OPEN

Relationship of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores with Killip class 3-4 in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

Qing Zhang, PhD^{a,*}, Lei Zhou, PhD^b, Hong-Li Cai, MD^a, Hui-He Lu, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Heart failure (HF) complicating ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is recognized as an ominous complication. The HAS-BLED and Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment (ORBIT) scores are used to assess the bleeding risk in patients with anticoagulated atrial fibrillation. This study aimed to investigate the relationship of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores with Killip class 3-4 in patients with STEMI.

639 patients with STEMI were enrolled in this study. The ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores were recorded after admission, and all patients were divided into 2 groups: the Killip class 1-2 and Killip class 3-4 groups. Different clinical parameters were compared. The predictive values of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores for Killip classes 3 to 4 were assessed using receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses. Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses were used to evaluate the relationships between variables and Killip class 3-4.

The ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores were higher in the Killip class 3-4 group than in the Killip class 1-2 group (P < .05). The areas under the ROC curve of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores for predicting the higher Killip classification were 0.818 (95% CI: 0.786– 0.847, P < .0001) and 0.674 (95% CI: 0.636–0.710, P < .0001), respectively. In multivariate logistic analysis, the high ORBIT score was positively associated with Killip classes 3 to 4 after adjustment (odds ratio: 2.306, 95% CI: 1.084–4.911, P = .012).

A graded relationship was found in the elevated ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores and Killip classes 3 to 4 in patients with STEMI. The ORBIT score is independently associated with the Killip 3-4 in patients with STEMI.

Abbreviations: ACS = acute coronary syndrome, AF = atrial fibrillation, AHF = acute heart failure, HF = heart failure, LAD = left atrium dimension, LVDD = left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESD = left ventricular end-systolic diameter, NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, ROC = receiver-operating characteristic, SO-to-FMC = symptom onset-to-first medical contact, STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Keywords: HAS-BLED score, Killip classification, myocardial infarction, ORBIT score

1. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) complicating STEMI is one of the commonest complications. The GRACE study revealed that the proportion of HF patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) was 15.6%, and 10.4% of them developed HF after admission.^[1] The atrial

Editor: Salvatore De Rosa.

The study was funded by the Scientific Research Program of Nantong (MS12017003-5).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

There are no conflicts of interest.

^a Department of Cardiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, ^b Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Qing Zhang, Nantong City No 1 People's Hospital and Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu 226001, China (e-mails: zzhangqing32@sina.cns); Hui-He Lu, Department of Cardiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China (e-mail: lhh226001@126.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:8(e14578)

Received: 1 August 2018 / Received in final form: 22 December 2018 / Accepted: 25 January 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000014578

fibrillation (AF) treatment guideline from the European Society of Cardiology in 2010 first proposed the HAS-BLED scoring system,^[2] which is the most extensively used bleeding risk assessment system for anticoagulated patients with AF in the clinical setting. The HAS-BLED score is also used to assess the safety of triple antithrombotic therapy in patients with ACS combined with AF.^[3,4] The Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment (ORBIT) score,^[5] a new scoring system, is used to assess the bleeding risk in anticoagulated patients with AF. No study has investigated the relationship of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores with the Killip classification in patients with STEMI. Therefore, our study aimed to investigate the relationship of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores with a high Killip classification among patients with STEMI.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethics and informed consent

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (IRB number: 2018041).

2.2. Study population

Six hundred thirty-nine patients with STEMI who were admitted to the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University from January 2017 to June 2017 were enrolled in this study (507 men and 132 women; average age, 66.51 ± 12.91 years). The diagnosis of STEMI was based on the presence of characteristic symptoms of myocardial ischemia, appropriate electrocardiographic changes, and elevation of biomarkers of myocardial necrosis.

