For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-science.com



Evidence supporting the use of peptides and peptidomimetics as potential SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) therapeutics

Sonya VanPatten^{*,1}, Mingzhu He¹, Ahmad Altiti¹, Kai F Cheng¹, Mustafa H Ghanem², & Yousef Al-Abed¹

¹Center for Molecular Innovation, Feinstein Institute of Bioelectronic Medicine Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Northwell Health 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, NY 11030, USA

²Robert S. Boas Center for Genomics & Human Genetics, Feinstein Institute of Molecular Medicine Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Northwell Health 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, NY 11030, USA

*Author for correspondence: svanpatten@northwell.edu

During a disease outbreak/pandemic situation such as COVID-19, researchers are in a prime position to identify and develop peptide-based therapies, which could be more rapidly and cost-effectively advanced into a clinical setting. One drawback of natural peptide drugs, however, is their proteolytic instability; peptidomimetics can help to overcome this caveat. In this review, we summarize peptide and peptide-based therapeutics that target one main entry pathway of severe acute respiratory syndrome coron-avirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which involves the host angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) receptor and viral spike (S) protein interaction. Furthermore, we discuss the advantages of peptidomimetics and other potential targets that have been studied using peptide-based therapeutics for COVID-19.

First draft submitted: 29 May 2020; Accepted for publication: 30 June 2020; Published online: 16 July 2020

Keywords: ACE2 • angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 • antagonists • COVID-19 • peptide • peptidomimetics • SARS-CoV • SARS-CoV-2 • spike protein • viral entry

Multiple zoonotic events since the turn of the millennium have resulted in disease outbreaks in the human population, including influenza A, H1N1, H5N1, H7N9, Zika virus and Ebola virus. Outbreaks due to members of the coronavirus family have been especially prominent in terms of transmission and mortality, beginning with the emergence of SARS-CoV in 2002–2003 [1,2], followed by middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in 2012 [3] and SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in late 2019 [4]. Key to the pathogenicity and infectivity of the coronavirus family in humans is the spike (S) protein, a large trimeric crown-like complex forming the namesake 'corona' as observed on electron microscopy (Figure 1). Gain of function studies on circulating strains of coronavirus in bats have evidenced the deterministic role of the S protein in selecting host species and tissue tropism [5]. The outbreak-associated coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share the commonality of binding host peptidases as their entry receptors; alternatively, other coronaviruses can recognize post-translational modifications such as glycans or adhesion molecules. Whereas MERS-CoV utilizes mainly dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) [6], SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 can enter human cells using angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) (Figures 2 & 3) [7,8] as the primary receptor [9,10]. Aside from recognition and entry through the primary receptor, secondary mechanisms exist for S protein mediated internalization, including through C-type lectins recognizing high-mannose glycans on the S protein [11]. Viral entry is also proposed to be possible through an endocytosis mechanism, with viral membrane fusion occurring in endosomes (reviewed in [9]).

The S protein of the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 is a heavily glycosylated [12] \sim 180–200 kDa Type I transmembrane protein with its N-terminus residing on the outside surface of the virus, and a short C-terminal region in the intramembrane viral space. The S protein comprises S1 and S2 subunits, which have a protease cleavage site between them (S1/S2), while another protease cleavage site exists in the S2 region (S2'). The S1 subunit mediates initial host receptor binding to ACE2, while the S2 region contains the membrane fusion machinery

newlands press



Figure 1. Transmission electron micrograph of SARS-CoV-2 virus particles, isolated from a patient. Image captured and color-enhanced at the NIAID IRF in Fort Detrick, Maryland. The spike protein (red) protrudes from the virions resulting in the namesake 'corona'. Credit: NIAID-RML. IRF: Integrated research facility.

Image used under creative commons license (https://www.flickr.com/photos/niaid/49645402917/in/album-721577129





Figure 2. Representation of general structure of SARS-CoV's and host cell entry receptor ACE2. The major viral proteins and RNA strand are illustrated, these include the envelope (E) protein, nucleocapsid (N) protein, membrane (M) protein and spike (S) protein, along with the host entry receptor ACE2. The S protein-ACE2 interaction is a crucial mechanism of SARS-CoV entry into host cells.

Figure 3. A close-up depiction of the S protein and host ACE2 receptor. The viral S protein (composed of S1 and S2 subunits) is a trimeric membrane-embedded glycoprotein. The RBD of the S1 region mediates initial binding with the host entry receptor ACE2, a transmembrane protein is represented in light green. The phospholipid bilayer of the viral particle is represented in yellow while host membrane phospholipid bilayer is represented in orange. RBD: Receptor-binding domain.

consisting of fusion peptide domains, and heptad-1 (HR1) and heptad-2 (HR2) domains that come together during host receptor binding to mediate the bridging of viral and host cell membranes (Figure 4) [13,14]. Amino acid (aa) residues within the C-terminal domain of the S1 subunit form the receptor-binding domain (RBD)



Figure 4. A 2D-representation of the major domains of the SARS-CoV S protein. The S protein is composed of the two subunits: S1 and S2. The S1 domain contains the NTD, the CTD, and is responsible for recognition and binding to the host cell receptor. The S2 domain, responsible for membrane fusion, contains the FP, the heptad repeat regions HR1 and HR2, the TM and CP tail. Two cleavage sites are indicated with arrows. The major peptide-based therapeutics, indicated below, are associated S protein regions.

