

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Expression of a gene for an MLX56 defense protein derived from mulberry latex confers strong resistance against a broad range of insect pests on transgenic tomato lines

Mika Murata¹, Kotaro Konno^{2*}, Naoya Wasano³, Atsushi Mochizuki^{4†}, Ichiro Mitsuhashi^{2*}

1 Institute of Vegetable and Floriculture Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Tsu, Mie Prefecture, Japan, **2** Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki Prefecture, Japan, **3** Institute of Biological Control, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan, **4** Institute of Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki Prefecture, Japan

† Deceased November 2017

* mituhara@affrc.go.jp (IM); konno@affrc.go.jp (KK)



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Murata M, Konno K, Wasano N, Mochizuki A, Mitsuhashi I (2021) Expression of a gene for an MLX56 defense protein derived from mulberry latex confers strong resistance against a broad range of insect pests on transgenic tomato lines. PLoS ONE 16(1): e0239958. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958>

Editor: Yonggen Lou, Zhejiang University, CHINA

Received: September 14, 2020

Accepted: December 19, 2020

Published: January 11, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Murata et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Insect pests cause serious damage in crop production, and various attempts have been made to produce insect-resistant crops, including the expression of genes for proteins with anti-herbivory activity, such as Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) toxins. However, the number of available genes with sufficient anti-herbivory activity is limited. MLX56 is an anti-herbivory protein isolated from the latex of mulberry plants, and has been shown to have strong growth-suppressing activity against the larvae of a variety of lepidopteran species. As a model of herbivore-resistant plants, we produced transgenic tomato lines expressing the gene for MLX56. The transgenic tomato lines showed strong anti-herbivory activities against the larvae of the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*. Surprisingly, the transgenic tomato lines also exhibited strong activity against the attack of western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. Further, growth of the hadda beetle, *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata*, fed on leaves of transgenic tomato was significantly retarded. The levels of damage caused by both western flower thrips and hadda beetles were negligible in the high-MLX56-expressing tomato line. These results indicate that introduction of the gene for MLX56 into crops can enhance crop resistance against a wide range of pest insects, and that MLX56 can be utilized in developing genetically modified (GM) pest-resistant crops.

Introduction

Damage due to feeding insects has a large impact on crop production, reducing both the amount and quality of the product [1]. Also, herbivore damage enhances vulnerability to infection, and some herbivores act as vectors of pathogens [2]. Therefore, considerable costs have been expended to protect crops from herbivores, and protective agents against herbivores are

continuously being developed. The primary protective agents against herbivores have been pesticides, and some insect-resistant traits have been introduced by traditional breeding. In recent decades, many transgenic approaches have been taken to produce herbivore-resistant crops by introducing genes for anti-insect proteins, such as inhibitors of the digestive enzymes of herbivores or lectins [3]. Most attempts, however, have been unsuccessful in practical use, except for the case of Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) toxins, which showed sufficient toxicity to pests in very low concentrations [4].

Introduction of genes for Bt toxins such as Cry1Ab resulted in strong resistance against insect pests sensitive to Bt toxins. Many transgenic crops into which the genes for Bt toxins have been introduced, such as maize, soybean, and cotton, are commercially successful and cultivated worldwide [4]. However, each of the Bt toxins is effective only for a limited range of insect pests, such as the corn earworm, *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) [5]. Indeed, no Bt proteins are known to be effective against all lepidopteran insects. To obtain transgenic crops with Bt-based resistance against various types of insect pests, it would be necessary to simultaneously introduce multiple Bt protein-coding genes with different toxic spectra. In addition to the drawback that Bt toxins have narrow toxic spectra against insect pests, the incidence of Bt toxin-resistant pests is increasing [6, 7]. Therefore, there is a great need for the discovery of novel anti-insect proteins with strong anti-insect activities and broad toxic spectra against insect pests.

