Open Access

Microsatellite and *Wolbachia* analysis in *Rhagoletis cerasi* natural populations: population structuring and multiple infections

Antonios A. Augustinos^{1,2,3}, Anastasia K. Asimakopoulou², Cleopatra A. Moraiti⁴, Penelope Mavragani-Tsipidou⁵, Nikolaos T. Papadopoulos⁴ & Kostas Bourtzis^{1,3,6}

¹Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Management, University of Western Greece, Agrinio, Greece

²Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Thessaly, Larissa, Greece

³Insect Pest Control Laboratory, Joint FAO/IAEA Programme of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Vienna, Austria

⁴Department of Agriculture, Crop Production and Rural Environment, University of Thessaly, N. Ionia (Volos), Magnesia, Greece

⁵Department of Genetics, Development and Molecular Biology, School of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,

Thessaloniki, Greece

⁶Biomedical Sciences Research Center Al. Fleming, Vari, Greece

Keywords

Insect symbiosis, microsatellites, *Rhagoletis* cerasi, Tephritidae, *Wolbachia*.

Correspondence

Kostas Bourtzis, Insect Pest Control Laboratory, Joint FAO/IAEA Programme of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Vienna, Austria. Tel: 0043 1 2600 28423; Fax: 0043 1 2600 28447; E-mail: K.Bourtzis@iaea.org

Present address

Anastasia K. Asimakopoulou Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Pathobiochemistry, RWTH University Hospital of Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Funding Information

This research was supported in part by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme CSA-SA REGPROT-2007-1 (under grant agreement no 203590 (K. B.). This study was also partially supported by IKYDA and the EPEAEK II – Pythagoras (cofounded by the European Social and National Resources and the Greek ministry of Education) awarded to N. T. P. P. M., N. T. P., and K. B benefitted from travel grants in the frame of the EU COST Action FA0701 "Arthropod Symbiosis: from fundamental studies to pest and disease management". The authors are grateful to the FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Program "Use of symbiotic bacteria to reduce mass-rearing costs and increase mating success in selected fruit pests in support of SIT application" for the overall support of this study.

Received: 28 November 2012; Revised: 5 March 2013; Accepted: 9 March 2013

Ecology and Evolution 2014; 4(10): 1943–1962

doi: 10.1002/ece3.553

Abstract

Rhagoletis cerasi (Diptera: Tephritidae) is a major pest of sweet and sour cherries in Europe and parts of Asia. Despite its economic significance, there is a lack of studies on the genetic structure of R. cerasi populations. Elucidating the genetic structure of insects of economic importance is crucial for developing phenological-predictive models and environmental friendly control methods. All natural populations of *R. cerasi* have been found to harbor the endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis, which widely affects multiple biological traits contributing to the evolution of its hosts, and has been suggested as a tool for the biological control of insect pests and disease vectors. In the current study, the analysis of 18 R. cerasi populations collected in Greece, Germany, and Russia using 13 microsatellite markers revealed structuring of R. cerasi natural populations, even at close geographic range. We also analyzed the Wolbachia infection status of these populations using 16S rRNA-, MLST- and wsp-based approaches. All 244 individuals screened were positive for Wolbachia. Our results suggest the fixation of the wCer1 strain in Greece while wCer2, wCer4, wCer5, and probably other uncharacterized strains were also detected in multiply infected individuals. The role of Wolbachia and its potential extended phenotypes needs a thorough investigation in R. cerasi. Our data suggest an involvement of this symbiont in the observed restriction in the gene flow in addition to a number of different ecological factors.

Introduction

The European cherry fruit fly, Rhagoletis cerasi L. (Diptera: Tephritidae) (Fig. 1), is a pest of major agricultural importance infesting mainly sweet (Prunus avium) and sour (P. cerasus) cherries as well as honeysuckle (mainly Lonicera tatarica and L. xylosteum) and wild growing prunus. Rhagoletis cerasi has dispersed and become established in almost all European countries, spreading from the original habitat, the Caucasian area of western Asia (Fimiani 1989; White and Elson-Harris 1992). Rhagoletis cerasi follows a univoltine life cycle with obligatory pupa dormancy that lasts over 9 months. Adults emerge in spring and oviposit usually a single egg on ripe or ripening fruits (Fletcher 1989; Daniel and Grunder 2012). Larvae feed on mesocarp, destroying fruits and causing considerable economic loss exacerbated by secondary fungal and bacterial infections (Fimiani 1989). Because of the economic importance, the control of the European cherry fruit fly received much attention in the framework of the sterile insect technique (SIT) in the early 1970s (Boller et al. 1971, 1977; Boller and Katsoyannos 1977).

A number of studies have focused on the ecology of R. cerasi (Boller and Prokopy 1976; Boller et al. 1998; Kovanci and Kovanci 2006; Papanastasiou et al. 2011; Moraiti et al. 2012a,b) or the development of environmental friendly control methods, such as mass trapping, application of the oviposition deterring pheromone, bait sprays using plant-derived insecticides and biological control (Katsoyannos et al. 2000; Daniel and Grunder 2012). However, very little is known regarding the biology of this pest at the molecular, genetic, and population levels. The cytogenetics (including the development of polytene chromosome maps) have been recently studied (Kounatidis et al. 2008), though the genetic structure of the European cherry fruit fly populations has received much less attention. Allozyme polymorphisms (Schwarz et al. 2003) raise the question of host race formation in this species, due to host shift, and a small-scale analysis based on microsatellite markers (Augustinos et al. 2011a) points toward elevated genetic differences even in a short geographical range.

In fruit flies (other than *Rhagoletis* species), microsatellites have been used to shed light on: recent invasion events and dispersion routes of several invasive species (Meixner et al. 2002; Bonizzoni et al. 2004; Augustinos et al. 2005; Nardi et al. 2005; Aketarawong et al. 2007; Khamis et al. 2009; Zygouridis et al. 2009; Virgilio et al. 2010); the degree of genetic structuring in natural populations that can be associated with incipient speciation (Michel et al. 2007); and pattern of remating in nature (Bonizzoni et al. 2002; Song et al. 2007). Lately, microsatellite markers have been also used to address phylogenetic issues in the *Bactrocera musae* complex of species (Drew et al. 2011).

Microsatellite markers have been recently developed for *R. pomonella* (Velez et al. 2006), *R. indifferens* (Maxwell et al. 2009), and *R. cerasi* (Arthofer et al. 2009a) and used to: (a) address issues regarding speciation events in *R. pomonella* (Michel et al. 2007, 2010; Forbes et al. 2009), and (b) analyze native and introduced populations of *R. completa* (Chen et al. 2010). The recent development of 13 microsatellite markers for *R. cerasi* (Arthofer et al. 2009a), along with the evaluation of cross-amplified microsatellite markers from other *Rhagoletis* species (Augustinos et al. 2011a), provide a solid basis for exploring the population genetics of this species.

Wolbachia pipientis (*Wolbachia* for the purpose of this manuscript) is perhaps the most widespread and abundant symbiont among insect species. Extensive genetic diversity has been recorded in the *Wolbachia* group with the different strains assigned in 13 supergroups, namely A to F and H to N (Augustinos et al. 2011b). The genotyping of the *Wolbachia* strains is based on either single gene (such as *wsp*, *ftsZ*, *gltA*, *groEL*, *dnaA*) or Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) approaches (Casiraghi et al. 2005; Baldo et al. 2006; Paraskevopoulos et al. 2006).

The widespread distribution of *Wolbachia*, its ability to manipulate reproductive properties, as well as other important physiological functions of its arthropod hosts,



Figure 1. *Rhagoletis cerasi* fly: (a) third instar larvae of *R. cerasi* infesting a cherry fruit and (b) *R. cerasi* adult (male) on food droplets.

have attracted the attention of investigators regarding the role of this symbiont in host biology, ecology, and evolution (Serbus et al. 2008; Werren et al. 2008; Saridaki and Bourtzis 2010). In addition, *Wolbachia* symbiosis is currently being explored and harnessed toward population control of insect pests and disease vectors (Zabalou et al. 2004, 2009; Brelsfoard and Dobson 2009; Apostolaki et al. 2011; Atyame et al. 2011; Walker et al. 2011).

Revealing the close association between R. cerasi and Wolbachia, Riegler and Stauffer shed important light on the reproductive incompatibility reported in the mid-1970s between northwest and southeast European populations of this pest (Boller et al. 1976; Matolin 1976; Riegler and Stauffer 2002). So far, five Wolbachia strains of R. cerasi have been described (wCer1 to wCer5). The identification of the first two strains, wCer1 and wCer2, was based mainly on wsp gene divergence. Wild R. cerasi populations were found to be infected either with wCer1, or super infected with wCer1 and wCer2. Moreover, wCer2 was proposed to be the causal factor for the reproductive incompatibility of the aforementioned populations, now known as Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) (Riegler and Stauffer 2002). Based on a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) screen with Wolbachia-specific primers for 16S rRNA and wsp, Kounatidis et al. (2008) reported that the four Greek populations tested were also 100% infected. The Wolbachia strains wCer3, wCer4, and wCer5 were only recently isolated from R. cerasi populations (Arthofer et al. 2009b, 2011). Interestingly, wCer4 was identified only after Ceratitis capitata transinfection with Wolbachia, using R. cerasi as donor (Zabalou et al. 2004). Arthofer et al. (2011) proposed Allelic Intersection Analysis as a novel tool for the MLST assignment of multiply infected hosts and described the MLST profile of R. cerasi Wolbachia strains wCer1 to wCer5 (except wCer3), indicating that wCer1, wCer2, and wCer4 belong to Wolbachia supergroup A, while wCer5 belongs to supergroup B. The Wolbachia strain wCer3 is supposed to be a recombinant A/B strain, according to the available wsp gene sequence data (Arthofer et al. 2009b).

Recent studies showed that *R. pomonella* (Schuler et al. 2011) and *R. cingulata* (Drosopoulou et al. 2011) are infected by *Wolbachia*, while *R. completa* seems to be free of *Wolbachia* (Drosopoulou et al. 2010). *Rhagoletis pomonella* is infected with the *w*Pom strain, which seems to be very similar (or identical) to *w*Cer2, while other sequence variants for different MLST genes (not attributed to specific strains) have also been reported (Schuler et al. 2011). *Rhagoletis cingulata* is infected with at least two strains very similar (or identical) to *w*Cer1 and *w*Cer2 (Schuler et al. 2009; Drosopoulou et al. 2011), named *w*Cin1 and 2 (Schuler et al. 2009).

Wolbachia strains derived from *R. cerasi* have been recently used for the development of an alternative and environment-friendly strategy to control two major agricultural pests: the Mediterranean fruit fly, *C. capitata* (Zabalou et al. 2004, 2009) and the olive fly, *Bactrocera oleae* (Apostolaki et al. 2011). This technique, the Incompatible Insect Technique (IIT), is in principle analogous to the SIT. The main difference between them is the sterilization factor; the IIT is based on *Wolbachia*-induced CI while the SIT is based on irradiation (Bourtzis and Robinson 2006). IIT holds great potential for the control of agricultural pests and disease vectors (Zabalou et al. 2004; Brelsfoard and Dobson 2009; Saridaki and Bourtzis 2010; Apostolaki et al. 2011; Atyame et al. 2011).

Because of its wide geographical distribution, univoltine life history, patchy distribution of its hosts, low adult dispersion abilities, and infection with different *Wolbachia* strains (Phillips and Dirks 1933; Jones and Wallace 1955; Boller and Prokopy 1976; Fletcher 1989; Kneifl et al. 1997), *R. cerasi* bears several properties of becoming an important model for addressing issues regarding effect of ecological factors and symbionts on the differentiation of genetic, demographic, and behavioral traits (Augustinos et al. 2011a; Moraiti et al. 2012b).

