# **Epidermolysis Bullosa in Chinese Patients: Genetic Analysis and Mutation Landscape in 57 Pedigrees and Sporadic Cases**

Yueqian YU, Zhenzhen WANG, Zihao MI, Lele SUN, Xi'an FU, Gongqi YU, Zheng PANG, Hong LIU and Furen ZHANG Shandong Provincial Hospital for Skin Diseases & Shandong Provincial Institute of Dermatology and Venereology, Shandong First Medical University & Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, Shandong, China

Epidermolysis bullosa encompasses a group of inherited blistering skin disorders. The pathogenic mutations in 10-25% of patients with epidermolysis bullosa have not been identified by Sanger sequencing. The aims of this study were to identify the pathogenic sequence alterations in a large cohort of Chinese patients with epidermolysis bullosa and to clarify the relationship between clinical phenotypes and genotypes. Wholeexome sequencing was performed on 44 pedigrees and 13 sporadic cases. The results were further confirmed by Sanger sequencing. In total, 52 mutations, comprising 19 novel and 33 previously reported mutations, were identified in 5 genes, with a mutation detection rate of 100%. A relationship between subtypes and pathogenic genes was established: 12 cases of epidermolysis bullosa simplex were associated with mutations in KRT5/14 and PLEC; one case of junctional epidermolysis bullosa carried mutations in ITGB4; and 44 cases of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa were caused by mutations in COL7A1. The results of this study support whole-exome sequencing as a promising tool in the genetic diagnosis of epidermolysis bullosa.

*Key words:* epidermolysis bullosa; mutation; whole-genome exon sequencing; *KRT5*; *KRT14*; *COL7A1*.

Accepted May 26, 2021 Epub ahead of print May 27, 2021

Acta Derm Venereol 2021; 101: adv00503.

*Corr:* Hong Liu, Shandong Provincial Hospital for Skin Diseases & Shandong Provincial Institute of Dermatology and Venereology, Shandong First Medical University & Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, Shandong, China. E-mail: hongyue2519@hotmail.com

pidermolysis bullosa (EB) is a hereditary skin and mucosal membrane disease characterized by blisters in response to mild mechanical trauma. The inheritance pattern of EB is autosomal dominant (AD) or autosomal recessive (AR). Clinical manifestations of EB vary from mild symptoms, including blistering, scarring, and erosion on the hands and/or feet, to severe symptoms presenting extensive mucocutaneous blisters at birth (1). The worldwide incidence and point-prevalence of EB are 1.4–41.3 per million live births and 2.8–54.0 per million population, respectively (2). EB is classified into 4 major types: EB simplex (EBS), junctional EB (JEB), dystrophic EB (DEB), and Kindler EB (3). Diagnosis of EB can be confirmed by: (i) immunofluorescence mapping (IFM), based on the level of skin cleavage; (*ii*) transmission electron microscopy (EM), through the

# SIGNIFICANCE

Epidermolysis bullosa is a rare inherited skin disorder. According to previous reports, immunofluorescence mapping established the epidermolysis bullosa subtype in 76% of cases, while the molecular pathology was identified in 90% of cases by the targeted next-generation sequencing panel. The detection rate of pathogenic genes and mutations in 57 Chinese patients with epidermolysis bullosa in this study was 100% by whole-exome sequencing. A total of 52 pathogenic mutations were found in 5 genes, of which 25 were new mutations. The phenotype-genotype correlation was established in all cases. The results of this study suggest that whole-exome sequencing improves genetic diagnostic sensitivity in epidermolysis bullosa.

analysis of skin ultrastructure; (*iii*) direct genetic analysis of genes associated with EB by Sanger sequencing (SS); and (*iv*) in cases without a clear candidate gene, or where candidate genes have been ruled out, or in cases when SS was the first chosen method and did not identify the pathogenic variant, targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) or whole-exome sequencing (WES) is recommended (1, 4).

To date, 16 genes with mutations have been reported in the pathogenesis of EB, indicating the genetic heterogeneity of EB. EBS is the primary type of EB, which frequently presents mutations in *KRT5*, *KRT14*, and *PLEC*; JEB is usually associated with mutations in *LAMA3*, *LAMB3*, and *LAMC2*; and DEB and Kindler EB are caused by mutations in *COL7A1* and *FERMT1*, respectively (3). Currently, the causal genes of approximately 10–25% of EB patients remain unidentified by SS (5–8).

NGS has shown promising improvement in the diagnosis of EB. In a laboratory study in Germany, the discovery percentage of EB on a skin biopsy by IFM and a targeted NGS multi-gene panel was 76% and 90%, respectively (9). Compared with skin microscopy and SS, WES is a more sensitive technique, which can refine and improve the diagnosis of EB, particularly in mild cases (10). In 2014, Takeichi et al. (10) performed WES and identified genetic mutations in 9 cases of EB in which a genetic diagnosis failed using common diagnostic methods.