CP: Cytoplasmic; CTD: C-terminal domain; FP: Fusion peptide; NTD: N-terminal domain; TM: Transmembrane domain.

responsible for receptor specificity (Figure 3) [15,16]. The RBD of the virus has been characterized in the sequence from the 2002–2003 outbreak of SARS-CoV and it resided in amino acids 318–510 of the S protein [17]. The minimal-binding domain was determined to be on a short loop on the receptor-binding domain consisting of 14 amino acid residues (424–494) of the S protein in these previous studies. The RBD sequence has been compared by the same group in SARS-CoV-2 and it resides in aa residues 331–524, furthermore, this report details sequence variation and conserved residues between SARS-CoV, MERS and SARS-CoV-2. The sequence similarity in the S2 domain is more conserved between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, making this region a better potential drug target for pan-SARS-CoV therapeutics [14,18].

Studies have determined that after host receptor binding (ACE2), the viral S protein is processed by host cell proteases, including TMPRSS2 or likely other proteases [9,19]. Cleavage at the S1/S2 boundary releases the strain exerted on the S2 subunit by the S1 subunit, leading to a dramatic conformational change. The S2 subunit possesses fusion peptide (FP) domains, which help to mediate membrane binding between host and virus. The second protease cleavage site S2' (Figure 3) exposes the FP permitting the formation of a six-helix bundle (composed of three alpha helixes each from the heptad repeat 1 and 2 regions [HR1 and HR2]), which mediates host/virus membrane fusion events, resulting in the delivery of the viral genetic material into the host cell. The tissue-specific distribution of proteases capable of processing the S protein further narrows the tropism of particular coronaviruses beyond the cell and organ-specific location of the primary receptor, ACE2 (reviewed in [19]).

The host receptor ACE2 is a type 1 transmembrane glycoprotein that functions enzymatically as a monocarboxypeptidase. Its main physiologic function is to convert the biologically active vasoconstrictive and inflammatory peptide angiotensin-2 (8 aa) to angiotensin (1–7) by cleavage of the C-terminal amino acid. It can also act on angiotensin-1 (10 aa) (conversion to angiotensin (1–9), albeit with much less reported activity and affinity for this peptide substrate (400-fold less) [20]. Tissue expression occurs in numerous organs and cell types, but high expression was noted in lung alveolar epithelial cells and gut enterocytes [21], two important sites of SARS-CoV-2 exposure. The data on modulating endogenous ACE2 expression or its associated substrates are still in its infancy and no firm conclusions can be drawn until further studies are designed and carried out. This area is well reviewed by Perrotta *et al.* [22], and at present we feel the ACE2 substrates are less viable candidates for potential SARS therapeutics due to the potential for side effects, and they will not be addressed herein.

As of present, there are a few published studies looking at therapeutics for directly targeting the ACE2–S protein interaction that involve monoclonal antibodies, which have shown some promise in preclinical studies for SARS-CoV-2 [23,24], many others are beginning to appear in preprint servers. These potential therapeutics combined with the peptide and peptidomimetic candidates discussed herein, provide proof of concept for the potential value of this target in combating SARS-CoV-2.

Advantages & potential of peptides & peptidomimetics as therapeutics

During an outbreak situation, traditional drug discovery is not an efficient option as this process is inherently slow to deal with the immense need for timely therapeutic solutions. There are several approaches that represent reasonable alternatives such as drug repurposing, vaccination and immunotherapy. Both immunotherapy and vaccination capitalize on peptide targets. Molecular details and the lessons learned from these strategies can be used to design and develop potential peptide-based therapeutics.

Peptides are smaller fragments of proteins and are preferable for ease of synthesis in terms of time and cost. In 2010, over 100 peptide drug candidates were reported in clinical trials and in 2019, three new peptide drugs were approved by the US FDA [25]. The advantages of peptides as drugs are rapid discovery, their specificity and affinity to desired targets, and low toxicity due to the limited possibility for accumulation in the body. Early on, peptides were considered poor drug candidates due to the inefficient and expensive synthesis processes, low bioavailability and limited stability against proteolysis by peptidases in the gastrointestinal tract and serum ($t_{1/2}$ of natural and synthetic peptides are usually on the order of a few minutes). Thanks to technological advances, two chemical methodologies: solution-phase synthesis in 1953 [26] and solid-phase peptide synthesis in 1963 [27] dramatically dropped the cost of peptide manufacturing. The door of development for peptide-based therapeutics was widely opened by introducing peptides with varying sequence length, side-chain reactivity and degree of modification and incorporation of unnatural components.

