

# Prognostic factors for return to work and resumption of other daily activities after traumatic hand injury

Niels Neutel<sup>1</sup>, Peter Houpt<sup>2</sup> and Arnold Herman Schuurman<sup>1</sup>

Journal of Hand Surgery (European Volume) 2019, Vol. 44(2) 203–207 © The Author(s) 2018



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1753193418812645 journals.sagepub.com/home/jhs



#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to investigate prognostic factors for the time off work, the time to resumption of activities of daily living and hobbies, and duration of complaints in patients with a traumatic hand or wrist injury. In a 10-month longitudinal prospective cohort study, 383 patients were included and interviewed in person every 2 to 3 months. Several sociodemographic, psychological and work-related prognostic factors were investigated. For the time off work, job type, diagnosis, complication, blaming someone else for the trauma and gender were all found to be individual prognostic factors in Cox regression. For the time to resumption of activities of daily living and hobbies, and duration of complaints, gender, diagnosis, treatment and complications were found to be prognostic factors in univariate analysis. Age was solely correlated with resumption of activities of daily living and the duration of complaints. Considering these prognostic factors can help predict a patient's recovery more accurately.

Level of evidence: ||

#### **Keywords**

Hand injury, prognosis, work, daily activities, trauma

Date received: 26th December 2017; revised: 2nd October 2018; accepted: 22nd October 2018

#### Introduction

Traumatic hand and wrist injuries are known to be associated with high costs and a high impact on many areas of patients' lives. Previous literature has predominantly focused on the time patients need to return to work. Multiple prognostic factors have been identified, including gender, age, income, educational level, dominant hand, employment type, type of work, location of the injury, responsibility for the injury, symptoms of PTSS (post-traumatic stress syndrome), diagnosis and hand injury severity score. For many of these factors, different studies have found opposing outcomes, as outlined in the systematic review by Shi et al. (2014). Furthermore, the vast majority of previous studies have either a low level of evidence (retrospective cohort studies) or a small sample size. The high quality prospective study by Opsteegh et al. (2009) focuses solely on return to work in operatively treated patients, including elective procedures for non-traumatic hand disorders.

Outcomes other than return to work, such as the time patients' need to resume their activities of daily living (ADL) or hobbies, have been studied to a minimal extent.

The purpose of the current study was to measure the impact of traumatic hand and wrist injury more broadly, by investigating prognostic factors for the time off work, time to return to ADL, resumption of hobbies and duration of complaints. Furthermore, the purpose was to integrate the majority of previously studied prognostic factors in one study.

<sup>1</sup>UMC Utrecht, Department of Plastic Surgery, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Isala Zwolle, Department of Plastic Surgery, Zwolle, The Netherlands

# Corresponding Author:

Niels Neutel, Department of Plastic Surgery, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Email: nielsneutel@hotmail.com

### Methods

A prospective cohort study was carried out, including all adult patients (18 years or above) with a new traumatic hand or wrist injury who presented at the Emergency Department in a 4-month period between 1 December 2016 and 31 March 2017 at Isala Zwolle, the Netherlands.

These injuries were defined as an injury caused by any form of trauma, with the location of injury including the fingers, hand or wrist (whereby the proximal border of the wrist was set at the distal one-third of the forearm).

#### **Patients**

Patients in whom the traumatic hand or wrist injury was not the only injury, patients with other severe illnesses and those who did not speak English or Dutch were excluded. Data was collected through structured personal interviews. Patients were first interviewed within 2 weeks after the trauma to obtain (and verify) their baseline measurements. Subsequently, they were interviewed every 1 to 2 months until patients had resumed all their activities and were free of complaints or until follow-up ended (5 to 9 months). The interviewer was blinded for all baseline measurements during all but the first interview.