The aims of this study were to further explore the value of WES in genetic diagnosis of EB and the relationship between EB phenotypes and genotypes in the

Chinese Han population. Comprehensive sequencing analysis was performed using WES in a cohort of 44 pedigrees and 13 sporadic patients from the Chinese Han population.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Subjects

In total, 44 pedigrees, including 71 patients with EB and 60 healthy family members, and 13 sporadic cases with a clinical and histological diagnosis of EB were recruited to this study. The diagnosis of EB was based on patients' clinical information and skin biopsies. A total of 364 in-house controls were recruited as controls for screening mutations.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Shandong Provincial Institute of Dermatology and Venereology.

#### Whole-exome sequencing

With written consent, patients' DNA was extracted from 5 ml peripheral blood using the TIANamp Blood DNA Midi Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). The quantity of DNA samples and the purity of nucleic acids were detected on a Nanodrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). A total of 57 probands and 364 controls were sequenced by WES. Whole-exome capture was performed by in-solution hybridization using a Biorupter to acquire 150–200-bp fragments. High-throughput sequencing was performed by massively parallel sequencing reads on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The analysing software FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics, Cambridge, UK) was used to remove low-quality reads. The resulting reads were mapped to the human genome reference hg19 by Burrows-Wheeler Aligner. The Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK Best Practices (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA)) was used for debugging base quality scores, realigning indels, and removing duplicates. The GATK VariantRecalibrator and ApplyRecalibration commands with the parameter "--ts filter level 99.0." were used to recalibrate variant scores.

### Sanger sequencing

Mutations identified by WES were verified in all patients with EB and healthy family members by SS. Primers were designed on the NCBI website (National Center for Biotechnology Information, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), PCR was used for amplification, and sequencing was performed as described previously (11). All amplicons were sequenced directly on an automated DNA sequencer (3500xL Dx Genetic Analyzer; Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA).

## Mutation analysis

Non-synonymous single nucleotide variants (SNVs), frameshift mutations, or splice variants located at the splice region of EB pathogenic genes were considered. Pathogenic mutations were considered as: (*i*) protein truncating variants; (*ii*) registered as pathogenic in the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD), ClinVar, LOVD3, or disease-specific databases, or met the criteria of American College of Medical Genetic (ACMG); or (*iii*) having an allele frequency of 0% in databases of the East Asian population, such as 1,000 Genomes, ExAC, and gnomAD, a SIFT score of less than 0.025, and a Polyphen-2 and CADD score greater than 0.95 and 10, respectively.

# RESULTS

# Subjects

A total of 44 pedigrees, 13 sporadic cases, and 364 healthy controls were included in the study. Twenty-two EB probands were female and 35 were male, age range 1–58 years (mean age 20.05 years), and the onset age was from birth to 31 years (mean age: 4.37 years). Of the 364 healthy controls, 164 were males and 200 were females at a mean age of 44.8 years. All participants were Han Chinese.

In total, 52 mutations, comprising 19 novel and 33 previously reported mutations, were identified in 5 genes, with a mutation detection percentage of 100% (**Table I**). Five genes were found; *KRT5* (NM\_000424), *KRT14* (NM\_000526), *PLEC* (NM\_201384), *ITGB4* (NM\_000213) and *COL7A1* (NM\_000094). These mutations were further confirmed by SS (Fig. S1<sup>1</sup>), and were not found in any controls. All mutations were considered as pathogenic or damaging, based on the criteria of pathogenic mutations described in the Methods.

Based on the clinical manifestations and genetic sequencing results, 57 probands were divided into 3 subtypes; 12 EBS, 1 JEB, and 44 DEB. The clinical and genetic characteristics are summarized in Table I. The landscape of all genetic alterations of these 5 genes in the Chinese population is presented in **Fig. 1** by searching PubMed and the CNKI Library (until May 2020).

## Epidermolysis bullosa simplex

Mutations in several genes (*KRT5*, *KRT14*, *PLEC*, *KLHL24*, *EXPH5*, *CD151* and *DST*) have been reported in EBS. Most patients with EBS have an AD inheritance pattern, while a few have an AR inheritance pattern (3). In the current study, a total of 12 mutations located in 3 genes (*KRT5*, *KRT14* and *PLEC*) were identified in 9 pedigrees and 3 sporadic cases of EBS (patients 1–12, Table I). Five mutations in *KRT5* were verified in 6 pedigrees, which have been reported previously (5, 6). Five mutations in *KRT14* were identified in 5 patients, comprising 2 novel mutations and 3 mutations that were reported previously (5, 6). Case 12, with an AR inheritance pattern, was caused by 2 novel mutations in *PLEC*.