Materials and Methods

Collection of fly samples and DNA extraction

A total of 465 adults from 18 different *R. cerasi* popualtions were genotyped using 13 microsatellite markers. Fifteen of the populations came from Greece (12 mainland and 3 island) and additionally three from Germany (two populations) and Russia. In all cases, field-infested sweet cherries were sampled and kept in the laboratory until the collection of pupae. Adults emerging from pupae were stored at -80° C in 95% ethanol. Genomic DNA was extracted as described in Augustinos et al. (2011a). Collection sites and the number of flies used in the study are shown in Figure 2 and Table 1.

Microsatellite genotyping and data analysis

PCRs, genotyping, and specific allele sequencing of microsatellite markers were performed as described in Augustinos et al. (2011a). PCR conditions for markers Rce76-1 and 83-44 were similar to those described in Arthofer et al. (2009a).

Genetic variability was measured as the mean number of alleles per locus (n_a) , effective number of alleles (n_e) , observed (H_o) and expected heterozygosity (H_e) , using POPGENE, version 1.31 (Yeh et al. 1999). Allelic diversity



Figure 2. *Rhagoletis cerasi* sampling areas and GeneClass analysis. Sampling sites: 1, Agia Larissa; 2, Kallipefki Larissa; 3, Kato Lechonia; 4, Kamari; 5, Karditsa; 6, Pertouli; 7, Konitsa; 8, Dafni1; 9, Dafni2; 10, Kastoria; 11, Salonica; 12, Kernitsa; 13, Chania; 14, Chios; 15, Lesvos; 16, Steckelberg; 17, Dossenheim; 18, Krasnodar. Samples were grouped for GeneClass analysis according to geographic origin.

was determined after correction for sample size, using FSTAT (Goudet 2001). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) were tested with the G² likelihood ratio test in POPGENE. Genotypic disequilibrium was tested with Genepop (Raymond and Rousset 1995), using Fisher's exact test, for all pairs of loci in all populations and across them. Presence of null alleles was tested with ML-Null software. Hardy-Weinberg tests for heterozygote deficiency were performed (Rousset and Raymond 1995), after performing 10,000 randomization tests using Monte-Carlo randomization as described by Guo and Thomson (1992). Genetic distances were measured according to Nei (1972), using POPGENE (Yeh et al. 1999). Population differentiation was estimated using the FSTAT software, using a significance level of 0.05, adjusted for multiple comparisons. Software GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2006) was used for the estimation of the pairwise population PhiPT values. This PhiPT matrix was used in Genalex 6.5 to perform Principal Components Analysis (PCA). PHYLIP 3.6c (Felsenstein 1994) was used for the construction of an unrooted UPGMA dendrogram after 1000 bootstrap resamples, using allele frequencies, as described in Augustinos et al. (2005). STRUCTURE v2.2 software was used to determine the number of possible

genetic clusters (Pritchard et al. 2000). We used all four different models implemented in this program, assuming the presence of admixture and without admixture, for independent or correlated allele frequencies, with a burnin period of 50,000 and 500,000 Marcov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) repetitions after the initial burn-in. We tested for K = 1 to K = 12 (where K stands for the assumed number of populations). We also used the modification described by Evanno et al. (2005) to predict the true number of clusters in complex situations more accurately. For this purpose, we used the "no admixture" model with correlated frequencies, with a burn-in period of 50,000 and 500,000 MCMC repetitions after the initial burn-in. We ran 10 repetitions of the above model, assuming K = 1 to K = 12. We chose the specific model because, as it is suggested by the authors of the program, it can better resolve "complicated" or "weak" cases of structuring. Software GeneClass 2.0 (Piry et al. 2004) was used to perform population assignment and exclusion test and to calculate the probability of origin for each individual and each population, under a Bayesian model using the Rannala and Mountain (1997) criterion with a 0.05 threshold. Isolation by distance was tested using the "Mantelize it" option of the FSTAT software (Goudet

NoSampleRegionCountrydateLongitudeLattitudePlant host N n_a 1Aqia-GRThessalyGreece200839'43'04"N22'45'49"ESweet cherry203.32Katipefki-GRThessalyGreece200839'94'30"N22'95'5'40"ESweet cherry203.33Kato Lechonia-GRThessaly200839'34'47"N22'95'5'40"EWild sweet cherry203.54Kamari Pilio-GRThessaly200839'34'47"N22'95'5'40"EWild sweet cherry203.55Karditsa-GRThessaly200839'34'47"N22'95'5'40"EWild sweet cherry203.57Konitsa-GREpirus200839'34'47"N21'92'ESweet cherry203.57Konitsa-GREpirus200839'34'47"N21'92'ESweet cherry203.57Konitsa-GRMacedonia200840'71'08"N21'92'ESweet cherry203.58Dafni1-GRMacedonia200840'71'08"N21'92'ESweet cherry203.510Kastoria-GRMacedonia200840'71'08"N21'92'ESweet cherry203.511Thessaloniki-GRMacedonia200840'71'08"N21'95'8"Sweet cherry304.0811Thessaloniki-GRMacedonia200840'71'78"Sweet cherry304.0812Kernitsa-GR <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Collection</th> <th></th>					Collection										
1 Agia-GR Thessaly Greece 2008 $39^{4}3'04"$ N $22^{4}5'49"$ E Sweet cherry 30 433 2 Kallipefki-GR Thessaly Greece 2008 $39^{-5}80"$ N $22^{-2}7'3"$ E Sweet cherry 20 3.58 3 Kato Lechonia-GR Thessaly 2008 $39^{-3}447"$ N $22^{-5}5'5.40"$ F Wild sweet cherry 20 3.33 5 Karditsa-GR Thessaly 2008 $39^{-3}447"$ N $22^{-5}5'5.40"$ F Wild sweet cherry 20 3.33 6 Pertouli-GR Thessaly 2008 $39^{-3}417"$ N $21^{-9}2'F$ Sweet cherry 20 3.50 7 Konitsa-GR Thessaly 2008 $40^{-7}7'W'$ N $21^{-2}7'5'R'$ F Wild sweet cherry 20 3.50 8 Dafnil-GR Macedonia 2008 $40^{-7}7'0'W'$ $21^{-9}2'F$ F Sweet cherry 20 $3.6'T$ 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 $40^{-7}1'0'W'$ $21^{-9}2'F_3'T'$ F Sweet cherry	No	Sample	Region	Country	date	Longitude	Latitude	Plant host	2	$n_{\rm a}$	$n_{\rm e}$	AR	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	HWE
2 Kallipefki-GR Thessaly 2008 39°580°N 22°27/37°E Sweet cherry 20 3.58 3 Kato Lechonia-GR Thessaly 2008 39°1944°N 23°02/17°E Sweet cherry 20 3.58 4 Kamari Pilio-GR Thessaly 2008 39°36N 21°92°E Sweet cherry 20 3.53 5 Karditza-GR Thessaly 2008 39°35N 21°92°E Sweet cherry 20 3.53 6 Pertouli-GR Thessaly 2008 39°35N 21°92°E Sweet cherry 20 3.53 7 Konitsa-GR Macedonia 2008 39°32°19°N 21°92°E Sweet cherry 20 3.50 9 Dafini-GR Macedonia 2008 40°170°R'N 21°95°E Sweet cherry 20 3.67 10 Kastoi-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31°T'N 21°95°F Sweet cherry 20 3.75 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 4	-	Agia-GR	Thessaly	Greece	2008	39°43'04"N	22°45′49″E	Sweet cherry	30	4.33	2.14	3.74	0.47	0.47	1/13
3 Kato Lechonia-GR Thesaly 2008 39°1949"N 23°02'17"E Sweet cherry 18 3.08 4 Kamari Pilio-GR Thesaly 2008 39°347,"N 22°55'5.40"F Wild sweet cherry 20 3.33 5 Karditsa-GR Thesaly 2008 39°36'N 21°92'F Sweet cherry 20 3.35 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 39°32'19"N 21°27'58"F Wild sweet cherry 20 3.57 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 40°17'08"N 21°27'58"F Sweet cherry 20 3.57 9 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°154"F Sweet cherry 20 3.67 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°154"F Sweet cherry 20 3.67 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 38°7'60"N 21°54'43"F Sweet cherry 30 3.00 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia	2	Kallipefki-GR	Thessaly		2008	39°58'0"N	22°27'37"E	Sweet cherry	20	3.58	2.02	3.42	0.41	0.44	I
4 Kamari Pilio-GR Thesaly 2008 39°34147"N 22°55'5.40°E Wild sweet cherry 20 3.33 5 Kardifxa-GR Thesaly 2008 39°36'N 21°92'E Sweet cherry 20 3.50 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 39°35'N 21°92'E Sweet cherry 20 3.50 8 Dafni1-GR Epirus 2008 40°2'14"N 21°92'E Sweet cherry 20 3.57 9 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°92'F Sweet cherry 20 3.57 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°08"S3"F Prunus sp. 28 3.67 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31'34"N 21°15'47"F Sweet cherry 20 3.67 12 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 38°7'60"N 21°16'43"F Sweet cherry 30 3.00 13 Chania-GR Macedonia 2008 38°7'60"N 21°16'43"F Sweet cherry 30 3.00 14	m	Kato Lechonia-GR	Thessaly		2008	39°19'49"N	23°02′17″E	Sweet cherry	18	3.08	2.09	3.06	0.37	0.45	3/13
5 Karditsa-GR Thessaly 2008 39°36'N 21°92'E Sweet cherry 20 3.55 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 39°32'19''N 21°27'58''E Wild sweet cherry 20 3.50 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 40°2'44''N 20°44'52''E Sweet cherry 20 3.57 8 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°08'53''E Sweet cherry 20 3.67 9 Dafni2-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°08'53''E Punus sp. 28 3.05 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°54'5''E Sweet cherry 20 3.67 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 38°7'60''N 21°54'3''E Sweet cherry 30 3.03 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 38°7'60''N 21°54'3''E Sweet cherry 30 3.02 13 Chania-GR Crete	4	Kamari Pilio-GR	Thessaly		2008	39°34'47"N	22°55'5.40"E	Wild sweet cherry	20	3.33	2.01	3.24	0.39	0.41	I
6 Pertouli-GR Thessaly 2008 39°32'19'N 21°27'58'E Wild sweet cherry 20 3:50 7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 40°7'78'' Sweet cherry 20 3:57 8 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°08'53''E Sweet cherry 20 3:67 9 Dafni2-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°08'53''E Sweet cherry 20 3:67 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08''N 21°08'53''E Penor 208 3:03 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°3'13''N 21°15'47''E Sweet cherry 30 4:03 12 Kernitsa-GR Macedonia 2008 40°3''60''N 21°5'6'43''E Sweet cherry 30 3:03 13 Chania-GR Peloponnesus 2008 35°5'6'1'N 21°5'6'43''E Sweet cherry 30 2:02 13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 <	ß	Karditsa-GR	Thessaly		2008	N,9E°95	21°92′E	Sweet cherry	20	3.25	2.02	3.16	0.37	0.44	2/13
7 Konitsa-GR Epirus 2008 40°2'44"N 20°44"52"E Sweet cherry 30 400 8 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°08'53"E Sweet cherry 20 3.67 9 Dafni2-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°08'53"E Frunus sp. 28 3.75 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17'08"N 21°08'53"E Frunus sp. 28 3.03 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°37'60"N 21°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 4.03 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 40°38'19"N 22°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 3.03 13 Chania-GR Peloponnesus 2008 38°7'60"N 21°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 3.03 13 Chania-GR Peloponnesus 2008 38°7'60"N 21°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 14 Chios-GR East Aegean <t< td=""><td>9</td><td>Pertouli-GR</td><td>Thessaly</td><td></td><td>2008</td><td>39°32'19"N</td><td>21°27'58"E</td><td>Wild sweet cherry</td><td>20</td><td>3.50</td><td>2.14</td><td>3.42</td><td>0.42</td><td>0.46</td><td>1/13</td></t<>	9	Pertouli-GR	Thessaly		2008	39°32'19"N	21°27'58"E	Wild sweet cherry	20	3.50	2.14	3.42	0.42	0.46	1/13
8 Dafni1-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17′08″N 21°08′53″E Sweet cherry 20 3.57 9 Dafni2-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17′08″N 21°08′53″E Prunus sp. 28 3.75 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17′08″N 21°15′47″E Sweet cherry 30 408 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31′34″N 21°15′47″E Sweet cherry 30 408 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 40°37′60″N 22°56′43″E Sweet cherry 30 300 13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 38°7′60″N 22°13′0″E Sweet cherry 30 30 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21′0″N 24°01′E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21′0″N 26°31′5″″ Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR East Aegean 20	7	Konitsa-GR	Epirus		2008	40°2'44"N	20°44'52″E	Sweet cherry	30	4.00	2.02	3.60	0.37	0.43	2/13
9 Dafni2-GR Macedonia 2008 40°17′08″N 21°08′53″E Prunus sp. 28 3.75 10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31′34″N 21°15′47″E Sweet cherry 30 408 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31′34″N 21°15′47″E Sweet cherry 30 408 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 40°38′760″N 22°56′43″E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 38°7′60″N 22°13′0″E Sweet cherry 30 3.03 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21′06″N 26°31′59″E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Leso-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21′06″N 26°31′59″E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR East Aegean 2008 39°6′1′1″N 26°31′59″E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR East Aegean <td>00</td> <td>Dafni1-GR</td> <td>Macedonia</td> <td></td> <td>2008</td> <td>40°17'08"N</td> <td>21°08′53″E</td> <td>Sweet cherry</td> <td>20</td> <td>3.67</td> <td>1.90</td> <td>3.46</td> <td>0.36</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>1/13</td>	00	Dafni1-GR	Macedonia		2008	40°17'08"N	21°08′53″E	Sweet cherry	20	3.67	1.90	3.46	0.36	0.42	1/13
10 Kastoria-GR Macedonia 2008 40°31'34"N 21°15'47"E Sweet cherry 30 408 11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°38'19"N 22°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 40°38'19"N 22°56'43"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 13 Khania-GR Crete 2008 38°7'60"N 22°13'0"E Sweet cherry 30 3.42 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21'06"N 26°08'30"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 16 Stecklenberg-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germany 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germa	6	Dafni2-GR	Macedonia		2008	40°17'08"N	21°08′53″E	Prunus sp.	28	3.75	2.03	3.35	0.40	0.46	1/13
11 Thessaloniki-GR Macedonia 2008 40°38'19"N 22°56'43"E Sweet cherry 19 3.83 12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 38°7'60"N 22°13'0"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 35°51'N 24°01'E Sweet cherry 30 3.42 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21'06"N 26°08'30"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germany 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germany 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°2'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia	10	Kastoria-GR	Macedonia		2008	40°31'34"N	21°15′47″E	Sweet cherry	30	4.08	2.07	3.65	0.40	0.45	1/13
12 Kernitsa-GR Peloponnesus 2008 38°7'60"N 22°13'0"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 35°51'N 24°01'E Sweet cherry 30 3.42 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21'06"N 26°08'30"E Sweet cherry 30 3.42 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germany 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°2'0"N 11°08"E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°2'0"N 8°40'0"E 5weet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°2'0"N 38°58'0"E 4.08 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 49°2'0"N 38°58'0"E 4.08	11	Thessaloniki-GR	Macedonia		2008	40°38′19″N	22°56'43"E	Sweet cherry	19	3.83	2.19	3.74	0.44	0.48	2/13
13 Chania-GR Crete 2008 35°51'N 24°01'E Sweet cherry 30 3.42 14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21'06"N 26°08'30"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 16 Stecklenberg-GR Germany 2008 51°73'0"N 11°08"E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 38°58'0"E Uknown host 30 4.03	12	Kernitsa-GR	Peloponnesus		2008	38°7′60″N	22°13'0"E	Sweet cherry	30	3.00	1.94	2.89	0.36	0.42	2/13
14 Chios-GR East Aegean 2008 38°21'06"N 26°08'30"E Sweet cherry 30 2.92 15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06'13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 16 Stecklenberg-GER Germany 2008 51°73'0"N 11°08'E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER Russia 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 45°2'0"N 38°58'0"E Uknown host 30 4.03	13	Chania-GR	Crete		2008	35°51'N	24°01′E	Sweet cherry	30	3.42	1.98	3.19	0.41	0.47	I
15 Lesvos-GR East Aegean 2008 39°06/13"N 26°31'59"E Sweet cherry 30 3.00 16 Stecklenberg-GER Germany 2008 51°73'0"N 11°08'E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 45°2'0"N 38°58'0"E Uknown host 30 4.33	14	Chios-GR	East Aegean		2008	38°21'06″N	26°08'30"E	Sweet cherry	30	2.92	2.00	2.81	0.35	0.42	3/13
16 Stecklenberg-GER Germany 2008 51°73'0"N 11°08'E Sweet cherry 30 4.17 17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27'0"N 8°40'0"E Sweet cherry 30 4.03 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 45°2'0"N 38°58'0"E Uknown host 30 4.33	15	Lesvos-GR	East Aegean		2008	39°06'13"N	26°31'59″E	Sweet cherry	30	3.00	2.14	2.79	0.39	0.44	1/13
17 Dossenheim-GER 2008 49°27′0″N 8°40′0″E Sweet cherry 30 4.08 18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 45°2′0″N 38°58′0″E Uknown host 30 4.33	16	Stecklenberg-GER		Germany	2008	51°73'0"N	11°08′E	Sweet cherry	30	4.17	2.10	3.61	0.41	0.45	Ι
18 Krasnodar-RUS Russia 2008 45°2′0″N 38°58′0″E Uknown host 30 4.33	17	Dossenheim-GER			2008	49°27'0"N	8°40'0"E	Sweet cherry	30	4.08	2.01	3.56	0.39	0.40	Ι
	18	Krasnodar-RUS		Russia	2008	45°2'0"N	38°58'0"E	Uknown host	30	4.33	2.51	3.91	0.48	0.52	3/13
25.8 3.03		Mean							25.8	3.63	2.07	3.36	0.40	0.45	