Demographic variables included age at the date of the trauma and gender. Clinical factors included the side of the injured hand, whether this was the patient's dominant hand and a detailed description of injury (later classified into diagnostic groups). Trauma-related factors included the circumstances of occurrence of the trauma (whether the patient holds someone else responsible for the trauma), and whether the trauma occurred during work or private time. Work-related factors included whether the patient had a job, the number of working hours per week, the type of employment (employed or selfemployed) and the type of work. A description of the job, including physical demands, was obtained and later classified into blue collar or white collar. White-collar jobs were defined as jobs that have low physical demands, such as administrative work, service jobs or light retail work. Blue-collar jobs were defined as jobs that have high physical demands, such as construction and production work or heavy retail work.

# Assessments

Primary outcome measurements were the time to resume work fully (the same number of working hours as before the trauma) and the duration of complaints in weeks. Having complaints was defined as 'having pain or physical limitations to such an extent that they affected several daily activities'. Secondary outcome measurements were the time to resume work partially (fewer working hours than before the trauma), the time to resume ADL and the time to resume hobbies. All times were measured in weeks. For patients who did not resume certain activities or still had complaints at the end of follow-up, the period between their trauma and the last measuring point (their last direct interview) was noted. The outcomes 'complication' and 'operatively treated' were recorded. A complication was defined using the definition of the Dutch federation of medical specialists (Federatie Medisch Specialisten, 2017): 'An unintended or unwanted outcome of treatment, resulting from the (lack of) actions from the caregiver, which is detrimental to the patients' health to such extend that a change in treatment is necessary and/or that there is (irreparable) physical damage'. Changes in job due to the trauma were documented.

# Statistical analysis

Normality of the variables was assessed both by Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Mann-Whitney U tests (two unpaired groups) or Kruskal Wallis tests (multiple unpaired groups) were used to test for differences between groups.

Correlations between continuous variables were assessed using Spearman's Rho and Kendall's tau. Before Cox regression, variables were tested for multicollinearity using Pearson Chi-Square and Fisher's exact test for dichotomous variables and Spearman's Rho and Kendall's tau for continuous variables. Proportional hazards were assumed after assessing the log-minus-log plots of all variables in the model. In Cox regression, all variables were fixed covariates.

#### Results

Four hundred and eight patients were eligible for inclusion in the study. In 25 patients, consent was not obtained and another 29 patients were lost to follow-up. In 354 patients, follow-up (87% of all eligible patients) was complete.

#### Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1. The most common diagnoses were fractures of the distal radius, metacarpals, and distal phalanx or wrist sprain. Most trauma incidents were seen by the patient as an accident or as their Neutel et al. 205

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the patients.