Based on the new classification of EB (3), 12 patients with EBS were divided into 3 subtypes; 7 EBS-Localized, 4 EBS-Intermediate, and one EBS-Severe (Table I). No correlation between the subtypes of EBS and specific causal genes was found.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3843

Table I. Clinical phenotypes and mutations identified in patients with epidermolysis bullosa (EB) in this study

number	years	Sex	Onset age	Subtype	Pedigree or not	Inheritance	Gene	Mutation	Protein	Domain	Novel
1	10	F	Birth	EBS, Intermediate	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.597G>C	p.K199N	1A	No
2	2	М	4 months	EBS, Localized	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.605T>C	p.L202P	1A	No
3	19	F	Birth	EBS, Localized	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.971T>C	p.V324A	L1-2	No
4	46	М	5 years	EBS, Localized	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.1054C>T	p.R352C	2A	No
5	22	М	Birth	EBS, Localized	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.1261G>A	p.G421R	2B	No
6	1	F	Birth	EBS, Severe	Pedigree	AD	KRT5	c.1261G>A	p.G421R	2B	No
7	31	F	9 months	EBS, Localized	Sporadic case	Unclear	KRT14	c.398T>C	p.V133A	1A	No
8	29	М	1 year	EBS, Localized	Sporadic case	Unclear	KRT14	c.434T>C	p.V145A	1A	Yes
9	18	F	1 year	EBS, Intermediate	Pedigree	AD	KRT14	c.863G>T	p.R288L	2A	No
10	9	F	Birth	EBS, Intermediate	Pedigree	AD	KRT14	c.1143G>T	p.E381D	2B	Yes
11	6	F	Birth	EBS, Intermediate	Pedigree	AD	KRT14	c.1231G>A	p.E411K	2B	No
12	5	М	7 days	EBS, Localized	Sporadic case	AR	PLEC	c.13C>T	p.Q5X	Glo	Yes
							PLEC	c.1675C>T	p.R559X	AB	Yes
13	7	М	Birth	JEB, Intermediate	Sporadic case	AR	ITGB4	c.599C>G	p.P200R	ECD	Yes
							ITGB4	c.3793+1G>A	p.?	ICD	No
14	11	М	Birth	DEB, Intermediate	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.520+1G>A	p.?	NC-1	Yes
15	39	М	Birth	DEB, Intermediate	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.846+2T>C	p.?	THC	Yes
16	7	М	Birth	DDEB, Severe	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.682+1G>A	p.?	NC-1	No
17	51	F	Birth	DDEB, Intermediate	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.8046+2T>C	p.?	THC	Yes
18	55	м	Birth	DDEB, Localized	Pediaree	AD	COL7A1	c.8046+1G>T	p.?	THC	Yes
19	14	F	1 vear	DEB. Localized	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.4670G>A	p.G1557E	THC	No
20	23	M	Birth	DDEB. Intermediate	Pediaree	AD	COL7A1	c.5081G>T	p.G1694V	THC	No
21	19	M	Birth	DDEB, Localized	Pediaree	AD	COL7A1	c.5772G>C	p.01924H	THC	Yes
22	14	м	8 vears	DDEB. Localized	Pediaree	AD	COL7A1	c.6082G>A	n.G2028R	THC	No
23	45	M	Birth	DDEB, Intermediate	Pediaree	AD	COL 7A1	c.6082G>A	p.G2028R	THC	No
25	15		Direit	DDED, Internetiate	realgree	<i>ND</i>	COL7A1	C.809C>T	p.0202010	NC-1	Yes
24	24	F	Birth	DDFB. Localized	Pediaree	AD	COL 7A1	c.6127G>A	p.G2043R	THC	No
25	4	M	4 days	DDEB Localized	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6127G>A	n G2043R	THC	No
26	1	м	20 days	DDEB, Localized	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6127G>A	n G2043R	тнс	No
27	21	м	Birth	DDEB, Intermediate	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6127G>A	n G2043R	тнс	No
28	14	м	3 days	DDEB, Intermediate	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6100G>A	n G2034R	тнс	No
20	7	F	2 months	DDEB, Incelized	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6100G>A	p.G2034R	тнс	No
30	7	M	Birth	DDEB, Localized	Pediaree		COL 741	c 6100G>A	p.G2034R	тнс	No
31	1/	M	3 vears	DDEB, Localized	Pedigree		COL7A1	c.6779G>A	p.G2054R	тнс	No
32	14	F	Birth	DDEB, Localized	Pedigree		COL 741	c.6004C>T	p.02200D	тнс	No
32	-40	F	Birth	DDEB, Localized	Pedigree			c 7634G>A	p.R2552A	тнс	Voc
24	2	5	Dirth	DEB, Localized	Feuigree Eporadic caco	Uncloar	COL7A1	c.7034G>A	p.023430	тис	Voc
35	17	M	Birth	DDEB Intermediate	Podigroo		COL7A1	C.7070G>A	p.G20203	тнс	No
36	58	M	Birth	PDEB Intermediate	Pedigree	AD		C.0130G>A	p.G2715D	NC-2	No
50	50	1.1	Dirti	KDLD, Intermediate	reuigiee	AN		c 1573C>T	p.C20701	NC-1	No
27	14	E	Dirth	DDER Sovere	Dodiaroo	AD	COL7A1	C.1373C>T	p.RJ2JA		No
37	14	Г	BILLI	RDLD, Severe	Feuigree	AK	COL7A1	C.0509G>T	p.L2037A	тис	No
20	2	м	Dirth	DDER Intermodiate	Dodiaroo	AD	COL7A1	C.0309021	p.L2037A	тис	No
30	Z	1*1	BILLI	RDLD, Interneulate	Feulgree	AK	COL7A1		p.K2/43A	NC 1	No
20	22		Disth		Dediana	4.0	COL7A1	C.2567+1G>A	p.:	NC-1	INO N
29	52	IM	DITUT	RDED, Severe	Pedigree	AK	COL7A1		p.P202715		NO
40		-	Disth		Dediana	4.0	COL7A1	C.040+21>C	μ.:	NC-1	tes
40	11	F	BIrth	RDEB, Severe	Pealgree	AK	COL7A1	C.8509G>1	p.E2857X	NC-2	NO
41	1	м	Distb		Dediaree		COL7A1	c.2012_2015uei	p.G93015		Tes No
41	1	M	BIrth	RDEB, Severe	Pealgree	AK	COL7AI	C.4UZ/C>I	p.R1343X	THC 1	INO N
10	47		20		D		COLTAI	C.3625_36350el	p.51209fs	NC-1	INO
42	4/	M	30 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL/AI	C.8046+1G>1	p.?	THC	Yes
43	6	M	6 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL/A1	c.6900+1delG	p.?	THC	Yes
44	43	M	31 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL/A1	c.5300G>A	p.G1/6/E	THC	No
45	40	M	21 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL/A1	c./8/6_/902del	p.2626_2634del	THC	Yes
46	35	F	21 years	DEB, Pruriginosa	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.8102G>A	p.G2701E	THC	Yes
47	13	М	13 years	RDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AR	COL7A1	c.8569G>T	p.E2857X	NC-2	No
							COL7A1	c.4546G>A	p.G1516R	THC	Yes
48	15	M	4 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.6900+1delG	p.?	THC	Yes
49	20	М	6 years	DEB, Pruriginosa	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.4669G>A	p.G1557R	THC	No
50	38	М	26 years	DEB, Pruriginosa	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.6601G>A	p.G2201R	THC	Yes
51	15	F	12 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.6859G>A	p.G2287R	THC	No
52	20	F	20 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.6859G>A	p.G2287R	THC	No
53	14	М	2 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.7270C>T	p.R2424W	THC	No
54	27	М	14 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.5097+1G>C	p.?	THC	No
55	8	F	3 years	DDEB, Pruriginosa	Pedigree	AD	COL7A1	c.5291G>A	p.G1764D	THC	No
56	15	F	9 years	DEB, Pruriginosa	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.6215A>G	p.Q2072R	THC	No
57	22	F	11 years	DEB, Pruriginosa	Sporadic case	Unclear	COL7A1	c.6859G>A	p.G2287R	THC	No