osity; $H_{ m e}$, expected heterozygosity; HWE, loci that deviate from equilib	
of effective alleles; AR, allelic richness; Ho, observed heterozygo	
ole size; $n_{\rm a}$, number of actual alleles; $n_{\rm e}$, number	ng to G^2 criterion, at a significance level of 0.05.

2001) for plotting genetic distances against geographic distances, and Pearson's r coefficient was measured using Excel. Finally, the BOTTLENECK software was used (Cornuet and Luikart 1996) to detect any recent bottleneck phenomena. This software runs under the assumption that recent bottlenecks lead to a shift from the L-shaped distribution of allele frequencies along with a quicker loss of rare alleles than heterozygosity. However, the low number of microsatellite loci used, especially if not highly polymorphic, can lead in reduced "ability" to detect bottleneck phenomena. In the frame of this study, the Mode shift and the Wilcoxon sign rank tests implemented in this software were used.

Wolbachia genotyping and data analysis

Wolbachia infections from six individual flies belonging to separate genetic clusters (according to microsatellite analysis) and/or of different geographic origin were genotyped by sequencing of the 16S *rRNA*, *wsp*, and MLST genes. A 16S *rRNA* gene fragment (438 base pairs) was amplified with the *Wolbachia*-specific primers *wspecF* and *wspecR* (Werren and Windsor 2000). Gene fragments of *wsp* and the MLST genes (*gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *fbpA*, and *ftsZ*) were amplified using the respective primers and conditions reported previously (Casiraghi et al. 2005; Baldo et al. 2006; Ros et al. 2009).

Cloning and sequencing

After detecting multiple peaks in preliminary sequencing reactions, PCR products of all genes were cloned, sequenced, and assembled as described in Augustinos et al. (2011b). Three to six colonies were directly subjected to PCR, using the primers T7 and SP6 and double-strand sequenced.

Phylogenetic analysis

All *Wolbachia* gene sequences generated were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al. 1994), implemented in MEGA (Tamura et al. 2011). Sequences obtained from GenBank representing all currently known supergroups of *Wolbachia* were included in the analysis. Phylogenetic reconstruction was performed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method, after 500 bootstrap resamples of the original data.

Recombination analysis

Sequences for all genes (MLST, *wsp*, 16S *rRNA*) were tested for recombination events using the RDP3 package (Martin et al. 2010). All the available modules (RDP3, BOOTSCAN, GENECONV, MAXCHI, CHIMAERA, SISCAN, 3SEQ, VisRD, LARD, and LDHAT) were

implemented under the default options. As the authors of the software state, the "default options" are sufficient to detect recombination events for most of the datasets even without the need of reference nonrecombinant sequences.

Screening for wCer specific strains

Ten to twenty flies per population were screened for the presence of *w*Cer1 to *w*Cer5 *Wolbachia* strains using a *wsp* gene-based PCR assay as previously reported (Arthofer et al. 2009b).

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

All microsatellite and *Wolbachia* gene sequences generated in this study have been deposited into GenBank under accession numbers JX870457-JX870517 and as well as to the *Wolbachia* MLST database.

Results

Microsatellite marker variability and HWE

The markers used have on average 6.23 n_a with the mean n_e being 2.16 (Table 2). The mean observed heterozygosity was lower than the expected (0.39 vs. 0.47). Out of the 234 locus-sample tests performed for HWE, 25 showed deviation according to the G² criterion at a significance level of 0.05. Most of these deviations are concentrated in marker *Rp11* (six) and can be partially attributed to the presence of null alleles, as this locus exhibits an excess of different classes of homozygotes. Analysis performed with the ML-Null software points to the presence of null alleles for this locus in some of the populations. No evidence for extensive presence of null alleles for the other loci was found.

Population polymorphism and HWE

Populations studied exhibit a varying degree of polymorphism with 1.9 to 2.51 n_e per locus (Dafni1-GR and Krasnodar-RU, respectively). According to all measures, Agia Larissa-GR and Krasnodar-RU are the most polymorphic populations while Kernitsa-GR and the two neighboring islands of Chios-GR and Lesvos-GR present the lowest variability. Sporadic HWE deviations (up to three loci) were observed in few of the populations studied (Table 1).

Genetic distances and population differentiation

Pairwise genetic distances (Nei 1972) among populations were determined ranging from 0.0140 to 0.1630 (Table 3).

Table 2. Genetic variability	of microsatellite markers.
------------------------------	----------------------------

Marker	Reference	Size variation	Ν	n _a	n _e	AR	H _o	H _e	HWE
RcMic(Boms3b)	Augustinos et al. (2008)	94–136	462	4	1.34	3.33	0.24	0.26	2/18
Rc(Rp1)	Velez et al. (2006)	486–496	433	3	1.88	2.37	0.26	0.47	2/18
	Augustinos et al. (2011)								
RcMic(Rp2)	Velez et al. (2006)	202–220	456	9	2.96	5.40	0.63	0.66	1/18
RcMic(Rp11)	Velez et al. (2006)	289–299	416	5	2.60	3.69	0.40	0.62	6/18
RcMic(Rp12)	Velez et al. (2006)	190–204	463	7	2.47	3.92	0.50	0.60	4/18
RcMic(Rp15)	Velez et al. (2006)	234–252	451	6	1.90	3.29	0.43	0.48	0/18
RcMic(Ri48)	Maxwell et al. (2009)	128–156	454	8	1.50	4.38	0.22	0.33	1/18
RcMic(Ri67)	Maxwell et al. (2009)	180–196	445	6	1.42	3.41	0.27	0.30	0/18
RcMic(Ri83)	Maxwell et al. (2009)	166–170	461	2	1.46	2.00	0.30	0.32	2/18
RcMic(Ri93)	Maxwell et al. (2009)	185–205	449	9	3.70	4.95	0.57	0.73	3/18
RcMic(Ri111)	Maxwell et al. (2009)	204–210	454	3	1.23	2.59	0.14	0.19	4/18
Rce76-1	Arthofer et al. (2009a)	191–213	459	11	3.54	5.1	0.68	0.72	0/18
Rce83-44	Arthofer et al. (2009a)	189–213	459	8	2.00	4.57	0.40	0.50	0/18
Mean			452.5	6.23	2.16	2.371	0.47	0.39	

N, sample size; $n_{a^{r}}$ number of actual alleles; $n_{e^{r}}$ number of effective alleles; AR, allelic richness; $H_{o^{r}}$ observed heterozygosity; $H_{e^{r}}$ expected heterozygosity; HWE, number of samples in which locus deviates from equilibrium, according to G² criterion, at a significance level of 0.05.

