Cisplatin-Resistant Ovarian Cancer Cells Reveal a Polyploid Phenotype with Remarkable Activation of Nuclear Processes

Rezvan Adibi^{1,2}, Shiva Moein³, Yousof Gheisari^{1,3}

¹Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ²Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ³Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

Background: Tumor recurrence as one of the main causes of cancer death is a big barrier to cancer complete treatment. Various studies denote the possible role of therapeutics in tumor relapse. Cisplatin as one of the generally used chemotherapy agents is supposed to be the source of therapy resistance through formation of polyploid giant cancer cells (PGCCs). Nevertheless, the mechanisms by which PGCCs promote tumor relapse are not fully understood.

Materials and Methods: In this study, we performed experimental and bioinformatic investigations to recognize the mechanisms related to cisplatin resistance. A2780 and SCOV-3 cell lines were treated with cisplatin for 72 hours and were evaluated for their morphology by fluorescent microscopy and DNA content analysis. Furthermore, a microarray dataset of cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells was re-analyzed to determine the significantly altered genes and signaling pathways.

Results: Although cisplatin led to death of considerable fraction of cells in both cell lines, a significant number of survived cells became polyploid. On the other hand, our high throughput analysis determined significant change in expression of 1930 genes which mainly related to gene regulatory mechanisms and nuclear processes. Besides, mTOR, hypoxia, Hippo, and 14-3-3 signaling pathways previously shown to have role in PGCCs were determined.

Conclusion: Taken together, results of this study demonstrated some key biological mechanisms related to cisplatin-resistant polyploid cancer cells.

Keywords: Cancer resistance, gene expression profiling, ovary cancer, polyploid cells, systems biology

Address for correspondence: Dr. Yousof Gheisari, Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, 8174673461, Iran. E-mail: ygheisari@med.mui.ac.ir Submitted: 30-Oct-2021; Revised: 31-Jan-2022; Accepted: 27-Apr-2022; Published: 28-Mar-2023

INTRODUCTION

As the second leading cause of death, cancer is one of the most problematic health issues all over the world. Despite huge investigations, drug resistance and tumor relapse after chemo/radiotherapy have remained prominent barriers in cancer treatment.^[1] This indicates that the main elements contributing to these processes are not yet completely understood. For two centuries, numerous studies have shown the contribution of polyploid giant cancer cells (PGCCs) in cancer progression.^[2] In this paradigm, the polyploid cancer



cells with the capability of breeding cancer stem cells are tumor generators post-treatment and are the main cause of therapy resistance and tumor recurrence.^[3,4]

Cell polyploidy is not restricted to cancers, but an evolutionarily conserved mechanism to support tissue regeneration and combat against stressors.^[5] Formation of PGCCs can be induced by either natural tensions such as hypoxia, acidic condition, and mechanical pressures or by chemotherapy and radiotherapy.^[6-9] The survived polyploid cells then serve as a source for the generation of new

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Adibi R, Moein S, Gheisari Y. Cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells reveal a polyploid phenotype with remarkable activation of nuclear processes. Adv Biomed Res 2023;12:77.

tumor cells and hence "tumor regeneration".^[10] Despite various reports on the association of these cells with tumor resistance and relapse, little is known about the molecular pathways related to cell polyploidy. A study by Sharma *et al.* determined that the mTOR signaling pathway, a known regulator of cell metabolism, plays an important role in the polyploidy state in cancer cells. Moreover, other studies have also shown the importance of HIPPO, 14-3-3, and Hypoxia signaling pathways in the induction of polyploidy in tumor cells.^[11-15]

The recent emergence of the systems biology approach has promoted the investigation of cancer polyploidy. Rantala *et al.*^[16] demonstrated the role of *GINS2* as a regulator of the DNA damage repair system by analysis of the transcriptome profile of breast cancer samples after targeting genes by a siRNA library. In another study, Jung *et al.*^[17] explored changes in proteome and phospho-proteome of cisplatin-resistant bladder cancer cells which are related to activation of polyploidy in cancer cells. Despite these findings, our knowledge of the underlying molecular mechanisms regulating the emergence and function of PGCCs is in its infancy.