The MLX56 protein was isolated from the latex of mulberry trees as a potent growth-suppressing protein active against the larvae of lepidopteran species [8]. MLX56 is a highly glycosylated protein with an apparent molecular mass of around 56 kDa. It has a unique structure compared to other anti-insect proteins with an extensin domain, which is proline-rich and highly arabinosylated, surrounded by two chitin-binding domains (hevein domains) in its N' region and C' region, with a chitinase-like domain containing mutations that result in an absence of chitinase activity. MLX56 inhibited the growth of the larvae of lepidopteran species such as the cabbage armyworm, *Mamestra brassicae* (Lepodoptera: Noctuidae) and the Eri silkworm, *Samia ricini* (Lepodoptera: Saturniidae) at extremely low concentrations (0.01–0.03% / wet artificial diet) [8]. In addition, MLX56 and its close homolog LA-b showed toxicity to the larvae of fruit flies reared on a diet containing MLX56 [9, 10]. These observations suggest that the MLX56 family proteins (MLX56 and its homologs) have strong anti-herbivore activity against a wide range of insects. Interestingly, growth of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, a mulberry specialist, was not at all suppressed by MLX56 or by MLX56-containing mulberry latex, suggesting that as a mulberry specialist *B. mori* may have developed some adaptive mechanism to MLX56 and other latex toxins (e.g., sugar-mimic alkaloids) [8, 11–13].

A recent study showed that not only the MLX56 structure, but also the mode of the anti-insect action of MLX56 is unique [14]. Specifically, the study showed that the peritrophic membrane (PM; a thin membrane wrapping food material in the midgut) in the midgut of the Eri silkworm, *S. ricini*, exhibited abnormal swelling when fed a diet containing MLX56. The findings suggested that the hevein domains of MLX56 bind to chitin containing PM, that the swelling of PM is induced by the swelling activity of the extensin domain, and that the swollen thick PM suppresses insect growth by functioning as a barrier against the movement of nutrient and digestive enzymes in the midgut of insects [14, 15]. MLX56 may inhibit the growth of insects belonging to taxa other than Lepidoptera in similar ways, because insects of most taxa have PM in their midgut. However, insects belonging to taxa such as Thysanoptera lack PM [16], and therefore whether or not MLX56 can exert growth inhibitory activity against thrips is an interesting open question.

Thrips attack a wide range of crops worldwide and cause serious damage to production, especially in greenhouse cultivation. Control of thrips is difficult because of their tiny body size and ability to develop pesticide resistance [17, 18]. In addition, thrips act as vectors of viral diseases. For instance, the western flower thrip, *Frankliniera occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), is known to be a vector for tospoviruses, including tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and impatiens necrotic spot virus (INSV) [19, 20]. Therefore, novel protection methods to control thrips are needed, and it seems worthwhile to examine whether MLX56 could be effective. Meanwhile, the hadda beetle, *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* (Coleoptera: Henosepilachna), is known to be an important pest in Solanaceae crops such as potato and eggplant in East and South Asia [21, 22]. Screening of varieties for resistance to *H. vigintioctopunctata* has been studied [23, 24]. Hence, a breakthrough in control measures against this pest is also urgently needed.

Since the growth-inhibitory activity of MLX56 against insect herbivores is evident even at very low concentrations (ca. 0.01%–0.03%), MLX56 appears to be a useful protein in the effort to produce genetically modified (GM) plants resistant to insect pests. We previously showed that transient expression of the gene for MLX56 can enhance plant resistance against the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), the cabbage armyworm, *M. brassicae*, and the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) in tobacco, tomato or *Arabidopsis* plants [25]. In the present study, we produced transgenic tomato lines expressing the gene for MLX56, and then tested for resistance against the common cutworm (Lepidoptera), western flower thrips (Thysanoptera) and hadda beetle (Coleoptera).

Methods

Production of a transgenic tomato plant

A binary vector with a strong constitutive promoter driving the MLX56 gene [25] was used for the tomato plant transformation. The expression vector pEl2Omega contains a cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter with doubled enhancer region and tobacco mosaic virus omega translational enhancer sequence for strong constitutive expression of foreign genes in dicotyledonous plants [26]. The gene for *MLX56* was amplified by PCR using primers 5'-aatttctagaatgaagttagaactctttaac-3' and 5'-tatagagctcttaccattcgcaactccga-3', digested by *Xba*I and *Sac*I and inserted into the plant expression vector pEl2Omega [27]. The plasmid was introduced into *Agrobacterium* LBA4404 as an intermediate host. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of the tomato plant cv. Micro-Tom was performed as described in Sun et al. (2006) [28]. A transgenic tomato plant transformed with the same promoter driving a Luciferase construct was also produced as a vector control plant.

