

The leucine-rich repeat domains of BK channel auxiliary γ subunits regulate their expression, trafficking, and channel-modulation functions

Received for publication, October 21, 2021, and in revised form, January 16, 2022 Published, Papers in Press, January 30, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101664

Guanxing Chen (陈官星)¹, Qin Li (黎勤)¹, and Jiusheng Yan (闫久胜)^{1,2,*}

From the ¹Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA; ²Graduate Programs of Neuroscience and Biochemistry and Cell Biology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UT Health Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Houston, Texas, USA

Edited by Mike Shipston

As high-conductance calcium- and voltage-dependent potassium channels, BK channels consist of pore-forming, voltage-, and Ca^{2+} -sensing α and auxiliary subunits. The leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain-containing auxiliary y subunits potently modulate the voltage dependence of BK channel activation. Despite their dominant size in whole protein masses, the function of the LRR domain in BK channel y subunits is unknown. We here investigated the function of these LRR domains in BK channel modulation by the auxiliary y1-3 (LRRC26, LRRC52, and LRRC55) subunits. Using cell surface protein immunoprecipitation, we validated the predicted extracellular localization of the LRR domains. We then refined the structural models of mature proteins on the membrane via molecular dynamic simulations. By replacement of the LRR domain with extracellular regions or domains of non-LRR proteins, we found that the LRR domain is nonessential for the maximal channel-gating modulatory effect but is necessary for the all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the γ 1 subunit. Mutational and enzymatic blockade of N-glycosylation in the y1-3 subunits resulted in a reduction or loss of BK channel modulation by y subunits. Finally, by analyzing their expression in whole cells and on the plasma membrane, we found that blockade of N-glycosylation drastically reduced total expression of the y2 subunit and the cell surface expression of the y1 and y3 subunits. We conclude that the LRR domains play key roles in the regulation of the expression, cell surface trafficking, and channel-modulation functions of the BK channel y subunits.

The large-conductance, voltage- and calcium-activated potassium (BK, or $K_{Cal.1}$) channels are ubiquitously expressed and critically involved in various cellular and physiological processes, such as regulation of neuron firing and transmission (1, 2), motor coordination (3), learning and memory (4, 5), circadian rhythmicity (6, 7), the contractile tone of almost all types of smooth muscle cells (8, 9), and resting K⁺ efflux in secretory epithelial cells (10, 11). BK channel function is regulated by various auxiliary β and γ subunits and LINGO1 that confer tissue-specific gating and pharmacological properties (12–15).

The four BK channel y subunits are a group of leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing (LRRC) membrane proteins that consist of the y1 (LRRC26), y2 (LRRC52), y3 (LRRC55), and y4 (LRRC38) subunits (16, 17). The BK channel γ subunits are expressed in different tissues and play important physiological roles. The γ1 subunit is highly expressed in the salivary glands, prostate, and trachea and is moderately present in thyroid gland, thymus, colon, aorta, and fetal brain; y2 is predominantly expressed in the testes; and y3 is primarily expressed in the nervous system (17). The γ 1 subunit confers an unusual capability to the BK channel, making it active in the absence of calcium under the cells' resting state (16, 17), and is thus able to play an important role in resting K⁺ efflux and fluid secretion in nonexcitable secretory cells (10, 11). The $\gamma 2$ subunit potently regulates BK channel function in cochlear inner hair cells (18).

The BK channel y subunits have a characteristic LRR domain, a single transmembrane (TM) segment, and a short intracellular C-terminal tail. The y subunits display an exceptionally large range of capabilities in shifting the BK channel's voltage dependence of activation toward the hyperpolarizing direction by approximately 145 (BKy1), 105 (BKy2), and 50 mV (BKy3) in the absence of intracellular Ca^{2+} (Table S1). This is in contrast to the complex effects and mechanisms of the four double membrane-spanning β subunits on many aspects of BK channel gating (19-26). Furthermore, the y1 subunit possesses an uncommon binary "all-or-none" phenotype in that the voltage dependence of BK channel gating was either fully shifted to the negative voltage direction or unchanged when the expression of the y1 subunit was limited (27). This is in contrast to the commonly observed incremental modulatory effects of most other K⁺ channel auxiliary proteins, such as the BK^β subunits on BK channels (28), KCNE on KCNQ channels (29, 30), and KChiP on Kv4 channels (31). In the classic model, most auxiliary subunits bind to the channel with 4-fold symmetry to mirror the poreforming α subunit's 4-fold structural symmetry; thus, the

^{*} For correspondence: Jiusheng Yan, jyan1@mdanderson.org.

Present address for Qin Li: Heart and Vascular Institute, The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA.

channel-regulatory effect is expected to be incremental upon variation in the relative molecular ratio of the auxiliary subunit to core subunit. Interesting, up to four $\gamma 1$ subunit molecules can bind to a single BK channel (32–34); however, a single $\gamma 1$ subunit molecule per channel was considered to be enough to produce the full modulatory effect (32).

The cryo-EM structures of the human BK channel in complex with the β 4 subunit (35) provide structural insight into the mechanisms of channel modulation by β subunits. However, our understanding of the mechanisms of BK channel regulation by the auxiliary γ subunits remains very limited. We previously reported that the BK channel modulatory functions of different γ subunits are determined by their single TM segment and the adjacent positively charged amino acids on the C-terminal side (36). Nevertheless, the function of the characteristic LRR domains of the γ subunits remains largely unknown.

There are hundreds of LRRC proteins in the human protein database. Since the identification of the LRRC-type BKy subunit (16, 17), an increasing number of LRRC proteins have been found to function as regulatory or core proteins of ion channels, including LINGO1 for BK channels (15), LRRC52 (BK γ 2) for the Slo3 channel (37), AMIGO for Kv2.1 (38), LRRC10 and LRRK2 for voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels (39, 40), nyctalopin for TRPM1 (41), and LRRC8A-E for volume-regulated anion channels (42, 43). However, currently, little is known about the roles of their LRR domains in ion channel regulation.

All BK channel y subunits contain single or multiple canonical consensus (N-X-S/T) N-linked glycosylation sites in their LRR domains. So far, only the y1 subunit has been identified to be glycosylated at the N147 site, but its function has not been explored (17). Membrane proteins with large extracellular regions can be N-glycosylated during their synthesis and processing. In the trafficking pathway, a mannoserich glycan precursor is covalently linked to the specific Asn (N) residues on the extracellular domain or region of the nascent protein in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen. The N-linked precursor glycan is first modified by trimming as proteins exit the ER and then by sequential addition of diverse monosaccharides in the Golgi apparatus before they are targeted to their final destination. This N-glycosylation process results in substantial diversity of N-glycans and regulates membrane protein structure and function. N-glycosylation plays an important role in the regulation of ion channel proteins' structure and function by affecting their folding, stability, trafficking, protein interactions, and biophysical or pharmacological properties (44).

In previous studies, we found that swapping the LRR domain in the γ 1 subunit with that of γ 2–4 subunits resulted in small 15- to 30-mV shifts in G-V toward the negative voltage direction (36), suggesting that they have functional similarities, in spite of some small difference in their impacts on BK channel voltage gating. In addition, deletion of the LRR domain or even the individual LRR units caused a full loss of the γ 1 subunit's channel modulation function (16), suggesting that the LRR domain has a role in the γ subunits' expression,

trafficking, or structures on the membrane. Therefore, in this study, we investigated structure and function of the LRR domains in the BK channel γ 1–3 subunits. We determined the proteins' membrane topologies with cell surface protein immunoprecipitation and investigated the LRR domains' function with two new strategies by replacing the LRR domain with other types of extracellular domains that potentially facilitate proper cell surface expression and by altering the N-glycosylation statuses of the LRR domain. Our results confirmed the previous prediction of membrane topology and reveal the important roles of the LRR domains in the expression, trafficking, and all-or-none modulatory functions of BK channel auxiliary γ subunits.