Cisplatin is a common chemotherapy reagent that has been used for a wide variety of malignancies and is associated with a high rate of relapse.^[18] To investigate the role of polyploidy in cisplatin resistance, two ovarian cancer cell lines were followed upon drug administration. In parallel, using high throughput transcriptomic data, the molecular machinery activated in cisplatin-resistance polyploid cells was investigated [Figure 1]. Results of this study unraveled new signaling pathways related to polyploidization of cancer cells.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Ovarian cancer cell lines, A2780 and SKOV3 were purchased from Pasteur Institute of Iran. The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Eagle's minimal essential medium (DMEM) enriched with 10% FBS and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Bioidea, Iran) and incubated in 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Induction of polyploid giant cancer cells

In order to determine the optimum dose of cisplatin (Mylan, France) which induces the highest percentage of polyploidy, the cells were treated with 3, 6, 13, 18, 50, 70, and 100 μ M of the drug. DNA content analysis determined that 6 μ M concentration of the drug, has the most ability in induction of polyploidy in both cell lines (data not shown). Cisplatin was added to the culture medium for 72 hours and then thoroughly washed by PBS (Gibco, USA). Seven days post-drug administration, the cells were evaluated for their morphologic features by light and fluorescent microscopy as well as DNA content measurement.

DNA content analysis

The cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and kept in -20°C overnight. Subsequently, the fixed cells were treated with PI (Sigma, Germany)/Triton X-100 (Cytomatin gene, Iran)/RNase A (Sigma, Germany) solution for 30 min and were read by FACS Calibur instrument (BD Biosciences, USA). Flow cytometry results were analyzed using FlowJo software version 10.6.2.

DAPI staining

For fluorescent staining of the genome content, the cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde 4% for 20 minutes and washed twice with PBS. Subsequently the cells were permeabilized by 0.04% Triton X-100 and stained with 40,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) (Sigma, Germany) at the concentration



Figure 1: The scheme of the study. In this study, we employed a holistic approach to identify novel player in cisplatin resistant cells

of 1 μ g/ml. Fluorescent microscopy and imaging were performed using Nikon Eclipse Ti (Nikon, Japan).

Microarray data analysis

The dataset GSE58470 which contains the gene expression profile of IGROV-1 cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell line and control group was obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. The quality of the data was assessed by principal component analysis (PCA), hierarchical clustering, and volcano plots using ggplot2 package of the R software (Version 3.5.1). Differentially expressed genes were determined by GEO2R tool of the GEO database. Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate was used as the *P* value correction method and all the genes with adjusted *P* value < 0.05 were assumed as DEGs.

Pathway enrichment analysis

Pathway enrichment analysis was performed by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Qiagen Inc.).^[19] Directional changes with Z-score >2 were considered as significant alteration activities. MetaboAnalyst software (version 3.0) was also applied for pathway enrichment analysis and significant pathways (adjusted *P* value < 0.05) were determined by Fisher's exact test.

Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) network construction and module identification

PPI Network was constructed by CluePedia plugin version 1.5.7 of Cytoscape version 3.8.0. The topology of the network was analyzed by Cytoscape Network Analyzer tool and nodes with the highest degree and betweenness centrality parameters were identified. Structural modules of the PPI network based on clustering coefficient were determined by MCODE plugin version 1.32 of the Cytoscape software.^[20]

RESULTS

Cisplatin enriches polyploidy in ovarian cancer cell lines

Considering that polyploidy is proposed as a leading player in chemo-drug resistance,^[10] the effect of cisplatin was examined on two ovarian cancer cell lines. A2789 and SCOV-3 cells were treated with cisplatin for 72 hours and then recovered for 7 days. As expected, cisplatin resulted in massive cell death. Notably, the surviving sub-population was enriched for polyploid cells as revealed by fluorescent microscopy following DNA-staining. Indeed, PGCCs as large as 100 μ m were evident in the culture of cisplatin-treated A2789 and SCOV-3 lines. For further validation and quantification, DNA content analysis was performed which demonstrated 2.0- and 4.7-fold enhancement in the ratio of PGCCs in A2789 and SCOV-3 cells, respectively [Figure 2]. This finding suggests that polyploid cells can be a source of cisplatin-resistance in ovarian cancer.