Regenerated plants were planted in pots and grown in a growth chamber controlled at 25°C with a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod. Expression of the transgene was determined by qRT-PCR as described by Kawazu et al. (2012) [25]. Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol reagent in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, U.S.A.). Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and the following primers: for MLX56, 5'-CCAAGTCCACCTCCACCAAGTC-3' and 5'-TTTCCGAGGGCTCTTCCACATC-3; for Tomato Actin, 5'-CCAGGTATTGCTGATA GAATGAG-3' and 5'-GAGCCTCCAATCCAGACAC-3'. The data were normalized to the expression of the tomato actin mRNA as internal control.

Transgenic tomato plants with high-level expression of the gene for MLX56 were selected and transferred to an isolated greenhouse. Seeds obtained from primary transgenic plants

were seeded onto kanamycin-containing plates and kanamycin-resistant progeny were used for further analysis.

Partial purification and detection of MLX56 protein

Leaves of second-generation MLX56 expression tomato plants and vector control plants were excised, and 200 mg of fresh leaf pieces were homogenized with 800 μ L of 20 mM Tris-HCL (pH 9.5) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete Mini, Roche) using a mortar and pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 13,800 g for 5 min, and the supernatant was obtained as a “crude extract”.

A slurry of chitin beads (New England BioLabs) pre-equilibrated to and then suspended in 2 vol. of extraction buffer, and then 200 μ L of a slurry of chitin beads and 200 μ L of crude extract were each mixed and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Then the mixtures were centrifuged at 13,800 g for 5 min to separate “unbound sap” and protein-bound chitin beads. The chitin beads were washed 3 times with extraction buffer and the wash solution was deposited as the “wash fraction”. The chitin beads were then further washed with 200 μ L of 8 M of urea solution, and the urea supernatant was deposited as the “urea fraction”. Proteins tightly bound on the chitin beads were eluted and denatured by resuspension in 200 μ L of SDS sample buffer by boiling for 5 min. Crude extract and the above fractions were then denatured in SDS-PAGE buffer for SDS-PAGE separation. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE using a Mini-Protein Tera Cell system (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Proteins were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining as described elsewhere.

Insect resistance assay

Eggs of cotton cutworm (*S. litura*) were purchased from Sumika Techno Service Co. (Takarakuzuka, Japan). Tomato leaves were excised with scissors, and two leaves were put in a 1.5 mL microtube filled with distilled water to prevent leaves from drying. Ten hatchlings of *S. litura* were inoculated onto the two tomato leaves in a plastic container (15 cm diameter \times 8 cm high) at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ with a 14-h-light/10-h-dark photoperiod. This experiment was done with ten biological repeats. The numbers of surviving larvae and instar numbers were recorded after 3, 6, and 9 days. Differences in the numbers of surviving larvae and the proportion of instar numbers were statistically compared using Tukey-Kramer HSD test after one-way ANOVA, and Ryan's multiple-range test for proportions after the χ^2 test, respectively.

For evaluation of tomato resistance against the western flower thrip (*F. occidentalis*), 3–4 week-old potted tomato plants were transferred into separate transparent vessels (bottom diameter 8.5 cm, top diameter 10.5 cm, height 14 cm). Twenty adult female thrips were placed in each vessel and capped. The cap of the vessel had a window covered with nylon mesh for ventilation. The vessels containing tomato were incubated in a growth chamber at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ with a 14h-light/10-dark photoperiod. This experiment was done with 15 biological repeats. The numbers of surviving adults, pupae and larvae were determined after two weeks. Fifteen plants were used for each strain of transgenic tomato line. Data on survival rates were analyzed using a Tukey-Kramer HSD test after one-way ANOVA.

Hadda beetles (*H. vigintioctopunctata*) collected in Tsukuba, Japan, and then kept in the laboratory in Tsukuba for two generations were used for bioassays. An experiment in which each group of 12 newly hatched first instar larvae was fed excised leaves of either the control line (Micro-Tom) or the transgenic lines (line 69 or 73) for 9 days in an incubator at 25°C with a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod was performed. Leaves were replaced every other day, the body weight of each larva was measured using a precision balance AB204-S (Mettler Toledo,

Switzerland) with an accuracy of 0.1 mg, and then the data were statistically analyzed using Tukey's test for multiple comparisons.