To overcome the disadvantages of peptides as drug candidates, the field of peptidomimetics was introduced in early 1990s. A peptidomimetic candidate is usually based initially on a native peptide, which has been shown to inhibit protein interaction or function and which is then modified artificially to enhance bioavailability, improve transport through the blood–brain barrier (BBB), reduce the rate of clearance, and decrease degradation by peptidases [28,29]. There are numerous reported peptidomimetics [30], most of which were synthesized using altered solid-phase peptide synthesis methods. Some examples of peptidomimetics include, D-amino acid substitutions, those with reduced and functionalized amide bonds, peptoids, urea peptidomimetics, peptide sulfonamides, oligocarbamates, partial or full retro-inverso peptides, azapeptides, β-peptides and N-modified peptides.

In this review, we summarize the main peptide-based therapeutics that have been described for SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, which target the S protein–ACE2 interaction and entry process. Some of these are modified peptides (lipidated) or some form of peptidomimetic. Our aim was to focus mainly on the S protein–ACE2-mediated entry events (which includes subsequent membrane fusion steps), and we briefly highlight other potential targets for peptide/peptidomimetic-based inhibition, such as viral proteases.

Investigations of peptide inhibitors targeting Spike-ACE2 interaction in COVID-19

Yan *et al.* [31] present cryo–electron microscopy structures of full-length human ACE2 with the RBD of the S protein of SARS-CoV-2, the RBD is recognized by the extracellular peptidase domain of ACE2 mainly through polar residues. These latest structural studies combined with previous research related to the RBD of SARS-CoV (2003) provide a basis for the development of therapeutics targeting this crucial interaction.

Another area of therapeutic interest is the targeting of the membrane fusion events. The S2 domain of the S protein, responsible triggering membrane fusion, includes the fusion peptide (FP), two heptad repeat regions (HR1 and HR2), a transmembrane (TM) domain and a short cytoplasmic (CP) tail (Figure 4). Following receptor binding, subsequent conformational changes in S2 creates a hydrophobic α -helical interface (six-helix bundle) as a result of binding between HR1 and HR2 regions [32]. The membrane fusion event is initiated when hydrophobic residues of the FP, insert in the host cell membrane. Due to the more conserved sequences between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 in the S2 domains, targeting SARS-CoV-2 entry (membrane fusion) could potentially have greater cross-functional success against coronavirus outbreaks.

Peptides derived from HR2 region were designed as SARS-CoV inhibitory peptides to disrupt fusion core formation. In studies examining SARS-CoV (2003) membrane fusion, exogenously-administered HR2 peptides blocked endogenous HR2 peptide binding with endogenous HR1 domains. The membrane fusion reaction was therefore inhibited, and pore formation was prevented. HR2-based antagonist peptides were also speculated to inhibit SARS-CoV-2, as suggested by the more highly conserved S2 subunits between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, with 92.6 and 100% overall identity in HR1 and HR2 domains, respectively. In early 2020, Xia *et al.* reported their studies with the derivatives of SARS-CoV-2 HR2 peptides [33]. The group designed HR1- and HR2-derived peptides, termed 2019-nCoV-HR1P (aa924–965) and 2019-nCoV-HR2P (aa1168–1203), respectively (Table 1), and together with known pan-CoV fusion inhibitor (EK1 peptide) [34], explored their biological characteristics.

Table 1. Major peptide therapeutic candidates in development for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.				
Potential peptide therapeutic	Target	Sequence/structure	Stage of development	Ref.
	SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)			
2019-nCoV-HR2P	HR1 domain of CoV S protein (MFM)	DISGINASVVNIQKEIDRLNEVAKNLNESLIDLQEL	Preclinical in vitro models	[33]
EK1	HR1 domain of CoV S protein (MFM)	SLDQINVTFLDLEYEMKLEEAIKLEESYIDLKEL	Preclinical in vitro models	[33]
EK1C4 (lipopeptide)	HR1 domain of CoV S protein (MFM)	(N)EK1-GSGSG-PEG4-(Chol)	Preclinical <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> models	[35]
IPB02	HR1 domain of CoV S protein (MFM)	ISGINASVVNIQKEIDRLNEVAKNLNESLIDLQELK(Chol)	Preclinical in vitro models	[36]
SBP1 (peptidase domain of ACE2)	S protein-RBD	IEEQAKTFLDKFNHEAEDLFYQS	Preclinical in vitro models	[37]
Inhibitor 1–4	S protein-RBD	ACE2-based peptides	Proposed in theory	[38]
	SARS-CoV (COVID-2003)			
EK1	HR2 domain of CoV S protein (MFM)	SLDQINVTFLDLEYEMKLEEAIKLEESYIDLKEL	Preclinical <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> models	[34]
HR1 and HR18	HR2 domain of S protein (MFM)	NGIGVTQNVLYENQKQIANQFNKAISQIQESLTTTSTA	Preclinical in vitro models	[39,40]
		IQKEIDRLNEVAKNLNESLIDLQELGK		
P6	S protein-RBD	EEQAKTFLDKFNHEAEDLFYQSSGLGKGDFR	Preclinical in vitro models	[41]
SARS WW-III SARS WW-IV	ACE2 receptor (MFM)	GYHLMSFPQAAP-HGVVFLHVTW	Preclinical in vitro models	[42]
(derived from S2 subunit)		GVFVFNGTSW-FITQRNFFS		
RBD-11b	ACE2 receptor	YKYRYL	Preclinical in vitro models	[43]
S ₄₇₁₋₅₀₃	ACE2 receptor	ALNCYWPLNDYGFYTTTGIGYQPYRWVLSFEL	Preclinical in vitro models	[44]
SP10	ACE2 receptor	STSQKSIVAYTM	Preclinical in vitro models	[45]
MFM: Membrane fusio	n mechanism.			