Results

Structural models of BK channel γ subunits refined by molecular dynamic simulation

The BK channel y subunits each contain an N-terminal short hydrophobic segment, an LRR domain, a single TM segment, and a short C-terminal tail (Fig. S1). We predicted the presence of an N-terminal signal peptide sequence, the extracellular location of the LRR domain, and the intracellular location of the C terminus (16, 17). We reported that the N-terminal short hydrophobic sequence is cleaved in the mature protein and in the BKa-y fusion constructs in which the whole y subunit sequences are fused to the C terminus of the BK α subunit (17). On the basis of our previously modeled structure, the y subunits' LRR domain comprises six LRR repeats (LRR1-6) in the middle, capped by two cysteine-rich LRRNT and LRRCT regions on the N-terminal and C-terminal sides, respectively (16). Each LRR repeat is composed of 22 to 25 (mostly 24) residues with a consensus sequence of xxLxxLxxxLxLxxxX for LRR1 and xLxxLxxxxLxxxx xLxxLxLxxN for LRR2-6 (where x can be any amino acid and L can be replaced by I, V, F, or Y) (Fig. S1). Both the LRRNT and LRRCT regions contain two pairs of cysteine residues that are predicted to form disulfide bridges.

The recently released protein structure database of Alpha-Fold (45) provides predicted structural models of the whole proteins. Given the structural conservation of the LRR domains across LRR proteins and the α -helix feature of the TM region, we consider the AlphaFold prediction to be reasonable and a good structural basis for further refinement. To refine the structural models, we removed the signal peptide sequences and added POPC lipid bilayer for the predicted TM region and performed molecular dynamic simulation in a water box containing 0.15 M KCl. In the simulated structures, the relative positions of the LRR domains to the membrane are largely variable. Therefore, to perform a structural comparison of the four y subunits, we aligned and presented the LRR domains and the TM regions separately. In the modeled structures, the six stacked LRR repeats (LRR1-6) form a curved parallel β -sheet lining the concave face and mostly turns or occasionally helices flanking the convex circumference (Fig. 1). The conserved hydrophobic residues (mostly Leu) point inward and form the hydrophobic core of the LRR





Figure 1. Structural models of the BK channel γ subunits. The structural models of LRR domains and the rest were obtained from the last frames of 100ns MD simulation. For comparison, the LRR domains and TM domains among different γ subunits were aligned and displayed separately. For visualization purpose, TM domains after structural alignment were embedded manually on the same lipid bilayer.

domain. The LRRNT stabilizes the LRR domain on the N-terminal side by contributing two additional β -strands (one antiparallel and one parallel) and forming two disulfide bridges. The LRRCT contains helical structures and two disulfide bridges. The LRR repeats are largely similar, whereas the LRRCT regions are less conserved and more variable in structure among the four γ subunits. The TM segments are helical and tilted in the membrane. We had found that the $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 2$ subunits' TM segments account for an ~ 100 -mV shift in the voltage dependence of BK channel activation, whereas the γ 3 and γ 4 subunits' TM segments do not contribute (46). Of interest, the TM segments of the $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 2$ subunits are slightly bent in the middle compared with those of the γ 3 and γ 4 subunits (Fig. 1). This is likely caused by the difference in the position of residue 273 (γ 1 sequence), which is a Phe in γ 1 and $\gamma 2$ but a Ser in $\gamma 3$ and $\gamma 4$. We found that the F \leftrightarrow S switch at this position plays a key role in differentiating the function of the γ 1–4 subunits' single TM segment in BK modulation (46). The TM-adjacent positively charged clusters are largely α -helical structures (Fig. 1). The C tails, except that in the $\gamma 2$ subunit, are mostly disordered in structure. We have not yet identified a role for C tails beyond the cluster regions in the y subunits' function on BK channel modulation.

Membrane topology of BK channel y subunits

To validate the predicted membrane topology of the BK channel γ subunits, we developed a cell surface protein immunoprecipitation method to detect the subunits' expression on plasma membranes. We introduced the FLAG

peptide tag to the y subunits' N termini, located after the cleaved signal peptides and immediately in front of the LRRNT unit and C termini, respectively. The cells that expressed the FLAG-tagged y subunits were first probed by a rabbit anti-FLAG antibody via incubation of the live cells with the antibody and then immunoprecipitated upon membrane protein extraction from cell membranes with detergent. Immunoblotting of the immunoprecipitated samples with a mouse anti-FLAG antibody showed that only the N-terminally FLAG-tagged y1, y2, and y3 proteins could be enriched, whereas those containing the C-terminal FLAG-tag were not detected (Fig. 2, A-C). Conversely, both N- and C-terminally tagged FLAG epitopes were similarly detected by immunoblotting of total proteins in whole-cell lysate (Fig. 2, A-C). Our results provide direct evidence that the BK channel y subunits' LRR domains are located on the extracellular side, while their short C-terminal tails are positioned on the intracellular side.

Loss of LRR domain affected the all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the y1 subunit

We previously reported a $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ chimeric construct in which the BK channel $\beta 1$ subunit's second transmembrane segment and the short intracellular C terminus are replaced by the $\gamma 1$ subunit's TM segment and the adjacent positively charged residue cluster (residues 258–298) (Fig. 3A) (46). The $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ chimeric protein when fused to the C terminus of the BK α subunit overall displayed a similar function of the $\gamma 1$ subunit, with nearly no noticeable



Figure 2. Surface immunoprecipitation analyses of membrane topology of BK channel γ subunits. A–C, immunoblot analyses of FLAG-tagged γ 1 (A), γ 2 (B), and γ 3 (C) in samples of surface immunoprecipitation (*upper panels*) and total protein (whole-cell lysate; *bottom panels*). IB, immunoblot; IP, immunoprecipitation.

contribution from the $\beta 1$ subunit to BK channel gating, supporting a transplantable function of the $\gamma 1$ single TM and the adjacent charged cluster in BK channel modulation (46). In this study, we examined the function of this LRR domain–lacking chimeric protein on BK channel modulation by cotransfecting the plasmids of BK α and $\gamma 1$ or $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ -

 $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ at a ratio of ~1:1 or 2:1 (whole plasmid weight), a heterologous expression condition that is not optimal for BK channel modulation by the $\gamma 1$ subunit. With the intact $\gamma 1$ subunit, the obtained G-V relationship curves of BK channels showed some variation from cells to cells (Fig. 3*B*), presumably caused by a cell-to-cell variation in the absorption of different



Figure 3. The all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the γ **1 subunit was absent upon loss of its LRR domain.** *A*, structural cartoons of the γ **1** and its chimeric constructs. *B*, the G-V curves of the BK channels were best fitted with a double-Boltzmann function when the γ **1** subunit's expression is limited. *C*, double- and triple-Boltzmann function fittings of the G-V relationships of BK channels with limited expression of the β **1**₍₂₋₁₅₎- γ **1**₍₂₅₈₋₂₉₈₎ chimeric construct. *D*, double- and triple-Boltzmann function fittings of the G-V relationships of BK channels with limited expression of the FAPa2- γ **1**₍₂₅₈₋₃₃₄₎ chimeric construct. The double- and triple-Boltzmann function fittings of all G-V relationships in a dataset were done in a global manner with shared V_{1/2} and z parameters. The best fitted V_{1/2} values (shown on *top*) were obtained after the fit was converged and a chi-square tolerance value of 1×10^{-9} was reached. All data were collected at 0 [Ca²⁺]_i.