Transcriptomics profile of cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells highlights the role of nuclear processes

To investigate molecular mechanisms involved in polyploid-resistant cells, the GSE58470 microarray dataset

originally generated by Cossa *et al.*^[21,22] was reanalyzed. They established a cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer line and performed mRNA microarray profiling concluding that the ERK1/2 pathway has an important role in cisplatin resistance.

Re-analysis of this dataset was started with a quality control assessment. As previously shown, about half of the publicly available transcriptomics profiles suffer from the lack of sufficient quality, underscoring the importance of pre-assessments of such data.^[23] PCA and hierarchical clustering denoted the acceptable quality of the selected dataset as the samples were separated according to experimental groups in an unsupervised manner [Figure 3a, b]. A comparison of cisplatin-resistant and control group determined 1930 DEGs with at least two-fold overexpression or down-regulation ($|log_2FC| \ge 1$) and adjusted *P* value < 0.05 [Figure 3c].

To assess the functional role of the identified DEGs, pathway enrichment analysis was performed determining the 21 signaling pathways with *P* value < 0.05 [Figure 4a]. Among them, the protein ubiquitination pathway, FAT10 signaling pathway, and phagosome maturation have been previously reported to be involved in chemotherapy resistance.^[24-26] Moreover, mTOR, 14-3-3, HIPPO, and Hypoxia are known as polyploidy-related pathways.^[12,13,15,27,11] Additionally, enrichment for biological process and molecular function GO terms highlighted RNA polymerase regulation and protein metabolism. Noteworthy, nucleoplasm was an enriched cellular component term with the maximum number of child terms [Figure 4b]. Overall, these findings indicate that gene regulation mechanisms and nucleus processes are critical for drug resistance and potentially cancer polyploidy.

The interaction network was constructed to identify the map of communications between the identified DEGs. To identify the most influential genes in the network, centrality analysis was performed and top 10 genes with the highest degree and betweenness centrality measures were detected [Figure 5a]. A considerable fraction of these central genes is involved in nuclear processes; *POLR2C, RANBP2, UPF3B, SP1,* and *ELOB* contributed to RNA metabolism and gene expression mechanisms. Also, a group of central genes including *UBC, UBE2D2,* and *SUMO1* are involved in protein ubiquitination. Previous studies have also suggested the role of *PLK1* in the cell cycle regulation of PGCCs.^[28]

Densely connected regions of the constructed network, known as structural modules were determined based on clustering coefficient centrality feature. These modules were mainly related to RNA metabolism, protein localization, chromatid segregation, microtubule polymerization, and membrane budding [Figure 5b].

DISCUSSION

Cancer resistance to therapy was the cause of 10 million deaths in 2020.^[29] For decades, cancer researchers have tried to recognize



Figure 2: Augmentation of polyploid cells in SKOV-3 and A2780 cell lines post-cisplatin treatment. (a, d). Fluorescent images of the cells in test and control group (DAPI staining) after 72 hours cisplatin treatment and 7 days recovery. Scale bars: $50 \mu m$. (b, e). DNA content analysis before and after cisplatin treatment. (c, f). The percentage of PGCCs in 4 replicates of each group. * *P* value < 0.05, black line = Mean. Significance was calculated by unpaired Mann-Whitney t-test