Using a SARS-CoV-2 S-mediated cell–cell fusion assay, the researchers demonstrated that 2019-nCoV-HR2P and EK1 exhibited potent fusion-inhibitory activity with an IC₅₀ of 0.18 and 0.19 μ M, while 2019-nCoV-HR1P showed no significant inhibitory activity at concentrations up to 40 μ M. Furthermore, both 2019-nCoV-HR2P and EK1 peptides showed significant inhibitory effect on SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection in ACE2-expressing 293T cells, with IC₅₀ values of 0.98 and 2.38 μ M, respectively.

Peptide-based inhibitors usually have a short half-life *in vivo*, which can critically limit their therapeutic effects. Emerging studies demonstrate that lipid-conjugated peptides exhibit dramatically increased antiviral potency and improved pharmacokinetics. To improve the inhibitory activity, Xia *et al.* applied conjugation chemistry to EK1, their work was published on 30th March in *Cell Research* [35]. Xia's group generated a series of lipopeptides by linking cholesterol (Chol) through an amino acid linker (GSG) and/or polyethylene glycol (PEG) spacer to the C-terminus of EK1. Structure–activity relationship analysis suggested that 'GSGSG-PEG4' linker was optimal to bridge both parts of the conjugates and identified that EK1C4 exhibited the most potent inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-2. EK1C4 was 241- and 149-fold more potent against SARS-CoV-2 S protein-mediated membrane fusion and pseudovirus infection with IC₅₀'s of 1.3 and 15.8 nM, respectively, as compared with EK1. EK1C4 has also been shown to be very effective against infection by SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and other HCoVs. *In vivo*, intranasally applied EK1C4 showed strong protection of mice against HCoV-OC43 infection. Results of preinfection and postinfection treatment suggested that EK1C4 could be used to prevent and treat SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Recently, Zhu *et al.* [36] designed an HR2 sequence-based lipopeptide fusion inhibitor, named IPB02, which showed high activities in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2. Inhibition by IPB02 on the SARS-CoV-2 S protein-mediated cell–cell fusion was determined by a dual split protein-based cell fusion assay with an IC₅₀ of 0.025 μ M; inhibition on SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection was confirmed by a single-cycle infection assay with IC₅₀ values of 0.08 μ M. By sequence truncation or extension, a group of lipopeptides around IPB02 was created. Structure activity relationship studies of IPB02 revealed the important roles of the N- and C-terminal amino acid motifs for their bindings and antiviral capacities. This group used circular dichroism spectroscopy to determine the structural properties of their cholesterol conjugated peptides. Circular dichroism results showed that the cholesterylated peptides exhibit significantly increased α -helical stability and target-binding affinity. This preprint report provides important

information for understanding the entry pathway of SARS-CoV-2 and represents an ideal candidate for future optimization.

SARS-CoV-2 initiates its entry into human cells by binding to ACE2 via the RBD of its S protein. Thus, disrupting initial SARS-CoV-2 RBD binding to ACE2 is another strategy to inhibit the virus from entering human cells. Peptide-based entry inhibitors are attractive candidates to inhibit the RBD–ACE2 interaction and may serve as an effective antiviral drugs. Recently, Zhang *et al.* [37] demonstrated that the ACE2 peptidase domain (PD) α 1 helix is important for binding SARS-CoV-2-RBD by using molecular dynamics simulations on the cocrystal structure of ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2-RBD [31]. The team chemically synthesized a natural 23-mer peptide (aa 21–43) from the human ACE2 (hACE2) α 1 helix, termed SBP1. Kinetic binding assays using bio-layer interferometry was used to characterize the *in vitro* peptide–protein binding affinity. The results revealed that SBP1 strongly bound to SARS-CoV-2 RBD with a disassociation constant (K_D) of 47 nM, which was comparable with that of the full-length hACE2 [46]. SBP1 is a first-in-class peptide binder to SARS-CoV-2-RBD, which may effectively disrupt the SARS-CoV-2–RBD/ACE2 interaction and block virus entry into human cells. However, the peptide needs to be tested in human cells and in animal models of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Some researchers are using computer simulations to identify promising small molecules or peptides before conducting actual experiments in the lab. Applying computer-aided drug design techniques has emerged as an efficient means to quickly search potential therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2.