plasmid DNAs. However, the G-V curves were collectively well fitted by a double-Boltzmann function, an equation depicting the additive effects of two channel populations with distinct voltage dependence in channel activation. The fitting result showed two populations of channels, one fully modulated $(V_{1/2} = 31 \text{ mV})$ and the other not modulated at all $(V_{1/2} =$ 171 mV) (Fig. 3B and Table S2), in agreement with the known all-or-none modulatory effect of the $\gamma 1$ subunit (27). The allor-none phenomenon was not obvious with the $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ chimeric protein. The resulting G-V relationship curves, particularly those more shifted toward the positive voltage direction, cannot be fitted by a double-Boltzmann function using V_{1/2} values including the unmodulated channels (e.g., 165 mV) (Fig. 3C, left panel). Without restriction on $V_{1/2}$ values, the best double-Boltzmann fitting produced a low $V_{1/2}$ value of the fully modulated channels and an intermediate $V_{1/2}$ value of 97 mV (Fig. 3C, middle panel) that differs from the expected high $V_{1/2}$ value of the fully unmodulated channels. Fitting with a triple-Boltzmann function produced a more reasonable result of three $V_{1/2}$ values that can account for the fully modulated channels (14 mV) and the unmodulated channels (166 mV), and some intermediate state(s) of channel modulation (78 mV). Given that the $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ part might complicate the interpretation because of the modulatory function of the β 1 subunit on BK channels, we further evaluated the function of the LRR domain by replacing it with a distinct and BK channel-unrelated protein domain, called FAPa2 (SpectraGenetics, Inc) or L5-MG dimer (47). FAPa2 is an engineered extracellularly located protein domain that contains two copies of α -type fluorogen-activating peptide (SpectraGenetics, Inc), which is an antibody light chain variable domain that can bind malachite green dye to produce fluorescence (47). The resulting FAP α 2- γ 1_(258–334) construct (Fig. 3C) can still cause a large shift in G-V toward the negative voltage direction (Fig. 3D) that is comparable with that induced by the intact γ 1 subunit. However, similar to what was observed with the $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ construct, the BK channel G-V relationships obtained with FAP α 2- γ 1₍₂₅₈₋₃₃₄₎ construct is not consistent with the all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the y1 subunit. The double-Boltzmann function failed to produce reasonable fits for the G-V relationships if a high $V_{1/2}$ value of the fully unmodulated channels was forced to be included in fitting (Fig. 3D, right panel). An intermediate $V_{1/2}$ value of 135 or 99 mV was needed to produce the best fits with a double- or triple-Boltzmann function, respectively (Fig. 3D, middle and right panels). The necessity of an intermediate $V_{1/2}$ value to fit the G-V relationship with either a double- or a triple-Boltzmann function implies the presence of one or more channel populations that are only partially modulated, i.e., neither fully modulated nor unmodulated. These results with $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ and FAPa2- $\gamma 1_{(258-334)}$ constructs showed that the LRR domain is dispensable for the maximal shift in G-V curve induced by the γ 1 subunit. However, the absence of the LRR domain in the y1 subunit led to a loss of the all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the y1 subunit as some additional status of channel modulation appeared.

Leucine-rich repeat domain in BK channel regulation

Identification of N-glycosylation sites on LRR domains of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ subunits

The functional analysis described above with the chimeric $\beta 1_{(2-155)}\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ and FAP $\alpha 2$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-334)}$ constructs also clearly showed that the LRR domain is not absolutely required for the maximal channel-modulatory effect of the $\gamma 1$ subunit in terms of the shift in the voltage dependence of BK channel activation. However, deletion of the whole LRR domain or even an individual LRR unit in the $\gamma 1$ subunit led to the full loss of the protein's function in BK channel modulation (16), suggesting some other key role for the LRR domain in BK channel γ subunits.

To further understand the function of the LRR domains in the BK channel y subunits, we investigated their posttranslational modifications by N-glycosylation. Manipulation of their N-glycosylation status allowed us to perturb the LRR domain's structure and function in a site-specific manner, while the overall protein structures of the y subunits were largely maintained. Consistent with the results of our previous report (17), mutation of the predicted N-glycosylation site N147 (Figs. S1 and 1) to Q on the y1 LRR domain resulted in a slightly reduced molecular size on SDS-PAGE. A similar change in y1 protein migration on SDS-PAGE was observed after the enzymatic removal of the N-linked glycan via treatment of the cell lysate with peptide-N-glycosidases F (PNGase F) or by inhibiting the N-linked glycosylation process via treatment of cells with tunicamycin (Fig. 4A), an inhibitory analog of the substrate of GlcNAc-1-P-transferase, which catalyzes the first and committed step of N-linked glycosylation in the ER membrane. These results suggest that N147 is the only N-glycosylation site in the γ1 subunit.

Similarly, we generated a series of N-glycosylation blockade mutants in the γ 2 and γ 3 subunits at their consensus sites (Figs. S1 and 1). We mutated the residues N112, N148, and N211 in the γ 2 subunit and N82, N111, and N137 in the γ 3 subunit to Q and generated single-, double-, and triple-site N-glycosylation blockade mutants, respectively. Using SDS-PAGE and an immunoblot assay, the single-site mutations N112Q, N148Q, and N211Q of the γ 2 subunit all resulted in slightly faster migration and thus smaller molecular weight sizes than the WT protein (Fig. 4*B*). Similarly, the single-site mutations N82Q, N111Q, and N137Q of the γ 3 subunit also all resulted in a shift toward a smaller molecular size (~39 kDa band) than the WT protein on SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4*C*). These results are consistent with a loss of glycosylation at these sites.

The double-site mutations of the γ 2 subunit, N112/148Q, N112/211Q, and N1148/211Q, and of the γ 3 subunit, N82Q/N11Q, N82Q/N137Q, and N111Q/N137Q, all caused a further decrease in molecular size. The triple-site mutants, N112/148/211Q of the γ 2 subunit and N82/111/137Q of the γ 3 subunit, migrated fastest with the smallest molecular size (Fig. 4, *D* and *E*). The presence of some smaller smear bands in the triple-site mutant of the γ 2 subunit (Fig. 4*D*) suggests the possibility of additional posttranslational modifications. Consistently, compared with the triple-site mutational blockade of N-glycosylation in the γ 2 subunit, a further downward shift was observed upon removal of the N-linked



Figure 4. N-linked glycosylation sites on the extracellular LRR domains of BK channel \gamma subunits. *A*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells that were transiently transfected with γ 1 WT and glycosylation-null (N \rightarrow Q mutation) N147Q mutant, in the absence and presence of lysate treatment with PNGase F or cell treatment with tunicamycin. *B*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells that were transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null single-, double-, and triple-site mutants at N112, N148, and N211 sites. *C*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null single-, double-, and triple-site mutants at N82, N111, and N137 sites. *D*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null single-, double-, and triple-site mutants at N82, N111, and N137 sites. *D*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null single-, double-, and triple-site mutants at N82, N111, and N137 sites. *D*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null triple-site mutants at N82, N111, and N137 sites. *D*, immunoblot analyses of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 2 WT and glycosylation-null triple-site mutant in the absence and presence of lysate treatment with PNGase F or cell treatment with tunicamycin. *E*, immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 3 WT and glycosylation-null triple-site mutant in the absence and presence of lysate treatment with PNGase F or cell treatment with tunicamycin. *E*, immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from cells transiently transfected with γ 3 WT and glycosylation-null triple-site mutant in the absence and presence of lysate treatment with PNGase F or cell treatment with tunicamycin. For all immunoblot analyses, the γ 1–3 proteins were all FL

glycan by treating the cell lysate with PNGase F or by treating the cells with tunicamycin, inhibiting the N-linked glycosylation process (Fig. 4*D*), indicating the presence of additional Nglycosylation on other sites. In contrast, no minor smear bands were observed with the γ 3 subunit, and the triple-site mutation produced the same downward shift in molecular size as that obtained with enzymatic treatment (Fig. 4*E*), suggesting the absence of additional N-glycosylation modification. These data suggest that both the γ 2 and γ 3 subunits are extensively glycosylated at multiple sites in cells.

