# **Chemical Science**



## EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2025, 16, 329

**C** All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 2nd October 2024 Accepted 12th November 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc06673a

rsc.li/chemical-science

### 1. Introduction

Electrolysis of water powered by renewable energy constitutes a sustainable strategy for the production of transportable and green hydrogen  $(H_2)$ <sup>1,2</sup> Apart from considering the expense of electrolyzers and the intermittence of renewable electricity, a supply of freshwater may be a practical problem for deploying large-scale electrocatalytic  $H_2$  production.<sup>3,4</sup> If saline water, particularly seawater—which constitutes nearly 96.5% of Earth's total water resources—could serve as an ideal feedstock for electrocatalytic  $H_2$  production, it would help alleviate the shortage of freshwater resources. While the transformation of seawater to  $H_2$  is an intriguing prospect, chloride ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>) and their derivatives (such as  $Cl_2$  or HClO/ClO<sup>-</sup>) can significantly accelerate the corrosion or deactivation of electrocatalysts. This occurs through surface adsorption or coordination mechanisms, especially during the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) associated with seawater splitting.<sup>5,6</sup> Moreover, the standard thermodynamic voltage of OER is 0.48 V lower than that of the chlorine oxidation reaction (ClOR) at  $pH > 7.5$ . This means that superior water splitting performance can significantly suppress Cl<sup>−</sup> transformation into Cl<sub>2</sub> or HClO/ClO<sup>−</sup>. Even if the

# Modulating built-in electric field via Br induced partial phase transition for robust alkaline freshwater and seawater electrolysis†

Lei Jin[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1744-4180) Hui Xu,  $\mathbb{D}^*$  Kun Wang, Yang Liu, Xingyue Qian, Haiqun Chen  $\mathbb{D}^*$ and Guangyu He\*

Repulsing Cl<sup>−</sup> to reduce its negative effects during seawater electrolysis is a promising strategy to quard against the corrosion of high-valence metal sites. Herein, we synthesized  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  by a facile Brinduced partial in situ phase transition strategy. This Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P possessed intensified built-in electric field (BEF) due to large work function difference ( $\Delta\Phi$ ), demonstrating outstanding OER and HER activity in alkaline freshwater/seawater solution and exhibiting a low cell voltage for an anion exchange membrane water electrolyzer (AEMWE) system. Both experiments and theoretical results verify that the interfacial charge redistribution induced by the enhanced BEF optimizes the adsorption strength for the intermediates. Moreover, the appropriate phosphorus–oxygen anion self-transformation can protect the NiOOH active species from corrosion by repulsing Cl<sup>−</sup> in alkaline seawater. This work not only proposes a fresh perception of the water/seawater splitting mechanism but also provides new design principles to defend active sites in seawater-to- $H_2$  conversion systems.

> electrode shows excellent activity in inhibiting Cl− oxidation, Cl− ions themselves can etch electron-poor transition metals, leading to metal leaching and a deterioration in performance.<sup>7,8</sup> Therefore, the exploration and construction of catalysts that suppress the loss of reaction sites to maintain high seawater splitting activity and optimize adsorption behaviors for intermediates to safeguard the electrode against Cl− attack are of paramount importance.

> The exquisite construction of a built-in electric field (BEF) between two hetero substances with a difference in Fermi level, would be a promising way to manipulate the electronic state of active sites and stabilize the surficial dynamical adsorption balance.<sup>9,10</sup> For example, a Co LDH/Cu<sub>3</sub>P composite can accelerate charge transport and improve active sites due to an enhanced built-in potential  $(E_{\text{BI}})$ .<sup>11</sup> Zhang *et al.* have constructed  $N-Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>/CoP$  nanowires with a strong BEF for hydrazineassisted  $H_2$  production.<sup>12</sup> Very recently, Xu et al. demonstrated that the enhanced BEF at the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/NiCoP$  interface facilitates the surface transformation of NiCoP into NiCoOOH active species, exhibiting high freshwater and seawater oxidation activity.<sup>13</sup> Essentially, the constructed BEF can facilitate the division of positive and negative charges, inducing their separation in opposite directions at the heterointerface to accelerate regional charge polarization.<sup>14</sup> Consequently, manipulating the features of the BEF can achieve an asymmetric distribution of charge that is promising for realizing the stable adsorption of intermediates on the heterointerface or active sites.<sup>15</sup> However, while coupling transition metal phosphides (TMPs) with other components (nitrides or sulfides), charge carriers would



Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials and Technology, Advanced Catalysis and Green Manufacturing Collaborative Innovation Center, Changzhou University, Changzhou, Jiangsu Province 213164, China. E-mail: xuhui006@cczu.edu.cn; hegy@ cczu.edu.cn; chenhq@cczu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc06673a>

experience severe localization due to the overlap of the electron cloud across the heterointerface, where the electrons are completely delocalized via the metal whereas the protons are still confined and greatly weaken the BEF.<sup>16,17</sup> Fortunately, by manipulating the difference in the work function  $(\Delta \Phi)$  between TMP and other substances, the charge transfer direction across the heterointerface can be controlled.<sup>18</sup> Based on the above discussion, it is rational and promising to simultaneously regulate the adsorption behaviors for intermediates by exquisitely designing the appropriate components with suitable work functions to control and modulate the BEF. Moreover, revealing the relationship between BEF and  $\Delta\Phi$  and its effect on catalytic activity and selectivity is important.