2.3. Data collection

Age, sex, blood pressure, heart rate, past concomitant diseases (e.g., hypertension, hyperlipemia, diabetes, stroke, renal insufficiency, and old myocardial infarction), smoking history, drug use, Killip class, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) treatment, electrocardiographic localizations of myocardial infarction, the time from symptom onset-to-first medical contact (SO-to-FMC), and the ORBIT and HAS-BED scores of all patients were recorded after admission. The troponin I level was immediately assessed on admission. Moreover, fasting venous blood samples were collected the next morning after admission, and the levels of total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), and serum creatinine were measured. Baseline echocardiographic parameters included left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), left atrium dimension (LAD), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVDD), and left ventricular end-systolic diameter (LVESD). A total of 431 patients received PCI treatment, all of whom were underwent complete revascularization. Complete revascularization in patients with multiple vessel lesions is performed immediately or in stages, according to the patient's condition.

2.4. Killip classification

The Killip class was evaluated as follows according to the classic article^[6]: class 1, no evidence of HF; class 2, signs indicating mild to moderate degree of HF (e.g., S3 gallop, rales half way up the lung fields, or elevated jugular venous pressure); class 3, pulmonary edema, and class 4, cardiogenic shock or hypotension.

2.5. The ORBIT score

The ORBIT score^[5] was developed from the ORBIT registry and calculated as follows: 1 point each for age \geq 75 years, insufficient kidney function (glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min/1.73 m²), and treatment with any anti-platelet agent; and 2 points for a history of bleeding and reduced hemoglobin level/anemia (<13 mg/dl for men and <12 mg/dl for women or hematocrit <40% for men and <36% for women).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Normally distributed continuous variables are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, whereas those conforming to a skewed distribution are expressed as a median (25th percentile–75th percentile). The enumeration data are presented as percentage or frequency. The independent-samples *t* test, and Mann–Whitney *U* test and χ^2 test were used to compare the measurement and enumeration data of the 2 groups, respectively. The predictive values of the ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores for Killip class 3-4 in patients with STEMI were determined using receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses. Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses were used to evaluate the relationship between variables and a higher Killip classification. Variables that had a *P* value <.1 in the univariate analysis were used in a multivariable logistic model to determine their independent association with

Killip class 3-4. Data were analyzed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and Med-Calc (version 11.2.1; MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium). A P value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A comparison of the baseline characteristics between the 2 groups showed that age, diabetes, heart rate, SO-to-FMC, the HAS-BLED and ORBIT scores, NT-proBNP level, serum creatinine level, troponin I level, LVDD, and LVESD were higher in the Killip 3-4 group than in the Killip 1-2 group (P < .05), whereas PCI treatment, systolic blood pressure, ACEI or ARB (%), Betablockers (%) and LVEF were lower in the Killip 3-4 group than in the Killip 1-2 group (P < .05; Table 1).

Table 1

Comparison of the general clinical information between the 2 groups.