Han et al. [38] recently published a study in the journal ACS Nano that described how to use computer modeling to design compounds that mimic the spike protein's natural target, ACE2. Using a recently solved X-ray crystal structure of the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 when it is bound to ACE2, researchers identified 15 amino acids from ACE2 that interact directly with the viral S protein. Then, four peptides (listed as inhibitors 1-4 in Table 1.) containing this domain, or parts thereof, were designed and encompassed two sequential α -helices extracted from the PD of ACE2. Molecular dynamic simulations revealed that the double α -helical peptides (such as inhibitors 2-4) were structurally stable and conformationally fitted to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2. Proposed in the report, at least one peptide inhibitor was able to specifically bind to the spike protein and prevent SARS-CoV-2-RBD/ACE2 interactions. Barh et al. [47] and Huang et al. [48] also shared their initial computational findings in preprints posted on preprints and BioRxiv, respectively. Using bioinformatics strategies, Barh et al. screened and designed several potential anti-S protein peptides that may limit viral attachment and entry. Binding to SARS-CoV-2 S protein RBD with the peptides was determined by the HPEPDOCK protein-peptide docking server. Ten peptides were predicted as attractive therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2. Huang's group designed thousands of peptide binders that showed binding activity to SARS-CoV-2 in computational experiments. To enhance peptides' binding affinity to SARS-CoV-2 RBD, researchers redesigned the peptide sequence by applying structural bioinformatics and sequence logo analyses. The top designed peptide binders were selected to run further experimental validations. Thus, computational approaches may lead us to find potential inhibitory peptides against SARS-CoV-2, but in vitro, and in vivo experiments are still required to evaluate and ensure their potential therapeutic efficacy.

Peptide-based antagonists from SARS-CoV (2003)

From research performed during the first coronavirus outbreak in 2003, several peptide-based antagonists were also developed and tested and laid much of the groundwork for antagonists developed and studied during the current 2019–2020 pandemic (i.e., EK1). It seems, however, that none have made it into clinical trials or have been further advanced. Whether this occurred because of lack of funding, interest or unpublished negative results in additional studies in unknown. Below we summarize the main peptide-based antagonists targeting the ACE2–S protein interaction from the 2003 epidemic, which are listed in Table 1.

Heptad repeat regions (HR1 and HR2) of the S protein in SARS-CoV were identified as essential elements for viral entry. They help the SARS-CoV virus attach to the ACE2 receptor; this process leads to membrane fusion of the virus and the host cell. Xu *et al.* [39] studied the crystal structure of the SARS-CoV S protein fusion core and identified HR2-18 peptide, which comprises residues 1161–1187, derived from the HR2 region and binds to the relatively deep grooves on the surface of the central coiled coil. In another study, Yuan *et al.* [40] designed and identified as inhibitors, with EC₅₀ values of 0.14 and 1.1 μ M, respectively. The potency of these peptides was studied by wild-type SARS-CoV assay, and only one peptide, HR2-18, was found to inhibit the entry of the SARS-CoV with low activity. As expected, varying the amino acid sequences, addition or deletion of amino acid units found to be detrimental for the inhibition activity. On the other side, Han *et al.* [41] performed alanine-scanning

mutagenesis analysis to identify then design peptides that resembled residues critical for entry mediated by the ACE2 receptor. They tested the activity of six synthetic peptides analogous to significant segments in ACE2. P6, which is a peptide comprised two discontinuous fragments, aa 22–44 and 351–357 connected by glycine (Table 1). This peptide potently inhibited SARS pseudovirus infection with an IC₅₀ of approximately 0.1 μ M. However, this peptide has not been studied in an animal model yet. Sainz *et al.* [42] studied and identified novel peptides just outside the HR sequences between the N- and the C-helical HR domains. Two peptides labeled SARSWW-III and SARSWW-IV were recognized within the S2 subunit corresponding to the aa residues 1028–1049 and 1075–1093, respectively. Based on the Wimley–White interfacial hydrophobicity scale (WWIHS), both peptides show potent inhibition for the viral infectivity with 5.7 and 7.1 points, respectively. The authors reason the inhibition effect to conformational changes required by the S2 protein during the fusion event. This group demonstrated that SARSWW-III and SARSWW-IV inhibited viral plaque formation on Vero E6 cells by 90 and 83%, respectively, at peptide concentrations of ~30 μ M. Such findings establish solid foundations for the development of new fusion peptide inhibitors related to the HR-adjacent regions. These studies spotlight the HR region as a critical target for the viral entry. These initial investigations have laid the groundwork for current research in targeting membrane fusion related sequences in the S2 region that could be potent viral entry inhibitors or significant functional probes.