Age in years, median (Q1-Q3) 50 (32-65) Gender (n) Male 178 Female 176 Injured hand (n) Dominant 195 Non-dominant 159 Diagnosis Distal radius fracture 78 Metacarpal fracture 47 Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35 Wrist sprain 35 Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22 Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203 Self-employed 203 Self-employed 40	Baseline factors	Results
Male Female 176 Injured hand (n) Dominant 195 Non-dominant 159 Diagnosis Distal radius fracture 78 Metacarpal fracture 47 Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35 Wrist sprain 35 Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22 Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputation 14 MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 8lames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Age in years, median (Q1-Q3)	50 (32–65)
Female 176 Injured hand (n) Dominant 195 Non-dominant 159 Diagnosis Distal radius fracture 78 Metacarpal fracture 47 Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35 Wrist sprain 35 Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22 Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputation 11 MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Gender (n)	
Injured hand (n)  Dominant  Non-dominant  Diagnosis  Distal radius fracture  Metacarpal fracture  Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture  Wrist sprain  Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture  Laceration  Proximal phalanx fracture  Amputationa  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation  Scaphoid fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  Working  Yes  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ves  Ver  Ver  V	Male	178
Dominant 159 Non-dominant 159 Diagnosis Distal radius fracture 78 Metacarpal fracture 47 Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35 Wrist sprain 35 Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22 Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputationa 14 MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Female	176
Non-dominant 159  Diagnosis  Distal radius fracture 78  Metacarpal fracture 47  Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35  Wrist sprain 35  Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22  Laceration 21  Proximal phalanx fracture 20  Amputationa 14  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13  Scaphoid fracture 12  Middle phalanx fracture 11  Mallet finger 11  Triquetrum fracture 10  Other 25  Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312  Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma Private time 287  During work 67  Working Yes 243  No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment Employed 203	Injured hand (n)	
Distal radius fracture 78  Metacarpal fracture 47  Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture 35  Wrist sprain 35  Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture 22  Laceration 21  Proximal phalanx fracture 20  Amputationa 14  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13  Scaphoid fracture 12  Middle phalanx fracture 11  Mallet finger 11  Triquetrum fracture 10  Other 25  Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312  Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma Private time 287  During work 67  Working Yes 243  No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment Employed 203	Dominant	195
Distal radius fracture  Metacarpal fracture  Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture  Wrist sprain  Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture  Laceration  Proximal phalanx fracture  Amputationa  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation  Scaphoid fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  Working  Yes  No  111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment  Employed  25  47  47  47  47  47  47  47  47  47  4	Non-dominant	159
Metacarpal fracture Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture Wrist sprain 35 Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture Laceration Proximal phalanx fracture Amputationa MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation Scaphoid fracture Middle phalanx fracture Middle phalanx fracture Mallet finger Triquetrum fracture Other Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault Blames someone else Time of trauma Private time During work  Working Yes No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) Employment Employed  22  47  47  47  47  47  47  47  47  47	Diagnosis	
Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture Wrist sprain Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture Laceration Proximal phalanx fracture Amputationa MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation Scaphoid fracture Middle phalanx fracture Middle phalanx fracture Middle finger Triquetrum fracture Other Other Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault Blames someone else Time of trauma Private time During work Working Yes No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) Employment Employed 22  35 35 36 37 37 38 38 39 30 31 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Distal radius fracture	78
Wrist sprain  Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture  Laceration  Proximal phalanx fracture  Amputationa  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation  Scaphoid fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Other  25  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  Working  Yes  No  111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment  Employed  21  22  24  25  26  27  28  26  37  37  40  37  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  4	Metacarpal fracture	47
Wrist sprain Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture Laceration Proximal phalanx fracture Amputationa MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation Scaphoid fracture Middle phalanx fracture Middle phalanx fracture Mallet finger Triquetrum fracture Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault Blames someone else Time of trauma Private time During work  Working Yes No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) Employment Employed  20  21  22  22  24  25  26  27  28  28  29  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  40  4	Fingertip injury and distal phalanx fracture	35
Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputationa 14 MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203		35
Laceration 21 Proximal phalanx fracture 20 Amputationa 14 MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13 Scaphoid fracture 12 Middle phalanx fracture 11 Mallet finger 11 Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture	22
Amputationa 14  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13  Scaphoid fracture 12  Middle phalanx fracture 11  Mallet finger 11  Triquetrum fracture 10  Other 25  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault 312  Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma  Private time 287  During work 67  Working  Yes 243  No 111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment  Employed 203	-	21
Amputationa 14  MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation 13  Scaphoid fracture 12  Middle phalanx fracture 11  Mallet finger 11  Triquetrum fracture 10  Other 25  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault 312  Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma  Private time 287  During work 67  Working  Yes 243  No 111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment  Employed 203	Proximal phalanx fracture	20
MCP or PIP or DIP dislocation  Scaphoid fracture  Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Other  25  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  42  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  67  Working  Yes  Yes  243  No  111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment  Employed  12  11  11  12  14  15  16  17  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18		14
Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Other  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  42  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  67  Working  Yes  No  111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment  Employed  11  11  11  11  11  11  11  11  11		13
Middle phalanx fracture  Mallet finger  Triquetrum fracture  Other  Other  25  Occurrence of trauma  Accident/Own fault  Blames someone else  42  Time of trauma  Private time  During work  67  Working  Yes  No  111  Of working population  Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment  Employed  11  11  11  11  11  11  11  11  11	Scaphoid fracture	12
Mallet finger Triquetrum fracture Other 25  Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma Private time During work 67  Working Yes No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3)  Employment Employed 110 100 25 312 42 42 47 40 312 47 47 48 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	•	11
Triquetrum fracture 10 Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	•	11
Other 25 Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42 Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	_	10
Occurrence of trauma Accident/Own fault 312 Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67  Working Yes 243 No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment Employed 203	•	25
Blames someone else 42  Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67  Working Yes 243 No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Occurrence of trauma	
Time of trauma Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Accident/Own fault	312
Private time 287 During work 67 Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Blames someone else	42
During work 67  Working Yes 243 No 111  Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40)  Employment Employed 203	Time of trauma	
Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Private time	287
Working Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	During work	67
Yes 243 No 111 Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203		
Of working population Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	-	243
Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	No	111
Working hours per week, median (Q1-Q3) 40 (32-40) Employment Employed 203	Of working population	
Employment 203		40 (32–40)
Employed 203		(
• •		203
	• •	
Type of work	• •	
White collar worker 89	- ·	89
Blue collar worker 154		
Total 354		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Level of amputation: MCP joint 1, proximal phalanx 4, PIP joint 1, middle phalanx 6, distal phalanx 2, one digit amputated 10, two digits amputated 4.