These analyses were conducted using R version 4.0.2 [29].

Results

Production of the MLX56-overproducing tomato plant

A tomato plant (cv. Micro-Tom) was transformed with MLX56 containing the expression vector pE12Omega. The expression vector enables high expression of foreign genes in monocot and dicot plants [26, 27]. Expression of the gene for MLX56 in each regenerated tomato plant was analyzed by qRT-PCR, and two lines, MLX56-69 and -73, were selected as highly expressing strains. Second-generation seedlings of each transgenic line were selected using kanamycin, and levels of mRNA for MLX56 were determined at the four leaves stage (Fig 1). High-level expression of the transgene was confirmed, and the level of the mRNA in MLX56-73 was approximately double that in MLX56-69.

The growth of each transgenic line was compared to that of control plants transformed with pE12Omega-containing the gene for luciferase. Total weights of the transgenic lines grown in a growth chamber were not different from those of controls (S1A Fig). Further, the yields of fruits harvested in the greenhouse were also similar between the transgenic lines and controls (S1B Fig).

Detection of MLX56 protein in the transgenic tomato plant

Unfortunately, we could not obtain antibodies against the MLX56 protein with enough specific activity, and so we could not confirm production of the MLX56 protein by gel blot analysis. Instead, we tried to detect MLX56 as a chitin-binding protein. Leaf extract was prepared from a second-generation MLX56 expression tomato plant (MLX56-73) and a vector control plant and chitin-binding proteins were partially purified using chitin beads and separated by SDS-PAGE (Fig 2). A chitin-binding protein of around 56 kDa was detected from transgenic plants with MLX56 but not from control plants, suggesting that the gene for MLX56 produced a 56 kDa chitin-binding protein.

Insect resistance assay

To assess whether the MLX56-expressing tomato plants exhibited enhanced resistance against lepidopteran herbivores, larvae of *S. litura* were fed on excised leaves from each plant. The number of surviving *S. litura* larvae fed on the 69 line was 81.4%, 81.5% and 74.1% compared to that of the larvae fed on leaves of the control on days 3, 6 and 9, respectively, showing a significant difference on day 3. Regarding the 73 line, the number of surviving larvae was 89.7%, 79.4% and 62.4% compared with the control on days 3, 6 and 9, respectively, showing significantly lower survival than in the control on days 6 and 9 (Fig 3A, S1 Table) (day 3: $p < 0.005$, $F = 8.1033$, day 6: $p < 0.05$, $F = 4.1923$, day 9: $p < 0.01$, $F = 5.8973$). This confirmed the enhanced resistance against the lepidopteran herbivores by expression of the MLX56 gene. On day 3, more than 10% of larvae fed on control leaves had developed to the 2nd or 3rd instars, while most of the surviving larvae fed on MLX56 expressing leaves remained at the 1st instar with only one exception. On day 6, the proportion of 3rd instar larvae in the control was significantly higher than in those fed on line 69 and 73 ($\chi^2 = 25.81$, $p < 0.001$). Also, the proportion of 4th instar larvae in the control was higher than in lines 69 and 73 on the ninth day ($\chi^2 = 30.17$, $p < 0.05$).




Fig 1. Production of the transgenic tomato plant. Structure of the introduced transgene: the expression vector pE12Omega with the gene for MLX56 (Kawazu et al., 2012) was used for tomato transformation. The vector has the duplicated CaMV 35S transcriptional enhancer and TMV omega translational enhancer for overproduction of the transgene (A). Detection of mRNA for MLX56 in transgenic tomato leaves: the level of mRNA for MLX56 was determined by qRT-PCR. (B). Ctrl: Luciferase-expressing control line, 69: line 69 (moderate MLX56-expressing line), 73: line 73 (high MLX56-expressing line). nd: not detected.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958.g001>

Transgenic plants were then infested with thrips to evaluate the effect of MLX56 on herbivores other than Lepidoptera. The numbers of surviving thrips were adversely affected by transgenic tomato plants; after two weeks, low numbers of surviving individuals were observed for line 73, with higher survival with line 69 and highest in the control (Fig 4A, S2 Table) ($p < 0.0001$, $F = 14.325$). The feeding damage to tomato leaves by thrips was also least severe in line 73, greater in line 69 and with the most damage in the control (Fig 4B and 4C). These results indicate that the expression of the gene for MLX56 is also effective against thrips.

