

Introduction: The problem of coexistence of heteroaggression and autoaggression most clearly manifests itself in the field of forensic psychiatry. For example, in Russia, about 25% of criminals who committed aggressive actions had a history of suicide attempts.

Objectives: Identification of specific personality traits in individuals with multidirectional aggression.

Methods: In a continuous one-step study, relatively sane adults of both sexes were examined: 38 persons undergoing forensic examination with multidirectional aggression and 34 violent criminals. A wide range of forensic psychological techniques is used to identify aggression, suicidogenic and inhibitors of aggression. Nonparametric statistical methods were used: Spearman rank correlation coefficient (r) and Mann-Whitney (U).

Results: The leading role in the genesis of multidirectional aggression, in contrast to other types of aggression, playing the combination ($p < 0.01$) to the presence of motivational aggressiveness (according to the Hand Test, $U = 556.6$; $p = 0.046$) and the willingness to show negative feelings at the slightest arousal (annoyance, irascibility according to BDHI, $U = 468.2$; $p = 0.012$), along with suicidal personal qualities, which is combined with the deficiency of auto- and heteroaggression inhibitors (value orientations; socio-normative, dispositional, communicative, emotional inhibitors, coping strategies, etc.). However, the psychological mechanisms of multidirectional aggression are relatively non-nospecific and are similar in mentally healthy individuals and individuals with personality and organic mental disorders.

Conclusions: Multidirectional aggression in view of the increased risk of recidivism and personal and public danger should be taken into account by forensic experts when recommending psychocorrective measures in places of deprivation of liberty.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: Aggression; risk assessment; motivational aggressiveness; combined autoaggression and heteroaggression

EPV0329

Relationship between the sexual abuser and the victim

L. Tumbev^{1*}, P. Chumpalova¹, M. Stoimenova-Popova¹, V. Valtchev² and E. Tumbeva³

¹Medical Psychology And Psychiatry, Medical University, Pleven, Bulgaria; ²Department Of Biochemistry And Physiology, National Sports Academy, Sofia, Bulgaria and ³Faculty Of Public Health, Department Of General Medicine, Forensic Medicine And Deontology, Medical University, Pleven, Bulgaria

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.1899

Introduction: Although much of society believes that the sexual aggressor is unknown to the victim, this is not supported by the literature. In most cases, the rapist is a known, former or current intimate partner of the victim or even a family member.

Objectives: 189 persons accused of perpetrators of sexual crimes and who were subject to forensic psychiatric evaluation for the period from January 2010 to December 2019 in the territory of Central Northern Bulgaria were examined.

Methods: The current research uses sociological methods to gather information - interviews, observations, research of forensic and medical documents,

Results: In the study group, 62% of the victims were known to the perpetrator of the sexual crime, 11% were part of the nuclear family and 8% were members of the extended family of the perpetrator.

Conclusions: Our data supports data from previous studies

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: sexual crimes; victim; relationship

EPV0330

Characteristics of offenders referred for psychiatric forensic examination

R. Felhi*, G. Hamdi, H. Ben Ammar and R. Ridha

Psychiatry, Razi hospital, Manouba, Tunisia

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.1900

Introduction: Forensic psychiatry is facing major challenges related to criminal responsibility with an increasing number of offenses and the entanglement of several factors affecting offenders differently.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to determine the characteristics of offenders referred for forensic psychiatric examination.

Methods: We studied the medical files of all the offenders referred to the forensic psychiatry unit in Razi hospital for an examination between January 2010 and October 2020.

Results: The number of people who have undergone a forensic psychiatric examination was 256. Three files were not usable due to lacking data. The offenders were men in 95.7% (242) of the cases. Their average age was 35 years with a range of 17-53 years. They were mostly single (64%) with primary education (58.1%). Forty percent of the studied population were unemployed and 70% of them lived with their parents. Drug abuse was found in half of the cases and the average number of taken drugs is two illicit substances per person. A criminal record was found in 43% of the cases with an average number of two offenses per person. Offenders were found to suffer from schizophrenia in 29% of the cases, personality disorder in 17% of the cases and from intellectual disability in 16.6% of the cases. No psychiatric disorder was found in 24% of the cases

Conclusions: Despite having in common many vulnerability factors, such as low educational level, unemployment and drug abuse, an important number of offenders referred for forensic psychiatric examination weren't affected by a psychiatric disorder.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

Keywords: forensic examination; Clinical characteristics; Criminal responsibility

Genetics & molecular neurobiology

EPV0331

Toxic accumulation of copper and neuropsychiatric symptoms due to a familial tunisian compound heterozygous ATP7B missense mutation

N. Abdelmoula