Figure 3: Quality assessment of the dataset. (a, b). Principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering could successfully separate control and cisplatin treatment groups. (c). The Volcano plot demonstrates the genes with adjusted *P* value < 0.05 and $|\log FC| \ge 1$ considered as DEGs in this study (orange dots)

the source of this resistance and find effective ways to combat cancer. In 1858, Virchow reported large nuclei and nucleoli in cancer cells^[30] and in 1968, Bauke and Schöffling provided the first clinical evidence on the correlation between cancer growth and PGCCs.^[31] Since then, despite opposite opinions,^[32] PGCCs have been considered a source of chemo/radio therapy resistance.^[6,8,33] PGCCs and their offspring cells have been also shown to possess stem cell characteristics. For instance, Zhang *et al.* and Niu *et al.* revealed PGCCs and their offspring could express stem cell markers such as OCT4, NANOG, and SOX2.^[6,7] Despite these investigations, PGCCs have rarely been approached as a therapeutic target, potentially due to the lack of sufficient evidence on their molecular biology. Here, an *in vitro* model of PGCCs was established and gene expression patterns associated with chemotherapy resistance were explored.

Cisplatin is one the most broad-spectrum chemotherapy agents and the first-line therapy in ovarian cancer.^[34] This study has provided evidence showing the effect of this drug on two ovarian cancer cell lines, A2780 and SCOV-3. Seven days post-cisplatin administration, a subpopulation of large cells with several nuclei was remarkably observed in fluorescent microscopy inspections. In agreement, DNA content analysis demonstrated a significant increment in the percentage of polyploid cells in both cell lines after exposure to cisplatin.

The comprehensive viewpoint of systems biology approaches would be useful in deciphering the features of these cells and providing a holistic map of their functions. In this study, reanalysis of a transcriptome microarray dataset belonging to cisplatin-resistant ovarian cells determined critical role of various signaling pathways in promotion of cancer resistance. Among the pathways, the role of mTOR, 14-3-3, HIPPO, and Hypoxia signaling pathways are previously identified in PGCCs. Similarly, Liu *et al.* have shown that inhibition of the mTOR pathway with rapamycin reduced polyploidy in leukemic cells.^[1] Additionally, Sharma *et al.* demonstrated that mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 could decrease the formation



Figure 4: Pathway and gene ontology enrichment analysis determined activation of nuclear processes in the resistant cells. (a). Pathway enrichment analysis and, (b). gene ontology enrichment for biological process, molecular function and cellular component (The horizontal axis shows the number of childs of each parental term). In both of these analysis, P value < 0.05 was considered as the significance threshold



Figure 5: Top central DEGs and structural modules of the PPI network of cisplatin-resistant cells were determined. (a). Centrality analysis was performed and top 10 genes with the highest degree and betweenness centrality measures were detected. (b). The 6 high-rank modules of the network (based on clustering coefficient centrality) and their ontology (biological process) were determined

of cancer polyploid cells and increase chemosensitivity in breast cancer.^[15,35] It is also shown that overexpression of 14-3-3, known as a regulator of the cell cycle, caused the emergence of polyploidy in non-small cell lung cancer.^[12] Moreover, Zhang *et al.* demonstrated that activation of the Hippo signaling pathway via the Akt-skp2 axis promotes cell cycle arrest and polyploidy in hepatocytes and hepatocellular carcinoma.^[11] Furthermore, a bundle of evidence highlights the role of natural or simulated hypoxic stresses in the induction of polyploidy in cancer cells.^[6,13,36,37] Other signaling pathways enriched in our analysis may also play role in cancer polyploidy. Accordingly, the protein ubiquitination pathway

which is highlighted in our study has previously shown to be involved in DNA damage repair, tumor proliferation, hypoxia adaptation, and cancer stem cell modulation to chemotherapy resistance.^[26,38-43] Also, several recent studies demonstrated the role of FAT10 in chemoresistance^[24] and epithelial to mesenchymal transition,^[25] both of which are associated with cancer polyploidy.^[44] Furthermore, phagosome maturation, ceramide biosynthesis, and mechanisms of viral exit from host cells are among the other enriched pathways.