EBS: EB simplex; JEB: junctional EB; DEB: dystrophic EB; DDEB: dominant DEB; RDEB: recessive DEB; AD: autosomal dominant; AR: autosomal recessive; L1-2: linker 1-2; Glo: globular domain; AB: actin binding domain; ECD: extracellular domain; ICD: intracellular domain; NC-1: amino-terminal non-collagenous domain; THC: triple helical collagenous domains; NC-2: carboxyl-terminal non-collagenous domain; M: male; F: female.



**Fig. 1. Mutation spectrum of epidermolysis bullosa (EB) from the Chinese Han patients in** *COL7A1, KRT5, KRT14, PLEC,* **and** *ITGB4* **genes.** The *red highlights* are the mutations in the current study. (a) Mutations spectrum of *COL7A1* in the Chinese Han EB patients. (b) Mutations spectrum of *KRT5* and *KRT14* in the Chinese Han EB patients. (c) Mutations spectrum of *PLEC* and *ITGB4* in the Chinese Han EB patients. DEB: dystrophic EB; NC-1: amino-terminal non-collagenous domain; THC: triple helical collagenous domains; NC-2: carboxyl-terminal non-collagenous domain; EBS: EB simplex; L1: linker 1; L1-2: linker 1-2; L2: linker 2; JEB: junctional EB; ECD: extracellular domain; TM: transmembrane domain; ICD: intracellular domain.