own fault (n=312), while 42 patients blamed someone else for the occurrence of the trauma. Most patients (66%) worked 40 hours per week at the time of the trauma. Injuries occurred less often

during working time than they did during private time (n=67 versus n=287), but when excluding patients without a job (n=111) this ratio changes to 67 versus 176 patients. There was no significant difference in the types of work between men and women (male 94/142 blue-collar job, female 60/101 blue-collar job).

#### Return to work

Of the 243 working patients, two did not return to work for reasons other than their hand or wrist injury. The remaining 241 patients resumed their work partially in a median time of 1 week and fully in 2 weeks. Due to the trauma, four patients did not return to work fully, 13 did not return to work at all and 17 had to change their job.

When comparing the times off work for different groups (Table 2), male patients, operatively treated patients, patients with a complication and patients with a blue-collar job all took significantly longer to return to work both partially and fully. For the other variables there were no significant differences between categories for partial and full return to work.

# ADL and hobby resumption

Four patients did not do any ADL tasks themselves before the trauma and the remaining 350 patients resumed their ADL in a median time of 4.5 weeks. Twenty-nine of 350 patients did not resume full ADL activities. Of the 343 patients who had a hobby at the time of the trauma, 40 did not resume their hobby. The median time to resume hobbies was 5 weeks. Higher age had a significant positive correlation with the time to ADL resumption, but not with hobby resumption. Female patients, patients with a complication and operatively treated patients took significantly longer to resume both their ADL and hobby.

Employed patients and patients whose trauma occurred during work both resumed their ADL significantly faster. When excluding patients without a job from the analysis, this significant difference remains intact. Furthermore, self-employed patients resumed their hobby significantly faster compared with employed patients. The number of working hours per week was not correlated with either ADL or hobby resumption.

# Duration of complaints

The median time until patients were free of complaints was 9 weeks. At the end of follow up, 108 of 354 patients still experienced complaints. Female patients, patients of higher age, operatively treated

**Table 2.** Variables and median (first and third quartiles, Q1-Q3) time to event in weeks.

Variable (n)	Full return to work (n=241)	Free of complaints (n = 354)
	(11 — 241)	(11 = 334)
Gender	- ().	
Male (178)	2 (0-6)*	8 (4–21)*
Female (176)	3.8 (0.1–8)*	10 (6–26)*
Dominant hand injured		
Yes (195)	3 (0–8)	10 (5.5–25)
No (159)	1 (0–6)	8 (5–23)
Operatively treated		
Yes (79)	6 (2–12)*	16 (8–26)*
No (275)	1 (0-4)*	8 (4–22)*
Complication		
Yes (21)	8 (2–26)*	26 (25–33)*
No (333)	2 (0-6)*	8 (5–22)*
Occurrence of trauma		
Accident/own fault (312)	2 (0-6)	8.5 (5–23)
Blames some else (42)	5 (1–10.5)	15 (4–32)
Working		
Yes (233)	_	9 (4-23)
No (121)	_	9 (6-25)
Work type		
Blue collar (146)	5 (1–8)*	8 (4-22)
White collar (87)	0.2 (0-2.5)*	9 (5.5–26)
Employment		
Employed (190)	2.5 (0-7)	10 (5–26)
Self-employed (43)	2 (0-5.8)	6 (4-20.8)
Time of trauma		
During work (67)	2.8 (0.1-6)	6 (3–23)
Private time (287)	2 (0-7)	9 (6-24)
Total	2 (0-6)	9 (5–24)