Among 1930 DEGs that were identified, most of them belonged to gene regulation and nuclear processes according to GO enrichment analysis. As well, the top central genes of the constructed network typically attributed to nuclear process. According to our previous studies indicating the importance of central genes in promotion of cellular phenotype,^[45,46] we think that the central nodes as well as genes in the densely connected modules which belonged to chromatid segregation, microtubule polymerization and membrane budding, indicate the key role of nuclear processes and polyploidy in cancer resistance.^[47-54]

CONCLUSIONS

Taken together, this study provides insights on key molecular processes involved in chemotherapy resistance and highlights the role of PGCCs. Further studies are required to elucidate the underlying mechanisms and pave the way for future therapeutic strategies.

Acknowledgments

We thank Amir Bahreini and Yasin Eshraghi for their assistance in interpretation of the microarray data using IPA software.

Some of the results of this study were presented in the ROYAN International Congress 2019 as poster.

Financial support and sponsorship

This study was supported by Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (grant number: 51036) and Student Research Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (grant number: 199485)

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Liu LL, Long ZJ, Wang LX, Zheng FM, Fang ZG, Yan M, et al. Inhibition of mTOR pathway sensitizes acute myeloid leukemia cells to aurora inhibitors by suppression of glycolytic metabolism. Molecular Canc Res: MCR 2013;11:1326-36.
- Amend SR, Torga G, Lin KC, Kostecka LG, de Marzo A, Austin RH, et al. Polyploid giant cancer cells: Unrecognized actuators of tumorigenesis, metastasis, and resistance. Prostate 2019;79:1489-97.
- Ayob AZ, Ramasamy TS. Cancer stem cells as key drivers of tumour progression. J Biomed Sci 2018;25:20.
- Batlle E, Clevers H. Cancer stem cells revisited. Nat Med 2017;23:1124-34.
- Øvrebø JI, Edgar BA. Polyploidy in tissue homeostasis and regeneration. Development 2018;145:dev156034.
- Zhang S, Mercado-Uribe I, Xing Z, Sun B, Kuang J, Liu J. Generation of cancer stem-like cells through the formation of polyploid giant cancer cells. Oncogene 2014;33:116-28.
- Niu N, Mercado-Uribe I, Liu J. Dedifferentiation into blastomere-like cancer stem cells via formation of polyploid giant cancer cells. Oncogene 2017;36:4887-900.
- Puig L, Fernandez-Figueras MT, Bielsa I, Lloveras B, Alomar A. Multinucleate cell angiohistiocytoma: A fibrohistiocytic proliferation with increased mast cell numbers and vascular hyperplasia. J Cutan Pathol 2002;29:232-7.
- Diaz-Carballo D, Saka S, Klein J, Rennkamp T, Acikelli AH, Malak S, et al. A distinct oncogenerative multinucleated cancer cell serves as a source of stemness and tumor heterogeneity. Cancer Res 2018;78:2318-31.
- Moein S, Adibi R, da Silva Meirelles L, Nardi NB, Gheisari Y. Cancer regeneration: Polyploid cells are the key drivers of tumor progression. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2020;1874:188408.