 $F_{\rm ST}$ (fixation index) values were determined and tested at a significance level of 0.05 (Table 4). There are no significant differences among populations from Thessaly, except the one observed between Kamari Pilio-GR/Pertouli-GR. The four populations from Macedonia are also quite homogeneous, with the exception of the difference between Kastoria and Dafni2. The single population from Epirus (Konitsa-GR) shows differentiation with some Thessaly/Macedonia populations and at the same time, it is not significantly differentiated from the Dossenheim population. The populations from Peloponnesus (Kernitsa-GR), Crete (Chania-GR), Aegean islands (Lesvos-GR and Chios-GR), and Russia (Krasnodar-RU) are all differentiated from all previously reported and among them. The German populations seem to form a homogeneous cluster.

Analysis of the structure of *R. cerasi* natural populations

To further unravel the genetic structure of *R. cerasi* natural populations, different programs and assumptions were used. A UPGMA dendrogram was constructed in Phylip 3.6c (Fig. 3). Bayesian clustering analysis was performed using STRUCTURE 2.2 (Fig. 4), along with the modification in Evanno et al. (2005), which is supposed to give a better resolution of the true number of clusters in complex cases of population structuring. PCA was also performed using Genalex 6.5 (Fig. 5). Although these approaches do not produce exactly the same results, the main conclusion is that there is some structuring with the populations from Krasnodar-RUS and Aegean Sea (Chios-GR and Lesvos-GR) to be strongly differentiated from all others. Differentiation is also evident for Kernitsa-GR (Peloponnesus) and the two German populations. Although there is not strong statistical support, two additional loose clusters may be present which include populations from Thessaly-GR and Macedonia/Epirus-GR.

We used software GeneClass v2.0 to assign individuals to respective groups. Eight groups, based on geographic criteria, were formed: Thessaly-GR, Epirus-GR, Macedonia-GR, Peloponnesus-GR, Crete-GR, Aegean Islands-GR, Germany, and Russia. Some populations are well separated; the vast majority of their individuals are correctly assigned to the respective groups (Fig. 2). This is true for populations from Kernitsa-Peloponnesus (29/30 correctly assigned), the Aegean islands (56/60), Russia (28/32), and Stecklenberg (28/32). There was a high number of false assigned individuals for the remaining populations (ranging from 26% to more than 50% per population), especially for Macedonia-GR, Thessaly-GR, and Dossenheim-GER.

Isolation by distance

The hypothesis whether the observed genetic distances can be correlated with geographic distances between sampling locations was tested in pairwise comparison of $F_{\rm ST}$ values and the logarithm of the geographic distances. There was only a weak correlation (r = 0,33, P < 0.1) indicating that other factors may also play a significant role in the development of the observed gene flow restriction between *R. cerasi* populations.

Detection of bottlenecks

The program BOTTLENECK was used for the detection of recent bottlenecks. Results have been handled with care

	Greec	Ð																
	Thess	γlε					Epirus	Macedon	ja.			Peloponnesus	Crete	Eastern <i>A</i> islands	Aegean	Germany		Russia
	-	2	m	4	D	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Agia Larisa-GR	I	0.0295	0.0365	0.0381	0.0290	0.0416	0.0323	0.0361	0.0388	0.1002	0.0532	0.1017	0.0885	0.1544	0.1061	0.0934	0.0828	0.1526
Kallipef Lar-GR	38.9	I	0.0384	0.0232	0.0366	0.0481	0.0603	0.0581	0.0505	0.1051	0.0562	0.1060	0.0810	0.1220	0.1084	0.0737	0.0595	0.1253
Kato Lehonia- GR	50	87	I	0.0337	0.0584	0.0431	0.0633	0.0443	0.0610	0.0893	0.0612	0.1128	0.0813	0.1580	0.1179	0.0912	0.0819	0.1405
Kamari Pilio-GR	19	49	29	Ι	0.0393	0.0508	0.0712	0.0444	0.0464	0.0846	0.0441	0.0754	0.0853	0.1170	0.1134	0.0661	0.0525	0.1281
Karditsa-GR	97	85	97	104	I	0.0293	0.0301	0.0309	0.0256	0.0652	0.0340	0.0526	0.0717	0.1131	0.1187	0.0719	0.0560	0.1239
Pertouli-GR	113	93	138	124	33	I	0.0260	0.0294	0.0304	0.0478	0.0257	0.0689	0.0597	0.1314	0.1182	0.0642	0.0418	0.1099
Konitsa-GR	175	147	212	192	116	82	Ι	0.0299	0.0320	0.0764	0.0338	0.0988	0.0854	0.1496	0.1268	0.0895	0.0657	0.1588
Dafni1-GR	137	107	181	156	110	81	53	1	0.0140	0.0273	0.0213	0.0671	0.0493	0.1076	0.1050	0.0516	0.0410	0.1145
Dafni2-GR	137	107	181	156	110	81	53	0	I	0.0416	0.0211	0.0660	0.0555	0.0824	0.0998	0.0412	0.0326	0.0992
Kastoria-GR	155	125	202	174	137	109	53	28	28	I	0.0315	0.0653	0.0450	0.0954	0.1268	0.0631	0.0435	0.0864
Thessaloniki-GR	103	95	133	117	177	175	67	144	144	142	1	0.0629	0.0488	0.0737	0.0798	0.0464	0.0247	0.0898
Kernitsa-GR	182	193	152	172	139	169	247	249	249	277	285	I	0.0768	0.1201	0.1630	0.1165	0.0870	0.1300
Chania-GR	479	502	432	462	486	500	579	578	578	605	576	332	Ι	0.0809	0.1111	0.0663	0.0444	0.0840
Chios-GR	328	358	288	309	395	425	500	465	465	482	372	344	368	I	0.0526	0.0828	0.0598	0.0763
Lesvos-GR	306	341	300	298	396	421	488	447	447	458	332	376	454	06	1	0.1186	0.1010	0.0841
Stecklenberg- GER	1611	1584	1568	1630	1606	1574	1497	1497	1497	1471	1531	1743	2075	1892	1829	I	0.0159	0.0986
Dossenheim - GER	1551	1522	1599	1571	1528	1495	1413	1424	1424	1400	1486	1658	1989	1858	1806	305	1	0.0879
Krasnodar-RUS	1456	1471	1453	1452	1553	1563	1591	1538	1538	1530	1395	1589	1649	1297	1230	2180	2329	Ι
Numbers in thirc nica; 12, Kernits:	l row st a; 13, C	and for di hania; 14	ifferent sar , Chios; 15	mples: 1, ≠ 5, Lesvos;	Agia Lariss 16, Stecke	ia; 2, Kallip elberg; 17,	oefki Lariss Dossenhe	ia; 3, Kato eim; 18, K	o Lechonia rasnodar.	; 4, Kama	ari; 5, Karo	ditsa; 6, Pertouli	i; 7, Konit	sa; 8, Dafi	i1; 9, Dai	fni2; 10, k	(astoria; 1	1, Salo-
¹ Genetic distance	es accol	ding to N	угариис ул lei (1972).	.cdno														

Table 3. Genetic distances¹ (above the diagonal) and geographic distances in Kilometers² (below the diagonal) among Rhagoletis cerasi populations.

1950

© 2014 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

A. A. Augustinos et al.

² deographic distances are in kilometers. Chios, Lesvos and Chania (Crete) are samples from islands. See also Figure 1 for a geographic map of the collection sites and Table 1 for precise location.

	Greece																	
	Thessaly						Epirus	Macedonia	a-GR			Peloponnesus	Crete	Eastern Ae islands	gean	Germany		Russia
	1 2	ω		4	5	9	7	00	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
-	- 0.3	6536 0.2	26699	0.00229	0.03529	0.00817	0.00033	0.03627	0.00163	0.00033	0.00229	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
2	NS –	0.1	14739	0.01667	0.02484	0.01928	0.00098	0.00392	0.00065	0.00033	0.00098	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
Μ	NS NS	I		0.01405	0.00098	0.00752	0.00131	0.10229	0.00327	0.00033	0.00131	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
4	NS NS	NS		I	0.00065	0.00033	0.00033	0.00131	0.00065	0.00033	0.00065	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
ß	NS NS	NS		NS	1	0.02255	0.03529	0.06732	0.00556	0.00033	0.00425	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
9	NS NS	NS		*	NS	1	0.25196	0.08137	0.13954	0.00033	0.09510	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00556	0.00033
~	* NS	NS		*	NS	NS	I	0.11928	0.12418	0.00033	0.35752	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00163	0.00033
œ	NS NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	1	0.57745	0.06634	0.44739	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00817	0.00033
ი	NS NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1	0.00033	0.05850	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00098	0.03725	0.00033
10	*	*		*	*	*	*	NS	*	I	0.04837	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
11	NS NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00098	0.27124	0.00033
12	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	I	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
13	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	I	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
14	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
15	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	0.00033	0.00033	0.00033
16	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	NS	*	NS	*	*	*	*	1	0.55327	0.00033
17	*	*		*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	*	*	*	*	NS	I	0.00033
18	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Ι
, i	- cha olera	con pointe	official and		inder and	Coo olo		0000 0 roj	idaan idaan	00 04+ 30 0	tio ocitooll	f Charles						

Table 4. Pair-wise test of differentiation (above diagonal) and significance of differentiation, at the 0.05 significance level (below diagonal).

© 2014 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Droy-scale shardung marks underent geographic groups, see also righter i tot a geographic map or the conection sites and have i tot precise focation. Numbers in first column and third row stand for different samples: 1, Agia Larissa; 2, Kallipefki Larissa; 3, Kato Lechonia; 4, Kamari; 5, Karditsa; 6, Pertouli; 7, Konitsa; 8, Dafni1; 9, Dafni2; 10, Kastoria; 11, Salonica; 12, Kernitsa; 13, Chania; 14, Chios; 15, Lesvos; 16, Steckelberg; 17, Dossenheim; 18, Krasnodar. NS, not significant: *, significant. not significant; *, significant. 5



Figure 3. Unrooted UPGMA dendrogram created in Phyllip 3.6c, based on allele frequency differences, after 1000 bootstrap resamples. Only bootstrap values higher than 500 are shown.



Figure 4. *Rhagoletis cerasi* analysis using Structure, under the assumptions of the existence of three to six genetic groups. See also the curve after the Evanno modification (Figure S2), pointing to the possibility of six genetic clusters.

as only few of the populations consist of at least 30 individuals and the loci used in this analysis are <20 and, in addition, they are not highly polymorphic. Given these limitations, no evidence for such phenomena was found with the exception of the Kernitsa population where a shifted mode of allele frequencies from the L- shaped distribution was observed.

Wolbachia infections in *R. cerasi* populations

Using a 16S *rRNA* gene-based PCR screen, all 244 individuals originating from the 18 different *R. cerasi* populations were found infected with *Wolbachia*. To investigate whether there is a correlation between genetic structuring of

Macedonia-GR



Figure 5. Principal Components Analysis (PCA), using the PhiPT distance matrix created in Genalex 6.5, based on the allele frequency differences among Rhagoletis cerasi samples. 1, Agia Larissa; 2, Kallipefki Larissa; 3, Kato Lechonia; 4, Kamari; 5, Karditsa; 6, Pertouli; 7, Konitsa; 8, Dafni1; 9, Dafni2; 10, Kastoria; 11, Salonica; 12, Kernitsa; 13, Chania; 14, Chios; 15, Lesvos; 16, Steckelberg; 17, Dossenheim; 18, Krasnodar.