Blockade of N-glycosylation reduced the γ1 subunit's trafficking to the plasma membrane and availability for BK channel modulation

To evaluate the effect of N-glycosylation on the γ 1 subunit's function in BK channel modulation, we performed inside-out patch-clamp recording of HEK-293 cells that cotranslationally expressed the BK channel α subunit and the γ 1 WT or N147Q mutant. We found that blockade of protein N-glycosylation in HEK-293 cells by either cell treatment with tunicamycin or N \rightarrow Q mutation resulted in a great reduction in the portion of BK channels that was modulated by the γ 1 subunit (Fig. 5, *A* and *B* and Table S1). In the presence of the cotranslationally expressed γ 1 WT protein, all BK channels were fully modulated, in that the G-V relationship could be fitted with a single Boltzmann function (V_{1/2} = 22.5 ± 2.7 mV). However, the tunicamycin treatment and N147Q mutation both caused patch-to-patch variation in BK channel properties. In most excised membrane patches, the G-V relationships needed to be best fitted by two populations of channels, i.e., one population that is fully modulated by the y1 subunit $(V_{1/2} = \sim 20 \text{ mV})$ and the other nearly not modulated at all $(V_{1/2} \ge 150 \text{ mV})$ (Fig. 5, A and B and Table S1), which is a known all-or-none phenomenon of the BK channel modulation by the $\gamma 1$ subunit (17). On average, $\sim 70\%$ BK channels were not modulated by the γ 1 subunit upon cell treatment by tunicamycin or in the presence of N147Q mutation (Table S1). Thus, we hypothesize that blockade of N-glycosylation at the N147 site markedly reduced the γ 1 subunit's availability in BK channel modulation. To test this hypothesis, we enhanced the availability of the y1 N147Q mutant protein by greatly overexpressing the mutant protein via cotransfection of the BK α - γ 1(N147Q) fusion and the γ 1 N147Q alone constructs at a 1:10 ratio (µg:µg) in plasmid DNA. The patch-clamp recording results showed that increasing the expression of the y1 N147Q mutant could significantly restore the protein's BK channel modulatory effects by allowing most BK channels (~80%) to be fully modulated by the γ 1 subunit (Fig. 5B and Table S1).

To determine how N-glycosylation affected the γ 1 subunit's function, we performed immunoblotting and observed that the total expression of the γ 1 protein at the whole-cell level was not significantly changed in the absence and presence of the N147Q mutation (Fig. 5*C*) or cell treatment with tunicamycin



Figure 5. Effects of N-glycosylation on the channel-modulation function and surface expression of the v1 subunit. *A*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with v1-WT in the absence and presence of cell treatment with tunicamycin. *B*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with v1-N147Q mutant in the absence and presence of supplemental v1-N147Q overexpression. *C*, surface immunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged v1 WT and N147Q coexpressed with BKa. *D*, surface immunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged v1 WT coexpressed with BKa in cells that had been treated with or without tunicamycin. *E*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of surface-immunoprecipitated v1 WT and N147Q, as shown in *C*. *F*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of BKa coimmunoprecipitated with cell surface v1 WT and N147Q, as shown in *C*. The intensities of surface BKa bands were normalized to those of the corresponding surface v1 bands. *H*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of BKa coimmunoprecipitated with cell surface v1 WT and N147Q, as shown in *C*. The intensities of surface BKa bands were normalized to those of the corresponding surface v1 bands. *H*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of BKa coimmunoprecipitated with cell surface v1 wT and N147Q, as shown in *C*. The intensities of surface BKa bands were normalized to those of the corresponding surface v1 bands. *H*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of BKa coimmunoprecipitation was performed with rabit anti-FLAG antibody, and immunoblotting was performed with mouse anti-FLAG antibody. Data are presented as mean \pm 5EM and n = 3 for data in *E*-H. Unpaired Student's *t* test (two-tailed) was used to calculate *p* values. ** is for *p* values ≤ 0.01 (*p* = 0.00093 in *E* and 0.00087 in *F*).

(Fig. 5*D*). To determine whether N-glycosylation affected the proteins' surface expression, we performed cell surface immunoprecipitation of the γ 1 subunit. We observed that the amount of immunoprecipitated γ 1 protein from the cell surface was decreased by ~90% in the N147Q mutant (Fig. 5, *C* and *E*) and by ~60% in tunicamycin-treated cells (Fig. 5, *D* and *F*). To determine whether N-glycosylation affected the association of γ 1 with BK α , we compared the immunoblot staining intensities of BK α protein (normalized to that of γ 1),

coimmunoprecipitated with the cell surface $\gamma 1$ WT and N147Q mutant protein or with the $\gamma 1$ WT protein from untreated and tunicamycin-treated cells. We found that blockade or inhibition of N-glycosylation had no significant effect on the association of the cell surface $\gamma 1$ subunit with the BK α subunit (Fig. 5, *C*, *D*, *G* and *H*). Thus, N-glycosylation affects cell surface expression but has no impact on total expression and the association with the BK α subunit and channel gating-modulation function of the $\gamma 1$ subunit. This is consistent

with our previous report that the TM segment and the short C-terminal tail are the major determinants of the γ subunits' channel gating-modulation function.

Blockade of N-glycosylation reduced the γ2 subunit's total expression and availability for BK channel modulation

To determine the effect of N-glycosylation on the $\gamma 2$ subunit's function in BK channel regulation, we measured the voltage dependence of BK channel activation by patch-clamp recording of the BK channels on inside-out membrane patches of HEK-293 cells coexpressing BK α and the $\gamma 2$ WT and glycosylation-null (N \rightarrow Q) single-, double-, or triple-site mutants. We observed that treatment of cells with tunicamycin caused full loss of BK channel modulation by the γ^2 WT subunit, as the resulting G-V curve is similar to that obtained with the BK α subunit alone (Fig. 6A). Similar to that observed with the γ 1 subunit, the single-site mutants (N112Q, N148Q, and N211Q) and double-site mutants (N112Q/N148Q, N112Q/N211Q, and N148Q/N211Q) all caused a reduction of the γ^2 subunit's function in BK channel modulation, as indicated by a reduction in the portion of channels with low V_{1/2} (Fig. 6, *B* and *C* and Table S1). This suggests that the γ^2 subunit also has the all-or-none modulatory effect on BK channels. Of note, the N148Q/N211Q double-site mutants N148Q and N211Q in BK channel modulation, suggesting