Herein, for the first time, we have exquisitely designed and manipulated the Br-induced partial in situ phase transition from  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  to  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  under the phosphorization process, which strongly influences the  $\Delta\Phi$ . Specifically, Fe<sub>2</sub>P/  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , with a large  $\Delta\Phi$  (0.5 eV) compared with Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (0.3 eV), demonstrates an enhanced BEF, as confirmed by various electrochemical tests. Furthermore, in situ/ex situ spectroscopic investigations confirm that the enhanced BEF plays an important role in subtly tailoring the intermediates and phosphate absorption strength, which is essential for water and seawater splitting. As a result, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  catalyst reveals remarkable OER and HER activity with low overpotentials of 196 mV and 108 mV for freshwater and 229, 203 mV for seawater, respectively, and can be used in an anion exchange membrane water electrolyzer (AEMWE) with low cell voltage. This work paves the way for synthesizing bifunctional water-splitting electrocatalysts by exquisitely designing and modulating the interfacial BEF.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1 Synthesis of NiFe LDH

First, 0.582 g of Ni $(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$ , 0.404 g of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.841 g of  $C_6H_{12}N_4$  were weighed and fully dissolved in a Teflon autoclave with 45 mL of ethanol solution, and stirred for 2 h. After reaction for 12 h at 120  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ , a brown product (NiFe LDH) was obtained by centrifugation with ethanol and dried at 60 °C all night.

#### 2.2 Synthesis of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$

The as-prepared NiFe LDH precursors, a small amount of hexabromobenzene (HBB) and 300 mg of  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  were placed in three separate positions in a ceramic boat inside a tube furnace, where  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  was at the midstream of the gas flow, NiFe LDH was placed on the downstream side, and one piece of HBB flake (pressurized at 14 MPa at room temperature) was located upstream. Then the temperature was raised to 350  $\degree$ C for 2 h. After cooling down to room temperature, a black product consisting of thick  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  nanosheets was obtained. For the synthesis of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , the same synthetic method was adopted as for the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  sample without the addition of HBB flake.

#### 2.3 Material characterizations and electrochemical methods

The material characterizations and electrochemical methods are documented in detail in the ESI.†

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Material synthesis and characterization

In general, thermodynamic stability can be altered when materials are doped with heteroatoms. Bromine, being more electronegative than phosphorus, was chosen as a candidate to induce the formation of a P-poor phase. The relatively large size of Br favors the creation of phosphorus vacancies due to the strain field it generates.<sup>19,20</sup> As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1, an  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  catalyst could be successfully synthesized by a twostep route. Firstly, NiFe LDH nanosheets with a large surface area were obtained via an appropriate solvothermal pathway. Next, the NiFe LDH was completely transformed into  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ (the ratio of  $P/Ni = 0.8$ ) *via* a thermal phosphorization process at 350 °C. However,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  adopts the bulk nanosheet structure, which may be attributed to the introduction of molten  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2$  salt gas with high viscosity, causing the NiFe LDH to agglomerate easily. In addition, during the process of synthesizing  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , a small amount of Br atoms and more P atoms first form an Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>4−x</sub> intermediate. Subsequently, the remaining P atoms struggle with Br and consume each other. Eventually Br atoms are completely replaced by P atoms, causing a partial phase transition, thus forming a Ppoor-phase  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P (P/Ni = 0.5)$  catalyst.

The morphology of the as-prepared catalysts was characterized via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As illustrated in Fig. 2a and S1,† the NiFe LDH exhibits a nanosheet morphology. After being subjected to a phosphorylation process, both with and without Br atoms, the synthesized  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  catalysts display a bulk nanosheet structure, attributed to the introduction of molten  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2$  salt gas with high viscosity, causing the NiFe LDH to agglomerate easily (Fig. 2b and c). Moreover, the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images in Fig. 2d–f and S2a–c† show that both  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  contain common clear lattice fringes with an approximate spacing of 0.17 nm (Fig. 2e, e-1, S2c and c-1†) that are indexed to the (300) crystal plane of



Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the formation of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/$  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P.$ 



Fig. 2 TEM images of (a) NiFe LDH, (b)  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  and (c)  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . (d-f) High-resolution TEM images of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , integrated pixel intensities (e-1 and f-1) of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$  and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  (taken from the green dotted rectangles in (e) and (f)). (g) SAED pattern of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . (h) Elemental mapping images of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P.

Fe2P. While, two clear lattice fringes with approximate spacings of 0.2 (Fig. 2f and f-1) and 0.22 nm (Fig. S2b and b-1†) are indexed to the (201) and (210) crystal planes of  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  and  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  in the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> catalysts, respectively, confirming the successful fabrication of the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> structures. $21-24$  These results can be further confirmed via selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (Fig. 2g and S2d†). Moreover, the EDS analysis of the as-synthesized Fe<sub>2</sub>P/ Ni2P proved that Ni, Fe, and P were the main elemental components with Ni: Fe: P: Br mass ratios of 1: 1.04: 2.73: 0 (Fig. S3†), which may be ascribed to a small amount of Br atoms and more P atoms first forming an Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>4−x</sub> intermediate, and the remaining P atoms struggle with Br atoms and consume each other. Eventually, the Br is replaced by P, causing a phase transition. This result strongly proves that the Br atoms play a brief intervention role in synthesizing  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ /  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . The element mapping images of the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  catalysts also confirmed the uniform dispersion of the elements of Fe, Ni and P (Fig. 2h and S2f†).