R. cerasi natural populations and the presence of different Wolbachia strains, we analyzed six individual flies from different groups as determined by microsatellite analysis and/or based on geographic criteria. These flies derived from the populations of Agia Larissa (Thessaly-GR), Chania (Crete-GR), Chios (Aegean Islands-GR), Kernitsa (Peloponnesus-GR), Krasnodar (Russia), and Stecklenberg (Germany).

PCR products were obtained from these six flies for the 16S rRNA, wsp as well as the five MLST genes (coxA, fbpA, ftsZ, gatB, and hcpA). All PCRs produced the expected amplicon and these products were cloned. Two to six clones were sequenced per product and were found to be Wolbachia specific: sixteen 16S rRNA, twenty-one coxA, twenty fbpA, twenty-two ftsZ, twenty gatB, 15 hcpA, and eighteen wsp clones were sequenced revealing three to five alleles per locus (Table 5). The major findings of the sequencing analysis (Table 5) can be summarized as follows: (a) the majority of the 16S rRNA sequences (14/16) form a cluster in supergroup A (Figure S2). There are two exceptions: first, one sequence derived from the fly from Chania clusters in another clade, but still with sequences belonging to supergroup A and second, one sequence derived from the fly from Stecklenberg clusters with sequences belonging to supergroup B; (b) the 21 coxA sequences revealed the presence of four alleles (Figure S3). Most of the sequences (16) correspond to allele coxA-84, which has been reported in wCer1 and wCer4 strains, and were found in Greek populations (Agia, Chios, Kernitsa) as well as in Krasnodar and in Stecklenberg. The allele coxA-1, which is present in wCer2, was detected in Stecklenberg too. Interestingly, two new alleles were detected in the individual from Chania-GR. These new alleles are named coxA-188 and coxA-189. CoxA-188 differs only in 1 bp from allele coxA-130 and coxA-189 differs in 1 bp from allele coxA-58. However, both cluster near the allele coxA-84, which is common in wCer1 and wCer4 strains. None of our coxA sequences group with wCer5, a Wolbachia strain belonging to supergroup B; (c) the 20 fbpA sequences suggested the presence of four alleles (fbpA-1, -4, -79, and -160) which correspond to alleles characterized so far to four different wCer strains. Most of the clones (15) correspond to allele fbpA-160, present in strain wCer1, and originate from Greek populations (Agia, Chania, Chios, Kernitsa) as well as from Stecklenberg and Krasnodar. Two clones correspond to *fbpA-1* of strain *w*Cer2 and were detected in Stecklenberg, two clones correspond to fbpA-79, which is assigned to wCer4, and were present in Chania and in Kernitsa while one clone (from Chios-GR) corresponds to fbpA-4, which is found in wCer5, the only strain belonging to supergroup B; (d) the 22 ftsZ sequences revealed the presence of four alleles (ftsZ-3, -22, -70, and -79) described for wCer strains. All sequences cluster with wCer strains belonging to supergroup A, with the exception of the sole sequence from Stecklenberg, which clusters with wCer5 of supergroup B (ftsZ-22). Thirteen of the clones correspond to the allele ftsZ-79 assigned to wCer1 and originate from Greek populations (Agia, Chios, Kernitsa) and from Krasnodar. Six clones correspond to ftsZ-70 of wCer4 (originating from Chania, Kernitsa, and Krasnodar) while two clones correspond to ftsZ-3 assigned to wCer2; (e) the 20 gatB sequences suggested the presence of three wCer alleles belonging to supergroup A (gatB-1, -8, and -53). Eleven of them correspond to allele gatB-8 of strain wCer1 originating from Greek populations (Agia, Chios, Kernitsa) as well as from Stecklenberg and Krasnodar. Seven clones are identical to gatB-53 (wCer4) and were detected in Chania and Krasnodar while two clones are identical to the allele gatB-1, which is assigned to strain wCer2, and were found in Stecklenberg; (f) the 15 hcpA

	ML	ST genes											
	сох	A	fbp	A	ftsZ	7 2	gat	В	hcp	рА	WSJ	0	
	N	allele	N	allele	N	allele	Ν	allele	Ν	allele	Ν	allele	Possible type of infection
Agia-GR	3	84 (3)	3	160 (3)	4	79 (4)	4	8 (4)	2	103 (2)	5	335 (5)	wCer 1
Chania-GR	3	189 (2)	2	160 (1)	3	70 (3)	4	53 (4)	3	212 (2)	3	113 (2)	wCer 1, 4, other
		188(1)		79 (1)						213 (1)		335 (1)	
Chios-GR	4	84 (4)	5	160 (4)	6	79 (4)	4	8 (4)	3	40 (2)	5	335 (4)	wCer 1, 2, 4, 5
				4 (1)		3 (2)				103 (1)		581 (1)	
Kernitsa-GR	3	84 (3)	3	160 (2)	5	79 (3)	1	8 (1)	4	103 (2)	2	335 (2)	<i>w</i> Cer 1, 4
				79 (1)		70 (2)				85 (2)			
Stecklenberg-GER	3	1 (2)	4	160 (2)	1	22 (1)	3	1 (2)	_	-	_	-	<i>w</i> Cer 1, 2, 5
		84 (1)		1 (2)				8 (1)					
Krasnodar-RUS	5	84 (5)	3	160 (3)	3	79 (2)	4	8 (1)	3	103 (3)	3	335 (3)	<i>w</i> Cer 1, 4
						70 (1)		53 (3)					
wCer1 [A] ¹	84		160		79		8		103		335		
wCer2 [A] ¹	1		1		3		1		1		23		
wCer4 [A] ¹	84		79		70		53		85		113		
wCer5 [B] ¹	5		4		22		101		40		581		

Table 5. MLST and wsp alleles found in individual flies derived from Rhagoletis cerasi selected populations.

Gray shaded lines: MLST profile of *w*Cer strains, as published by Arthofer et al. (2011).

In bold: new MLST alleles found in this study and deposited in the Wolbachia MLST database.

MLST, multi locus sequence typing; N, number of colonies sequenced.

In parentheses (): number of colonies harboring the respective allele.

¹In brackets []: supergroup to which each strain belongs.

sequences revealed the presence of five wCer alleles (hcpA-40, -85, -103, -212, and -213). All of them cluster with wCer sequences of supergroup A, with the exception of two of the three clones from Chios, which are identical to allele hcpA-40, which is assigned to wCer5 (Figure S4). Eight clones correspond to allele hcpA-103 of strain wCer1 originating from Greek populations (Agia, Chios, Kernitsa) and from Krasnodar. Only two sequences correspond to hcpA-85 of wCer4, both detected in Kernitsa. Interestingly, in the individual from Chania, two new alleles were present (-212, -213). HcpA-213 is very similar to hcpA-103 of strain wCer1 (different in the first 3 bp), while hcpA-212 is very similar to hcpA-85 of strain wCer4 (different in the first 3 bp also); and (g) the 18 wsp sequences suggested the presence of three known wCer alleles (wsp-113, -335, and -581). All of them cluster in supergroup A, with the exception of one of the five clones from Chios, which is identical to allele wsp-581, which is found in strain wCer5. Fifteen of the sequences were identical to wsp-335 of wCer1 originating from Greek populations Agia, Kernitsa, Chania, Chios) and from Krasnodar, while two clones from Chania were identical to wsp-113 of strain wCer4. Taken together these data strongly suggest the presence of multiple Wolbachia infections in all R. cerasi populations studied.

The presence of multiple *Wolbachia* infections was also confirmed by a *wsp* gene-based diagnostic PCR assay previously developed (Arthofer et al. 2009b). The primers

used in this assay selectively amplify different alleles of the wsp gene that have been attributed to the different wCer strains. Ten to twenty individuals were screened per population (Table 6). In accordance with previous studies, all individuals were found infected with Wolbachia and all of them harbored at least the wCer1 strain (Riegler and Stauffer 2002; Arthofer et al. 2009b, 2011). The majority of the Greek individuals were found doubleinfected with wCer1 and wCer4 strains while a few individuals were found triple-infected with different combinations of wCer1, wCer 2, wCer4, and wCer5 strains or infected with four Wolbachia strains (wCer1, wCer2, wCer4, and wCer5). It has to be noted, however, that wCer1 single-infected individuals were detected in several populations while one of them, Lesvos-GR, was found to be 100% single-infected with this strain. The majority of Krasnodar-RUS individuals were double-infected with wCer1 and wCer4 strains while triple-infected (wCer1, wCer2 and wCer4) flies were also present. The German R. cerasi populations were almost fixed for the presence of four different Wolbachia strains: wCer1, wCer2, wCer4, and wCer5. None of the individuals tested was found infected with the wCer3 strain.

Discussion

Rhagoletis cerasi present some unique properties when compared with the medfly and the olive fly. Medfly is a

						Type of	f infectio	n					
				Ν	wspec	wcer1	1 + 2	1 + 4	1 + 5	1 + 2 + 4	1 + 2 + 5	1 + 4 + 5	1 + 2 + 4 + 5
1	Agia-GR	Thessaly	Greece	20	20	6		11	1			2	
2	Kallipefki-GR			10	10	8		2					
3	Kato Lechonia-GR			10	10	6		4					
4	Kamari Pilio-GR			10	10			10					
5	Karditsa-GR			10	10			8				2	
6	Pertouli-GR			10	10	3		5				2	
7	Konitsa-GR	Epirus		10	10	5		5					
8	Dafni1-GR	Macedonia		10	10			10				2	
9	Dafni2-GR			10	10			10					
10	Kastoria-GR			10	10			10					
11	Thessaloniki-GR			10	10	4		6					
12	Kernitsa-GR	Peloponnesus		20	20	2		9		1		8	
13	Chania-GR	Crete		19	19	5		9		1		2	2
14	Chios-GR	East Aegean		20	20	7		9				4	
15	Lesvos-GR			14	14	14							
16	Stecklenberg-GER		Germany	20	20								20
17	Dossenheim-GER			10	10					2			8
18	Krasnodar-RUS		Russia	20	20			15		5			

Table 6. PCR screening of *Rhagoletis cerasi* populations with the primer pairs developed for the detection of *Wolbachia w*Cer 1–5 strains (Arthofer et al. 2009b).

Wolbachia's presence was screened with the universal Wolbachia 16s rRNA primer pairs (wspec F - wspecR).

PCR, polymerase chain reaction; N, number of flies screened.

Grey shading highlights populations from Germany (light gray) and Russia (dark gray).

multivoltine and polyphagous species (Papadopoulos et al. 2001), the olive fly is a multivoltine and monophagous species (Tzanakakis 2003), while the cherry fruit fly is a univoltine and stenophagous species (Moraiti et al. 2012a,b). These three species also differ in their Wolbachia infection status: natural populations of olive fly and medfly are Wolbachia free (Bourtzis et al. 1994; Zabalou et al. 2004; Apostolaki et al. 2011; but see Rocha et al. 2005 for an infected Brazilian population) while Wolbachia has been detected in all natural populations of the European cherry fruit fly tested so far. Our results indicate the presence of structuring in natural populations of R. cerasi, which, especially for Greece, is not in accordance with data for the olive fly (Augustinos et al. 2005; Zygouridis et al. 2009), and the medfly (K. Economou et al. unpubl. data), which point to rather homogeneous groups for both. Is then the genetic structuring observed in natural cherry fruit fly populations due to ecological factors or rather due to the presence of different/multiple Wolbachia strains?