	Killip3-4 (n = 76)	Killip1-2 (n = 563)	P value
Age (years)	73.77±9.59	65.54 ± 12.99	.000
Female	23 (30.2)	133 (23.6)	.206
Hypertension	47 (61.8)	326 (57.9)	.513
Diabetes mellitus	27 (35.5)	118 (20.9)	.004
Hyperlipidemia	21 (27.6)	140 (24.8)	.602
History of cerebral apoplexy	5 (6.5)	27 (4.7)	.503
History of renal insufficiency	10 (13.2)	58 (10.3)	.449
Previous myocardial infarction	11 (14.5)	51 (9.1)	.134
Current smokers	23 (26.3)	154 (27.4)	.595
MI type in ECG			.717
Anterior	26 (34.2)	210 (37.7)	
Inferior	29 (38.2)	230 (40.9)	
Anteroseptal	8 (10.5)	50 (8.9)	
Posterior	6 (7.9)	40 (7.1)	
High lateral	7 (9.2)	33 (5.9)	
PCI	26 (34.2)	405 (71.9)	.000
SO-to-FMC (h)	23 (6,72)	10 (5,48)	.031
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	118.13±23.48	125.66 <u>+</u> 22.93	.021
Heart rate (1/min)	84.21 <u>+</u> 22.48	78.55 <u>+</u> 16.20	.037
HAS-BLED score	3 (3,4)	3 (2,3)	.000
ORBIT score	5 (3,6)	2 (1,5)	.000
NT-proBNP, Median (IQR) (pg/ml)	5593 (2085,16096)	1502 (735,3766)	.000
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.23 ± 0.75	1.68±1.25	.000
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.19±1.31	4.33 ± 1.11	.137
HDL-C (mmol/L)	0.99 ± 0.28	1.02 ± 0.31	.445
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.27±0.77	2.41 ± 0.86	.199
Serum creatinine, Median (IQR)	104.93±59.32	79.36 <u>+</u> 44.46	.001
(µmol/L)			
Troponin I (ug/L)	13.84±12.11	8.08 ± 9.53	.000
LVEF (%)	47.96±12.06	57.22 <u>+</u> 9.50	.000
LVDD (mm)	55.87 ± 6.72	52.56 ± 5.38	.009
LVESD (mm)	42.18±8.75	36.54 ± 6.28	.001
LAD (mm)	42.30 ± 4.51	40.70 <u>+</u> 4.99	.001
In hospital medications			
Thrombolysis (%)	8 (10.5)	61 (10.7)	.935
ACEI or ARB (%)	58 (76.3)	489 (86.8)	.014
Beta-blockers (%)	53 (69.7)	450 (78.6)	.042
Calcium channel blocker (%)	6 (7.8)	61 (10.8)	0.432
Statin (%)	69 (90.8)	535 (95.0)	.128
Diuretic (%)	69 (90.8)	470 (83.4)	.100
Nitrates (%)	44 (57.9)	285 (50.6)	.234

HDL-C=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LAD=left atrium dimension, LDL-C=low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LVDD=left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESD=left ventricular end-systolic diameter, NT-proBNP=N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention, SO-to-FMC=symptom onset-to-first medical contact.



The area under the ROC curve of the HAS-BLED score for predicting Killip 3-4 was 0.674, with a cut-off level of 2 (95% CI 0.636–0.710), sensitivity of 82.9%, and specificity of 48.2% (P < .0001). The area under the ROC curve of the ORBIT score for predicting Killip 3-4 was 0.818, with a cut-off level of 3 (95% CI 0.786–0.847), sensitivity of 75.0%, and specificity of 72.1% (P < .0001; Fig. 1).

Variables that had a *P* value <.1 in the univariate analyses were used in a multivariate logistic regression analysis model. After forward step-wise multivariate analyses, variables for inclusion in the multivariate analyses were age, diabetes, heart rate, SO-to-FMC, the HAS-BLED and ORBIT scores, NT-proBNP level, serum creatinine level, troponin I level, LVDD, LVESD, PCI treatment, systolic blood pressure, ACEI or ARB (%), Betablockers (%), and LVEF. In the multivariate analysis model, the high ORBIT score was significantly associated with a high Killip class in patients with STEMI after adjustment (odds ratio: 2.306, 95% CI: 1.084–4.911, P=.012) (Table 2).

Table 2

Results of multivariate analyses for Killip 3-4.

	P value	OR (95%CI)
Age	.113	1.370 (0.649–2.901)
Diabetes mellitus	.285	1.912 (0.831–4.397)
PCI	.628	0.704 (0.281-1.768)
HAS-BLED score	.551	1.637 (0.780-3.437)
ORBIT score	.012	2.306 (1.084-4.911)
SO-to-FMC	.641	1.375 (0.526–3.580)
Systolic blood pressure	.901	1.073 (0.508-2.267)
Troponin I	.545	1.409 (0.717-2.769)
Heart rate	.848	1.337 (0.586–3.051)
Serum creatinine	.032	2.251 (1.073-4.722)
NT-proBNP	.029	3.880 (1.804-8.345)
LVDD	.516	1.747 (0.622-4.905)
LVESD	.313	2.010 (0.851-4.747)
LVEF	.035	0.434 (0.215-0.876)
ACEI or ARB (%)	.414	0.616 (0.221-1.717)
Beta-blockers (%)	.343	0.554 (0.255-1.208)

LVDD = left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESD = left ventricular end-systolic diameter, NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, SO-to-FMC = symptom onset-to-first medical contact.