In a quest for potent small molecules that inhibit the SARS-CoV entry to the human cells, Struck *et al.* [43] recognized the focal point of the viral protein that is necessary for the binding to the human cells. They identified RDB-11b (Table 1), a hexapeptide (438YKYRYL443) in the RBD of the S protein of SARS-CoV that binds to the human cell with recognizable affinity and specificity. RDB-11b found to reduce the viral infection of the epithelial cells in the upper respiratory tract by a factor of 600. In a comprehensive screening for viral entry inhibitor peptides of the SARS-CoV BJ01, Hu *et al.* [44] recognized S₄₇₁₋₅₀₃, a peptide that overlaps the RBD of SARS-CoV. This peptide inhibits the viral entry by blocking the binding between the S protein RBD and the ACE2 receptor with high specificity. S₄₇₁₋₅₀₃ could inhibit the SARS-CoV infection of Vero cells *in vitro* with an IC₅₀ of 4.0 μ M (with an EC₅₀ value of 41.6 μ M). After a few months, Ho *et al.* [45] reported another inhibitory peptide derived from S protein, named SP-10 (aa residues 668–679). Using a biotinylated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, SP-10 was found to significantly inhibit the binding of SARS-CoV S protein to ACE2, with an IC₅₀ value of 1.88 \pm 0.52 nM. Further analysis by immunofluorescence assays and S protein-pseudotyped retrovirus infectivity indicated that SP-10 can block both interactions of S protein and the infectivity of S protein-pseudotyped retrovirus with Vero E6 cells. These peptide-based inhibitors provided the theoretical basis for the work done on SARS-CoV-2 and have the potential to lead to potent cell fusion inhibitors against coronaviruses.

Other potential peptide therapeutics for SARS-CoV-2

As previously mentioned, endogenous ACE2 peptide substrates are also possible candidates for peptide-based therapeutics, however, there has been some controversy with their potential use and side effects [49]. Recombinant human ACE-2 (RhACE2) as a therapeutic for active disease was being tested in a small Phase II clinical trial to evaluate its efficacy in SARS-Co-V-2 in China earlier this year, however the study was withdrawn for unspecified reasons (NCT04287686). This protein (RhACE2-APN01) is now being tested in Phase II at multiple sites in three countries (Germany, Denmark and Austria) with initial results expected by September 2020 (NCT04335136).

Proteases involved in both viral entry (host TMPRSS) and viral replication/transcription (3C-like protease M or M^{pro}) have also been studied as a potential target for SARS-CoV-2 therapeutics [9,50]. One group has also found previously FDA-approved drugs that target viral M^{pro} inhibition that have shown some promise in preclinical and clinical studies [51], while others have worked on peptidomimetic candidates that target M^{pro} with some success [52]. All of these targets and therapeutics require further investigation and optimization but seem to also have significant potential in SARS-CoV-2.

Discussion & conclusion

In the immediate future, repurposed drugs and vaccines will be the first line of defense against COVID-19 [53], however drug resistance and other issues with vaccines (use in immunocompromised or immune deficient individuals) should allow room for development of therapeutics targeting other pathways. Peptide-based therapeutics present several advantages over traditional small-molecule drugs, including increased specificity and both cost and time efficiency in synthesis. Although monoclonal antibodies also achieve this increased specificity, they require more costly and labor-intensive synthesis methods. In addition, there has been some controversy over possible antibodymediated viral entry mechanisms, which could exacerbate disease [54]. The significance of the ACE2–S protein interaction in SARS-CoV-2 disease is currently under investigation using soluble RhACE2 (APN01) in Phase II clinical studies overseas. Other preclinical studies with S protein-targeted neutralizing antibodies and peptide-based inhibitors reviewed herein also provide strong evidence for the significance of this target in SARS-CoV-2. Going forward, preclinical efforts for screening of peptide-based inhibitors should be performed in *in vitro* models [43], before being tested in mice with humanized ACE2 [55], leading to further therapeutic development.

Future perspective

The field of peptidomimetics has opened a new door for the field of peptide-based therapeutics. The potential for equivalent or even enhanced biological efficacy, extended bioavailability, and highly efficient synthesis and screening mechanisms, positions these drug candidates as attractive options for development in disease outbreak situations. The COVID-19 pandemic has benefitted from much of the research knowledge gained during the previous 2003 SARS-CoV outbreak. Similarities and differences among these two related viruses (SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2) in specific biological interactions at the peptide level can be used to develop peptidomimetic-based drug candidates to target the viral spike (S) protein-host receptor (ACE2) interaction.

Executive summary

Potential of peptide-based therapeutics in disease & pandemic situations

- Rapid, effective and cost efficient solutions are needed. Drug repurposing and immunotherapies (including passive and active immunization) are the first line of defense and lessons learned from these strategies assist the efficient design and development of peptide-based therapeutics.
- COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2
- Lessons learned from related coronaviruses including SARS-CoV (2003) have been applied to the current pandemic. Detailed structural analyses of the surface viral spike (5) protein and the main host entry receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2), have been used to develop potential peptide-based therapeutics. Further studies in animal models and clinical settings are now underway.