Figure 6. Effects of N-glycosylation on the channel-modulation function and expression of the v2 subunit. *A*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with v2 WT protein in the absence and presence of cell treatment with tunicamycin. *B* and *C*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with the (*B*) v2 WT, N112Q, N148Q, or N211Q mutant or (*C*) v2 N112Q/N148Q, N112Q/N211Q, or N148Q/N211Q double-site mutant. *D*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with the v2 N112Q/N148Q/N211Q triple-site mutant. *D*, voltage dependence of supplemental triple-site mutant overexpression. *E*, immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from cells that had been transfected with BKa- and FLAG-tagged v2 WT or triple-site mutant, *s* shown in *E*. *G*, immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from cells that had been transfected with BKa- and FLAG-tagged v2 WT or without tunicamycin. *H*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of v2 WT and treated with or without tunicamycin. *H*, averaged relative intensities of inmunoblot staining of v2 WT and treated with or without tunicamycin. *H*, averaged relative intensities of immunoblot staining of v2 WT and treated with or without tunicamycin. *H*, averaged relative intensities of inmunoblot staining of v2 WT from cells that had been transfected with BKa- and FLAG-tagged v2 WT or without tunicamycin, as shown in *G*. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM and n = 3 for data in *F* and *H*. Unpaired Student's *t* test (two-tailed) was used to calculate *p* values. ** and *** are for *p* values ≤0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

some complex (nonadditive) effect of N-glycosylation on these sites. Similar to the result from cell treatment with tunicamycin (Fig. 6A), further blockade of N-glycosylation by triplesite mutation (N112Q/N148Q/N211Q) caused full loss of BK channel modulation by the γ 2 subunit (Fig. 6D and Table S1). To enhance the availability of the γ^2 triple-mutant protein for BK channel modulation, we transfected the cells with the BKα- γ 2 fusion and the γ 2 alone constructs at a 1:10 ratio (μ g: μ g) in plasmid DNA. This resulted in significant restoration of the BK channel modulation by the $\gamma 2$ triple-mutant protein, as \sim 36% channels can be fitted with a low V_{1/2} value (\sim 60 mV) that was close to the maximal effect of the y2 subunit on shifting the BK channel voltage gating (Fig. 6D and Table S1), which further suggests the presence of the all-or-none modulatory effect of the y2 subunit. These electrophysiological results indicate that the loss of N-glycosylation reduced the availability of the γ 2 subunit for BK channel modulation.

To determine how N-glycosylation affected the γ 2 subunit's function, we analyzed the expression of the γ 2 subunit WT and the triple-site mutant by immunoblotting. Unexpectedly, the expression of WT protein in tunicamycin-treated cells and the triple-site mutant in untreated cells were barely detectable, unlike the WT protein in untreated cells (Fig. 6, *E*–*H*), suggesting that N-glycosylation is largely required for the expression of the γ 2 subunit.

Blockade of N-glycosylation eliminated the γ3 subunit's expression on the plasma membrane

Similarly, to determine the effect of N-glycosylation of the γ 3 subunit on its BK channel modulation function, we performed inside-out patch-clamp recording of BK channels in HEK-293 cells that cotranslationally expressed BK α and γ 3 WT or N-glycosylation site-blockade single-, double-, or triple-site mutants. Our results showed that inhibition of N-glycosylation on γ 3 by treating cells with tunicamycin resulted in full loss (V_{1/2} = 160.1 ± 7.4 mV) of the BK channel modulation by γ 3 (Fig. 7A and Table S1).

Single-site mutation N137Q caused a reduction in BK channel modulation by shifting the $V_{1/2}$ value from 115.0 ± 1.7 (WT) to 149.7 ± 3.8 mV (N137Q), whereas the N82Q and N111Q mutations resulted in full loss of the y3 subunit's function in modulating BK channels (Fig. 7B and Table S1), as indicated by the resulting $V_{1/2}$ values of 167.2 ± 2.1 (N82Q) and 168.4 \pm 3.3 mV (N111Q), which are similar to that of BK α alone ($V_{1/2}$ = 167.7 ± 2.3 mV). As expected, the double (N82Q/ N111Q and N82Q/N137Q) mutants also caused a loss of BK channel modulation by the γ 3 subunit (Fig. 7*C* and Table S1). We further probed the function of the N-glycosylation on the y3 LRR domain using a y1(y3LRRD) chimeric construct in which the y1 subunit's LRR domain is replaced by that of the γ 3 subunit and whose function is similar to that of the intact γ 1 subunit in BK channel modulation (36). With this construct, the impact of mutational blockade of N-glycosylation sites on the y3 subunit's LRR domain was seen more obviously from the large shifts in the voltage dependence of the channel activation. The single and double mutations, N82Q, N82Q/N111Q, and N111Q/N137Q, in the y1(y3LRRD)

chimeric construct all resulted in full or nearly full loss of the modulatory effect on BK channel, whereas the N137Q mutation alone had only minor effect (Fig. 7*D*). These results are largely consistent with those observed for mutations on the γ 3 subunit. We evaluated whether the mutation-induced decrease in the γ 3 subunit's modulatory effect was caused by reduction in the protein's availability for channel modulation. By increasing the transfected DNA amount of the γ 3 mutant relative to BK α (BK α - γ 3: γ 3 = 1:10 in plasmid weight), we observed enhanced modulatory effects, *i.e.*, shift toward hyperpolarizing direction in the voltage dependence of channel activation, for the three tested mutants, N82Q, N111Q, and N82Q/N111Q (Fig. 7*E* and Table S1), consistent with a reduction in availability of the γ 3 subunit for BK channel modulation.

To determine how N-glycosylation affected the γ 3 subunit's availability for channel modulation, we performed immunoprecipitation of the total and cell surface γ 3 protein using anti-FLAG antibody to pull down the FLAG-tagged γ 3 protein. We observed that the total expression of the γ 3 subunit was not significantly different among the WT and the double- (N82Q/ N111Q) and triple-site (N82Q/N11Q/N137Q) mutants (Fig. 7, *F* and *G*). However, the surface immunoprecipitation of the Nterminally FLAG-tagged γ 3 WT protein showed nearly no detectable expression upon cell treatment with tunicamycin to inhibit N-glycosylation (Fig. 7*F*). Similarly, the surface expression of the γ 3 double- (N82Q/N111Q) and triple-site (N82Q/N11Q/N137Q) mutants on the plasma membranes was also largely absent (Fig. 7*G*).

We also performed surface immunoprecipitation of BK channels that were coexpressed with the y3 WT and doubleor triple-site mutant. We used a rabbit anti-Myc antibody to pull down the N-terminally Myc-tagged BKa protein on cell membranes. In this experiment, we used the C-terminally Myc-tagged BK channel α protein as a negative control. The results showed that only N-terminally Myc-tagged BKa protein was enriched, whereas the C-terminally Myc-tagged BKα was absent in the pull-down products (Fig. 7H), validating the specificity of the cell surface immunoprecipitation. Consistent with the cell surface immunoprecipitation results of the y3 subunit (Fig. 7G), both double- (N82Q/N111Q) and triple-site (N82Q/N11Q/N137Q) mutants of the y3 subunit were not coimmunoprecipitated with the cell surface BKa protein (Fig. 7H). Thus, we conclude that blockade or inhibition of N-glycosylation fully blocked the trafficking of the y3 subunit to plasma membranes, making it unavailable for BK channel modulation on the cell surface.

Discussion

The BK channel auxiliary γ subunits are single TM membrane proteins of ~300 amino acids in size that form a characteristic LRR domain. LRR domains are known for mediating protein–protein interactions. An increasing number of LRR-containing proteins have been found to be involved in the regulation of ion channel function. However, the exact functions of LRR domains in the BK channel γ subunits and other ion channel regulatory proteins are largely unknown.



