys.) *darlingi* is considered one of the most effective primary vectors due to its high anthropophilic behavior, high abundance in certain areas, susceptibility to infection by several Plasmodium species and plastic behavior [49]. This species can also adapt to diverse habitats, including habitats developed because of human activities [50, 51]. Our study showed that both mosquito species (An. (Nys.) darlingi and An. (Nys.) albimanus) had the greatest density and biting activity between 18:30 and 19:30 hours, diminishing towards midnight as described in previous studies [22, 28, 51]. A study in Colombia also observed that the greatest intradomicile and peridomicile biting activity of An. (Nys.) darlingi was between 18:00 and 19:00 hours [24, 52], similarly to our data and those described in French Guyana and Brazil [46]. Furthermore, studies conducted in Barú municipality, a locality in Panama bordering Costa Rica, demonstrated that An. (Nys.) albimanus had greater abundance and biting frequency than An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l. between 18:30 and 19:30 hours [29]. Overall, our entomological data from the intradomicile and peridomicile collections suggest that there is higher transmission risk between 18:00 and 22:00 hours, when the anophelines population is higher. Therefore, considering the abundance and high *Plasmodium* natural infection of these two species in most collecting sites, our data is highly suggestive that An. (Nys.) darlingi and An. (Nys.) albimanus are acting as the main malaria vectors in this area. Nevertheless, other malaria vector species found in this study and also known to be present in other neotropical countries, such as An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l., An (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l., An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l. and An. (Ano.) triannulatus s.l. [28, 53], could also be implicated in the local transmission.

The intra and peridomicile PHBC rates for both *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) albimanus* were similar, without significant differences in the biting activity percentages, confirming the exophagic and endophagic behavior of these species [54]. While the PHBC for both species was respectively 0.20 to 0.50 bites per night, other studies have found more variable biting rates for *An.* (*Nys.*) darlingi [55, 56]. The low density recorded in these two species may be due to variations in temperature, rainfall, human activity, proximity and availability of breeding sites and other environmental factors [57, 58]. It could also be due to the collection period during the study, thus it was not possible to establish if these populations present a greater activity at other hours of the night, as it has been described in other populations of the region [24]. For instance, rates of 0.1–15.1 BHN [22] and 2.2–55.5 BHN [54] were observed in Colombia and 53.8 to 837.7 BHN in the Brazilian amazon [59]. Also, studies conducted with *An.* (*Nys.*) albimanus in eight communities during a malaria outbreak in Panama displayed a PHBC of 1.9 to 30.9 BHN [29]. A previous study conducted in 31 endemic sites in Panama found that the BHN oscillated between 2.4 and 10.2 BHN [8]. Further studies conducted in the endemic regions of the Colombian Pacific found that *An.* (*Nys.*) albimanus had the highest biting activity between 17:00 and 19:00 hours, reaching a PHBC of 38.4 bites/night [60]. In general, our results indicated a lower BHN rate compared to reports conducted in other Neotropical countries. It is thus necessary to conduct prolonged studies to estimate the densities and understand the biting behavior of this mosquito species in the different Panamanian regions.

Our monthly mosquito collections indicated that the greatest anophelines density was obtained in the months of May, October and November (rainy season), with predominance of *An. (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) albimanus*. The lowest densities for both species were observed in March (dry season). Several studies have described patterns of seasonal fluctuation in the abundance and frequency of anophelines vectors, showing greater densities during the rainy months and lower densities during the dry months [61, 62]. Few studies have demonstrated no correlation between the levels of precipitation and the density of anophelines mosquitoes [41, 58]. On the other hand, it has also been reported that an increase in density can be observed on the transition periods between the dry and rainy seasons [63, 64]. However, it has been proposed that the changes in vector density not only depends on the aforementioned factors but rather it responds to an interaction between the availability of breeding sites, the levels of water sources and other environmental variables [48].

In this study, captures with PHBC were more effective compared to LTs. In general, catches with LTs outdoors are less efficient compared to PHBC. They are used as a supplement to captures with PHBC, with the purpose of having a greater opportunity and probability of capturing a larger number of anophelines species. For instance, in a study carried out in Córdoba, Colombia, only six species of *Anopheles* were captured intradomicile and in the peridomicile with LTs. This number of captured species was small considering that there are 20 species recorded in that region out of a total of 47 species registered in Colombia. In addition, trap catches require that the traps do not harm captured mosquitoes [54, 65]. Therefore, captures with PHBC have become the most widely used in malaria studies [43, 66, 67].