ActaDV

# Junctional epidermolysis bullosa

JEB is generally inherited in an AR manner and is caused by homozygous or compound mutations in *LAMA3*, *LAMB3*, *LAMC2*, *COL17A1*, *ITGB4*, *ITGA3* and *ITGA6* (3). In this study, a 7-year-old male patient (patient 13) with recessive JEB was found to carry 2 harmful mutations in *ITGB4* (Table I). This patient had blistering, scarring, and nail dystrophy. Blistering presented on the buttocks at birth, and the blisters and scars increased to cover the whole body soon after. His urinary system was also affected, which was diagnosed as urethral stricture and cystitis.

# Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa

DEB is caused by mutations in *COL7A1*, and is categorized into dominant (DDEB) and recessive (RDEB) forms (3). In 44 patients with DEB (patients 14–57), 36 mutations in *COL7A1* were found. Twenty-eight DDEB pedigrees carried 5 novel mutations and 8 mutations reported previously (12, 13); 7 RDEB pedigrees carried 3 novel mutations and 11 mutations reported previously (12, 13). The genetic patterns in the 9 remaining sporadic cases were unclear, and 4 novel mutations were found in these patients (Table I).

Patients with DEB presented typical skin fragility, blisters, scars, and nail changes. All 44 patients with DEB comprised 10 DDEB-Localized, 7 DDEB-Intermediate, 1 DDEB-Severe, 10 DDEB-Pruriginosa, 2 RDEB-Intermediate, 4 RDEB-Severe, one RDEB-Pruriginosa, and 9 sporadic cases (Table I) (3). In general, the clinical symptoms of DDEB were milder compared with RDEB. RDEB patient number 40 had a sister who died 60 days after birth, and RDEB patient number 41 had a halfbrother who died from blistering and infection at age 2 years. DEB pruriginosa presented the typical manifestations of multiple white papules, nodules, depigmented pigmented spots, and a few scars (14). Among them, 6 patients (patients 42 to 47) presented lesions on their lower limbs, 6 patients (patients 48 to 53) had skin lesions on the lower extremities, and 4 patients (patients 54 to 57) had skin lesions all over their bodies.

# DISCUSSION

WES analysis was used for a relatively large cohort of 57 EB probands and 364 in-house controls in the Chinese population. In this study, 52 mutations in 12 EBS, 1 JEB, and 44 DEB were identified in 5 causal genes, with a mutation detection percentage of 100%. This study emphasized the advantage of WES for accurate diagnosis of patients with EB, and could serve as the basis for future genetic studies on a large-scale to identify and understand EB. EB is a complex monogenic disorder, with an everexpanding list of 16 genes known to harbour pathogenic mutations (3). According to the data from different laboratories worldwide, SS has been used to determine that 75– 90% of patients with EB carry the responsible mutations (**Table II**) (5–8). A targeted NGS multi-gene panel and WES have been used to detect mutations underlying EB, with a discovery percentage ranging from 84% to 100% (Table II) (15–20). In the current study, all the mutations of 57 EB pedigrees and sporadic patients in *COL7A1*, *KRT5*, *KRT14 PLEC*, and *ITGB4* genes were identified, indicating the value of WES in the genetic diagnosis of Mendelian disease.

*COL7A1* encodes type VII collagen, which is a major component of the anchoring fibrils that anchor the basal lamina to the dermal collagen fibrils. In this study, 36 mutations in *COL7A1* were identified in 44 cases (patients 14–57). Based on the literature and the results of our current study in the Chinese Han population, we summarized 102 mutations with 3 subtypes (Fig. 1a).

In DDEB, blisters occur in areas of trauma, typically resulting in scarring, milia formation, and loss of nails. Similar to a previous study in Europeans (19), most patients with DDEB in the current study developed relatively mild clinical symptoms associated with glycine substitutions (GS), which generally occurs in the first position of Gly-X-Y repeats in the triple-helical collagenous (THC) region (Fig. 1a). Dominant GS mutations occur within the THC domain on 1 COL7A1 allele, leading to disruptions of the triple helix structure and the anchor fibres (21). We found 8 GS mutations in exon 73, which was regarded as a "hot exon" of DDEB in previous reports (22). For those missense variants or splice-site alternations, one normal allele can synthesize enough type VII collagen to satisfy the need for anchor fibres, thus DDEB patients with heterozygous mutations in COL7A1 do not blister seriously and have a relatively good quality of life (12). RDEB-severe presents with widespread blisters and scarring, severe mucosal involvement (oral cavity, oesophagus, and anal canal),

Table	II.	Gene	sequencing	results	of	epidermolysis	s bullosa	(EB)	in	different
abora	tori	es								