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05 Mann-Whitney U test.

patients and patients with a complication had complaints significantly longer (Table 2).

## Diagnosis

Patients with an amputation or distal radius and ulnar styloid fracture had the longest time off work, both with a median time of 7 weeks until full return to work. Those with a scaphoid or distal radius fracture both took 5 weeks to fully return to work. The fastest work resumption was seen in patients with a mallet finger or metacarpo-phalangeal, proximal interphalangeal or distal interphalangeal dislocation. They returned to work fully in a median time of zero weeks, although they were not free of complaints for median times of 10 and 6 weeks, respectively.

**Table 3.** Return to work, Cox regression.

	Hazard ratio	
Determinant	(95% CI)	p
Blue collar job	2.52 (1.89-3.37)	0.000
Diagnosis other than wrist sprain	2.48 (1.63–3.76)	0.000
Complication	1.88 (1.04-3.42)	0.037
Blames someone else for injury	1.70 (1.11–2.59)	0.014
Female gender	1.61 (1.22–2.12)	0.001

The time needed to resume all ADL activities was the longest for patients with a scaphoid fracture (8 weeks) or proximal phalanx fracture (6.5 weeks). The time to resume hobbies was the longest for patients with an amputation (13 weeks), scaphoid fracture (11.5 weeks) or proximal phalanx fracture (8.5 weeks).

The diagnoses with the longest duration of complaints were amputation (28 weeks), scaphoid fracture (25.5 weeks) and proximal phalanx fracture (24 weeks). Patients with wrist sprain or laceration had the shortest durations of complaints (3 and 4 weeks, respectively). For all outcome measures there were significant differences between diagnoses.

#### Predictive model for return to work

A predictive model using the Cox proportional hazards model was compiled, with full return to work as event of interest. The hazard ratio, which is a comparison between the probability of events (in this case: return to work) between two groups, was calculated. This ratio is adjusted for other confounding variables. A hazard ratio of two indicates that patients with that characteristic take twice as long to resume their work as patients without that characteristic. The more determinants in the model (Table 3) that apply to a patient, the longer their predicted time off work. The strongest predictor for return to work is 'Type of work', with a hazard ratio of 2.52 (CI 1.89-3.37) for patients with a blue-collar job as compared with those with a white-collar job (Table 3), followed by a diagnosis other than wrist sprain, having a complication, blaming someone else for the injury and female gender.

# **Discussion**

For return to work, the type of work was found to be the strongest predictor. This finding is in accordance with all previous literature assessing type of work (Bruyns et al., 2003; Gustafsson and Ahlstrom, Neutel et al. 207

2004; Opsteegh et al., 2009; Skov et al., 1999). The second and third strongest predictors were a diagnosis other than wrist sprain and a complication. The fourth strongest predictor is the circumstances of occurrence of the trauma; patients who hold someone else responsible for their injury take longer to return to work. This finding is consistent with previous research (Grunert and Dzwierzynski, 1997; Rusch et al., 2003).

The last independent predictor with the lowest hazard ratio is gender; females taking longer to return to work. This outcome is contradictory to what was reported by Chang et al. (2011), Lee et al. (2010) and Skov et al. (1999), who found gender not to be a prognostic factor. However, these were all retrospective cohort studies relying on medical records as their data source. Alongside return to work, gender was also a prognostic factor for ADL resumption, hobby resumption and the duration of complaints. Contrary to Skov et al. (1999), we did not find employment type to be a predictor for return to work. This could be due to a relatively low number of self-employed patients in the study (n=40 or 16%).