Figure 7. Effects of N-glycosylation on the channel-modulation function and surface expression of the v3 subunit. *A*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with γ 3 WT protein in the absence and presence of cell treatment with tunicamycin. *B* and *C*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with (*B*) v3 N82Q, N111Q, or N137Q mutant or (*C*) N82Q/N111Q or N82Q/N137Q double-site mutant. *D*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with γ 1(v3LRRD) chimeric protein's N82Q, N137Q, N82Q/N111Q, or N111Q/N137Q mutant. *E*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with γ 1(v3LRRD) chimeric protein's N82Q, N137Q, N82Q/N111Q, or N111Q/N137Q mutant. *E*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with γ 1(v3LRRD) chimeric protein's N82Q, N137Q, N82Q/N111Q, or N111Q/N137Q mutant. *E*, voltage dependence of BK channel activation for channels formed by cotranslational expression of BKa with the γ 3 N82Q, N111Q or N82Q/N111Q supplemented with overexpression of the corresponding γ 3 mutant. *F*, immunoprecipitation of γ 3 WT on the cell surface and whole-cell lysate from cells that had been treated with or without tunicamycin. *G*, immunoprecipitation of γ 3 WT, double-site (N82Q/N111Q), and triple-site mutants on the cell surface. Immunoprecipitation was performed with a rabbit polyclonal anti-FLAG to pull down the FLAG-tagged γ 3 subunit (*F* and *G*) or a rabbit polyclonal anti-Myc to pull down the Myc-tagged BKa subunit (*H*).



Our initial mutational analyses indicated that the LRR domain has an essential role in the $\gamma 1$ subunit function, as deletion of the whole LRR domain and its individual structural units (except LRR5) all caused full loss of the channel-modulation function of the $\gamma 1$ subunit (16). However, we later found that the differential G-V shifting effects of the $\gamma 1-4$ subunits on BK channels are predominantly determined by the single TM domain and secondarily by the adjacent positively charged residue cluster on the C-terminal tail (36). Swapping the LRR domain in the γ 1 subunit with those of γ 2–4 subunits resulted in only 15- to 30-mV shifts in G-V toward the negative voltage direction, suggesting some potential but limited function of the LRR domain in affecting BK channel gating (36). Therefore, questions arose as to whether the LRR domain is indispensable for the y subunits' function and the exact functions that the LRR domain has in BK channel regulation by y subunits. In addition, it is necessary to determine whether the LRR domain is located on the extracellular side, as we predicted (17).

In this study, we systematically investigated the function of the LRR domain in BK channel regulation by y subunits. We first validated the extracellular location of the LRR domain using an effective surface immunoprecipitation method. By replacing the LRR domain with the extracellular region of the BK β 1 subunit and an engineered protein domain of FAP α 2, we demonstrated that the LRR domain is not absolutely required for the maximal voltage-gating shifting function of the y1 subunit; however, interestingly, the LRR domain appears to be necessary for the γ 1 subunit to retain the atypical all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the $\gamma 1$ subunit. Given that LRR domains contain canonical N-glycosylation sites and N-glycosylation is well known in the regulation of protein folding, expression, and trafficking, we studied the function of N-glycosylation on the y1-3 subunits in detail. We found that N-glycosylation plays a critical role in the expression of the y2 subunit and plasma membrane trafficking of the y1 and y3 subunits. Because N-glycosylation exerts its influence via the LRR domains, we concluded that the LRR domains play a key role in regulating the expression or plasma membrane trafficking of the y subunits. An extracellular domain or region such as an LRR domain is likely to be required for the BK channel y subunits to be properly folded on the ER membrane and trafficked to the plasma membrane. N-glycosylation facilitates the LRR domain to fulfill such functions.

Although N-glycosylation was found to be absent on the BK α subunit (48), the β subunits were N-glycosylated in their extracellular loops and their biophysical and pharmacological properties were affected by N-glycosylation. Removal of N-glycosylation in the β 1 subunit was reported to affect voltage gating, current kinetics, and inhibition by toxins on the extracellular side (49, 50). N-glycosylation of the β 2 subunit was reported to affect the channel rectification properties and toxin accessibility to its binding (51). In this study, we found that the effect of N-glycosylation on the γ 2 subunit differed from that of the γ 1 or γ 3 subunits. Of note, the γ 2 subunit also differs from the γ 1 and γ 3 subunits in their C-terminal

positively charged clusters in that the former has no influence, whereas the latter potentiate the voltage-gating shifting function of the γ subunits (36). The TM-adjacent positively charged residues on the C-terminal side are known to be important for a proper membrane anchor of the TM segment via the general "positive-inside rule" (52). We previously found that the C-terminal positively charged cluster plays a key role in both the overall function and the G-V shifting capability of the BK channel γ subunits (36, 46). Both the LRR domain and the C-terminal positively charged clusters may act synergistically for proper TM anchoring and plasma membrane expression of the BK channel y subunits. The presence of less functional positively charged residue clusters in the y2 subunits might explain why blockade of N-glycosylation in the $\gamma 2$ subunit caused a defect in total protein expression that is related to folding and degradation, whereas the elimination of N-glycosylation in the γ 1 and γ 3 subunits mainly affected the later process, trafficking to the plasma membrane. The $\gamma 1$ subunit contains only 1 N-glycosylation site on the LRR domain but the most abundant positively charged residues (6 Arg) in the cluster. The y3 subunit contains three Nglycosylation sites, and its cell surface expression appeared to be more sensitive to N-glycosylation, as even single-site blockade can lead to a full loss of the modulatory function and overexpression of the triple-site blockade mutant cannot restore channel modulation.

It is unclear how the absence of an LRR domain affects the all-or-none phenomenon of BK channel modulation by the $\gamma 1$ subunit. The γ 1 subunit can be in complex with the channel in an up to 4:1 ratio (i.e., 4 y1 per channel or 1 y1 per BKa subunit) (32-34). Single channel recording analysis of a $\beta 2_{1-194}\gamma 1_{258-298}$ chimeric construct showed that the possession of a single chimeric protein molecule per channel was sufficient to maximally modulate BK channels (32). The loss of the all-or-none phenomenon with the chimeric LRR domainlacking proteins could be explained by a potential role of the LRR domain in facilitating proper membrane anchoring of the γ 1 subunit. In the absence of the LRR domain, the γ 1's single TM segment in some BK α/γ 1 complexes might be improperly folded or orientated in the membrane relative to the BKa, which resulted in some changes or variation in individual y1 protein molecules' G-V shifting capacity in BK channel modulation.

In this study, we observed that the γ 2 subunit also exerts an all-or-none modulatory effect on BK channels, which has not been reported previously. It remains to be determined whether the γ 3 subunit also has such a binary modulatory effect on BK channels. The observation that some mutations (Fig. 7, *B*–*E*) caused a shift in the G-V curve rather than a reduction in the portion of fully modulated channels might indicate the lack of an all-or-none effect. However, it could also be caused by a structural perturbation of the LRR domain, whose proper folding is needed for the all-or-none modulatory effect.

Overall, in this study, we identified a multifaceted function of the LRR domains in the BK channel γ subunits. The role of the LRR domain in expression or surface expression explained the previous observation that the LRR domain, and even its

individual LRR units, cannot be simply deleted without a full loss of the channel-modulation function. The necessity of the LRR domain in the all-or-none phenomenon of the BK channel modulation by the γ 1 subunit suggests a potential role of the LRR domain in regulating BK channel voltage gating, presumably via an influence on the assembly of the γ 1 TM domain within the BK α/γ 1 channel complex. In principle, these findings could be applicable to the roles of LRR domains in the channel-modulation function of other LRR proteins.