Variability of microsatellite markers and HWE

We used 13 microsatellite markers; 10 cross-amplified from other *Rhagoletis* species (see Augustinos et al. 2011a), one from the olive fly (Augustinos et al. 2008), and two of the set that has been developed de novo for

R. cerasi (Arthofer et al. 2009a). Some of the cross-amplified markers identified low polymorphism compared with species in which they were developed. This is not surprising as it is known that cross-amplification of microsatellite markers includes three major risks: (a) amplification of nonhomologous regions, (b) amplification of homologous regions with fewer or disrupted repeats, leading therefore to lower mutation rates, and (c) poor amplification in some or most of the individuals, due to changes in primer binding sites. Sequencing analysis of crossamplified products verified that we amplified homologous regions (data not shown), with the exception of RcMic (Boms3b) from the olive fly and RcRp1 from R. pomonella. In most of the cases, microsatellites with fewer and/or interrupted repeats or with new motifs (data not shown) were present, explaining the reduced polymorphism. There was also evidence of size variation not attributed to motif changes, even within R. cerasi (data not shown), implying the participation of other events, such as unequal crossing over and/or indels affecting the microsatellite marker size. Although 13 de novo developed microsatellite markers were available for R. cerasi (Arthofer et al. 2009a), we managed to use only two of them. In preliminary trials, we found that scoring of the remaining 11 markers was very difficult and unreliable, also due to secondary bands and poor quality of main bands (faint bands or not sharp enough to be scored). This is probably because these markers harbor multiple

motifs, one of which being one base pair repeat motif, making their analysis problematic, especially in polyacrylamide gels.

Deviations from HWE were found in few of the populations for some of the cross-amplified loci but not for the two species-specific microsatellite markers (Table 2). This could partially be attributed to the small sample size (in some cases) or the presence of null alleles, as mentioned above. Evidence for a relative extended presence of null alleles in some of the samples was found only for Rcmic(Rp11).

Rhagoletis cerasi natural populations' polymorphism

All populations exhibited a comparable degree of polymorphism. The most polymorphic population is the one from Krasnodar-RUS. This can be explained considering that R. cerasi moved to Europe from western Asia through the Caucasus. This population should therefore be closest to the original expansion area of the species. Populations near the expansion center of a species are expected to be more polymorphic, if no drastic bottlenecks have occurred and populations have maintained a relative large size through time. On the other hand, populations from the Eastern Aegean islands (Chios and Lesvos) along with Kernitsa from Peloponnesus present the lowest polymorphism. This can be attributed to an island effect, as isolated populations are expected to be less polymorphic, due to restriction of gene flow and probably small effective population size. As far as the sample from Kernitsa (Peloponnesus) is concerned, Peloponnesus is not a true island and communicates with the rest of mainland Greece; however, cherry orchards in the area are few and dispersed. Another factor to be taken into account is that the sample from Kernitsa consists of individuals that have undergone prolonged dormancy, while all other samples consist of flies that emerged after annual dormancy. Although the prolonged dormancy in R. cerasi pupae seems to be a plastic response to environmental cues (C. A. Moraiti and N. T. Papadopoulos unpubl. data), its possible impact on population structuring including bottleneck phenomena deserves further investigation.

Structuring of populations

Despite the low overall polymorphism observed, the markers used here identified well and poorly separated clusters (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5). The well separated groups included populations from Aegean islands-GR and Krasnodar-RUS followed by Kernitsa-GR and German samples. The two loose groups were those from Macedo-nia/Epirus-GR and Thessaly-GR. Despite its geographical

isolation, the single population from Chania was not well differentiated probably due to trade and airport connections between Crete and many mainland regions in Greece and other European countries including Germany.

The genetic difference of the samples collected from islands or "island"-like sites (Lesvos, Chios, Kernitsa) is accompanied by a slightly lower degree of polymorphism (Table 1). This is in accordance with the hypothesis of partially isolated populations. No evidence of recent bottlenecks was found (with the exception of Kernitsa population discussed above) suggesting that we are probably dealing with long-standing populations that manage to keep a relative high population density through time.

Another finding, although with low support (Figs. 3, 5), is the topology of the Macedonian-GR group of samples (especially the sample from Kastoria): as said before, they form a loose group, placed near the Thessaly-GR cluster, having, however, a tendency to link with the German cluster. If we take into account that Thessaly is in Central Greece and Macedonia-GR is mainly in Northern Greece and is the region that neighbors with Albania, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Bulgaria, we can speculate in favor of the existence of gene flow from Northern Europe in this area. The lack of samples from this area limits our analysis.

Samples from the two Eastern Aegean islands are strongly differentiated from all other Greek and German samples. They seem to form a "loose" cluster with the sample from Russia, pointing toward gene flow from Asia Minor, most probably through Turkey, a major cherry producer. Analysis of samples from the coastal area of Turkey all the way to the coast of Black Sea would definitely shed light on gene flow from Asian to European *R. cerasi* populations. It is worth noting that these island populations do not form one panmictic population, despite the fact that the distance between them is only 90 km.

Despite differences in genetic distance within the same geographic scale between R. cerasi, C. capitata, and B. oleae, the degree of polymorphism was comparable or even smaller in R. cerasi (though the same markers couldn't be used). Therefore, these differences are not marker biased. They are also not population biased, as relatively large samples were used in all studies (20-30 individuals per population). It seems that the fragmented landscape of mainland Greece, together with a patchy distribution of cherry orchards, the low dispersion ability of the cherry fly and local adaptation from close synchronization of adult flight with the sweet cherry ripening period may restrict gene flow to relatively short distances (Phillips and Dirks 1933; Jones and Wallace 1955; Boller and Prokopy 1976; Fletcher 1989; Kneifl et al. 1997). Indeed, recent evidence demonstrates high differences in

diapause intensity between coastal and highland *R. cerasi* populations (Papanastasiou et al. 2011) and extended difference in adult life history traits between populations (Moraiti et al. 2012b). Low reproduction rates of *R. cerasi* because of univoltinism and stenophagy may also partially explain differences in genetic structure against both *C. capitata* and *B. oleae*. On the other hand, infection of all natural populations of *R. cerasi* with different strains of *Wolbachia* may be yet another factor contributing toward structuring and genetic isolation.

Wolbachia infections in R. cerasi

Wolbachia is assumed to invade natural populations rapidly and is implicated in speciation phenomena and restriction of gene flow between natural populations (Shoemaker et al. 1999; Bordenstein et al. 2001; Jaenike et al. 2006; Koukou et al. 2006; Miller et al. 2010; Branca et al. 2011). This can be either through CI and/or rapid fixation of specific genotypes during invasion. Natural European R. cerasi populations are infected with multiple Wolbachia strains and show different infection patterns. For example, in Poland, Italy, and Austria, there are populations infected with all five wCer strains, in the Czech Republic and Portugal there are populations infected with four of the five strains (except wCer2), while in Switzerland there was an infection with all wCer strains except wCer3 (Arthofer et al. 2009b, 2011). Moreover, it has been shown that the presence of different Wolbachia strains in R. cerasi could be the causal factor (Riegler and Stauffer 2002) of the incompatibility observed among R. cerasi natural populations in previous studies (Boller et al. 1976; Matolin 1976).

All individuals in this study (10-20 per population) were infected with Wolbachia. The analysis of six individuals from different samples (genetically or geographically distant) through cloning and sequencing analysis, as well as the PCR screening of more individuals with the diagnostic PCR primers, pointed toward (a) the presence of complex patterns of infections with the four of the five known wCer strains (1, 2, 4, and 5) and (b) the possible existence of new, still uncharacterized strains (see Chania population). However, not all genes resulted into the same infection pattern (Tables 5, 6). This can be attributed to the preferential recovery of specific sequences after PCR and/or cloning. Overall, these two approaches (PCR screening and cloning plus sequencing) produced similar results and supported the presence of multiple infections. However, both PCR and cloning can lead in bias in favor of specific sequences and to the misinterpretation of the "actual" infection status. On the other hand, PCR screening allows the analysis at population level but currently is limited by the development of strain-specific Arthofer et al. (2011) highlighted the difficulties in *Wolbachia* strain characterization through MLST in multiple-infected hosts. They also provided a tool to analyze complex infection types (Allelic Intersection Analysis) and evaluated this tool for *w*Cer *Wolbachia* strains of *R. cerasi* by assigning specific MLST alleles to four of the five *w*Cer strains (Table 5). It is evident that the MLST- and *wsp*-based typing approaches do not have the power to resolve complex infection patterns such as those observed in *R. cerasi*. There is probably the need for new tools and approaches to address such questions. Current use and evaluation of different types of molecular markers, including Variable Number Tandem Repeat markers (VNTRs) (Riegler et al. 2005, 2012; Schneider et al. 2013) can probably contribute toward this direction.

Multiple and/or low titer strains have been shown to contribute to genetic differentiation of their hosts (Arthofer et al. 2009b, 2011; Schneider et al. 2013). Our data confirm the presence of multiple infections, different types of infections in different samples and polymorphic infection status within the samples. They also raise the possibility of the presence of still unknown strains. Given also the ability of at least two strains (*w*Cer2 and *w*Cer4) to induce CI (Boller et al. 1976; Riegler et al. 2004; Zabalou et al. 2004, 2009; Apostolaki et al. 2011), *Wolbachia* is not a factor that can be overlooked when addressing the question of population structuring in *R. cerasi*.

Recombination has perhaps been the most significant contributing factor to the evolution of Wolbachia and may, at least partly, explain the diversity of the wCer strains present in European populations of R. cerasi (Jiggins 2002; Baldo and Werren 2007; Arthofer et al. 2009b; Klasson et al. 2009). Low titer infections are an additional, hidden source of Wolbachia diversity, as documented in different hosts (Arthofer et al. 2009b; Miller et al. 2010; Augustinos et al. 2011b). There is also a number of studies showing that the transfer of Wolbachia genes (in some cases even the entire genome) into host chromosomes might be a rather common phenomenon (Kondo et al. 2002; Hotopp et al. 2007; Nikoh et al. 2008; Aikawa et al. 2009; Klasson et al. 2009; Nikoh and Nakabachi 2009; Woolfit et al. 2009; Doudoumis et al. 2012). If this is the case, then nucleusencoded Wolbachia genes may also contribute to the observed genetic diversity. However, our data do not provide evidence for either (intragene) recombination or horizontal gene transfer events.

Do all wCer Wolbachia strains induce CI? The fact that R. cerasi is a univoltine species and an efficient and robust lab rearing system is lacking makes any experimentation to address questions regarding the CI properties of wCer strains very difficult. Interpretation of historical

data in European populations of *R. cerasi* as well as transinfection experiments with medfly and olivefly suggest that *w*Cer2 and *w*Cer4 induce strong CI into their hosts and are bidirectionally incompatible (Boller et al. 1976; Riegler and Stauffer 2002; Riegler et al. 2004; Zabalou et al. 2004, 2009; Apostolaki et al. 2011). On the other hand, *Drosophila simulans* was not shown to be a suitable host for the establishment and/or the expression of CI for either *w*Cer1 or *w*Cer2 strains (Riegler et al. 2004). Thus, transinfection experiments in suitable hosts like medfly can probably unravel the CI properties of *w*Cer3, wCer5, and the two potentially new *w*Cer strains.

Concluding Remarks

Our results reveal some structuring of natural European cherry fruit fly populations, which can probably be generalized to its whole geographic range. A number of factors may have contributed to this structuring, such as habitat fragmentation as well as *Wolbachia* infection. Microsatellite analysis of more populations obtained from geographically distant areas, along with further genetic characterization of *Wolbachia* strains and their CI properties are required to understand the population dynamics of this economically important species and its associated *Wolbachia* strains more completely. This knowledge will be needed before any novel population control method is applied on a large scale for this important pest species.

Author Contribution Box

Conceived and designed the experiments: K. B., N. T. P., A. A. A. Performed the experiments: A. A. A., A. A. K., C. A. M. Analyzed the data: K. B., A. A. A., N. T. P., P. M.-T. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: K. B., N. T. P., P. M. T. Wrote the manuscript: K. B., A. A. A., N. T. P., P. M. T.