Peptidomimetics

• These synthetically modified peptides have great potential for advancement due to their enhanced stability and bioavailability. Several S protein- and ACE2-targeted peptidomimetics have been developed and are in various stages of development and validation.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

Y Al-Abed, KF Cheng and A Altiti are on patents for several peptide-based therapeutics and a peptide-based therapeutics synthesis platform. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest; •• of considerable interest

- 1. Ksiazek TG, Erdman D, Goldsmith CS *et al.* A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 348(20), 1953–1966 (2003).
- 2. Rota PA, Oberste MS, Monroe SS *et al.* Characterization of a novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Science* 300(5624), 1394–1399 (2003).
- 3. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 367(19), 1814–1820 (2012).
- 4. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature 579(7798), 265-269 (2020).
- •• One of the first publications which describes SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19.
- 5. Menachery VD, Yount BL Jr, Debbink K *et al.* A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. *Nat. Med.* 21(12), 1508–1513 (2015).
- 6. Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL *et al.* Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. *Nature* 495(7440), 251–254 (2013).
- 7. Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N *et al.* Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature* 426(6965), 450–454 (2003).

- Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wu L et al. Structural and functional basis of SARS-CoV-2 entry by using human ACE2. Cell 181(4), 894–904 e9 (2020).
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 181(2), 271–280 e278 (2020).

• Provides primary data and hypotheses on viral entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2.

- 10. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X *et al.* Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat. Commun.* 11(1), 1620 (2020).
- Jeffers SA, Tusell SM, Gillim-Ross L et al. CD209L (L-SIGN) is a receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101(44), 15748–15753 (2004).
- 12. Watanabe M, Omahdi Z, Yamasaki S. Direct binding analysis between C-type lectins and glycans using immunoglobulin receptor fusion proteins. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2132, 119–128 (2020).
- 13. Kirchdoerfer RN, Cottrell CA, Wang N *et al.* Pre-fusion structure of a human coronavirus spike protein. *Nature* 531(7592), 118–121 (2016).
- 14. Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. *Cell* 181(2), 281–292 e286 (2020).
- 15. Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N *et al.* Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature* 426(6965), 450–454 (2003).
- 16. Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 579(7798), 270–273 (2020).
- 17. Du L, He Y, Zhou Y, Liu S, Zheng BJ, Jiang S. The spike protein of SARS-CoV-a target for vaccine and therapeutic development. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7(3), 226–236 (2009).
- Tai W, He L, Zhang X *et al.* Characterization of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of 2019 novel coronavirus: implication for development of RBD protein as a viral attachment inhibitor and vaccine. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 17(6), 613–620 (2020).
- 19. Tang T, Bidon M, Jaimes JA, Whittaker GR, Daniel S. Coronavirus membrane fusion mechanism offers a potential target for antiviral development. *Antiviral Res.* 178, 104792 (2020).
- 20. Vickers C, Hales P, Kaushik V *et al.* Hydrolysis of biological peptides by human angiotensin-converting enzyme-related carboxypeptidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(17), 14838–14843 (2002).
- Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis ML, Lely AT, Navis G, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J. Pathol. 203(2), 631–637 (2004).
- 22. Perrotta F, Matera MG, Cazzola M, Bianco A. Severe respiratory SARS-CoV2 infection: does ACE2 receptor matter? *Respir. Med.* 168, 105996 (2020).
- 23. Wang C, Li W, Drabek D et al. A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat. Commun. 11(1), 2251 (2020).
- 24. Tian X, Li C, Huang A *et al.* Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a SARS coronavirus-specific human monoclonal antibody. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 9(1), 382–385 (2020).
- 25. Jarvis LM. The new drugs of 2019. In: Chemical & Engineering News. American Chemical Society, 98(3), 30 (2020).
- 26. Vigneaud Vd, Ressler C, Swan CJM, Roberts CW, Katsoyannis PG, Gordon S. The synthesis of an octapeptide amide with the hormonal activity of oxytocin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 75(19), 4879–4880 (1953).
- 27. Merrifield RB. Solid phase peptide synthesis. I. The Synthesis of a Tetrapeptide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85(14), 2149-2154 (1963).
- 28. Vagner J, Qu H, Hruby VJ. Peptidomimetics, a synthetic tool of drug discovery. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 12(3), 292-296 (2008).
- 29. Lenci E, Trabocchi A. Peptidomimetic toolbox for drug discovery. Chem.Soc. Rev. 49(11), 3262-3277 (2020).
- This tutorial review outlines useful tools for peptidomimetic design from local modifications to secondary structure mimetics, with selected successful application examples of peptidomimetics in drug discovery.
- Avan I, Hall CD, Katritzky AR. Peptidomimetics via modifications of amino acids and peptide bonds. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43(10), 3575–3594 (2014).
- 31. Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science* 367(6485), 1444–1448 (2020).
- Millet JK, Whittaker GR. Physiological and molecular triggers for SARS-CoV membrane fusion and entry into host cells. *Virology* 517, 3–8 (2018).
- 33. Xia S, Zhu Y, Liu M *et al.* Fusion mechanism of 2019-nCoV and fusion inhibitors targeting HR1 domain in spike protein. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 17(7), 765–767 (2020).
- 34. Xia S, Yan L, Xu W *et al.* A pan-coronavirus fusion inhibitor targeting the HR1 domain of human coronavirus spike. *Sci. Adv.* 5(4), eaav4580 (2019).