To verify this portion-limited phase-transition mechanism, X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of NiFe LDH,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were recorded first. As shown in Fig. S4,† four prominent peaks can be observed at approximately 33.5°, 34.4°, 59.9° 61.3°, attributed to the (110), (012), (101) and (113) crystal planes of NiFe LDH (JCPDS no. 40-0215), respectively.<sup>25</sup> Notably, the peaks located at 40.3°, 44.2°, 47.3°, 54.1° and 54.6° belonging to the (111), (201), (210), (300) and (211) crystal planesof Fe<sub>2</sub>P (JCPDS no. 51-0943), respecitvely, which can be observed in both  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  samples,<sup>26</sup> while peaks located at approximately 31.5°, 36.1°, 40.6°, 41.4°, 43.9°, 45.1°, 47.8°, 53.9° and 56.4° belong to the (201), (104), (210), (211), (212), (204), (213), (220) and (310) crystalline planes of  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (JCPDS no. 18-0883), respectively.<sup>23</sup> However, after a brief intervention by the Br atoms, the  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  phase disappears and

new peaks located at 40.8°, 44.6°, 47.3°, 54.2°, 54.9° and 74.7° correspondly ascribed to the (111) (201), (210), (300), (211) and (400) crystal planes of  $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}$  (JCPDS no. 03-0953) can be found (Fig. 3a).<sup>27</sup> The above results confirm the successful synthesis of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , and also strongly verify the phase transition from  $\text{Ni}_5\text{P}_4$  to  $\text{Ni}_2\text{P}$ . Moreover, to reveal the structural characteristics and bonding properties of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/$  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , the Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were measured. As illustrated in Fig. 3b, two dominant absorption bands of M–P at 920 and 1024  $cm^{-1}$  can be observed, and one broad band at 551  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is ascribed to bending vibration of the  $v_4$  (O–P–O) bond.<sup>28–30</sup> Furthermore, to reveal the role of the portion-limited phase transition in tuning the electronic structure, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  were documented (Fig. 3c). The relatively large size of Br favors the formation of abundant P vacancies due to the strain field. Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P displays a pair of prominent signals, suggesting the existence of abundant unpaired electrons derived from dangling bonds in the portionlimited phase-transition structure compared with  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , also confirming that it may form an  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>4-x</sub>$  intermediate first and then phase change into  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , or directly form  $Fe_2P/Ni_2PBr_{1-x}$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4Br_{4-x}$ . However, the XRD proves the phase transition from  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  to  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ , and EDS demonstrates that there are no Br atoms. Thus, it is directly proved that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>4-x</sub>$  is formed first and then converted into Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P, instead of directly forming Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>PBr<sub>1-x</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>4−x</sub>.<sup>19,20,31</sup> In fact, numerous dangling bonds offer more accessible active sites for electrochemical water splitting.<sup>32</sup> Accordingly, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to reveal the chemical composition and bonding configuration of  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$ . The XPS full spectra



Fig. 3 (a) XRD patterns of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . (b) FT-IR spectra of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P. (c) EPR spectra of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/ Ni2P. High-resolution XPS spectra of (d) Fe 2p, (e) Ni 2p and (f) P 2p in  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . (g) UPS spectra of Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P. (h) Energy-band alignment diagram of  $Ni_5P_4$  and  $Ni_2P$  with respect to Fe2P. (i) Schematic diagram of the BEF based on the interfaces between Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P.

(Fig. S5a†) reveal the coexistence of Fe, Ni and P elements, which correspond to the above elemental mapping.<sup>33</sup> As shown in the high-resolution Fe 2p spectrum (Fig. 3d), for  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$ , the prominent peak at 705.9 eV belongs to Fe–P, while three peak doublets located at (710.6 and 723.5 eV), (713.2 and 726.7 eV), and (717.2 and 730.6 eV), consistent with  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}$ , and satellite signals, respectively. The peaks of Fe 2p display a slight positive shift compared with  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , demonstrating greater electron transfer from Fe to the P atom.<sup>34</sup> Likewise, three pairs of peaks corresponding to satellite peaks (861.1, 879.9 eV), Ni–O (855.9, 874.3 eV), and Ni–P (852.7, 870.1 eV) are also observed in the high-resolution Ni 2p spectra (Fig. 3e), which exhibit a remarkable positive shift (about  $1.0 \text{ eV}$ ), implying a reduction in charge density around the metal atom.<sup>18</sup> Meanwhile, for P 2p orbitals, the peaks located at 129.1, 130.0 and 133.6 eV in Fig. 3f are ascribed to P  $2p_{3/2}$ , P  $2p_{1/2}$  and the P–O bonding state, respectively.<sup>35</sup> Compared with Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, the peaks of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  exhibit a slight negative shift (about 0.42 eV), indicating more accumulated electrons on P due to the Br and P consuming each other and thus introducing abundant P vacancies.<sup>36</sup> These shifts confirm the abundant electron transfer from the Ni atom to the P atom compared with the Fe atom. In order to balance the charge, the Fe atom spontaneously transfers partial electrons to the Ni atom, which further confirms the electron transfers from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$  to Ni<sub>2</sub>P, thus signifying the formation of a BEF with electron-rich Ni atoms and electronpoor Fe atoms, optimizing the adsorption energy of the intermediate in the water-splitting process.