#### Detection of natural Plasmodium infection in Anopheles spp.

The communities that yielded *P. vivax* positive mosquito pools also registered active malaria transmission during our study, with the highest number of cases observed during the transition period between the rainy season and the dry season [5]. The dynamics of malaria epidemics are strongly influenced by climate. In particular, at the geographical limits of its distribution, malaria transmission is driven by environmental factors changes as temperature, rain and humidity [68].

Interestingly, our study allowed us to detect for the first time in the country the natural *P*. *vivax* infection of *An*. (*Nys*.) *darlingi*, and to suggest its potential incrimination as a malaria vector in this region of Panama. At the same time, our results showed that two other species historically considered to be important malaria vectors, *An*. (*Ano.*) *punctimacula s.l.* and *An*.

(Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l., were not infected *Plasmodium*. Thus, their epidemiological relevance as vectors could not be confirmed for this region. This could have been due to the low number of individuals of both species captured during this study.

The genus Anopheles is present around the world with about 465 species, of which 41 species are important malaria vectors [69]. Although different mosquito species can be involved in malaria transmission in different regions [58, 70, 71], little is known about the contribution of each species in malaria prevalence in heterogenic environments. This is especially true given that each species has unique developmental, ecological and behavioral characteristics. A study conducted in Brazil indicated that this scenario becomes more complex with the presence of three different malaria parasite species [72, 73] in the same geographical region. Anopheles (Nys.) albimanus and An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l. are considered as the primary and secondary malaria vectors, respectively in Panama. Anopheles (Nys.) albimanus is the most prevalent in the endemic regions [8]. A recent study reported the detection, via PCR, of natural *P. vivax* infections in An. (Nys.) albimanus mosquitoes collected from the communities of Achutupo and Playon Chico, both in the indigenous comarca of Guna Yala [5]. In addition, other studies have detected P. vivax natural infections in An. (Nys.) albimanus (via ELISA tests) and in An. (Ano.) punctimacula s. l. (through PCR assays) collected in Bocas del Toro province [74]. In another study An. (Nys.) albimanus mosquitoes was found naturally infected with P. vivax in samples collected in the community of Ipeti Guna, located in the Madungandi comarca [44].

The determination of natural *Plasmodium* infection of anophelines mosquitoes is an important component in the assessment of different mosquito species as malaria vectors [11]. Nevertheless, there are other anophelines species that could be important malaria vectors in the different endemic regions of Panama. Previous studies conducted in Panama have detected natural infection *P. vivax* or *P. falciparum* in *An. (Nys.) albimanus, An. (Nys.) argyritarsis, An. bachmanni* [*syn. An. (Nys.) triannulatus*] and *An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l.* [syn. *An. (Ano.) malefactor*], while the following mosquitoes were experimentally infected: *An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l., An. (Nys.) tarsimaculatus* [*Syn. An. (Ano.) aquasalis*], *An. (Ano.) apicimacula, An. (Ano.) eiseni* and *An. (Ano.) neomaculipalpus* [12–16].

Another important result is the distribution of *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi in new areas and communities far from Jaque and Biroquera, where it was first reported in the country [20]. The new locations are situated further into the Darién southeast region and closer to the Colombian border. These new records extend the distribution of *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi in Panama. The detection of natural *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi infection, increases its population spread to three communities of the five evaluated. In addition, it suggests its participation in the active *P. vivax* malaria transmission, in a similar fashion than *An*. (*Nys.*) albimanus in this region of Panama.

Darién, as a Panamanian region bordering with Colombia, shares part of its entomofauna, including anophelines malaria vectors. Epidemiological and entomological studies conducted in Colombia have incriminated 12 anophelines species as malaria vectors. Three of these species are primary malaria vectors: *An. (Nys.) albimanus, An. (Nys.) darlingi* and *An. (Nys.) nuneztovari s.l.* [75]. The other nine species are secondary or local vectors: *An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l., An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l., An. (Ano.) calderoni, An. (Ano.) neomaculipalpus, An. (Ker.) pholidotus, An. (Ker.) neivai s.l., An. (Nys.) rangeli, An. (Nys.) benarrochi, and An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l. [75, 76].* 

#### Plasmodium spp. genotyping

Genetic studies of circulating malaria parasite populations in humans and vectors reveal critical information about the epidemiology and dynamics of disease transmission and offer tools to support control and elimination efforts [77]. In the present study, we only identified the *P*.

*vivax* allelic variant VK210 naturally infecting *An. (Nys.) albimanus* and *An. (Nys.) darlingi* mosquitoes circulating in the studied areas. It is possible, however, the lack of detection of the VK247 variant might be due the low number of samples analyzed, as this variant has been described circulating in malaria endemic communities near the border with Colombia close to the ones studied [78].