- 35. Xia S, Liu M, Wang C *et al.* Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 (previously 2019-nCoV) infection by a highly potent pan-coronavirus fusion inhibitor targeting its spike protein that harbors a high capacity to mediate membrane fusion. *Cell Res.* 30(4), 343–355 (2020).
- The authors report EK1C4 as an effective inhibitor of SARS-CoV's, this inhibitor is a leading preclinical therapeutic in SARS-CoV-2.
- 36. Zhu Y, Yu D, Yan H, Chong H, He Y. Design of potent membrane fusion inhibitors against SARS-CoV-2, an emerging coronavirus with high fusogenic activity. *J. Virol.* 94(14), e00635–20 (2020).
- 37. Zhang G, Pomplun S, Loftis AR, Loas A, Pentelute BL. The first-in-class peptide binder to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *bioRxiv* 2020.2003.2019.999318 (2020) (Epub ahead of print).
- 38. Han Y, Král P. Computational design of ACE2-based peptide inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2. ACS Nano 14(4), 5143-5147 (2020).
- The authors used computer modeling to design potential inhibitors which could potentially be able to specifically bind to the spike protein and prevent SARS-CoV-2-RBD/ACE2 interactions. The strategy published in this paper provides an initial approach to find antiviral candidates.
- 39. Xu Y, Lou Z, Liu Y *et al.* Crystal structure of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein fusion core. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279(47), 49414–49419 (2004).
- Yuan K, Yi L, Chen J et al. Suppression of SARS-CoV entry by peptides corresponding to heptad regions on spike glycoprotein. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 319(3), 746–752 (2004).
- 41. Han DP, Penn-Nicholson A, Cho MW. Identification of critical determinants on ACE2 for SARS-CoV entry and development of a potent entry inhibitor. *Virology* 350(1), 15–25 (2006).
- The authors used computer modeling to design potential inhibitors which could potentially be able to specifically bind to the spike protein to prevent SARS-CoV-2–RBD/ACE2 interactions. The strategy published in this paper provides a quick approach to find antiviral candidates.
- 42. Sainz B Jr, Mossel EC, Gallaher WR *et al.* Inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) infectivity by peptides analogous to the viral spike protein. *Virus Res.* 120(1–2), 146–155 (2006).
- 43. Struck AW, Axmann M, Pfefferle S, Drosten C, Meyer B. A hexapeptide of the receptor-binding domain of SARS corona virus spike protein blocks viral entry into host cells via the human receptor ACE2. *Antiviral Res.* 94(3), 288–296 (2012).
- 44. Hu H, Li L, Kao RY *et al.* Screening and identification of linear B-cell epitopes and entry-blocking peptide of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus using synthetic overlapping peptide library. *J. Comb. Chem.* 7(5), 648–656 (2005).
- 45. Ho TY, Wu SL, Chen JC *et al.* Design and biological activities of novel inhibitory peptides for SARS-CoV spike protein and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 interaction. *Antiviral Res.* 69(2), 70–76 (2006).
- 46. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science 367(6483), 1260 (2020).
- 47. Barh D, Tiwari S, Silva Andrade B, *et al.* Potential chimeric peptides to block the SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD. Preprints, 2020040347 doi: 10.20944/preprints202004.0347.v1 (2020).
- Huang X, Pearce R, Zhang Y. Computational design of peptides to block binding of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to human ACE2. *bioRxiv* 2020.2003.2028.013607 (2020) (Epub ahead of prin).
- 49. Busse LW, Chow JH, McCurdy MT, Khanna AK. COVID-19 and the RAAS-a potential role for angiotensin II? *Crit. Care* 24(1), 136 (2020).
- 50. Jin Z, Du X, Xu Y et al. Structure of M(pro) from COVID-19 virus and discovery of its inhibitors. Nature 582(7811), 289-293 (2020).
- 51. Kandeel M, Al-Nazawi M. Virtual screening and repurposing of FDA approved drugs against COVID-19 main protease. *Life Sci.* 251, 117627 (2020).
- 52. Zhang L, Lin D, Sun X *et al.* Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved alpha-ketoamide inhibitors. *Science* 368(6489), 409–412 (2020).
- 53. Amawi H, Abu Deiab GI, AA AA, Dua K, Tambuwala MM. COVID-19 pandemic: an overview of epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnostics and potential vaccines and therapeutics. *Ther. Deliv.* 11(4), 245–268 (2020).
- A well-written general review on many aspects of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19.
- 54. Jaume M, Yip MS, Cheung CY *et al.* Anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike antibodies trigger infection of human immune cells via a pH- and cysteine protease-independent FcyR pathway. *J. Virol.* 85(20), 10582–10597 (2011).
- 55. McCray PB Jr, Pewe L, Wohlford-Lenane C *et al.* Lethal infection of K18-hACE2 mice infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *J. Virol.* 81(2), 813–821 (2007).