Generally, the charge transfer direction is closely correlated with the difference  $\Delta\Phi$  in the semiconductor heterostructure.<sup>37,38</sup> Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was undertaken to analyze the values for  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ ,  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . As illustrated in Fig. 3g and Table S1,† the  $\Phi$  value of Ni<sub>2</sub>P is increased by 0.8 eV compared with  $\text{Ni}_5\text{P}_4$ , suggesting that a brief intervention by the Br atom can decrease the Fermi level. Accordingly, the measured  $\Phi$  values of Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P are 5.92, 6.22, and 6.72 eV, respectively (Fig. 3h). Compared with a relatively small  $\Delta\Phi$  of 0.3 eV at the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> heterogeneous interface, the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P interface possesses a relatively large  $\Delta \Phi$ of 0.5 eV. Moreover, the Mott–Schottky (M–S) plots of  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$  and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were calculated to construct energy diagrams. As shown in Fig. S5b-d, $\dagger$  Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P possess a positive slope, indicating that these catalysts are n-type semiconductors, and the flat band potentials ( $E_{FB}$ ) of Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P can be tested to be 0.64, 0.59 and 0.66 (vs. Hg/HgO), respectively; thus, the conduction band potential  $(E_{CB})$  values of Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P can be calculated as 0.64, 0.59 and 0.66 V vs. NHE ( $E_{\text{NHE}}$  =  $E_{\text{Hg/HgO}}$  + 0.098 V).<sup>39,40</sup> Furthermore, the valence band ( $E_{\text{VB}}$ ) maximum values were measured as 3.96, 2.47 and 3.67 eV for  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Ni<sub>2</sub>P, respectively. Therefore, the band gap ( $E<sub>g</sub>$ ) values of  $Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , Fe<sub>2</sub>P and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were calculated as 3.32 eV, 1.88 eV and 1.5 eV by using the formula:  $E_{VB} = E_{CB} + E_g$ ; the smaller  $E_{\varphi}$  of Ni<sub>2</sub>P (1.5 eV) confirms the faster charger transfer across the Fermi level.<sup>41</sup> Based on the above results, the interfacial electronic structure in the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  heterojunction can be modified, and the large discrepancy in  $\Phi$  forms an n-n heterojunction, where  $\Delta\Phi$  would drive the charge migration from high level to low until the heterojunction interface recovers balance.<sup>42</sup> Therefore, the charge in Fe<sub>2</sub>P will flow into Ni<sub>2</sub>P, forming a positively charged donor. Concurrently, a BEF is formed with the orientation pointing from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$  to  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  (Fig. 3i). Generally, the largest  $\Delta\Phi$  indicates the presence of the strongest BEF between the two semiconductors. A BEF with electron flow in a single direction can effectively adjust the charge redistribution and can concurrently induce an electron-rich  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  zone and an electron-poor  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$  zone, thus promoting the adsorption process for intermediates and  $PO_4^{\,3-,\,43,44}$ 

#### 3.2 OER and HER in freshwater

The electrochemical performance of the as-prepared catalysts was documented by a standard three-electrode system in 1 M KOH solution. For comparison,  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  and Pt/C catalysts were employed as reference HER and OER catalysts, respectively. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves in Fig. 4a show that the Fe2P/Ni2P catalyst displays superior electrocatalytic performance for OER and HER compared with  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and NiFe LDH. Concretely, it requires ultra-small overpotentials of 196 and 224 mV to achieve  $\eta_{10}$  and  $\eta_{20}$  for OER (Fig. 4b), which are superior to those of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (237 and 258 mV), NiFe LDH (272 and 307 mV), and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  (327 and 389 mV). Additionally,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  shows the highest HER activity, requiring overpotentials of only 108 and 151 mV to attain  $\eta_{10}$  and  $\eta_{20}$ , also being superior to  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (204 and 250 mV) and NiFe LDH  $(422$  and  $475$  mV). These results confirm that the introduction of Br induces a phase change and constructs a stronger BEF due to the larger  $\Delta\Phi$ . This, in turn, substantially enhances the OER and HER activities,<sup>45</sup> also outperforming the majority of reported electrocatalysts (Tables S2 and S3†). The Tafel slope is usually used to reveal OER and HER kinetics, and a low Tafel



Fig. 4 (a) Electrocatalytic OER and HER performance of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  and the references in 1.0 M KOH solution. (b) Overpotentials of asprepared samples at 10 and 20 mA  $cm^{-2}$  for OER and HER. (c and d) Corresponding Tafel plots of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P for OER and HER. (f) Polarization curves for OER and HER after 1000 cycles. (e and g) Chronopotentiometric (CP) curves and multi-step chronopotentiometry tests results of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  for OER and HER.

slope means favorable reaction kinetics. As shown in Fig. 4c and d, the Tafel slopes of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  catalyst are determined to be 89.1 and 121.5 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> for OER and HER, respectively, which are smaller than those of Fe $_2$ P/Ni $_5$ P $_4$  (91.2 and 134.4 mV dec $^{-1})$ and NiFe LDH (96.3 and 148.1 mV dec $^{\rm -1}$ ), indicating a Volmer– Heyrovsky water-splitting procedure. Moreover, the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was probed by using double-layer capacitance  $(C_{d_l})$  to understand the surface properties of the samples. Fig. S6 and S7 $\dagger$  show that the  $C_{d1}$  for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/ Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>–Ov are calculated to be 1.0 and 13.9 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for OER and HER, respectively, suggesting a greater electrochemically active surface area. Furthermore, the electrochemical stability of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ /  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  for OER and HER was assessed via a cyclic voltammetry (CV) test. The LSV curve of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  after 1000 CV cycles coincides well with the initial curve, indicating its outstanding cyclability (Fig. 4f). To estimate the intrinsic OER and HER activity, Fig. S8† documents the turnover frequency (TOF) values of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and referenced samples, where Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P demonstrates higher TOF values for OER  $(0.029 \text{ s}^{-1})$  and HER  $(0.195$  $s^{-1}$ ).<sup>46,47</sup> The superb long-term stability was evaluated using chronoamperometry measurements, in which the electrochemical OER and HER activities of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were conducted smoothly for more than 135 h without a remarkable change (Fig. 4e and g), demonstrating its high stability and good corrosion resistance properties in anodic and cathodic conditions. Multi-current curves of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were tested by changing the current density from 10 to 50 mA cm−<sup>2</sup> for OER and from  $-10$  to  $-50$  mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for HER per 1000 s. The high mass transport capability and stability can be further confirmed by the instantaneous potential response of each step when different currents are applied, suggesting the superb durability and fast charge/mass transport in the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  sample.