4. Discussion

Our study found that the Killip class 3-4 group had higher ORBIT and HAS-BLED scores than the Killip class 1-2 group. The ROC curve showed that the ORBIT score had better predictive value for a high Killip classification than the HAS-B-LED score. Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that the increased ORBIT score is independently associated with Killip class 3-4.

Acute myocardial infarction remains a leading cause of death worldwide.^[1] In addition, acute HF (AHF) has been widely recognized as a marked complication of STEMI, which is linked with dismal short-term and long-term prognoses.^[7-9] At present, most existing studies are limited to reperfusion therapies and the inclusion of heterogeneous populations in terms of the types of acute coronary syndromes.^[1,10-12] The Killip classification is a predictor of short- and long-term prognoses for patients with ACS.^[13] A previous study showed that the corresponding mortality rates for Killip classes 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 6%, 17%, 38%, and 81%, respectively.^[6] Recently, the ORBIT score, a new bleeding prediction score, has been developed from a large observational cohort of patients with AF,^[5] and it has been proposed as a simple bedside score. Some studies^[14,15] found that the HAS-BLED score can also be used to predict the prognosis of non-AF patients receiving PCI. However, no data have indicated the relationship among the HAS-BLED, ORBIT scores, and Killip classification in patients with STEMI.

We believe that the relationship of the ORBIT score with HF after STEMI can be explained by the following theory. The incidence of renal dysfunction is high in patients with HF. Worsening renal function occurs frequently among hospitalized patients with HF and is associated with significantly worse outcomes. The mortality and re-hospitalization rate of HF patients with renal insufficiency have remarkably increased compared with those of HF patients with normal renal function.^[16–18] A study found that ACS patients with poor renal function tended to have a higher Killip class.^[19] HF is also closely related to age.^[20] Most people aged ≥ 65 years are hospitalized for HF.^[21] Moreover, increased age has been identified as an independent risk factor for mortality in patients with HF.^[22-24] Decreased hemoglobin and reduced hematocrit levels are all manifestations of anemia. One-third of all patients with HF have anemia.^[25,26] Further, anemia is common and associated with an increased risk of mortality and hospitalizations in a wide range of populations with HF.^[27] Age, decreased hemoglobin level, and insufficient kidney function are important factors that affect the ORBIT score. Therefore, STEMI patients with a higher ORBIT score may be associated with poorer renal function, older age, and higher anemia incidence. Therefore, patients with a higher ORBIT score have poorer cardiac function.

5. Conclusion

The ORBIT score is independently associated with a high Killip classification in patients with STEMI.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Hui-He Lu. Data curation: Hong-Li Cai. Supervision: Qing Zhang. Visualization: Lei Zhou. Writing – review & editing: Qing Zhang.