No.	Country	Method	Discovery rate	Total patients	Subtype	Reference
1	Germany	TNGSMP	90%	40	EB	Has et al. (9)
2	Iran	TNGSMP	84%	91	EB	Vahidnezhad et al. (16)
3	Kuwait	SS+ TNGSMP	100%	41	EB	Nanda et al. (17)
4	China	TNGSMP	100%	57	EB	Our study
5	Australia	SS	75%	52	EBS	Kim et al. (5)
6	Netherlands	SS	75%	76	EBS	Bolling et al. (6)
7	Poland	SS	80%	47	EBS	Wertheim-Tysarowska et al. (7)
8	Spain	SS	86%	21	EBS	García et al. (8)
9	Netherlands	SS+IF+EM	100%	22	JEB	Yuen et al. (18)
10	Chile	TNGSMP	78%	23	JEB	Fuentes et al. (15)
11	European	SS	100%	102	DEB	Kern et al. (19)
12	Iran	SS	98%	152	DEB	Vahidnezhad et al. (20)

TNGSMP: targeted next-generation sequencing multi-gene panel; SS: Sanger sequencing; WES: whole-exome sequencing; EBS: EB simplex; JEB: junctional EB; DEB: dystrophic EB; EM: electron microscopy.

growth retardation, and pseudosyndactyly of the feet and hands, while RDEB-Localized and RDEB-Generalized have a better prognosis with fewer blisters and mild extracutaneous involvement. Compound heterozygosity is common in RDEB, which is often caused by a combination of mutations, such as premature termination codon (PTC), missense, and splice-site mutations on both alleles (12). PTC mutations decrease the amount of mutated transcripts and lead to truncated nonsensemediated polypeptides (Fig. 1a) (12, 20). The severe subtypes of RDEB frequently have PTC mutations on both alleles, which causes the complete loss of type VII collagen expression (23). In the current study, patients 37, 40, and 41 carried PTC mutations on both alleles, with severe blistering, abnormal nails, and growth retardation.

DDEB-Pruriginosa and RDEB-Pruriginosa are rare subtypes of DEB caused by COL7A1 (14). In DEB-Pruriginosa, skin fragility, blistering, and scar formation are associated with intense and generalized pruritus, lichenified or nodular prurigo-like lesions, violaceous linear scarring, milia, nail dystrophy, and variable presence of albopapuloid lesions. The current study found 10 DDEB-Pruriginosa pedigrees, one RDEB-Pruriginosa pedigree, and 5 sporadic cases with pruriginosa, which is higher than previous reports (24). In a literature review, mutations in 74 DEB-Pruriginosa patients had been recorded, and 52.7% of cases were caused by GS variants in the THC domain (24), while 9 patients (56%) carried GS variants in our study. Furthermore, the current study found a "hotspot" mutation p.G2287R in 3 patients (patients 51, 52, and 57), which was reported previously (25). All splice site mutations were found in the THC region, and most were located at the 3'end region of exon 87 or near the donor site of intron 87 (Fig. 1a). Four mutations located in this region have been found; c.6899+2A>G, c.6900+1G>C, c.6900+1delG, and c.6900+3G>C in COL7A1 (26).

KRT5 and KRT14 encode keratin 5 and keratin 14, which play an essential role in structural support in basal epidermal cells. Most patients with EBS presenting in early childhood develop blistering on areas of trauma with rare scarring. Patients with EB carrying mutations in KRT5 or KRT14 mostly have AD inheritance forms, but AR has also been reported (3). Mutations in KRT5 and *KRT14* destroy the integrity of the keratin intermediate filament network of the basal cells in the epidermis and the stability of the desmosome (6). The current study found 9 patients who carried 8 heterozygous missense mutations in KRT5 and KRT14 with an AD genetic inheritance model. Among them, 7 mutations in these 2 genes were clustered at the 1A and 2B domains. The current study summarized the landscape of 36 mutations in KRT5 and KRT14 in the Chinese population (Fig. 1b). Similar to the worldwide disease-specific database (http://www. interfil.org) and a study in the Netherlands (5-6), 61% (22/36) of mutations in Han Chinese patients occurred in

the 1A and 2B domains, and mutations associated with the severe EBS phenotypes commonly clustered at the helix initiation and termination peptide regions in *KRT5* and *KRT14* (Fig. 1b). The p.R125C/H mutation in *KRT14* was previously reported as a "hotspot" for EBS-Severe (8), and the p.P25L mutation in *KRT5* was specific for EBS-Mottled pigmentation (27). The 2 mutations above were identified in the Chinese population, suggesting that p.R125C/H and p.P25L were widely prevalent in EBS across different ethnicities in the world.

*PLEC*, accounting for 8% of EBS in previous reports, encodes plectin, which is ubiquitously present in skin, mucous membranes, gut, muscle, and heart tissue (28). Patient 12 carried 2 novel mutations (p.R559X and p.Q5X) in *PLEC* with an AR inheritance pattern (Fig. 1c). The blistering of this patient became generalized after birth, while mucous membranes, heart, and muscle were spared. The p.Q5X mutation located in exon 1 encodes plectin isoform 1a (P1a). P1a is widely expressed in the epidermal basal cell layer and cultured keratinocytes; thus, mutations in P1a cause EBS without extracutaneous involvement (29).