- Zhang S, Chen Q, Liu Q, Li Y, Sun X, Hong L, et al. Hippo signaling suppresses cell ploidy and tumorigenesis through Skp2. Cancer Cell 2017;31:669-84.e7.
- Gomes CJ, Centuori SM, Harman MW, Putnam CW, Wolgemuth CW, Martinez JD. The induction of endoreduplication and polyploidy by elevated expression of 14-3-3gamma. Genes Cancer 2017;8:771-83.
- Lopez-Sanchez LM, Jimenez C, Valverde A, Hernandez V, Penarando J, Martinez A, *et al.* CoCl2, a mimic of hypoxia, induces formation of polyploid giant cells with stem characteristics in colon cancer. PLoS One 2014;9:e99143.
- Spankuch-Schmitt B, Wolf G, Solbach C, Loibl S, Knecht R, Stegmuller M, *et al.* Downregulation of human polo-like kinase activity by antisense oligonucleotides induces growth inhibition in cancer cells. Oncogene 2002;21:3162-71.
- Sharma S, Yao HP, Zhou YQ, Zhou J, Zhang R, Wang MH. Prevention of BMS-777607-induced polyploidy/senescence by mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 sensitizes breast cancer cells to cytotoxic chemotherapeutics. Mol Oncol 2014;8:469-82.
- Rantala JK, Edgren H, Lehtinen L, Wolf M, Kleivi K, Vollan HK, et al. Integrative functional genomics analysis of sustained polyploidy phenotypes in breast cancer cells identifies an oncogenic profile for GINS2. Neoplasia 2010;12:877-88.
- Jung JH, You S, Oh JW, Yoon J, Yeon A, Shahid M, *et al.* Integrated proteomic and phosphoproteomic analyses of cisplatin-sensitive and resistant bladder cancer cells reveal CDK2 network as a key therapeutic target. Cancer Lett 2018;437:1-12.
- Dasari S, Tchounwou PB. Cisplatin in cancer therapy: Molecular mechanisms of action. Eur J Pharmacol 2014;740:364-78.
- Krämer A, Green J, Pollard J Jr, Tugendreich S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Bioinformatics 2014;30:523-30.
- Bader GD, Hogue CW. An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. BMC Bioinformatics 2003;4:2.
- Cossa G, Lanzi C, Cassinelli G, Carenini N, Arrighetti N, Gatti L, *et al.* Differential outcome of MEK1/2 inhibitor-platinum combinations in platinum-sensitive and -resistant ovarian carcinoma cells. Cancer Lett 2014;347:212-24.
- Arrighetti N, Cossa G, De Cecco L, Stucchi S, Carenini N, Corna E, et al. PKC-alpha modulation by miR-483-3p in platinum-resistant ovarian carcinoma cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2016;310:9-19.
- Abedi M, Fatehi R, Moradzadeh K, Gheisari Y. Big data to knowledge: Common pitfalls in transcriptomics data analysis and representation. RNA Biol 2019;16:1531-3.
- Xue F, Zhu L, Meng QW, Wang L, Chen XS, Zhao YB, et al. FAT10 is associated with the malignancy and drug resistance of non-small-cell lung cancer. Onco Targets Ther 2016;9:4397-409.
- 25. Li C, Wang Z, Feng N, Dong J, Deng X, Yue Y, *et al.* Human HLA-F adjacent transcript 10 promotes the formation of cancer initiating cells and cisplatin resistance in bladder cancer. Mol Med Rep 2018;18:308-14.
- Gallo LH, Ko J, Donoghue DJ. The importance of regulatory ubiquitination in cancer and metastasis. Cell Cycle 2017;16:634-48.
- Loss of hippo signaling promotes polyploidy and tumorigenesis. Cancer Discov 2017;7:661.
- Jemaà M, Kifagi C, Serrano SS, Massoumi R. Preferential killing of tetraploid colon cancer cells by targeting the mitotic kinase PLK1. Cellular physiology and biochemistry: International journal of experimental cellular physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology 2020;54:303-20.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021;71:209-49.
- Virchow R. Cellular pathology. As based upon physiological and pathological histology. Lecture XVI--Atheromatous affection of arteries. 1858. Nutr Rev 1989;47:23-5.
- Bauke J, Schöffling K. Polyploidy in human malignancy. Hypopentaploid chromosome pattern in malignant reticulosis with secondary sideroachrestic anemia. Cancer 1968;22:686-94.