Acknowledgments

We thank Antigone Zacharopoulou (Department of Biology, University of Patras, Greece) for her generous support and encouragement throughout this study. Thanks are also extended to Ludmilla Vassilieva, Kirsten Koeppler, Byron Katsoyannos, Ilias Kounatidis, Alex Diamantidis, Stella Papanastasiou, and Charalampos Ioannou for either submitting *R. cerasi* samples or participating in collecting the different populations. We also thank Wolfgang Miller and Daniela Schneider for providing control DNA samples used in the *w*Cer strain-specific PCR screen presented in Table 6. This research was supported in part by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme CSA-SA_REGPROT-2007-1 (under

grant agreement no 203590 (K. B.). This study was partially supported by IKYDA and the EPEAEK II - Pythagoras (cofounded by the European Social and National Resources and the Greek ministry of Education) awarded to N. T. P. P. M., N. T. P., and K. B. benefitted from travel grants in the frame of the EU COST Action FA0701 "Arthropod Symbiosis: from fundamental studies to pest and disease management". The authors are grateful to the FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Program "Use of symbiotic bacteria to reduce mass-rearing costs and increase mating success in selected fruit pests in support of SIT application" for the overall support of this study. The authors also thank Stefan Oehler for his comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection or analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Aikawa, T., H. Anbutsu, N. Nikoh, T. Kikuchi, F. Shibata, and T. Fukatsu. 2009. Longicorn beetle that vectors pinewood nematode carries many *Wolbachia* genes on an autosome. Proc. Biol. Sci. 276:3791–3798.
- Aketarawong, N., M. Bonizzoni, S. Thanaphum, L. M. Gomulski, G. Gasperi, A. R. Malacrida, et al. 2007.
 Inferences on the population structure and colonization process of the invasive oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel). Mol. Ecol. 16:3522–3532.
- Apostolaki, A., I. Livadaras, A. Saridaki, A. Chrysargyris, C. Savakis, and K. Bourtzis. 2011. Transinfection of the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae* with *Wolbachia*: towards a symbiont-based population control strategy. J. Appl. Entomol. 13:546–553.
- Arthofer, W., S. Krumboeck, H. Schuler, B. Rasool, M. Riegler, K. Koeppler, et al. 2009a. Thirteen new microsatellite loci in *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae), a model host species for *Wolbachia* symbiosis in field populations. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 9:1460–1466.
- Arthofer, W., M. Riegler, D. Schneider, M. Krammer,
 W. J. Miller, and C. Stauffer. 2009b. Hidden *Wolbachia* diversity in field populations of the European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera, Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. 18: 3816–3830.
- Arthofer, W., M. Riegler, H. Schuler, D. Schneider, K. Moder, W. J. Miller, et al. 2011. Allele intersection analysis: a novel tool for multi locus sequence assignment in multiply infected hosts. PLoS One 6:e22198.
- Atyame, C. M., N. Pasteur, E. Dumas, P. Tortosa, M. L. Tantely, N. Pocquet, et al. 2011. Cytoplasmic

incompatibility as a means of controlling *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* mosquito in the islands of the south-western Indian Ocean. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 5:e1440.

Augustinos, A. A., Z. Mamuris, E. Stratikopoulos, S. D'Amelio, A. Zacharopoulou, and K. D. Mathiopoulos. 2005. Microsatellite analysis of olive fly populations in the Mediterranean indicates a westward expansion of the species. Genetica 125:231–241.

Augustinos, A. A., E. E. Stratikopoulos, E. Drosopoulou, E. G. Kakani, P. Mavragani-Tsipidou, A. Zacharopoulou, et al. 2008. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers from the olive fly, *Bactrocera oleae*, and their crossspecies amplification in the Tephritidae family. BMC Genomics 9:e618.

Augustinos, A. A., A. K. Asimakopoulou, N. T. Papadopoulos, and K. Bourtzis. 2011a. Cross-amplified microsatellites in the European cherry fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi*: medium polymorphichighly informative markers. Bull. Entomol. Res. 101:45–52.

Augustinos, A. A., D. Santos-Garcia, E. Dionyssopoulou, and K. Bourtzis. 2011b. Detection and characterization of *Wolbachia* infections in natural populations of aphids: is the hidden diversity fully unravelled? PLoS One 6:e28695.

Baldo, L., and J. H. Werren. 2007. Revisiting *Wolbachia* supergroup typing based on *wsp*: spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. Curr. Microbiol. 55:81–87.

Baldo, L., J. C. Dunning Hotopp, K. A. Jolley, S. R.
Bordenstein, S. A. Biber, R. R. Choudhury, et al. 2006.
Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:7098–7110.

Boller, E. F., and B. I. Katsoyannos. 1977. Measuring mating frequency in *Rhagoletis cerasi*. Bull. SROP Int. Organ Biol. Control Noxious Anim. Plants West Palearctic Reg. Sec. 5:102–103.

Boller, E. F., and R. J. Prokopy. 1976. Bionomics and management of *Rhagoletis*. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 21:223–246.

Boller, E. F., A. Haisch, and R. J. Prokopy. 1971. Sterile insect release method against *Rhagoletis cerasi L*.: preparatory ecological and behavioural studies. P. 77 Proceedings of Symposium Athens, 1970. Sterile principles for insect control or eradication. IAEA, Vienna.

Boller, E. F., K. Russ, V. Vallo, and G. L. Bush. 1976. Incompatible races of European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae), their origin and potential use in biological control. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 20:237–247.

Boller, E. F., U. Remund, and B. I. Katsoyannos. 1977.
Measuring mating propensity (speed) in *Rhagoletis cerasi*.
Pp. 96–97 *in* E. F.Boller and D. L. Chambers, eds. Quality control. An ideal book for fruit fly workers. International Organization for Biological Control of Noxious Animals and Plants, WPRS Bulletin 1977/5.

Boller, E. F., B. I. Katsoyannos, and C. Hippe. 1998. Host races of *Rhagoletis cerasi L*. (Diptera: Tephritidae): effect of prior adult experience on oviposition site preference. J. Appl. Entomol. 122:231–237. Bonizzoni, M., B. I. Katsoyannos, R. Marguerie,
C. R. Guglielmino, G. Gasperi, A. Malacrida, et al. 2002.
Microsatellite analysis reveals remating by wild
Mediterranean fruit fly females, *Ceratitis capitata*. Mol. Ecol. 11:1915–1921.

Bonizzoni, M., C. R. Guglielmino, C. J. Smallridge, M. Gomulski, A. R. Malacrida, and G. Gasperi. 2004. On the origins of medfly invasion and expansion in Australia. Mol. Ecol. 13:3845–3855.

Bordenstein, S. R., F. P. O'Hara, and J. H. Werren. 2001. Wolbachia-induced incompatibility precedes other hybrid incompatibilities in Nasonia. Nature 409:707–710.

Bourtzis, K., and A. S. Robinson. 2006. Insect pest control using *Wolbachia* and/or radiation. Pp. 225–246 *in* K. Bourtzis, T. Miller, eds. Insect symbiosis 2. CRC Press, Talylor and Francis Group, LLC, FL.

Bourtzis, K., A. Nirgianaki, P. Onyango, and C. Savakis. 1994.
A prokaryotic dnaA sequence in *Drosophila melanogaster*: *Wolbachia* infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility among laboratory strains. Insect Mol. Biol. 3:131–142.

Branca, A., B. P. Le Ru, F. Vavre, J.-F. Silvain, and S. Dupas. 2011. Intraspecific specialization of the generalist parasitoid *Cotesia sesamiae* revealed by polyDNAvirus polymorphism and associated with different *Wolbachia* infection. Mol. Ecol. 20:959–971.

Brelsfoard, C. L., and S. L. Dobson. 2009. Wolbachia-based strategies to control insect pests and disease vectors. Asia Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol. 17:55–63.

Casiraghi, M., S. R. Bordenstein, L. Baldo, N. Lo, T. Beninati, J. J. Wernegreen, et al. 2005. Phylogeny of *Wolbachia pipientis* based on *gltA*, *groEL* and *ftsZ* gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the *Wolbachia* tree. Microbiology 151:4015–4022.

Chen, Y. H., S. H. Berlocher, S. B. Opp, and G. K. Roderick. 2010. Post-colonization temporal genetic variation of an introduced fly, *Rhagoletis completa*. Genetica 138:1059–1075.

Cornuet, J. M., and G. Luikart. 1996. Description and power analysis of two tests for detecting recent population bottlenecks from allele frequency data. Genetics 144:2001–2014.

Daniel, C., and J. Grunder. 2012. Inergrated management of European cherru fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* (L.): situation in Switzerland and Europe. Insects 3:956–988.

Doudoumis, V., G. Tsiamis, F. Wamwiri, C. Brelsfoard, U. Alam, and E. Aksoy. 2012. Detection and characterization of *Wolbachia* infections in laboratory and natural populations of different species of tsetse flies (genus *Glossina*). BMC Microbiol. 12(Suppl. 1):eS3.

Drew, R. A. I., J. Ma, S. Smith, and J. M. Hughes. 2011. The taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships of species in the *Bactrocera musae* complex of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae) in Papua New Guinea. Raffles Bull. Zool. 59:145–162.

Drosopoulou, E., K. Koeppler, I. Kounatidis, I. Nakou, N. T. Papadopoulos, K. Bourtzis, et al. 2010. Genetic and cytogenetic analysis of the Walnut-Husk fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 103:1003–1011.

Drosopoulou, E., A. A. Augustinos, I. Nakou, K. Koeppler, I. Kounatidis, H. Vogt, et al. 2011. Genetic and cytogenetic analysis of the American cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cingulata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Genetica 139:1449–1464.

Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 14: 2611–2620.

Felsenstein, J. 1994. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.6. Distributed by the Author. Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle.

Fimiani, P. 1989. Mediterranean region. Pp. 39–50 *in* A. S. Robinson and G. Hopper, eds. Fruit flies: their biology, natural enemies and control. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Fletcher, B. S. 1989. Life history strategies of tephritid flies. Pp. 209–219 *in* A. S. Robinson and G. Hooper, eds. Fruit flies: their biology, natural enemies and control World crop pests 3(B). Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Forbes, A. A., T. H. Q. Powell, L. L. Stelinski, J. J. Smith, and J. L. Feder. 2009. Sequential sympatric speciation across trophic levels. Science 323:776–779.

Goudet, J. 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3).

Guo, S. W., and E. A. Thomson. 1992. Performing the exact test of Hardy–Weinberg proportions for multiple alleles. Biometrics 48:371–372.

Hotopp, J. C., M. E. Clark, D. C. Oliveira, J. M. Foster, P. Fischer, and M. C. Muñoz Torres. 2007. Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. Science 317:1753–1756.

Jaenike, J., K. A. Dyer, C. Cornish, and M. S. Minhas. 2006. Asymmetrical reinforcement and *Wolbachia* infection in *Drosophila*. PLoS Biol. 4:1852–1862.

Jiggins, F. M. 2002. The rate of recombination in *Wolbachia* bacteria. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19:1640–1643.

Jones, S. C., and L. Wallace. 1955. Cherry fruit fly dispersion studies. J. Econ. Entomol. 48:616–617.

Katsoyannos, B. I., N. T. Papadopoulos, and D. Stavridis. 2000. Evaluation of trap types and food attractants for *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 93:1005–1010.

Khamis, F. M., N. Karam, S. Ekesi, M. DE Meyer, A. Bonomi, and L. M. Gomulski. 2009. Uncovering the tracks of a recent and rapid invasion: the case of the fruit fly pest *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa. Mol. Ecol. 18:4798–4810.

Klasson, L., Z. Kambris, E. P. Cook, T. Walker, and S. P. Sinkins. 2009. Horizontal gene transfer between *Wolbachia* and the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. BMC Genomics 10:33. Kneifl, V., F. Paprštein, and J. Kňourková. 1997. Dispersion of cherry fruit fly (*Rhagoletis cerasi L.*). Vedecke Prace Ovocnarsk 15:89–92.