#### 3.3 OER and HER in alkaline seawater

With the shortage of fresh water resources in the world, seawater electrolysis and sustainable hydrogen energy have attracted increasing attention. One of the dominant challenges in seawater splitting is the chlorine evolution reaction (CER) on the anode, which is driven by the existence of Cl− ions. This reaction competes with the OER and leads to the formation of insoluble precipitates, such as calcium hydroxide, on the catalyst surface.<sup>48</sup> To verify the selective inhibition of CER and shielding from impurities in seawater, the OER and HER performance of the samples were also measured in alkaline seawater solution. As shown in Fig. 5a-d, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  catalyst requires a smaller OER  $\eta_{10}$  of only 229 mV with faster kinetics of 55.1 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> compared to Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (260 mV, 60.0 mV dec $^{-1}$ ), NiFe LDH (277 mV, 62.0 mV dec $^{-1}$ ) and RuO $_2$  (345 mV, 134.6 mV dec−<sup>1</sup> ), outperforming some reported electrocatalysts (Table S6†). The activity of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  is almost unaffected compared with freshwater due to the construction of a stronger BEF and the appearance of the surface of PO $_4^{3-}$  that effectively suppresses Cl<sup>−</sup> oxidation, indicating its highly selective inhibition and shielding from impurities.<sup>49</sup> In addition,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ exhibits better HER activity, which requires overpotentials of only 203 and 247 mV to attain  $\eta_{10}$  and  $\eta_{20}$ , respectively, smaller



Fig. 5 (a) Electrocatalytic OER and HER performance of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and references in alkaline seawater solution. (b) Overpotential of the asprepared samples at 10 and 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for OER and HER. (c and d) Corresponding Tafel plots of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P for OER and HER. (f) Polarization curves for OER and HER after 1000 cycles. (e and g) CP curves and multi-step chronopotentiometry tests results of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  for OER and HER.

than those of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (293 and 339 mV), and NiFe LDH (448 and 496 mV). Fig. 5d demonstrates that the Tafel slop of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ / Ni<sub>2</sub>P is 127 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, which is lower than that of other asprepared samples, indicating faster kinetics for HER.  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ / Ni<sub>2</sub>P displays apparent C<sub>dl</sub> values of 0.71 and 13.4 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for OER and HER beyond those of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (0.29 and 6.2 mF  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ) and NiFe LDH (0.48 and 1.5 mF  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ), which can be ascribed to the abundant exposed active sites of the nanosheets (Fig. S9 and S10†). The TOF values of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ ,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and NiFe LDH follow the same trend as the apparent electrochemical activities in alkaline seawater solution (Fig. S11†). Furthermore, the cycling stability of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  sample was further evaluated through continuous CV test, in which the LSV curves after 1000 cycles almost coincide with the initial curve, indicating its remarkable cyclability. Additionally, as shown in Fig. 5e and g, the CP test demonstrates that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  maintains stable operation without remarkable current degradation for 70 and 140 h for OER and HER. The figures also display consecutive multi-step CP tests for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P, where the instant response in potential almost remains steady at each step. These results confirm that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  exhibits exceptional OER/HER performance and enduring stability in harsh conditions. This is ascribed to the stronger BEF, and the existence of negatively charged anionic  $[PO_4^3^-]$  layers that can repel Cl<sup>−</sup> and thus protect the electrode from corrosion.

#### 3.4 Mechanism discussion

Operando electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was documented to gain a better understanding of the OER kinetics in the different catalyst surfaces.<sup>50</sup> As illustrated in Fig. 6a and d, the Nyquist plots of  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  and  $Fe_2P/Ni_5P_4$  under different applied potentials were obtained, indicating various



Fig. 6 (a and d) Operando Nyquist plots, (b and e) Bode-phase plots of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ . (c) Electrical equivalent circuit model used for analyzing the interfacial charge transfer. (f) Correlation of the equivalent resistances ( $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ) and potentials for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ .

electrochemical reaction properties. The equivalent circuits of two continuous electrochemical processes were employed for data fitting (Fig. 6c), and the best fitting parameters are shown in Tables S4 and S5.† The high-frequency (HF) region is considered to be the oxidation processes occurring within the electrode, whereas the low-frequency (LF) region is concerned with the asymmetric distribution of interface charges caused by the oxidized materials (Fig. 6b and e).<sup>10</sup>  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  embody the oxidation resistance of the catalysts under electrochemical operation in the HF and LF zones, respectively. When the potential shifts from 1.2 to 1.6 V vs. RHE, after 1.4 V ( $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ ) and 1.45 V (Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>) in the HF region, the catalyst reconstruction concludes,  $R_1$  becomes remarkably smaller and the OER occurs in the LF interface, indicating that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ undergoes a severe surface electrooxidation process. Moreover, the phase angle of the LF region symbolizing the OER decreases earlier for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P (at 1.35 V) than for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (at 1.4 V), suggesting that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  is more susceptible to polarization. The changes in  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  with potentials in Fig. 6f indicate that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  undergoes an accelerated rate of electrooxidation compared with  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , and the OER process is faster.<sup>51-53</sup>

In situ Raman techniques were conducted to explore the origin of the activity enhancement of the as-prepared black products of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P catalysts (Fig. 7a and b). As shown in Fig. 7c–f, the spectra of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ exhibit a prominent pair of peaks at 476 cm<sup>-1</sup> (e<sub>g</sub> bending vibration) and 554 cm<sup>-1</sup> (A<sub>1g</sub> Ni<sup>III</sup>-O stretching vibration) corresponding to characteristic peaks in the OER-active phase of  $\gamma$ -NiOOH that appear at potentials of 1.5 and 1.4 V vs. RHE, respectively. No characteristic peaks assigned to FeOOH appear, suggesting Ni2P undergoes a surface reconstruction process and is transformed into the Ni–OOH active species during the OER test, while Fe<sub>2</sub>P is still robust (white/black dotted oval frame in Fig. 7c).<sup>54</sup> Moreover, a weak band located in the range of 1000– 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be observed in Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P, which can be ascribed to PO $_4^{\rm 3-,49}$  The fitted band intensities of Fe $_2$ P/Ni $_2$ P are stronger than those of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  (Fig. 7d), implying an accelerated partial phase transformation to disordered NiOOH. Furthermore, FT-IR spectra of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  were recorded to further confirm the phase transition before and after the OER test.