Other prognostic factors for resumption of ADL and hobbies and the duration of complaints were operative treatment, complications and diagnosis (most notably, patients with wrist sprain take less time). Age is positively correlated with the time to ADL resumption and the duration of complaints. Furthermore, working patients resume their ADL significantly faster as compared with unemployed patients, although age is likely to be a confounding variable here. Next to that, patients whose trauma occurred during working time resume their ADL faster than patients whose trauma occurred during private time. This significant difference remains intact after excluding unemployed patients, making age less likely to be a confounder here. Outcome measures other than return to work have not been researched in previous literature.

At the end of follow up 93% of patients had fully returned to work, 92% resumed their ADL, 86% resumed their hobby and 70% were free of complaints. Patients, who at the end follow-up had not resumed certain activities, were accounted in the analysis with the time to the last interview. This makes all the presented median times to events underestimations of the reality.

Strengths of the current study are the high rate of complete follow-up (87% of all eligible patients) and the relatively large sample size. Potential prognostic factors were selected on the basis of previous literature provided they could be recorded through personal interviews. This method of data collection could induce some information bias. Although

return to work is a clear event, the other outcome measures (ADL resumption, hobby resumption and duration of complaints) are more subjective.

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank Lonneke Buijteweg (Emergency physician at Isala Zwolle, the Netherlands) and all other staff involved at the Emergency Department at Isala Zwolle for all their efforts concerning setting up the study and including patients. The authors also thank Sander Brink (Epidemiologist and statistician at Isala Zwolle, the Netherlands) for his help with the statistical analysis.

**Declaration of conflicting interests** The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding** The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Ethical approval details** This study was approved by the medical ethics committee at Isala Zwolle, the Netherlands.

**Informed consent** Verbal informed consent was obtained for all patients included in the study.

#### References

- Bruyns CN, Jaquet JB, Schreuders TA, Kalmijn S, Kuypers PD, Hovius SE. Predictors for return to work in patients with median and ulnar nerve injuries. J Hand Surg Am. 2003, 28: 28–34.
- Chang JH, Wu M, Lee CL, Guo YL, Chiu HY. Correlation of return to work outcomes and hand impairment measures among workers with traumatic hand injury. J Occup Rehabil. 2011, 21: 9–16.
- Federatie Medisch Specialisten. Is het een calamiteit, complicatie of incident? Utrecht: Federatie Medisch Specilisten, 2017. https://www.demedischspecialist.nl/nieuws/het-een-calamiteit-complicatie-incident-0 (accessed 2 October 2018).
- Grunert BK, Dzwierzynski WW. Prognostic factors for return to work following severe hand injuries. Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg. 1997, 1: 213–8.
- Gustafsson M, Ahlstrom G. Problems experienced during the first year of an acute traumatic hand injury – a prospective study. J Clin Nurs. 2004, 13: 986–95.
- Lee YY, Chang JH, Shieh SJ, Lee YC, Kuo LC, Lee YL. Association between the initial anatomical severity and opportunity of return to work in occupational hand injured patients. J Trauma. 2010, 69: E88–93.
- Opsteegh L, Reinders-Messelink HA, Schollier D et al. Determinants of return to work in patients with hand disorders and hand injuries. J Occup Rehabil. 2009, 19: 245–55.
- Rusch MD, Dzwierzynski WW, Sanger JR, Pruit NT, Siewert AD. Return to work outcomes after work-related hand trauma: the role of causal attributions. J Hand Surg Am. 2003, 28: 673–7.
- Shi Q, Sinden K, MacDermid JC, Walton D, Grewal R. A systematic review of prognostic factors for return to work following workrelated traumatic hand injury. J Hand Ther. 2014, 27: 55–62.
- Skov O, Jeune B, Lauritsen JM, Barfred T. Time off work after occupational hand injuries. J Hand Surg Br. 1999, 24: 187–9.