References

- Steg PG, Dabbous OH, Feldman LJ, et al. Determinants and prognostic impact of heart failure complicating acute coronary syndromes: observations from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). Circulation 2004;109:494–9.
- [2] Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, et al. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro heart survey. Chest 2010;138:1093–100.
- [3] Koskinas KC, Raber L, Zanchin T, et al. Duration of triple antithrombotic therapy and outcomes among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016;9:1473–83.
- [4] Braun OO, Bico B, Chaudhry U, et al. Concomitant use of warfarin and ticagrelor as an alternative to triple antithrombotic therapy after an acute coronary syndrome. Thromb Res 2015;135:26–30.
- [5] O'Brien EC, Simon DN, Thomas LE, et al. The ORBIT bleeding score: a simple bedside score to assess bleeding risk in atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2015;36:3258–64.
- [6] Killip T3rd, Kimball JT. Treatment of myocardial infarction in a coronary care unit. A two year experience with 250 patients. Am J Cardiol 1967;20:457–64.
- [7] Desta L, Jernberg T, Lofman I, et al. Incidence, temporal trends, and prognostic impact of heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. The SWEDEHEART Registry (Swedish Web-System for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies): a study of 199,851 patients admitted with index acute myocardial infarctions, 1996 to 2008. JACC Heart Fail 2015;3:234–42.
- [8] Consuegra-Sanchez L, Jaulent-Huertas L, Vicente-Gilabert M, et al. Heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. Does the time of presentation matter? Int J Cardiol 2016;204:48–50.
- [9] de Carvalho LP, Gao F, Chen Q, et al. Long-term prognosis and risk heterogeneity of heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2015;115:872–8.
- [10] Shiraishi J, Kohno Y, Nakamura T, et al. Predictors of in-hospital outcomes after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction in patients with a high Killip class. Intern Med 2014;53:933–9.
- [11] Brainin P, Haahr-Pedersen S, Sengelov M, et al. Presence of post-systolic shortening is an independent predictor of heart failure in patients following ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 34D 2018:751–60.
- [12] Ahmadi A, Etemad K. Risk factors for heart failure in a cohort of patients with newly diagnosed myocardial infarction: a matched, case-control study in Iran. Epidemiol Health 2016;38:e2016019.

- [13] Khot UN, Jia G, Moliterno DJ, et al. Prognostic importance of physical examination for heart failure in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: the enduring value of Killip classification. JAMA 2003;290:2174–81.
- [14] Hsieh MJ, Lee CH, Chen CC, et al. Predictive performance of HAS-BLED risk score for long-term survival in patients with non-ST elevated myocardial infarction without atrial fibrillation. J Cardiol 2017;69: 136–43.
- [15] Capodanno D, Rossini R, Musumeci G, et al. Predictive accuracy of CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores in patients without atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention and discharged on dual antiplatelet therapy. Int J Cardiol 2015;199:319–25.
- [16] Forman DE, Butler J, Wang Y, et al. Incidence, predictors at admission, and impact of worsening renal function among patients hospitalized with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:61–7.
- [17] Amsalem Y, Garty M, Schwartz R, et al. Prevalence and significance of unrecognized renal insufficiency in patients with heart failure. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1029–36.
- [18] Jenkins R, Mandarano L, Gugathas S, et al. Impaired renal function affects clinical outcomes and management of patients with heart failure. ESC Heart Fail 2017;4:576–84.
- [19] Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1285–95.
- [20] Muzzarelli S, Leibundgut G, Maeder MT, et al. Predictors of early readmission or death in elderly patients with heart failure. Am Heart J 2010;160:308–14.
- [21] Sayago-Silva I, Garcia-Lopez F, Segovia-Cubero J. Epidemiology of heart failure in Spain over the last 20 years. Revista espanola de cardiologia (English ed) 2013;66:649–56.
- [22] Metra M, Mentz RJ, Chiswell K, et al. Acute heart failure in elderly patients: worse outcomes and differential utility of standard prognostic variables. Insights from the PROTECT trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2015;17:109–18.
- [23] Whellan DJ, Stebbins A, Hernandez AF, et al. Dichotomous relationship between age and 30-day death or rehospitalization in heart failure patients admitted with acute decompensated heart failure: results from the ASCEND-HF trial. J Card Fail 2016;22:409–16.
- [24] Kajimoto K, Sato N, Takano T. Association between age, time after hospitalization, and survival among patients hospitalized for acute heart failure syndromes. Int J Cardiol 2016;212:151–3.
- [25] Grote Beverborg N, van Veldhuisen DJ, van der Meer P. Anemia in heart failure: still relevant? JACC Heart Fail 2018;6:201–8.
- [26] Felker GM, Adams KFJr, Gattis WA, et al. Anemia as a risk factor and therapeutic target in heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:959–66.
- [27] Tang YD, Katz SD. Anemia in chronic heart failure: prevalence, etiology, clinical correlates, and treatment options. Circulation 2006;113:2454–61.