There are studies related to the diversity in infectivity of allelic variants and the susceptibility of mosquito species to different allelic variants, which may explain the detection patterns at the species level, where *An. (Nys.) albimanus* seems to be susceptible to VK210 and VK247 infection [52]. However, other studies conducted in anophelines mosquitoes from Brazilian Amazon, observed the distribution of *P. vivax* VK247 changed over time in the main malaria vectors on the Brazilian Amazon. *Anopheles (Nys.) darlingi* was abundant in certain localities while *An. (Nys.) albitarsis s.l.* in anothers, which highlights the importance of entomological studies for the control of human malaria [79]. Investigations carried out in Mexico considered that *An. (Nys.) albimanus* is more susceptible to VK210 infection and *An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis* was more susceptible to VK47 [80].

Studies on genotyping parasite populations have the power to reveal key information about the epidemiology and dynamics of malaria transmission, with the potential to offer tools to support control and elimination efforts [81]. In future studies, is also critical to evaluate the epidemiologic role on malaria transmission of other anophelines species previously described in the Darien region such as *An. (Nys.) triannulattus s.l., An. (Nys.) oswaldoi s.l., An. (Ano.) pseudopunctipennis s.l., An. (Ano.) punctimacula s.l., and An. (Ano.) malefactor.* Additional molecular studies of malaria allelic variants circulating in Panama at the local and regional are necessary to expand our current knowledge, determine parameters that affect malaria transmission dynamics, and to develop novel malaria control strategies [82, 83]. Previously in *An. (Nys.) albimanus* collected from 2006–2007 in Bocas del Toro, Panama, nine pools were detected naturally infected with *P. vivax* by an ELISA test (three pools with the VK210 variant and six with the VK247 variant) [74].

An important limitation of this study was that it was not possible to carry out salivary gland dissections for the detection of *P. vivax* sporozoites in *An. (Nys.) albimanus* and *An. (Nys.) darlingi*. Therefore, we were not able to corroborate and confirm the PCR results that suggested the incrimination of *An. (Nys.) albimanus* and *An. (Nys.) darlingi* in malaria transmission at the local level. However, it should be noted that *An. (Nys.) Darlingi* has been sufficiently proved for its great vector capacity through various studies, classifying it as the most efficient malaria-transmitting species in the Americas.

In conclusion, this study provides important new information on the transmission dynamics associated with mosquito vectors in the Darien region. The data show that the most abundant and distributed species were *An*. (*Nys.*) albimanus and *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi, which were also found coexisting in the same geographical area. Furthermore, this study reports for the first time the detection of natural *P. vivax* infection in *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi, its incrimination in malaria transmission and its identification in new areas of Darien. This study also detected the *P. vivax* variant VK210 in *An*. (*Nys.*) albimanus and *An*. (*Nys.*) darlingi mosquitoes. Nevertheless, it is necessary to evaluate the role of other anophelines species, such as *An*. (*Nys.*) triannulattus s.l., *An*. (*Nys.*) oswaldoi s.l., *An*. (*Ano.*) pseudopunctipennis s.l., *An*. (*Ano.*) punctimacula s.l. and *An*. (*Ano.*) malefactor, in malaria transmission dynamics given their epidemiological importance has yet to be determined. In addition, results of this research add important new entomological information that should be considered in transmission dynamic studies, and in surveillance/control strategies in the Darien region. Specifically, at the local level, these findings provide a new geographical range for mosquitoes, some of which could be acting as local vectors. Our findings highlight the need for additional studies to expand our knowledge on the behavior, spatial/temporal distribution, and malaria transmission dynamics by *An. (Nys.) dar-lingi* in this Panamanian region.

#### Supporting information

S1 Data. (XLS)

## Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the assistance of Dra. Panamá García (Director, Darién Health Region); Licdo. Fernando Vizcaino (Director, Department of Vector Control); Santos Vega (Coordinator, Vector Control-Darién Health Region); Mario Avila (Coordinator of the Operational Research Section of the MINSA), Silvio Betancourt (vector control technician); Regino Cordoba (vector control technician), Eliverio López (vector control technician), Oliverio López (Health assistant), the research assistants, Dan Martinez and Randy Rodrigues from ICGES and Alberto Cumbrera for the development of maps used in this study.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

- Data curation: Rolando Torres-Cosme, Chystrie Rigg, Ana María Santamaría, José Luis Ramirez, José E. Calzada, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.
- Formal analysis: Rolando Torres-Cosme, Chystrie Rigg, Ana María Santamaría, Vanessa Vásquez, Carlos Victoria, José Luis Ramirez, José E. Calzada, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

Funding acquisition: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

- Investigation: Rolando Torres-Cosme, Chystrie Rigg, Ana María Santamaría, Vanessa Vásquez, Carlos Victoria, José Luis Ramirez, José E. Calzada, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.
- Methodology: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.
- Project administration: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.
- Supervision: Rolando Torres-Cosme, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

Validation: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

Writing - original draft: Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

Writing – review & editing: Rolando Torres-Cosme, Chystrie Rigg, Ana María Santamaría, Vanessa Vásquez, Carlos Victoria, José Luis Ramirez, José E. Calzada, Lorenzo Cáceres Carrera.