- 32. Nair JS, Ho AL, Schwartz GK. The induction of polyploidy or apoptosis by the Aurora A kinase inhibitor MK8745 is p53-dependent. Cell Cycle 2012;11:807-17.
- Bell AG, Baker DG. X-irradiation-induced polyploidy in human leucocyte cultures. Exp Cell Res 1965;38:144-52.
- Bergamini A, Pisano C, Di Napoli M, Arenare L, Della Pepa C, Tambaro R, *et al.* Cisplatin can be safely administered to ovarian cancer patients with hypersensitivity to carboplatin. Gynecol Oncol 2017;144:72-6.
- 35. Sharma S, Zeng JY, Zhuang CM, Zhou YQ, Yao HP, Hu X, et al. Small-molecule inhibitor BMS-777607 induces breast cancer cell polyploidy with increased resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy agents. Mol Cancer Ther 2013;12:725-36.
- Rofstad EK, Johnsen NM, Lyng H. Hypoxia-induced tetraploidisation of a diploid human melanoma cell line in vitro. Br J Cancer Suppl 1996;27:S136-9.
- Braune EB, Tsoi YL, Phoon YP, Landor S, Silva Cascales H, Ramsköld D, et al. Loss of CSL unlocks a hypoxic response and enhanced tumor growth potential in breast cancer cells. Stem Cell Rep 2016;6:643-51.
- Wu X, Zhang W, Font-Burgada J, Palmer T, Hamil AS, Biswas SK, et al. Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc13 controls breast cancer metastasis through a TAK1-p38 MAP kinase cascade. Proc Natl Acad Sc U S A 2014;111:13870-5.
- 39. Sun T, Liu Z, Yang Q. The role of ubiquitination and deubiquitination in cancer metabolism. Mol Cancer 2020;19:146.
- Messick TE, Greenberg RA. The ubiquitin landscape at DNA double-strand breaks. J Cell Biol 2009;187:319-26.
- Altun M, Zhao B, Velasco K, Liu H, Hassink G, Paschke J, *et al.* Ubiquitin-specific protease 19 (USP19) regulates hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) during hypoxia. J Biol Chem 2012;287:1962-9.
- Brahimi-Horn C, Pouysségur J. When hypoxia signalling meets the ubiquitin-proteasomal pathway, new targets for cancer therapy. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2005;53:115-23.
- 43. Yang L, Shi P, Zhao G, Xu J, Peng W, Zhang J, et al. Targeting cancer stem cell pathways for cancer therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther

2020;5:8.

- 44. Wang X, Zheng M, Fei F, Li C, Du J, Liu K, et al. EMT-related protein expression in polyploid giant cancer cells and their daughter cells with different passages after triptolide treatment. Med Oncol 2019;36:82.
- Abedi M, Gheisari Y. Nodes with high centrality in protein interaction networks are responsible for driving signaling pathways in diabetic nephropathy. PeerJ 2015;3:e1284.
- Rabieian R, Abedi M, Gheisari Y. Central nodes in protein interaction networks drive critical functions in transforming growth factor Beta-1 stimulated kidney cells. Cell J 2017;18:514-31.
- Potapova T, Gorbsky GJ. The consequences of chromosome segregation errors in mitosis and meiosis. Biology 2017;6:12.
- Salmina K, Huna A, Kalejs M, Pjanova D, Scherthan H, Cragg MS, et al. The cancer aneuploidy paradox: In the light of evolution. Genes 2019;10:83.
- Jordan MA, Wilson L. Microtubules as a target for anticancer drugs. Nat Rev Cancer 2004;4:253-65.
- Zdioruk M, Want A, Mietelska-Porowska A, Laskowska-Kaszub K, Wojsiat J, Klejman A, *et al.* A new inhibitor of tubulin polymerization kills multiple cancer cell types and reveals p21-mediated mechanism determining cell death after mitotic catastrophe. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:2161.
- Niu N, Zhang J, Zhang N, Mercado-Uribe I, Tao F, Han Z, et al. Linking genomic reorganization to tumor initiation via the giant cell cycle. Oncogenesis 2016;5:e281.
- Wang Q, Lu F, Lan R. RNA-sequencing dissects the transcriptome of polyploid cancer cells that are resistant to combined treatments of cisplatin with paclitaxel and docetaxel. Mol Biosyst 2017;13:2125-34.
- Yuan B, Hao J, Zhang Q, Wang Y, Zhu Y. Role of Bcl-2 on drug resistance in breast cancer polyploidy-induced spindle poisons. Oncol Lett 2020;19:1701-10.
- 54. Mujagic H, Chen SS, Geist R, Occhipinti SJ, Conger BM, Smith CA, et al. Effects of vincristine on cell survival, cell cycle progression, and mitotic accumulation in asynchronously growing Sarcoma 180 cells. Cancer Res 1983;43:3591-7.