Fig. 7 (a) Schematic diagram of the possible evolution of catalyst. (b) The in situ Raman measurement device. (c) The image of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  in the in situ Raman test process. (d and e) In situ Raman spectra of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ / Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P with different operating potentials (vs. RHE). (f) Comparison of the ratios of band intensity  $(I_{476}/I_{554})$ . (g) FT-IR spectra of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  before and after test.

Fig. 7g shows that the dominant absorption bands belonging to M–P and  $v_4$  (O–P–O) become weaker after OER operation. Three new absorption peaks appear at 630 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ni-O–H), 1150 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3−</sup>)$  and 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NiOOH) after the reaction.<sup>55,56</sup> These above results suggest that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  will interact with OH<sup>-</sup> to form  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>$  first, and will then be further oxidized and evolve to Fe<sub>2</sub>P/NiOOH during the OER process (Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> + OH<sup>−</sup> → Fe<sub>2</sub>P/NiOOH + H<sub>2</sub>O + e<sup>-</sup>). The *in situ* Raman and FT-IR confirm the existence of NiOOH and the formation and adsorption of  $PO_4^{3-}$  on the electrode surface, where the negatively charged  $PO_4^{\;3-}$  layer can protect the active species NiOOH from corrosion by repulsing  $Cl^-$  (Fig. 7a).<sup>7</sup> After the OER test,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  shows negligible change in the XRD pattern, except that the peak intensity becomes weaker than that before the test, indicating that the electrode undergoes surface reconstruction and partial transformation into the corresponding amorphous NiOOH (Fig. S12†). It is particularly important to note that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  displays a potential loss of 42 mV, whereas the loss of activity of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  can be ignored under 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 30 h, showing that the PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> of the electrode surface plays a crucial role in maintaining OER active sites (Fig. S13†). In addition, partial Fe elements were dissolved from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  in the initial period of the stability test (from 0 to 20 h), but the loss of Fe element had not remarkably increased after 20 h, showing that Fe leaching had increasingly stopped, attaining a dynamic balance process. However, the Ni element could not be detected in seawater electrolyte after 30 h of continuous operation, confirming that the formed negatively charged  $PO_4^{3-}$  layer at the electrode surface can protect the NiOOH active species from corrosion and dissolution by repulsing Cl<sup>−</sup> ions (Fig. S14†). Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> shows a negative zeta potential (−17.86 eV), while  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  exhibits higher surface electronegativity (−18.93 eV), indicating the adsorption of a PO $_4^{3-}$  protective layer on the surface of catalyst (Fig. S15†). Furthermore, the active chlorine concentration in alkaline seawater solution was investigated and detected using the colorimetric method and UV/Vis spectroscopy (Fig. S16–S18†). The hypochlorite content did not increase after 30 h of CP testing, suggesting that the Cl<sup>−</sup> evolution was significantly inhibited.<sup>40</sup> Corrosion polarization plots (Fig. S19†) demonstrate a higher potential and a smaller *j* for  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  than for  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$ , indicating that  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  with the strongest BEF can facilitate the adsorption of intermediates, thus showing stronger resistance against chloride corrosion.<sup>7</sup> As a result, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  catalysts with weaker BEF dissolve successively, while  $Fe_2P/Ni_2P$  is very robust (Fig. S20†).

To emphasize the tremendous potential of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  for practical application, a lab-scale AEMWE device was built (Fig. 8a). The overall water-splitting (OWS) performance of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  catalyst in the AEMWE system was documented using LSV in 1 M KOH electrolyte. As shown in Fig. 8b, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ catalyst requires only a voltage of 1.63 V to achieve  $\eta_{10}$ , which is superior to other recently reported bifunctional electrocatalysts (Fig. 8c and Table S7†). Moreover, in Fig. 8d, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ catalyst demonstrates good stability over 80 h in the AEMWE system, indicating highly promising potential for practical application. Previous tests have demonstrated that the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P$ / Ni2P catalyst exhibits excellent activity in alkaline HER, OER, and OWS, suggesting the superior all-around catalytic activity of the target catalyst. The mechanisms of improved OER and HER performance for  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  can be summarized, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 8e. The large  $\Delta\Phi$  will drive spontaneous electron transfer from Fe<sub>2</sub>P to Ni<sub>2</sub>P in heterogeneous Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P,



Fig. 8 (a) The AEMWE device. (b) Polarization curves of AEM water electrolyzer with Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P. (c) Comparison of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P with reported bifunctional electrocatalysts at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. (d) Stability of Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P//Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in AEMWE. (e) Schematic illustration of OER and HER mechanisms for Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P bifunctional electrocatalyst.

and concurrently a strong interfacial BEF with an asymmetric charge distribution is constructed.57,58 During the watersplitting process, water molecules are first adsorbed on the catalyst surface and dissociated into intermediates (Volmer step). The negative-charge-rich  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  region prefers to absorb hydrogen intermediates, and subsequently generates hydrogen molecules by combining with two electrons. Meanwhile, the oxygen species are attracted by the positive-charge-enriched Ni2P side for catalyzing OER, which facilitates the shedding of hydrogen protons by decreasing the free energy in  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ . Therefore, significant bifunctionality for OWS activity is developed. For comparison, the adsorption of hydrogen protons by  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  and Fe<sub>2</sub>P is either too strong or too weak for HER, while the high energy barrier for the shedding of hydrogen protons for OER is attributed to the conventional charge distribution.