#### References

- World Health Organization. World Malaria Report. World 2019. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland 2019. https://www.mmv.org/sites/default/files/uploads/docs/publications/World%20Malaria %20Report\_0.pdf. Accessed: 5 April 2020.
- Padilla JC, Álvarez G, Montoya R, Chaparro P; Herrera S. Epidemiology and control of malaria in Colombia. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2011; 106:114–22. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762011000900015 PMID: 21881765
- 3. Organización Panamericana de la Salud. Actualización Epidemiológica: Malaria en las Américas en el contexto de la pandemia de COVID-19. Washington, D.C. OPS/OM. 2020; Pag. 7. https://www.paho.org/es/documentos/actualizacion-epidemiologica-malaria-10-junio2020. Accessed: 14 May 2020.

- Cáceres L, Rovira J, García A, Torres R. Determinación de la resistencia a insecticidas organofosforados, carbamatos y piretroides en Anopheles albimanus (Díptera: Culicidae) de Panamá. Biomédica. 2011; 31:419–27. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0120-41572011000300014 PMID: 22674318
- Cáceres Carrera L, Victoria C, Ramirez JL, Jackman C, Calzada JE. Study of the epidemiological behavior of malaria in the Darien region, Panama. 2015–2017. PLoS One. 2019;5; 14(11):e0224508. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224508 PMID: 31730618
- Rezende HR, Soares RM, Cerutti C, Alves IC, Natal D, Urbinatti PR, et al. Entomological characterization and natural infection of anophelines in an area of the Atlantic Forest with autochthonous malaria cases in mountainous region of Espírito Santo State, Brazil. Neotrop. Entomol. 2009; 38:272–80. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-566x2009000200017 PMID: 19488519
- Calderaro A, Piccolo G, Gorrini C, Rossi S, Montecchini S, Dell'Anna ML, et al. Accurate identification of the six human Plasmodium spp. causing imported malaria, including Plasmodium ovale wallikeri and Plasmodium knowlesi. Malar J. 2013; 12,321. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-321 PMID: 24034175
- Loaiza JR, Bermingham E, Scott ME, Rovira JR and Conn JE. Species composition and distribution of adult Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) in Panama. J Med Entomol. 2008; 45:841–51. doi.org/10.1093/ jmedent/45.5.841 PMID: 18826025
- Alimi TO, Fuller DO, Qualls WA, Herrera SV, Arevalo-Herrera M, Quinones ML, et al. Predicting potential ranges of primary malaria vectors and malaria in northern South America based on projected changes in climate, land cover and human population. Parasit Vectors. 2015;20; 8:431. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13071-015-1033-9 PMID: 26289677
- Campos M, Alonso DP, Conn JE, Vinetz JM, Emerson KJ, Ribolla PEM. Genetic diversity of Nyssorhynchus (Anopheles) darlingi related to biting behavior in wester Amazon. Parasit Vectors. 2019;17; 12 (1):242. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3498-4 PMID: 31101131
- Beier JC. Malaria parasite development in mosquitoes. Annu Rev Entomol. 1998; 43:519–43. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.43.1.519 PMID: 9444756