Regarding the hadda beetle, all neonate individuals (body mass: 0.14 mg) fed either leaves of the control line, line 69 (moderate MLX56-expressing line), or line 73 (high MLX56-expressing line) survived for 9 days, but the body size of the larvae fed leaves of line 69 was somewhat smaller than that of those fed leaves of the control, and the body size of the larvae fed line 73




Fig 2. Partial purification of the chitin-binding protein in a transgenic tomato plant with the gene for MLX56. The chitin-binding protein of the control and MLX56-transformed tomato plant (MLX56-73) were partially purified using chitin beads. The crude extract and each partially purified fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by CBB. T: total crude extract; S: unbound supernatant; W: wash fraction by extraction buffer; U: wash fraction by urea; E: eluted fraction after SDS denaturation that tightly bound to chitin.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958.g002>

was much and obviously smaller than the size of those fed leaves of the control line (Fig 5A, S3 Table). The body weight of larvae fed line 69 for 9 days (12.77 ± 0.81 mg, average \pm SD) was significantly but moderately smaller (29.5% smaller) than those fed the control line (18.28 ± 0.98 mg); that of the larvae fed leaves of line 73 (1.25 ± 0.12 mg) was significantly smaller (93.2% smaller) than those of both the larvae fed control leaves and the larvae fed leaves of line 69 (Fig 5B) ($p < 0.0001$, $F = 139.062$). All larvae were 3rd instar in the control and 69 lines, while only two larvae were 3rd instar and the remaining 10 larvae were 2nd instar in the 73 line. These results demonstrated that expression of the MLX56 gene is also effective against the hadda beetle, and that the growth-inhibiting activities of MLX56-expressing lines are well correlated with the expression level of MLX56.

Discussion

We produced transgenic tomato lines that ectopically produce the anti-herbivory protein MLX56. The transgenic tomato lines exhibited considerable tolerance against Lepidoptera larvae *S. litura*, as expected. The significant difference in the proportions of the larval instars on days 3 and 6 suggests that MLX56 serves to delay the development and/or reduce the survival rates during younger stages. Therefore, it is possible that with this effect, MLX56 protects




Fig 3. Effects of transgenic tomato on the numbers of surviving individuals and development of *S. litura* larvae. Numbers of surviving individuals from inoculation to 9 days (A). Error bars indicate SE (n = 10). Proportions of the larval instars reared on each tomato line after 3, 6 and 9 days (B). Values not followed by the same letters were significantly different among the three lines at the same day by Tukey-Kramer HSD test (day 3: $p < 0.005$, $F = 8.1033$; day 6: $p < 0.05$, $F = 4.1923$; day 9: $p < 0.01$, $F = 5.8973$). Ctrl: Luciferase-expressing control line, 69: line 69 (moderate MLX56-expressing line), 73: line 73 (high MLX56-expressing line).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958.g003>

crops from *S. litura* by preventing and/or delaying the emergence of older instar larvae that damage crops much more than younger instar ones. Further, these transgenic plants were remarkably resistant to *F. occidentalis* and *H. vigintioctopunctata*. The resistance of the line 73 tomato plant was so strong that the damage by thrips on the tomato line was negligible, and the growth of hadda beetle was minimal. The hadda beetle larvae that were fed MLX56-expressing tomato lines did not show increased mortality, which is different from the case of thrips and *S. litura* larvae. It has been suggested by previous studies that the defensive effect of MLX56 is anti-digestive rather than acute toxicity [8, 14]. However, in cases where insects suffer from the anti-nutritive effect for a long time, it is likely they will starve to death and thus increased mortality will be observed. Therefore, if the growth of the hadda beetle (19 days from hatch to adult emergence) was observed until the adult stage as with the experiment of *F. occidentalis* (two weeks from hatch to adult emergence), the survival rate of the individuals fed on line 69 or 73 would likely be lower than in the control. In any case, MLX56 expression confers a resistance level to plants that is sufficiently strong to be practically utilized in agriculture like Bt toxin.