### 4. Conclusions

We have exquisitely designed and manipulated a Br-induced partial in situ phase transition from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>$  to  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ under the phosphorization process, which leads to a strong BEF due to the large  $\Delta\Phi$  difference. As a result, the Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P catalyst demonstrates remarkable OER and HER activity with low overpotentials of 196, 108 mV for freshwater and 229, 203 mV for seawater, respectively. Experiments and in situ/ex situ spectroscopic investigations confirm that the enhanced BEF plays an important role in subtly engineering absorption strength for reaction intermediates and phosphate intermediates due to an asymmetric charge distribution at the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  interface. In particular, the existence of high-valence NiOOH and the coexistence of adsorbed  $PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  at the electrode surface confirm that the negatively charged  $PO_4^3$ <sup>-1</sup> layer can protect the NiOOH active species from corrosion by repulsing Cl<sup>−</sup>. Moreover, Fe<sub>2</sub>P/ Ni<sub>2</sub>P also exhibits a low cell voltage for an AEMWE system. This work paves the way for synthesizing bifunctional seawater/ water-splitting electrocatalysts by exquisitely designing and modulating the interfacial BEF.

### Data availability

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article.

### Author contributions

Lei Jin: conceptualization, methodology, writing – original draft, data curation, visualization. Hui Xu: methodology, formal analysis, writing – review & editing. Kun Wang: data curation. Yang Liu: investigation. Xingyue Qian: formal analysis, supervision, writing – review & editing. Haiqun Chen: project administration. Guangyu He: validation, funding acquisition, resources.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing nancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 22305025, 21978026, 22078028) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20230640). We also thank the Analysis and Testing Center of Changzhou University for assistance in characterizations.