Kondo, N., N. Nikoh, N. Ijichi, M. Shimada, and T. Fukatsu. 2002. Genome fragment of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont transferred to X chromosome of host insect. PNAS 99:14280–14285.

Koukou, K., H. Pavlikaki, G. Kilias, J. H. Werren,
K. Bourtzis, and S. N. Alahiotis. 2006. Influence of antibiotic treatment and *Wolbachia* curing on sexual isolation among *Drosophila melanogaster* cage populations. Evolution 60:87–96.

Kounatidis, I., N. Papadopoulos, K. Bourtzis, and P. Mavragani-Tsipidou. 2008. Genetic and cytogenetic analysis of the fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Genome 51:479–491.

Kovanci, O. B., and B. Kovanci. 2006. Effect of altitude on seasonal flight activity of *Rhagoletis cerasi* flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Bull. Entomol. Res. 96:345–351.

Martin, D. P., P. Lemey, M. Lott, V. Moulton, D. Posada, and P. Lefeuvre. 2010. RDP3: a flexible and fast computer program for analyzing recombination. Bioinformatics 26:2462–2463.

Matolin, S. 1976. Mechanism causing incompatibility between different strains of *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera, Tephritidae). Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov. 73:289–292.

Maxwell, S. A., G. Rasic, and N. Keyghobadi. 2009. Characterization of microsatellite loci for the western cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis indifferens* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. Resour. 9:1025–1028.

Meixner, M. D., B. A. Mcpheron, J. G. Silva, G. E. Gasparich, and W. S. Sheppard. 2002. The Mediterranean fruit fly in California: evidence for multiple introductions and persistent populations based on microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA variability. Mol. Ecol. 11:891–899.

Michel, A. P., J. Rull, M. Aluja, and J. L. Feder. 2007. The genetic structure of hawthorn-infesting *Rhagoletis pomonella* populations in Mexico: implications for sympatric host race formation. Mol. Ecol. 16:2867–2878.

Michel, A. P., S. Sim, T. H. Q. Powell, M. S. Taylor, P. Nosil, and J. L. Feder. 2010. Widespread genomic divergence during sympatric speciation. PNAS 107:9724–9729.

Miller, W. J., L. Ehrman, and D. Schneider. 2010. Infectious speciation revisited: impact of symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistoru*. PLoS Pathog. 6:e1001214.

Moraiti, C. A., C. T. Nakas, and N. T. Papadopoulos. 2012a. Prolonged pupal dormancy is associated with significant fitness cost for adults of *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae). J. Insect Physiol. 58:1128–1135.

Moraiti, C. A., C. T. Nakas, K. Koppler, and N. T. Papadopoulos. 2012b. Geographical variation in adult life history traits of the European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 107:137–152.

- Nardi, F., A. Carapelli, R. Dallai, G. K. Roderick, and F. Frati. 2005. Population structure and colonization history of the olive fly, *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera, Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. 14:2729–2738.
- Nei, M. 1972. Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106:283–292.
- Nikoh, N., and A. Nakabachi. 2009. Aphids acquired symbiotic genes via lateral gene transfer. BMC Biol. 7:e12.
- Nikoh, N., K. Tanaka, F. Shibata, N. Kondo, M. Hizume, M. Shimada, et al. 2008. *Wolbachia* genome integrated in an insect chromosome: evolution and fate of laterally transferred endosymbiont genes. Genome Res. 18:272–280.
- Papadopoulos, N. T., B. I. Katsoyannos, J. R. Carey, and N. A. Kouloussis. 2001. Seasonal and annual occurrence of the Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Northern Greece. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 94:41–50.
- Papanastasiou, S. A., D. Nestel, A. D. Diamantidis, C. T. Nakas, and N. T. Papadopoulos. 2011. Physiological and biological patterns of a highland and a coastal population of the European cherry fruit fly during diapause. J. Insect Physiol. 57:83–93.
- Paraskevopoulos, C., S. R. Bordenstein, J. J. Wernegreen, J. H. Werren, and K. Bourtzis. 2006. Toward a *Wolbachia* multilocus sequence typing system: discrimination of *Wolbachia* strains present in Drosophila species. Curr. Microbiol. 53:388–395.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol. Ecol. Notes 6:288–295.
- Phillips, C. R., and C. O. Dirks. 1933. Dispersal of the apple maggot. J. Econ. Entomol. 26:344–349.
- Piry, S., A. Alapetite, J. Cornuet, D. Paetkau, L. Baudouin, and A. Estoup. 2004. GENECLASS2: a software for genetic assignment and first-generation migrant detection. J. Hered. 95:536–539.
- Pritchard, J. K., M. Stephens, and P. Donnelly. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959.
- Rannala, B., and J. L. Mountain. 1997. Detecting immigration by using multilocus genotypes. PNAS 94:9197–9201.
- Raymond, M., and F. Rousset. 1995. GENEPOP v. 1.2: population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J. Hered. 86:248–249.
- Riegler, M., and C. Stauffer. 2002. *Wolbachia* infections and superinfections in cytoplasmically incompatible populations of the European cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera, Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. 11:2425–2434.
- Riegler, M., S. Charlat, C. Stauffer, and H. Mercot. 2004. Wolbachia transfer from Rhagoletis cerasi to Drosophila simulans: investigating the outcomes of host-symbiont coevolution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:273–279.
- Riegler, M., M. Sidhu, W. J. Miller, and S. L. O'Neil. 2005. Evidence for a global *Wolbachia* replacement in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Curr. Biol. 15:1428–1433.

- Riegler, M., I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, M. Woolfit, W. J. Miller, and S. L. O'Neil. 2012. Tandem repeat markers as novel diagnostic tools for high resolution fingerprinting of *Wolbachia*. BMC Microbiol. 12:S12.
- Rocha, L. S., R. O. Mascarenhas, A. L. P. Perondini, and D. Selivon. 2005. Occurrence of *Wolbachia* in Brazilian samples of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Neotrop. Entomol. 34:1013–1015.
- Ros, V. I., V. M. Fleming, E. J. Feil, and J. A. Breeuwer. 2009. How diverse is the genus *Wolbachia*? Multiple-gene sequencing reveals a putatively new *Wolbachia* supergroup recovered from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:1036–1043.
- Rousset, F., and M. Raymond. 1995. Testing heterozygote excess and deficiency. Genetics 140:1413–1419.
- Saridaki, A., and K. Bourtzis. 2010. *Wolbachia*: more than just a bug in insects genitals. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 13:67–72.
- Schneider, D. I., K. I. Garschall, A. G. Parker, A. M. M. Abd-Alla, and W. J. Miller. 2013. Global *Wolbachia* prevalence, titer fluctuations and their potential of causing cytoplasmic incompatibilities in tsetse flies and hybrids of *Glossina morsitans* subgroup species. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 112:104– 115.
- Schuler, H., W. Arthofer, S. Krumbock, K. Koppler, H. Vogt,
 L. A. F. Texeira, et al. 2009. The bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in the invasive cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cingulata* (Diptera, Tephritidae). Mitteilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft fu"r Allgemeine und Angewandte Entomologie 17:99–101.
- Schuler, H., W. Arthofer, M. Riegler, C. Bertheau, S. Krumborck, K. Koppler, et al. 2011. Multiple *Wolbachia* infections in *Rhagoletis pomonella*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 139:138–144.
- Schwarz, D., B. A. McPheron, G. B. Hartl, E. F. Boller, and T. S. Hoffmeister. 2003. A second case of genetic host races in Rhagoletis? A population genetic comparison of sympatric host populations in the European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 108:11–17.
- Serbus, L. R., C. Casper-Lindley, F. Landmann, and W. Sullivan. 2008. The genetics and cell biology of *Wolbachia*-host interactions. Annu. Rev. Genet. 42:683–707.
- Shoemaker, D. D., V. Katju, and J. Jaenike. 1999. *Wolbachia* and the evolution of reproductive isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria*. Evolution 53:1157–1164.
- Song, S. D., R. A. I. Drew, and J. M. Hughes. 2007. Multiple paternity in a natural population of a wild tobacco fly, *Bactrocera cacuminata* (Diptera: Tephritidae), assessed by microsatellite DNA markers. Mol. Ecol. 16:2353–2361.
- Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, and S. Kumar. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2731–2739.
- Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive

multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673–4680.

Tzanakakis, M. E. 2003. Seasonal development and dormancy of insects and mites feeding on olive: a review. Neth. J. Zool. 52:87–224.

Velez, S., M. S. Taylor, M. A. F. Noor, N. F. Lobo, and J. L. Feder. 2006. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci from the apple maggot fly *Rhagoletis pomonella* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. Notes 6:90–92.

Virgilio, M., H. Delatte, T. Backeljau, and M. De Meyer. 2010. Macrogeographic population structuring in the cosmopolitan agricultural pest *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Mol. Ecol. 19:2713–2724.

Walker, T., P. H. Johnson, L. A. Moreira, I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, F. D. Frentiu, and C. J. McMeniman. 2011. The *w*Mel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. Nature 476:450–455.

Werren, J. H., and D. M. Windsor. 2000. *Wolbachia* infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc. Biol. Sci. 267:1277–1285.

Werren, J. H., L. Baldo, and M. E. Clark. 2008. Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6:741–751.

White, I. M., and M. M. Elson-Harris. 1992. Fruit flies of economic significance: their identification and bionomics. CAB International, Wallinford, UK.

Woolfit, M., I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, E. A. McGraw, and S. L. O'Neill. 2009. An ancient horizontal gene transfer between mosquito and the endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26:367–374.

Yeh, F. C., T. Boyle, Y. Rongcai, Z. Ye, and J. M. Xiyan. 1999. POPGENE.

Zabalou, S., M. Riegler, M. Theodorakopoulou, C. Stauffer, C. Savakis, and K. Bourtzis. 2004. *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a means for insect pest population control. PNAS 101:15042–15045.

Zabalou, S., A. Apostolaki, I. Livadaras, G. Franz,
A. S. Robinson, C. Savakis, et al. 2009. Incompatible insect technique: incompatible males from a *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) genetic sexing strain. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 132:232–240.

Zygouridis, N. E., A. A. Augustinos, F. G. Zalom, and K. D. Mathiopoulos. 2009. Analysis of olive fly invasion in California based on microsatellite markers. Heredity 102: 402–412.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Posterior probability of possible number of populations in STRUCTURE. Note the potential presence of six genetic clusters indicated by the arrow in diagram (D).

Figure S2. Maximum Likelihood dendrogram based on the 16S *rRNA* gene sequences. Gray shade: sequences generated in this study. *Wolbachia* 16S *rRNA* gene sequences representing current known Supergroups were retrieved from Genebank. For these sequences, the host scientific name, the Genebank Accession Number and the respective supergroup are shown. Analyses were conducted in Mega 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura–Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood is shown and percentages of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together are indicated next to the branches.

Figure S3. Maximum Likelihood dendrogram based on the *coxA* gene sequences. Gray shade: sequences generated in this study. Darker gray shade and bold: sequences of known *w*Cer strains. Different *Wolbachia coxA* alleles were retrieved from the *Wolbachia* MLST database. Alleles representing all available supergroups were chosen. New alleles *coxA*-188 and *coxA*-189 are marked with asterisks (*). Analyses were conducted in Mega 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura–Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood is shown and percentages of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together are indicated next to the branches.

Figure S4. Maximum Likelihood dendrogram based on *hcpA* gene sequences. Gray shade: sequences generated in this study. Darker gray shade and bold: sequences of known *w*Cer strains. Different *Wolbachia hcpA* alleles were retrieved from the *Wolbachia* MLST database. Alleles representing all available supergroups were chosen. New alleles hpA-212 and hcpA-213 are marked with asterisks (*). Analyses were conducted in Mega 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura–Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood is shown and percentages of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together are shown next to the branches.