Experimental procedures

Expression of BKa and y proteins in HEK-293 cells

Recombinant cDNA constructs of human BKa, y1-3 subunits, and mutants were used for heterologous expression in HEK-293 cells. HEK-293 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were transfected with plasmids using PEI MAX (Polysciences, Inc) and subjected to electrophysiological assays 16 to 24 h after transfection. As described (36), BK α - γ fusion cDNA constructs that encode precursor fusion proteins of human BK α on the N-terminal side and γ proteins on the C-terminal side were generated with the pCDNA6 vector and used to facilitate the cotranslational assembly of $BK\alpha/\gamma$ protein complexes after endogenous cleavage by peptidases at the linker (signal peptide) region in the mature proteins. The γ subunit-alone cDNA constructs, which were also generated with the pCDNA6 vector, were also used to overexpress the y subunit. The $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$ chimeric construct (not fused with BKa) was generated with pCDNA6 vector similarly as reported (46). The FAP α 2- γ 1₍₂₅₈₋₂₉₈₎ chimeric construct was generated with pCDNA6 vector using the y1 (LRRC26) gene sequence and the DNA sequence of the FAPa2 whole protein using plasmid pMFAPa2 (SpectraGenetics, Inc). The plasmids expressing BK α and $\gamma 1$, $\beta 1_{(2-155)}$ - $\gamma 1_{(258-298)}$, or FAP $\alpha 2$ y1(258-298) were mixed at a 1:1 or 2:1 ratio (whole plasmid weight) for cotransfection into HEK-293 cells.

Electrophysiology

We performed patch-clamp recording of the BK channel currents in excised inside-out plasma membrane patches of HEK-293 cells with symmetric internal and external solutions of 136 mM KMeSO₃, 4 mM KCl, and 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.20). The external solution was supplemented with 2 mM MgCl₂, and the internal solution was supplemented with 5 mM HEDTA without Ca²⁺ to create a virtual Ca²⁺-free solution. Steady-state activation was expressed as the normalized conductance (G/Gmax), calculated from the relative amplitude of the tail currents (deactivation at -120 mV). The voltage of half-maximal activation $(V_{1/2})$ and the equivalent gating charge (z) were obtained by fitting the relations of G/Gmax versus voltage with the single-Boltzmann function $G/Gmax = 1/(1 + e^{-ZF(V-VH)/RT})$, double-Boltzmann function $G/Gmax = Pa/(1 + e^{-ZaF(V-VHa)/RT}) +$ $(1 - Pa)/(1 + e^{-ZbF(V-VHb)/RT})$, or triple-Boltzmann function G/Gmax = Pa/(1 + $e^{-ZaF(V-VHa)/RT})$ + Pb/(1 + $e^{-ZbF(V-VHb)/RT})$ + (1 - Pa-Pb)/(1 + e^{-ZcF(V-VHc)/RT}), in which VH, Z, P, a-c, F, R, and T denote $V_{1/2}$, gating charge (z), fraction of each component, indication of different components, Faraday constant, gas

constant, and Kelvin temperature, respectively. Fitting with a higher-number (4 or 5) component Boltzmann function was not used because of the complexity of the fitting function. Experimental values are reported as means \pm SEM.

Deglycosylation and immunoprecipitation

Enzymatic blockade of N-glycosylation on proteins was done by adding 5 µg/ml tunicamycin to the cell culture for 20 h. Enzymatic removal of N-glycosylation was done by treatment of cell lysate with PNGase F (New England BioLabs Inc) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, the cell lysate was mixed with an equal volume of 2× reaction solution containing 100 units/µl PNGase F, 2% NP-40, 10 mM Na₂PO₃ (pH7.5) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 to 4 h. Cell lysate was obtained by solubilization of HEK-293 cells with 2% n-Dodecyl-beta-D-maltoside (DDM) in TBS buffer (50 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl [pH 7.6]) and then removal of the insoluble fractions by centrifugation at 17,000g for 10 min. Immunoprecipitation of the total BK channel γ subunit and the α/γ complex was performed similarly as described (53). The cell lysate was incubated (4 °C for 2 h) with immobilized antibody $(3-5 \mu g)$ that was covalently cross-linked to protein-A agarose beads. After three repetitive washes (10 min each time) with TBS buffer supplemented with 2% DDM, the captured proteins were eluted from beads with either FLAG peptide (100 µg/ml) or an equal volume of 2 × Laemmli SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) was used throughout the procedure.

For cell surface immunoprecipitation, protein constructs of the N-terminally FLAG-tagged y1 subunit or N-terminally Myc-tagged α subunit were used to allow specific antibody binding from the extracellular side. About 36 to 48 h after transfection, cells were gently washed 2 times with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) buffer (Cat# 17-516F, Lonza Bioscience) in dishes and then directly incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-FLAG (Cat# F7425, Sigma-Aldrich) or a rabbit polyclonal anti-Myc (Cat# C3956-.2MG, Sigma-Aldrich) antibody (3-5 µg) in PBS for 30 min at ambient temperature. The antibody-associated cells were collected from dishes and lysed with 2% DDM in TBS buffer. After centrifugation at 17,000g for 10 min, the solubilized proteins in the supernatant were incubated with protein A agarose beads at 4 °C overnight. The precipitated protein was then washed and eluted following the same procedure described above.

The eluted proteins were separated on 4% to 20% gradient SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Immunoblotting was performed with mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG (Cat# F7425 and F3165 from Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-BK α (Cat# L6/60 from NeuroMabs) antibody to detect the BK α and γ subunits.

Molecular dynamic simulation

The initial structural models of LRRC26, LRRC52, LRRC55, and LRRC38 were from the protein structure database of AlphaFold (45). The N-terminal signal peptide sequences were removed at the cleavage sites predicted by the SignalIP-5.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The protein/lipid/

solvent systems and input files for molecular dynamic simulation were generated with the CHARMM-GUI webserver (54). The initial structural models were embedded in a lipid bilayer of 1palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) within a water box containing 0.15 M KCl in which the protein charges were neutralized with K⁺ or Cl⁻ ions. The molecular dynamic simulation was carried out with Gromacs 2021 (https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5053220) (55) and CHARMM36m force-field (56) with WYF parameter for cation-pi interactions (57). The system was energy minimized and then equilibrated in six steps using default input scripts for Gromacs generated by the CHARMMGUI webserver. After the equilibration, the systems were simulated for 100 ns with a 2-fs time step. The Nose-Hoover thermostat and a Parrinello-Rahman semi-isotropic pressure control were used to keep the temperature at 303.15 K and the pressure at 1 bar, respectively. A 12-Å cutoff was used to calculate the short-range electrostatic interactions, and the Particle Mesh Ewald summation method was employed to account for the longrange electrostatic interactions.

Data processing and statistics

The data were processed and plotted with Igor Pro (v5), GraphPad Prism (v8), or OriginLab (v2015 or 2017). Unless indicated, all measurements or repeats were taken with distinct samples or cells. Unpaired Student's t test (two-tailed) was used to calculate p values.

Data availability

All relevant data are contained within this article and the supporting information.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information.

Acknowledgments—We thank Ann M. Sutton at the Research Medical Library of MD Anderson Cancer Center for editing this article.

Author contributions—G. C., Q. L., and J. Y. methodology; G. C., Q. L., and J. Y. formal analysis; G. C. and Q. L. investigation; G. C. and J. Y. writing – review & editing.

Funding and additional information—This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants NS078152 (J. Y.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: DDM, dodecyl-beta-D-maltoside; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; LRR, leucine-rich repeat; LRRC, leucine-rich repeat–containing; TM, transmembrane.