Loss-of-function mutations in the *ITGB4* gene encoding  $\beta$ 4-integrin subunits were identified in JEB-pyloric atresia, which presents with congenital gastrointestinal abnormalities and cutaneous blistering (30). JEB is generally inherited in an AR manner, and approximately 70 patients with JEB with causal *ITGB4* mutations have been revealed (31). Patient 13 was diagnosed to have JEB without pyloric atresia, while his urinary system was largely affected. He carried a novel missense mutation p.P200R and a recurrent splice site mutation c.3793+1G>A in *ITGB4* (Fig. 1c). The reason for patient 13 having no findings in his urinary system remains to be elucidated.

The current study has some limitations. First, no novel genotype and phenotype relationship was established. Secondly, the limited number of samples included in this study (57 pedigrees and sporadic cases) were not enough to indicate the proportion of each subtype.

In conclusion, comprehensive WES analysis was performed in a cohort of 44 pedigrees and 13 sporadic cases with EB in the Han Chinese population and identified 52 mutations with a percentage of 100%, indicating the power of NGS in diagnosing and classifying EB. The results add novel mutations to the database of the Chinese population with EB, which provide support for prenatal testing and genetic counselling.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely thank the participating patients and the volunteers for their generous and enthusiastic support of this study.

This work was supported by grants from the academic promotion programs of Shandong First Medical University (2019LJ002, 2019RC007, 2020RC001); the Youth Technology Innovation Support Project of Shandong Colleges and Universities (2019KJL003);

ActaDV

the Innovation Project of Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences; and the Shandong Province Taishan Scholar Project (tspd20150214, tsqn201812124)

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

## REFERENCES

- Has C, Fischer J. Inherited epidermolysis bullosa: new diagnostics and new clinical phenotypes. Exp Dermatol 2018; 28: 1146–1152.
- Baardman R, Yenamandra VK, Duipmans JC, Pasmooij AMG, Jonkman MF, van den Akker PC, et al. Novel insights into the epidemiology of epidermolysis bullosa (EB) from the Dutch EB Registry: EB more common than previously assumed? J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2021; 35: 995–1006.
- Has C, Bauer JW, Bodemer C, Bolling MC, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Diem A, et al. Consensus reclassification of inherited epidermolysis bullosa and other disorders with skin fragility. Br J Dermatol 2020; 183: 614–627.
- Has C, Liu L, Bolling MC, Charlesworth AV, El Hachem M, Escámez MJ, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for laboratory diagnosis of epidermolysis bullosa. Br J Dermatol 2020; 182: 574–592.
- Kim EN, Harris AG, Bingham LJ, Yan W, Su JS, Murrell DF. A review of 52 pedigrees with epidermolysis bullosa simplex identifying ten novel mutations in KRT5 and KRT14 in Australia. Arch Dermatol Venereol 2017; 97: 1114–1119.
- Bolling MC, Lemmink HH, Jansen GH, Jonkman MF. Mutations in KRT5 and KRT14 cause epidermolysis bullosa simplex in 75% of the patients. Br J Dermatol 2011; 164: 637–644.
- Wertheim-Tysarowska K, Ołdak M, Giza A, Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak A, Sota J, Przybylska D, et al. Novel sporadic and recurrent mutations in KRT5 and KRT14 genes in Polish epidermolysis bullosa simplex patients: further insights into epidemiology and genotype-phenotype correlation. J Appl Genet 2015; 57: 175–181.
- García M, Santiago JL, Terrón A, Hernández-Martín A, Vicente A, Fortuny C, et al. Two novel recessive mutations in KRT14 identified in a cohort of 21 Spanish families with epidermolysis bullosa simplex. Br J Dermatol 2011; 165: 683–692.
- Has C, Küsel J, Reimer A, Hoffmann J, Schauer F, Zimmer A, et al. The position of targeted next-generation sequencing in epidermolysis bullosa diagnosis. Acta Derm Venereol 2018; 98: 437–440.
- Takeichi T, Liu L, Fong K, Ozoemena L, McMillan JR, Salam A, et al. Whole-exome sequencing improves mutation detection in a diagnostic epidermolysis bullosa laboratory. Br J Dermatol 2015; 172: 94–100.
- Chamcheu JC, Virtanen M, Navsaria H, Bowden PE, Vahlquist A, Torma H. Epidermolysis bullosa simplex due to KRT5 mutations: mutation-related differences in cellular fragility and the protective effects of trimethylamine N-oxide in cultured primary keratinocytes. Br J Dermatol 2010; 162: 980–989.
- Dang N, Murrell DF. Mutation analysis and characterization of COL7A1 mutations in dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Exp Dermatol 2008; 17: 553–568.
- Varki R, Sadowski S, Uitto J, Pfendner F. Epidermolysis bullosa II Type VII collagen mutations and phenotype-genotype correlations in the dystrophic subtypes. J Med Genet 2007; 44: 181–192.
- Mellerio JE, Ashton GH, Mohammedi R, Lyon CC, Kirby B, Harman KE, et al. Allelic heterogeneity of dominant and recessive COL7A1 mutations underlying epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa. J Invest Dermatol 1999; 122: 984–987.
- Fuentes I, Campos M, Repetto G, Morandé P, Yubero MG, Gonzalez S, et al. Molecular epidemiology of junctional epi-