- Darling, ST. Isthmian Canal Commission Report. Laboratory of the Board of Health; Washington, DC: 1910. Studies in relation to malaria.
- Rozeboom LE. Infection of Anopheles bachmanni Petrocchi, with Plasmodium vivax, Grassi and Feletti, and observation on the bionomics of the mosquito. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1935; 5:521–22.
- 14. Simmons JS. Anopheles (Anopheles) punctimacula naturally infected with malaria plasmodia. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1936a; 16:2–11.
- 15. Simmons JS. Anopheles (Anopheles) punctimacula (Dyar & Knab) experimentally infected with malaria plasmodia. Science 1936b;2150–2269.
- Simmons JS. Observations on the importance of Anopheles punctimacula as a malaria vector in Panama, and report of experimental infections in A. neomaculipalpus, A. apicimacula, and A. eiseni. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1937; 17:191–212.
- Stojanovich CJ, Gorham JR, Scott HG. Clave ilustrada para los mosquitos anofelinos de América Central y Panamá. Atlanta: Department of Health, Education and Welfare; 1966. p. 59. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/content/part/JAMCA/MS\_V05\_N2\_P097-156.pdf. Accessed 15 May 2019.
- Faran ME. Mosquito studies (Diptera: Culicidae). XXXIV. A revision of the Albimanus section of the sub-genus Nyssorhynchus of Anopheles. Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst. (Ann Arbor) 1980; 15:1–215
- 19. Wilkerson RC, Strickman D, Litwak TR. Illustrated key to the female anopheline mosquitoes of Central America and Mexico. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 1990; 6:7–34. PMID: 2324726
- Loaiza J, Scott M, Bermingham E, Rovira J, Sanjur O, Conn JE. Short Report: Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) in Panama. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2009; 81:23–6. PMID: 19556561
- Montoya-Lerma J, Solarte YA, Giraldo-Calderón GI, Quiñones ML, Ruiz-López F, González R. Malaria vector species in Colombia a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2011; 106:223–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762011000900028</u> PMID: 21881778
- Naranjo-Díaz N, Rosero DA, Rúa-Uribe G, Luckhart S, Correa MM. Abundance, behavior and entomological inoculation rates of anthropophilic anophelines from a primary Colombian malaria endemic area. Parasit Vectors. 2013; 6:61. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-61 PMID: 23497535
- Orjuela LI, Ahumada ML, Avila I, Herrera S, Beier JC, Quiñones ML. Human biting activity, spatial-temporal distribution and malaria vector role of Anopheles calderoni in the southwest of Colombia. Malar J. 2015; 14:256. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0764-6 PMID: 26104785
- Ahumada ML, Pareja PX, Buitrago LS, Quiñones ML. Comportamiento de picadura de Anopheles darlingi Root, 1926 (Diptera: Culicidae) y su asociación con la transmisión de malaria en Villavicencio (Colombia). Biomédica. 2013; 33:241–50. https://doi.org/10.7705/biomedicav33i2.1492 PMID: 24652134

- Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente. Atlas ambiental de la República de Panamá. Primera versión 2010. http://online.fliphtml5.com/eebm/ehya/index.html#p=2. Accessed May 2018.
- Empresa de Transmisión Eléctrica S. A. Departamento de Hidrometeorología. Estadística panameña. 2019. http://www.hidromet.com.pa/open\_data.php?id=154020&t\_est=M&clase\_dato=2&periodicidad= 3&sensor=TEMP. Accessed 15 May 2019.
- Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente. Atlas ambiental de la República de Panamá. 2010; 187 pag. http:// www.somaspa.org/noticias/Atlas\_Ambiental.pdf. Accessed 1 December 2018. Accessed December 2019.
- Calzada JE, Marquez R, Rigg C, Victoria C, De La Cruz M, Chaves LF, et al. Characterization of a recent malaria outbreak in the autonomous indigenous region of Guna Yala, Panama. Malar J. 2015; 14:459. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0987-6 PMID: 26578076
- Cáceres L, Rovira J, Torres R, García A, Calzada J, De La Cruz M. Characterization of Plasmodium vivax malaria transmission at the border of Panamá and Costa Rica (in Spanish). Biomedica. 2012; 32:557–69. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0120-41572012000400011 PMID: 23715231
- Brady OJ, Godfray HC, Tatem AJ, Gething PW, Cohen JM, McKenzie FE, et al. Vectorial capacity and vector control: reconsidering sensitivity to parameters for malaria elimination. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2016; 110:107–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trv113 PMID: 26822603
- Williams J, Pinto J. United States Agency for International Development. Training Manual on Malaria Entomology For Entomology and Vector Control Technicians. 2012; 85 page. https://www.paho.org/hq/ dmdocuments/2012/2012-Training-manual-malaria-entomology.pdf. Accessed: 2 February 2021.
- **32.** Organización Mundial de la Salud. Técnicas entomológicas de campo para la lucha antipalúdica. Parte II. Geneva: Organización Mundial de la Salud; 1993. p. 77.
- **33.** Chamberlain R, Sudia D. Methods for study of mosquitoes as virus hosts and vectors. Methods virol. 1967; 1:63–103.
- 34. Service M. Mosquito ecology: Field sampling methods. 2nd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1993. P 988
- Hii JLK, Smith T, Mai A, Ibam E and Alpers MP. Comparison between anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) caught using different methods in a malaria endemic area of Papua New Guinea. Bull Entomol Res. 2000; 90,211–19. https://doi.org/10.1017/s000748530000033x PMID: 10996862
- 36. Salazar CN, Fernández R W, Iannacone OJ, Morales AA, Espinoza SM. Comparación de dos métodos de colecta para anophelinos (cebo humano y trampa de luz CDC), durante la época seca y lluviosa, Yurimaguas, perú 2005. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. 2006; 23(2):87–97.
- Clark-Gil S. and Darsie RF. The mosquitoes of Guatemala their identification, distribution and bionomics. Mosquito Systematics. 1983; 15(3):151–284.
- Jaramillo LM, Gutiérrez LA, Luckhart S, Conn JE, Correa MM. Molecular evidence for a single taxon, *Anopheles nuneztovari s.l.*, from two endemic malaria regions in Colombia. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2011; 106(8):1017–23. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762011000800020 PMID: 22241127
- Gutiérrez LA, Gómez GF, González JJ, Castro MI, Luckhart S, Conn JE, Et al. Microgeographic genetic variation of the malaria vector Anopheles darlingi Root (Diptera: Culicidae) from Cordoba and Antioquia, Colombia. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2010; 83(1): 38–47. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0381 PMID: 20595475
- 40. Da Silva-Vasconcelos A, Neves MY, Neves E, Lessa R, da Luz RN, Sibajev A, et al. Biting indices, host-seeking activity and natural infection rates of anopheline species in Boa Vista, Roraima, Brazil from 1996 to 1998. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2002; 97:151–61. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762002000200002 PMID: 12016435
- Magris M, Rubio-Palis Y, Menares C, Villegas L. Vector bionomics and malaria transmission in the Upper Orinoco River Southern Venezuela. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.2007; 102:303–11. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1590/s0074-02762007005000049</u> PMID: 17568935
- 42. Gutiérrez LA, González JJ, Gómez GF, Castro MI, Rosero DA, Luckhart S, et al. Species composition and natural infectivity of anthropophilic Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) in the states of Córdoba and Antioquia, Northwestern Colombia. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2009; 104:1117–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/</u> s0074-02762009000800008 PMID: 20140372
- Álvarez N, Rosero DA, Gómez GF, Correa MM. Detección de mosquitos Anopheles infectados naturalmente con Plasmodium spp. en Puerto Libertador, Córdoba, Colombia. Hechos Microbiol. 2011; 2:27–35.
- 44. Rigg CA, Hurtado LA, Calzada JE, Chaves LF. Malaria infection rates in Anopheles albimanus (Diptera: Culicidae) at Ipetí-Guna, a village within a region targeted for malaria elimination in Panamá. Infect Genet Evol. 2019; 69:216–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2019.02.003 PMID: 30731298
- 45. Snounou G, Viriyakosol S, Zhu XP, Jarra W, Pinheiro L, do Rosario VE, et al. High sensitivity of detection of human malaria parasites by the use of nested polymerase chain reaction. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1993; 61:315–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(93)90077-b PMID: 8264734