### Notes and references

- 1 S. Zhang, C. Tan, R. Yan, X. Zou, F. L. Hu, Y. Mi, C. Yan and S. Zhao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2023, 135, e202302795.
- 2 B. Huang, J. Yan, Z. Li, L. Chen and J. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2024, 63, e202409419.
- 3 H. Xu, Y. Zhao, Q. Wang, G. He and H. Chen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2022, 451, 214261.
- 4 S. Zhao, H. Li, J. Dai, Y. Jiang, G. Zhan, M. Liao, H. Sun, Y. Shi, C. Ling and Y. Yao, Nat. Sustain., 2024, 7, 148–157.
- 5 Y. Yang, J. Lou, Y. Zhao, J. Wei, Y. Zhou, C. Zhang, M. Wu, Y. Zhang, Q. Wang, L. Wang, T. Yang and X. Song, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 477, 146900.
- 6 H. Xu, K. Wang, G. He and H. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023, 11, 17609–17615.
- 7 J. Wei, J. Lou, W. Hu, X. Song, H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, Z. Jiang, B. Mei, L. Wang, T. Yang, Q. Wang and X. Li, Small, 2024, 20, e202308956.
- 8 H. Xu, Y. Liu, K. Wang, L. Jin, J. Chen, G. He and H. Chen, Inorg. Chem., 2024, 63, 16037–16046.
- 9 H. Xu, L. Yang, Y. Liu, L. Jin, K. Wang, G. He and H. Chen, Fuel, 2024, 377, 132796.
- 10 S. Zhao, Y. Wang, Y. Hao, L. Yin, C. H. Kuo, H. Y. Chen, L. Li and S. Peng, Adv. Mater., 2024, 36, 2308925.
- 11 X. Xu, A. Cao, W. You, Z. Tao, L. Kang and J. Liu, Small, 2021, 17, 2101725.
- 12 S. Zhang, C. Zhang, X. Zheng, G. Su, H. Wang and M. Huang, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 324, 122207.
- 13 H. Xu, L. Jin, K. Wang, L. Yang, Y. Liu, G. He and H. Chen, Fuel, 2024, 369, 131716.
- 14 X. Zhao, M. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Xiong, P. Yang, J. Qin, X. Xiong and Y. Lei, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 19959–19979.
- 15 J. Yao, W. Huang, W. Fang, M. Kuang, N. Jia, H. Ren, D. Liu, C. Lv, C. Liu and J. Xu, Small Methods, 2020, 4, 2000494.
- 16 H. Xu, L. Yang, L. Jin, Y. Liu, K. Wang, J. Chen, G. He and H. Chen, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2025, 677, 158–166.
- 17 C. Guo, H. Xue, J. Sun, N. Guo, T. Song, J. Sun, Y.-R. Hao and Q. Wang, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 470, 144242.
- 18 H. Xu, J. Li and X. Chu, Nanoscale Horiz., 2023, 8, 441–452.
- 19 T. Xu, D. Jiao, L. Zhang, H. Zhang, L. Zheng, D. J. Singh, J. Zhao, W. Zheng and X. Cui, Appl. Catal., B, 2022, 316, 121686.
- 20 K. Ren, W. J. Xu, K. Li, J. M. Cao, Z. Y. Gu, D. H. Liu, D. M. Dai, W. L. Li and X. L. Wu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2024, 2415585.
- 21 L. Jin, H. Xu, K. Wang, L. Yang, Y. Liu, X. Qian, G. He and H. Chen, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2024, 159777.
- 22 H.-M. Yang, H.-Y. Wang, S. Zhai, J.-T. Ren and Z.-Y. Yuan, Chem. Eng. J., 2024, 489, 151236.
- 23 Y. Li, Y. Wu, H. Hao, M. Yuan, Z. Lv, L. Xu and B. Wei, Appl. Catal., B, 2022, 305, 121033.
- 24 D. Li, C. Zhou, R. Yang, Y. Xing, S. Xu, D. Jiang, D. Tian and W. Shi, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 7737–7748.
- 25 H. T. Dao, S. Sidra, M. Mai, M. Zharnikov and D. H. Kim, Chem. Eng. J., 2024, 150054.
- 26 H. Liu, L. Jiang, Y. Sun, J. Khan, B. Feng, J. Xiao, H. Zhang, H. Xie, L. Li and S. Wang, Adv. Energy Mater., 2023, 13, 2301223.
- 27 D. Yue, T. Feng, Z. Zhu, S. Lu and B. Yang, ACS Catal., 2024, 14, 3006–3017.
- 28 J. Li, G. Wei, Y. Zhu, Y. Xi, X. Pan, Y. Ji, I. V. Zatovsky and W. Han, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 14828–14837.
- 29 S. Mondal, D. Bagchi, M. Riyaz, S. Sarkar, A. K. Singh, C. Vinod and S. C. Peter, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 144, 11859–11869.
- 30 M. Asim, B. Maryam, S. Zhang, M. Sajid, A. Kurbanov, L. Pan and J.-J. Zou, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023, 638, 14–25.
- 31 J. Zhu, J. Chi, X. Wang, T. Cui, L. Guo, B. Dong, X. Liu and L. Wang, Nano Energy, 2024, 121, 109249.
- 32 K. Wang, S. He, B. Li, H. Du, T. Wang, Z. Du, L. Xie and W. Ai, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 339, 123136.
- 33 Y. Li, X. Yu, J. Gao and Y. Ma, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 470, 144373.
- 34 K. Chang, D. T. Tran, J. Wang, K. Dong, S. Prabhakaran, D. H. Kim, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 338, 123016.
- 35 Z. Li, C. Xu, Z. Zhang, S. Xia, D. Li, L. Liu, P. Chen and X. Dong, Adv. Sci., 2024, 2308477.
- 36 X. Pei, J. Bian, W. Zhang, Z. Hu, Y. H. Ng, Y. Dong, X. Zhai, Z. Wei, Y. Liu and J. Deng, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2024, 2400542.
- 37 H. Y. Chen, L. Yang, R. X. Wang, W. J. Zhang, R. Liu, Y. Z. Yun, N. Wang, S. Ramakrishna, L. Jiao and Y. Z. Long, Small, 2023, 19, 2304086.
- 38 L. Yang, X. Cao, X. Wang, Q. Wang and L. Jiao, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 329, 122551.
- 39 M. Gu, L. Jiang, S. Zhao, H. Wang, M. Lin, X. Deng, X. Huang, A. Gao, X. Liu and P. Sun, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 15425–15439.
- 40 X. Yan and Z. Jin, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 420, 127682.
- 41 Y. Song, M. Sun, S. Zhang, X. Zhang, P. Yi, J. Liu, B. Huang, M. Huang and L. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2023, 33, 2214081.
- 42 P. Zhao, S. Fu, Y. Luo, C. Peng, L. Cheng and Z. Jiao, Small, 2023, 19, 2305241.
- 43 W. Chen, W. Wei, F. Li, Y. Wang, M. Liu, S. Dong, J. Cui, Y. Zhang, R. Wang and K. Ostrikov, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2024, 34, 2310690.
- 44 S. Zhang, X. Wei, S. Dai, H. Wang and M. Huang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2024, 34, 2311370.
- 45 S. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Wei, L. Chu, W. Tian, H. Wang and M. Huang, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 336, 122926.
- 46 S. M. El-Refaei, P. A. Russo, T. Schultz, Z. N. Chen, P. Amsalem, N. Koch and N. Pinna, Carbon Energy, 2023, e556.
- 47 Y. Shi, S. Zhou, J. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Yin, T. Zhan, Y. Yang, G. Li, J. Lai and L. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2024, 341, 123326.
- 48 J. Liu, S. Duan, H. Shi, T. Wang, X. Yang, Y. Huang, G. Wu and Q. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 134, e202210753.
- 49 W. Liu, J. Yu, M. G. Sendeku, T. Li, W. Gao, G. Yang, Y. Kuang and X. Sun, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2023, 135, e202309882.
- 50 S. Fu, Y. Ma, X. Yang, X. Yao, Z. Jiao, L. Cheng and P. Zhao, Appl. Catal., B, 2023, 333, 122813.
- 51 H.-Y. Wang, S.-F. Hung, H.-Y. Chen, T.-S. Chan, H. M. Chen and B. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 36–39.
- 52 Y. Li, Y. Wu, M. Yuan, H. Hao, Z. Lv, L. Xu and B. Wei, Appl. Catal., B, 2022, 318, 121825.
- 53 N. Zhang, Y. Hu, L. An, Q. Li, J. Yin, J. Li, R. Yang, M. Lu, S. Zhang and P. Xi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202207217.
- 54 B. Wu, S. Gong, Y. Lin, T. Li, A. Chen, M. Zhao, Q. Zhang and L. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2022, 34, 2108619.
- 55 S. Wang, P. Yang, X. Sun, H. Xing, J. Hu, P. Chen, Z. Cui, W. Zhu and Z. Ma, Appl. Catal., B, 2021, 297, 120386.
- 56 Z.-Y. Yu, Y. Duan, J.-D. Liu, Y. Chen, X.-K. Liu, W. Liu, T. Ma, Y. Li, X.-S. Zheng and T. Yao, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 2799.
- 57 D. Chen, R. Lu, R. Yu, Y. Dai, H. Zhao, D. Wu, P. Wang, J. Zhu, Z. Pu and L. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202208642.
- 58 W. Zhang, L. Yang, Z. Li, G. Nie, X. Cao, Z. Fang, X. Wang, S. Ramakrishna, Y. Long and L. Jiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2024, 63, e202400888.