MLX56 was previously identified as an anti-herbivory defense protein of mulberry against lepidopteran herbivores [8, 14]. Although the molecular mechanism of MLX56 has not been fully determined, the protein is known to cause abnormal swelling of the PM, probably through its binding to chitin of the membrane, and the swollen PM is suggested to function as a barrier to the digestive processes of insects.

Surprisingly, ectopic expression of MLX56 also enhanced resistance against thrips, which have no PM [16]. At present, the mode of action and target of MLX56 in thrips is unclear, but




Fig 4. Effects of transgenic tomato on the numbers of surviving individuals of *F. occidentalis* (A), and damage to tomato plants (B) and leaves (C) by the thrips after two weeks. Error bars indicate SE (n = 15). Values not followed by the same letters were significantly different (Tukey-Kramer HSD test: $p < 0.0001$, $F = 14.325$). Ctrl: Luciferase-expressing control line, 69: line 69 (moderate MLX56-expressing line), 73: line 73 (high MLX56-expressing line).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958.g004>

chitin is ubiquitous in the body of all insects, including thrips, and there may be additional/alternative chitin-containing targets for MLX56 in thrips, such as the PM-like structure in the midgut, the cuticle in the foregut and hindgut, and the mouth parts, trachea, etc. The defensive mode of action of MLX56 in thrips should be examined in the future.




Fig 5. The effect of MLX56 on *H. vigintioctopunctata* larvae. Twelve newly hatched larvae (0.14 mg) were fed tomato leaves of either the control, 69, or 73 line for 9 days, and larval weights were then measured. Larval body size (A), and average body weights (B) on day 9. Error bars indicate SD (n = 12). No mortality was observed for any of the three treatments. Values not followed by the same letters were significantly different (Tukey's test for multiple comparison, $p < 0.0001$, $F = 139.1$). Ctrl: Luciferase-expressing control line, 69: line 69 (moderate MLX56-expressing line), 73: line 73 (high MLX56-expressing line).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239958.g005>

Constitutive high expression of the gene for MLX56 was achieved by using the expression vector pEL2Omega, which has 10–20 times higher activity than the CaMV 35S promoter, which is known to be strong in plants. The transgenic tomato line with higher expression of MLX56 (MLX56-73) exhibited higher resistance against thrips and beetles than the one with relatively lower expression (MLX56-69), suggesting that a higher expression of MLX56 is

required to provide a high level of tolerance against insect pests. If the expression level is sufficiently high, the resistance level will be high enough to be effective against insect pests in practical agricultural use. As the expression vector is based on a constitutive promoter, CaMV 35S, the introduced gene for MLX56 is highly expressed in the whole plant body including leaves, roots and fruits. Constitutive expression of the MLX56 can be effective against many herbivores which attack various parts of the plant. For development of herbivore resistant crops for specific pests, the use of tissue or organ specific promoters can be considered. Alternatively, the use of pest damage inducible promoters such as promoters for proteinase inhibitors may be preferable for efficient protection.

Various attempts have been made to produce transgenic crops with herbivore resistance by introducing plant-originated anti-herbivory genes; however, none are in practical commercial use at present. Meanwhile, genes for Bt toxin, a bacterial insecticidal protein, have been successfully used to introduce herbivore resistance to crops worldwide. In particular, a considerable number of commercial cultivars of maize and soybean contain a gene for Bt toxin, because it conveys potent resistance, and many types of Bt toxin genes have been reported. Despite their potent insecticidal activity, however, each type of Bt toxin gene has a relatively narrow action spectrum, and is effective only against limited types of pest insects. Therefore, a transgenic crop with one gene for Bt toxin can resist only limited kinds of herbivores and multiple types of Bt toxin encoding genes must be introduced to produce a transgenic crop with resistance against multiple herbivores. Further, the emergence of Bt toxin-resistant varieties of herbivore is frequently reported. Thus, potent anti-herbivory genes with a wide action spectrum and a different action mechanism than Bt toxin are needed to produce useful transgenic crops with resistance against various types of insect pests.