- 46. Imwong M, Pukrittayakamee S, Gruner AC, Renia L, Letourneur F, Looareesuwan S, et al. Practical PCR genotyping protocols for Plasmodium vivax using Pvcs and Pvmsp1. Malar J. 2005; 4:20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-4-20 PMID: 15854233</u>
- Cohuet A, Harris C, Robert V, Fontenille D. Evolutionary forces on *Anopheles*: What makes a malaria vector? Trends Parasitol. 2010; 26:130–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2009.12.001 PMID: 20056485
- Montoya C, Bascuñán P, Rodríguez-Zabala J, Correa MM. Abundance, composition and natural infection of Anopheles mosquitoes from two malaria-endemic regions of Colombia. Biomédica 2017; 37 (Supl.2):98–105. https://doi.org/10.7705/biomedica.v37i0.3553 PMID: 29161482
- 49. Martins-Campos KM, Pinheiro WD, Vitor-Silva S, Siqueira AM, Melo GC, Rodrigues IC, et al. Integrated vector management targeting Anopheles darlingi populations decreases malaria incidence in an unstable transmission area, in the rural Brazilian Amazon. Malar J. 2012; 11:351. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1475-2875-11-351 PMID: 23088224
- Reis IC, Codeco CT, Camara DCP, Carvajal JJ, Pereira GR, Keppeler EC, et al. Diversity of Anopheles spp. (Diptera: Culicidae) in an Amazonian Urban Area. Neotrop Entomol. 2018; 47:412–17. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-018-0595-6">https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-018-0595-6</a> PMID: 29470810
- 51. Girod R, Roux E, Berger F, Stefani A, Gaborit P Carinci R, et al. Unravelling the relationships between Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) densities, environmental factors and malaria incidence: understanding the variable patterns of malarial transmission in French Guiana (South America). Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2011; 105(2):107–22. https://doi.org/10.1179/136485911X12899838683322 PMID: 21396247
- Naranjo-Díaz N, Conn JE, Correa MM. Behavior and population structure of *Anopheles darlingi* in Colombia. Infect Genet Evol. 2016; 39:64–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2016.01.004 PMID: 26792711
- 53. Prado CC, Alvarado-Cabrera LA, Camargo-Ayala PA, Garzón-Ospina D, Camargo M, Soto-De León SC, et al. Behavior and abundance of Anopheles darlingi in communities living in the Colombian Amazon Riverside. PLoS One. 2019;7; 14(3):e0213335. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213335</u> PMID: 30845198
- 54. Hiwat H, Bretas G. Ecology of *Anopheles darlingi* Root with respect to vector importance: a review. Parasit Vectors. 2011;16; 4:177. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-177 PMID: 21923902
- Jiménez P, Conn JE, Wirtz R, Brochero H. Anopheles (Díptera: Culicidae) vectors of malaria in Puerto Carreño municipality, Vichada, Colombia. Biomedica. 2012; 32(Suppl)1: 13–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S0120-41572012000500003</u> PMID: 23235809
- 56. Hiwat H, De Rijk M, Andriessen R, Koenraadt C, Takken W. Evaluation of methods for sampling the malaria vector Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) in Suriname and the relation with its biting behavior. J Med Entomol. 2011; 48:1039–46. https://doi.org/10.1603/me10245 PMID: 21936323
- Montoya-Lerma J, Solarte YA, Giraldo-Calderon GI, Quiñones ML, Ruiz-Lopez F, Wilkerson RC, et al. Malaria vector species in Colombia–A review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2011; 106(Supl.1):223–38. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762011000900028 PMID: 21881778
- Moreno JE, Rubio-Palis Y, Páez E, Pérez E, Sánches V. Abundance, biting behaviour and parous rate of anopheline mosquito species in relation to malaria incidence in gold-mining areas of southern Venezuela. Med Vet Entomol. 2007; 21:339–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2007.00704.x</u> PMID: 18092972
- Galardo AKR, Arruda M, D'Almeida Couto AAR, Wirtz R, Lounibos LP, Zimmerman RH. Malaria vector incrimination in three rural riverine villages in the Brazilian Amazon. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 76:461– 9. PMID: 17360868
- Escovar JE, González R, Quiñones ML. Anthropophilic biting behaviour of Anopheles (Kerteszia) neivai Howard, Dyar & Knab associated with Fishermen's activities in a malariaendemic area in the Colombian Pacific. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2013; 108(8):1057–64. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-0276130256 PMID: 24402159
- Girod R, Gaborit P, Carinci R, Issaly, Fouque F. Anopheles darlingi bionomics and transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium malariae* in 38 Amerindian villages of the Upper-Maroni Amazomian forest, French Guiana. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2008; 103:702–10. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762008000700013 PMID: 19057822
- Voorham J. Intra-population plasticity of Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) biting activity patterns in the state of Amapa, Brazil. Rev Saúde Públ. 2002; 36:75–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-89102002000100012</u> PMID: 11887233
- Achee N, Grieco J, Rejmankova E, André R, Vanzie E, Polanco J, et al. Biting pattern and seasonal densities of Anopheles mosquitoes in the Cayo District, Belice, Central America with emphasis in Anopheles darlingi. J Vector Ecol. 2006; 31:45–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.3376/1081-1710(2006)31[45:</u> bpasdo]2.0.co;2 PMID: 16859089