dermolysis bullosa: discovery of novel and frequent LAMB3 mutations in Chilean patients with diagnostic significance. Br J Dermatol 2017; 176: 1090–1092.

- Vahidnezhad H, Youssefian L, Saeidian AH, Touati A, Sotoudeh S, Abiri M, et al. Multigene next generation sequencing panel identifies pathogenic variants in patients with unknown subtype of epidermolysis bullosa: subclassification with prognostic implications. J Invest Dermatol 2017; 137: 2649–2652.
- Nanda A, Liu L, Al-Ajmi H, Al-Saleh QA, Al-Fadhli S, Anim JT, et al. Clinical subtypes and molecular basis of epidermolysis bullosa in Kuwait. Int J Dermatol 2018; 57: 1058–1067.
- Yuen WY, Lemmink HH, Dijk-Bos KKV, Sinke RJ, Jonkman MF. Herlitz junctional epidermolysis bullosa: diagnostic features, mutational profile, incidence and population carrier frequency in the Netherlands. Br J Dermatol 2011; 165: 1314–1322.
- Kern JS, Grüninger G, Imsak R, Müller ML, Schumann H, Kiritsi D, et al. Forty-two novel COL7A1 mutations and the role of a frequent single nucleotide polymorphism in the MMP1 promoter in modulation of disease severity in a large European dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa cohort. Br J Dermatol 2009; 161: 1089–1097.
- Vahidnezhad H, Youssefian L, Zeinali S, Saeidian AH, Sotoudeh S, Mozafari N, et al. Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa: COL7A1 mutation landscape in a multi-ethnic cohort of 152 extended families with high degree of customary consanguineous marriages. J Invest Dermatol 2017; 137: 660–669.
- Mellerio JE, Salas-Alanis JC, Talamantes ML, Horn H, Tidman MJ, Ashton GH, et al. A recurrent glycine substitution mutation, G2043R, in the type VII collagen gene (COL7A1) in dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Br J Dermatol 1998; 139: 730–737.
- 22. Mecklenbeck S, Hammami-Hauasli N, Höpfner B, Schumann H, Kramer A, Küster W, et al. Clustering of COL7A1 mutations in exon 73: implications for mutation analysis in dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 1999; 112: 398–400.
- 23. Shinkuma S. Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa: a review. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 2015; 8: 275–284.
- Kim WB, Alavi A, Walsh S, Kim S, Pope E. Epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa: a systematic review exploring genotypephenotype correlation. Am J Clin Dermatol 2015; 16: 81–87.
- Yajima M, Kitoh A, Nakano H, Sawamura D, Miyachi Y, Kabashima K. Dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa with the G2287R mutation. Eur J Dermatol 2012; 22: 685–687.
- Jiang W, Sun T, Lei P, Wu X, Li P, Li Q, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlation in Chinese patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa Pruriginosa. Acta Derm Venereol 2012; 92: 50–53.
- Zhang J, Ding Y, Li M, Yao Z, Zhuang Y. Known and novel mutations responsible for epidermolysis bullosa simplex cases in a Chinese population. Exp Ther Med 2019; 18: 4661–4664.
- Bolling MC, Jongbloed JDH, Boven LG, Diercks GFH, Smith FJD, Irwin McLean WH, et al. Plectin mutations underlie epidermolysis bullosa simplex in 8% of patients. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134: 273–276.
- 29. Gostyńska KB, Nijenhuis M, Lemmink H, Pas HH, Pasmooij AMG, Kernland LK, et al. Mutation in exon 1a of PLEC, leading to disruption of plectin isoform 1a, causes autosomalrecessive skin-only epidermolysis bullosa simplex. Hum Mol Genet 2015; 24: 3155–3162.
- Vidal F, Aberdam D, Miquel C, Christiano AM, Pulkkinen L, Uitto J, et at. Integrin beta 4 mutations associated with junctional epidermolysis bullosa with pyloric atresia. Nat Genet 1995; 10: 229–234.
- 31. Schumann H, Kiritsi D, Pigors M, Hausser I, Kohlhase J, Peters J, et al. Phenotypic spectrum of epidermolysis bullosa associated with  $\alpha 6\beta 4$  integrin mutations. Br J Dermatol 2013; 169: 115–124.

Acta Dermato-Venereologica