We consider that the gene for MLX56 could be a solution to this problem. Compared with GM plant production using genes for Bt toxins the great advantage of producing pest-resistant GM crops using MLX56 is that expressing a single MLX56 protein can confer sufficiently strong and broad resistance to important economic pests from various insect taxa such as thrips (Thysanoptera), ladybird beetles (Coleoptera), and cutworms (Lepidoptera) at the same time. Also, the performance of tomato as a crop does not seem to be compromised by the expression of MLX56. Therefore, introduction of the gene for MLX56 can be a promising and practical way to produce crops resistant to a wide range of herbivore pests. Furthermore, as the action mechanisms of Bt toxin and MLX56 are different, simultaneous introduction of genes for Bt toxin and MLX56 may enlarge the action spectrum of the resistance and suppress the occurrence of resistant varieties of herbivore. We are currently introducing the gene for MLX56 under the control of an improved expression vector to evaluate the feasibility of using MLX56 in different crops against various herbivores.

Supporting information

S1 Fig.

(TIF)

S1 Table. The effect of MLX56 on *S. litura* (common cutworm).

(XLSX)

S2 Table. The effect of MLX56 on *T. occidentalis* (The western flower thrips).

(XLSX)

S3 Table. The effect of MLX56 on *H. vigintioctopunctata* (The hadda beetle) larvae.

(XLSX)

S1 Raw Image.
(TIF)

S2 Raw Image.
(TIF)

S3 Raw Image.
(TIF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Masumi Teruse, Chiaki Kimoto, Yuki Suzuki, Sachiko Gonokami, and Kayoko Furukawa for technical assistance and Dr. M. Shenton for carefully proofreading the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Kotaro Konno, Atsushi Mochizuki, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

Formal analysis: Mika Murata, Kotaro Konno, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

Investigation: Mika Murata, Kotaro Konno, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

Supervision: Kotaro Konno, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

Validation: Kotaro Konno, Naoya Wasano.

Writing – original draft: Mika Murata, Kotaro Konno, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

Writing – review & editing: Mika Murata, Kotaro Konno, Naoya Wasano, Ichiro Mitsuahara.

References

1. Oerke EC, Dehne HW, Schönbeck F, Weber A. 1994. Crop production and crop protection-estimated losses in major food and cash crops. Elsevier Science. Amsterdam:
2. Whitfield A.E., Falk B.W., Rotenberg D. Insect vector-mediated transmission of plant viruses. *Virology*. 2015; 479–480:278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.026> PMID: 25824478
3. Peterson JA, Ode PJ, Oliveira-Hofman C, Harwood JD. Integration of Plant Defense Traits with Biological Control of Arthropod Pests: Challenges and Opportunities. *Front Plant Sci*. 2016; 7:1794. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01794> PMID: 27965695
4. Sanahuja G, Banakar R, Twyman R, Capell T, Christou P. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: a century of research, development and commercial applications. *Plant Biotechnol J*. 2011; 9: 283–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00595.x> PMID: 21375687
5. Hilder V, Boulter D. Genetic engineering of crop plants for insect resistance—a critical review. *Crop Prot* 1999; 18: 177–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194\(99\)00028-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(99)00028-9)
6. Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, Keweshan RS, Dunbar MW. Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6: e2269. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022629> PMID: 21829470
7. Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, Clifton EH, Dunbar MW, Hoffmann AM, Ingber D. A. Field-evolved resistance by western corn rootworm to multiple *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins in transgenic maize. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014; 111: 5141–5146. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1317179111> PMID: 24639498
8. Wasano N, Konno K, Nakamura M, Hirayama C, Hattori M, Tateishi K. A unique latex protein, MLX56, defends mulberry trees from insects. *Phytochemistry*. 2009; 70: 880–888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.04.014> PMID: 19476960
9. Kitajima S, Kamei K, Taketani S, Yamaguchi M, Kawai F, Komatsu A, et al. Two chitinase-like proteins abundantly accumulated in latex of mulberry show insecticidal activity. *BMC Biochem*. 2010; 11: 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2091-11-6> PMID: 20109180
10. Kitajima S, Taira T, Oda K, Yamato KT, Inukai Y, Hori Y. Comparative study of gene expression and major proteins' function of laticifer in lignified and unligified organs of mulberry. *Planta*. 2012; 235: 589–601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-011-1533-6> PMID: 21993816