- Povoa MM, Wirtz RA, Lacerda R, Miles MA, Warhurst D. Malaria vectors in the municipality of Serra do Navio, State of Amapá, Amazon Region, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2001; 96:179–84. https://doi. org/10.1590/s0074-02762001000200008 PMID: 11285494
- Pombi M, Guelbeogo W, Calzetta M, Sagnon NF, Petrarca V. Evaluation of a protocol for remote identification of mosquito vector species reveals BG-Sentinel trap as an efficient tool for Anopheles gambiae outdoor collection in Burkina Faso. Malar J. 2015; 14:161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0674-7</u> PMID: 25888896
- Aycardi MP, Correa MM, Zarate E, Padrón C. Determinación de especies anofelinas en una localidad endémica de malaria en el departamento de Córdoba, noroeste de Colombia. Entomotropica. 2016; 31:294–301.
- González C, Molina AG, León C, Salcedo N, Rondón S, Paz A, et al. Entomological characterization of malaria in northern Colombia through vector and parasite species identification, and analyses of spatial distribution and infection rates. Malar J. 2017;27; 16(1):431. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-2076-5 PMID: 29078770
- Laneril K, Cabella B, Prado PI, Mendes Coutinho R, Kraenkel RA. Climate drivers of malaria at its southernfringe in the Americas. PLoS One. 2019; 14(7):e0219249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219249</u> PMID: 31291316
- Harbach R. 2013. The Phylogeny and Classification of Anopheles. Chapter 1. Pp 3–55. In: Sylvie Mangin (Ed.). 2013. Anopheles mosquitoes-New insights into malaria vectors. 811 pp.
- Hayes J, Calderón G, Falcón R, Zambrano V. Newly incriminated anopheline vectors of human malaria parasites in Junin Department, Peru. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1987; 3:418–22. PMID: 3333060
- Oliveira-Ferreira J, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Teva A, Deane LM, Daniel-Ribeiro CT. Natural malaria infections in anophelines in Rondônia State, Brazilian Amazon. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1990; 43:6–10. PMID: 2200290
- 72. Arruda M, Souza RC, Veiga ME, Ferreira AF, Zimmerman RH. Prevalence of *Plasmodium vivax* variants VK247 and *P. vivax*-like human malaria: a retrospective study in indigenous Indian populations of the Amazon region of Brazil. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1998; 92:628. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(98)90788-x</u> PMID: 10326105
- 73. Oliveira-Ferreira J, Pratt-Riccio LR, Arruda M, Santos F, Ribeiro CTD, Golberg AC, et al. HLA class II and antibody responses to circumsporozoite protein repeats of P. vivax (VK210, VK247, and P. vivax-like) in individuals naturally exposed to malaria. Acta Trop 2004; 92:63–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2004.02.011</u> PMID: 15301976
- 74. Lainhart W, Dutari LC, Rovira JR, Sucupira IM, Póvoa MM, Conn JE, et al. Epidemic and Non-Epidemic Hot Spots of Malaria Transmission Occur in Indigenous Comarcas of Panama. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;16; 10(5):e0004718. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004718 PMID: 27182773
- 75. Olano V, Brochero H, Saenz R, Quiñones ML, Molina JA. Mapas preliminares de la distribución de especies de Anopheles vectores de malaria en Colombia. Biomédica. 2001; 21:402–8
- 76. Sinka ME, Rubio-Palis Y, Manguin S, Patil AP, Temperley WH, Gething PW, et al. The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Americas: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasit Vectors 2010; 3:2–26. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-3-2 PMID: 20145730
- 77. Cassiano GC, Storti-Melo LM, Póvoa MM, Galardo AK, Rossit AR, Machado RL. Development of PCR-RFLP assay for the discrimination of Plasmodium species and variants 42 of P. vivax (VK210, VK247 and P. vivax-like) in Anopheles mosquitoes. Acta Tropica. 2011; 118(2):118–12. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.actatropica.2011.02.011 PMID: 21420375
- 78. Gutiérrez LA, Naranjo N, Jaramillo LM, Muskus C, Luckhart S, Conn JE, et al. Natural infectivity of Anopheles species from the Pacific and Atlantic regions of Colombia. Acta Trop. 2008; 107:99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2008.04.019 PMID: 18554564
- 79. Santos EA, Sucupira IMC, de Oliveira Martins BM, de Paula Souza E Guimarães RJ, Catete CP, de Souza RTL, et al. VK210 and VK247 genotypes of Plasmodium vivax in anopheline mosquitoes from Brazilian Amazon. Sci Rep. 2019;28; 9(1):9391. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45809-5 PMID: 31253819; PMCID: PMC6599022.
- Gonzalez-Ceron L, Rodriguez MH, Nettel JC, Villarreal C, Kain KC, Hernandez JE. Differential susceptibilities of Anopheles albimanus and Anopheles pseudopunctipennis to infections with coindigenous Plasmodium vivax variants VK210 and VK247 in southern Mexico. Infect Immun. 1999; 67:410–2. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.67.1.410-412.1999 PMID: 9864243
- González-Cerón L, Martinez-Barnetche J, Montero-Solís C, Santillán F, Soto AM, Rodríguez MH, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Plasmodium vivax* in Latin America: polymorphism and evolutionary relationships of the circumsporozoite gene. Malar J. 2013; 12:243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-243</u> PMID: 23855807

- Barros FS, Honório NA, Arruda ME. Mosquito anthropophily: implications on malaria transmission in the Northern Brazilian Amazon. Neotrop. Entomol. 2010; 39(6),1039–43. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S1519-566X2010000600029 PMID: 21271076
- **83.** Vittor AY, Pan W, Gilman RH, Tielsch J, Glass G, Shields T, et al. Linking deforestation to malaria in the Amazon: characterization of the breeding habitat of the principal malaria vector, *Anopheles darlingi*. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2009; 81(1), 5–1. PMID: 19556558