11. Konno K, Ono H., Nakamura M, Tateishi K, Hirayama C, Tamura Y, et al. (2006) Mulberry latex rich in antidiabetic sugar-mimic alkaloids forces dieting on caterpillars. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103: 1337–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506944103> PMID: 16432228
12. Hirayama C, Konno K, Wasano N, Nakamura M. Differential effects of sugar-mimic alkaloids in mulberry latex on sugar metabolism and disaccharidases of Eri and domesticated silkworm: enzymatic adaptation of *Bombyx mori* to mulberry defense. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*. 2007; 37: 1348–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.09.001> PMID: 17967353
13. Konno K. Plant latex and other exudates as plant defense systems: roles of various defense chemicals and proteins contained therein. *Phytochemistry*. 2011; 72: 1510–1530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.02.016> PMID: 21450319
14. Konno K, Shimura S, Ueno C, Arakawa T, Nakamura M. Abnormal swelling of the peritrophic membrane in Eri silkworm gut caused by MLX56 family defense proteins with chitin-binding and extensin domains. *Phytochemistry*. 2018; 147: 211–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.01.005> PMID: 29406091
15. Konno K, Mitsuhashi W. The peritrophic membrane as a target of protein that play important roles in plant defense and microbial attack. *J Insect Physiol*. 2019; 117: 103912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2019.103912> PMID: 31301311
16. Terra WR, Barroso IG, Dias RO, Ferreira C. Molecular physiology of insect midgut. *Adv in Insect Phys*. 2019; 56: 117–163.
17. Cannon RJC, Matthews L, Collins DW. A review of the pest status and control options for *Thrips palmi*. *Crop Prot*. 2007; 26: 1089–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2006.10.023>
18. Gao Y, Zhongren L, Reitz SR. Western flower thrips resistance to insecticides detection, mechanisms and management strategies. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2012; 68: 1111–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3305> PMID: 22566175
19. Wijkamp I, van Lent J, Kormelink R, Goldbach R, Peters D. Multiplication of tomato spotted wilt virus in its insect vector, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *J Gen Virol*. 1993; 74: 341–349. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-74-3-341> PMID: 8445364
20. Sakurai T, Inoue T, Tsuda S. Distinct efficiencies of Impatiens necrotic spot virus transmission by five thrips vector species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) of tospoviruses in Japan. *Appl Entomol Zool*. 2004; 39 (1): 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2004.71>
21. Ghosh SK, Chakraborty G. Integrated field management of *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* (Fabr.) on potato using botanical and microbial pesticides. *J Biopest*. 2012; 5: 151–154.
22. Wang ZL, Li CR, Yuan JJ, Li SX, Wang XP, Chi H. Demographic comparison of *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata* (F.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) reared on three cultivars of *Solanum melongena* L. and a wild hostplant *Solanum nigrum* L. *Journal of Econ Entomol*. 2017; 110: 2084–2091. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox207> PMID: 28961786
23. Barik S, Dash L, Das MP, Panigrahi T, Naresh P, Kumari M, et al. Screening of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for resistance to spotted beetle, *Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata*. *J Entomol Zool Stud*. 2020; 8: 297–301.
24. Elanchezhyan K, Baskaran RK, Rajavel DS. Field screening of brinjal varieties on major pests and their natural enemies. *J Biopest*. 2008; 1(2): 113–120.
25. Kawazu K, Wasano N, Konno K, Ohashi Y, Mochizuki A, Mitsuhashi I. Evaluation of anti-herbivory genes using an Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression system. *Plant Biotechnol*. 2012; 29: 495–499. <https://doi.org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.12.0711a>
26. Mitsuhashi I, Ugaki M, Hirochika H, Ohshima M, Murakami T, Gotoh Y, et al. Efficient Promoter cassettes for enhanced expression of foreign genes in dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 1996; 37: 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a028913> PMID: 8720924
27. Ohtsubo N, Mitsuhashi I, Koga M, Seo S, Ohashi Y. Ethylene promotes the necrotic lesion formation and basic PR gene expression in TMV-infected tobacco. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 1999; 40: 808–817. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a029609>
28. Sun HJ, Uchii S, Watanabe S, Ezura H. A highly efficient transformation protocol for micro-tom, a model cultivar for tomato functional genomics. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2006; 47: 426–431. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pci251> PMID: 16381658
29. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing, Vienna. <https://www.r-project.org> (accessed on 4 August 2020).