References

 Shao, L. R., Halvorsrud, R., Borg-Graham, L., and Storm, J. F. (1999) The role of BK-type Ca²⁺-dependent K⁺ channels in spike broadening during repetitive firing in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. J. Physiol. **521** Pt 1, 135–146

- Womack, M. D., and Khodakhah, K. (2002) Characterization of large conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels in cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 1214–1222
- Sausbier, M., Hu, H., Arntz, C., Feil, S., Kamm, S., Adelsberger, H., Sausbier, U., Sailer, C. A., Feil, R., Hofmann, F., Korth, M., Shipston, M. J., Knaus, H. G., Wolfer, D. P., Pedroarena, C. M., *et al.* (2004) Cerebellar ataxia and Purkinje cell dysfunction caused by Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel deficiency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 9474–9478
- Matthews, E. A., and Disterhoft, J. F. (2009) Blocking the BK channel impedes acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning. *Learn. Mem.* 16, 106–109
- Typlt, M., Mirkowski, M., Azzopardi, E., Ruettiger, L., Ruth, P., and Schmid, S. (2013) Mice with deficient BK channel function show impaired prepulse inhibition and spatial learning, but normal working and spatial reference memory. *PLoS One* 8, e81270
- Pitts, G. R., Ohta, H., and McMahon, D. G. (2006) Daily rhythmicity of large-conductance Ca²⁺ -activated K⁺ currents in suprachiasmatic nucleus neurons. *Brain Res.* 1071, 54–62
- Meredith, A. L., Wiler, S. W., Miller, B. H., Takahashi, J. S., Fodor, A. A., Ruby, N. F., and Aldrich, R. W. (2006) BK calcium-activated potassium channels regulate circadian behavioral rhythms and pacemaker output. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 1041–1049
- Brenner, R., Perez, G. J., Bonev, A. D., Eckman, D. M., Kosek, J. C., Wiler, S. W., Patterson, A. J., Nelson, M. T., and Aldrich, R. W. (2000) Vasoregulation by the betal subunit of the calcium-activated potassium channel. *Nature* 407, 870–876
- Meredith, A. L., Thorneloe, K. S., Werner, M. E., Nelson, M. T., and Aldrich, R. W. (2004) Overactive bladder and incontinence in the absence of the BK large conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 36746–36752
- 10. Gonzalez-Perez, V., Martinez-Espinosa, P. L., Sala-Rabanal, M., Bhar-adwaj, N., Xia, X. M., Chen, A. C., Alvarado, D., Gustafsson, J. K., Hu, H., Ciorba, M. A., and Lingle, C. J. (2021) Goblet cell LRRC26 regulates BK channel activation and protects against colitis in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118, e2019149118
- Yang, C., Gonzalez-Perez, V., Mukaibo, T., Melvin, J. E., Xia, X. M., and Lingle, C. J. (2017) Knockout of the LRRC26 subunit reveals a primary role of LRRC26-containing BK channels in secretory epithelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, E3739–E3747
- 12. Guan, X., Li, Q., and Yan, J. (2017) Relationship between auxiliary gamma subunits and mallotoxin on BK channel modulation. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42240
- Li, Q., and Yan, J. (2016) Modulation of BK channel function by auxiliary beta and gamma subunits. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 128, 51–90
- Gonzalez-Perez, V., and Lingle, C. J. (2019) Regulation of BK channels by beta and gamma subunits. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 81, 113–137
- Dudem, S., Large, R. J., Kulkarni, S., McClafferty, H., Tikhonova, I. G., Sergeant, G. P., Thornbury, K. D., Shipston, M. J., Perrino, B. A., and Hollywood, M. A. (2020) LINGO1 is a regulatory subunit of large conductance, Ca²⁺-activated potassium channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 2194–2200
- Yan, J., and Aldrich, R. W. (2010) LRRC26 auxiliary protein allows BK channel activation at resting voltage without calcium. *Nature* 466, 513–516
- Yan, J., and Aldrich, R. W. (2012) BK potassium channel modulation by leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 7917–7922
- Lingle, C. J. (2019) LRRC52 regulates BK channel function and localization in mouse cochlear inner hair cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 18397–18403
- Wallner, M., Meera, P., and Toro, L. (1999) Molecular basis of fast inactivation in voltage and Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels: A transmembrane beta-subunit homolog. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 4137–4142
- 20. Xia, X. M., Ding, J. P., Zeng, X. H., Duan, K. L., and Lingle, C. J. (2000) Rectification and rapid activation at low Ca²⁺ of Ca²⁺-activated, voltagedependent BK currents: Consequences of rapid inactivation by a novel beta subunit. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 4890–4903



- Brenner, R., Jegla, T. J., Wickenden, A., Liu, Y., and Aldrich, R. W. (2000) Cloning and functional characterization of novel large conductance calcium-activated potassium channel beta subunits, hKCNMB3 and hKCNMB4. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 6453–6461
- 22. Meera, P., Wallner, M., and Toro, L. (2000) A neuronal beta subunit (KCNMB4) makes the large conductance, voltage- and Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel resistant to charybdotoxin and iberiotoxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 5562–5567
- Zeng, X. H., Xia, X. M., and Lingle, C. J. (2003) Redox-sensitive extracellular gates formed by auxiliary beta subunits of calcium-activated potassium channels. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 448–454
- Savalli, N., Kondratiev, A., de Quintana, S. B., Toro, L., and Olcese, R. (2007) Modes of operation of the BKCa channel beta2 subunit. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 130, 117–131
- Contreras, G. F., Neely, A., Alvarez, O., Gonzalez, C., and Latorre, R. (2012) Modulation of BK channel voltage gating by different auxiliary beta subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 18991–18996
- Sun, X., Zaydman, M. A., and Cui, J. (2012) Regulation of voltage-activated K⁺ channel gating by transmembrane beta subunits. *Front. Pharmacol.* 3, 63
- Gonzalez-Perez, V., Xia, X. M., and Lingle, C. J. (2014) Functional regulation of BK potassium channels by gamma1 auxiliary subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 4868–4873
- Wang, Y. W., Ding, J. P., Xia, X. M., and Lingle, C. J. (2002) Consequences of the stoichiometry of Slo1 alpha and auxiliary beta subunits on functional properties of large-conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 1550–1561
- 29. Murray, C. I., Westhoff, M., Eldstrom, J., Thompson, E., Emes, R., and Fedida, D. (2016) Unnatural amino acid photo-crosslinking of the IKs channel complex demonstrates a KCNE1:KCNQ1 stoichiometry of up to 4:4. *Elife* **5**, e11815
- Nakajo, K., Ulbrich, M. H., Kubo, Y., and Isacoff, E. Y. (2010) Stoichiometry of the KCNQ1 - KCNE1 ion channel complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 18862–18867
- **31.** Kitazawa, M., Kubo, Y., and Nakajo, K. (2014) The stoichiometry and biophysical properties of the Kv4 potassium channel complex with K⁺ channel-interacting protein (KChIP) subunits are variable, depending on the relative expression level. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 17597–17609
- 32. Gonzalez-Perez, V., Ben Johny, M., Xia, X. M., and Lingle, C. J. (2018) Regulatory gamma1 subunits defy symmetry in functional modulation of BK channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 9923–9928
- 33. Carrasquel-Ursulaez, W., Alvarez, O., Bezanilla, F., and Latorre, R. (2018) Determination of the stoichiometry between alpha- and gamma1 subunits of the BK channel using LRET. *Biophys. J.* 114, 2493–2497
- Noda, S., Suzuki, Y., Yamamura, H., and Imaizumi, Y. (2020) Single molecule fluorescence imaging reveals the stoichiometry of BKgamma1 subunit in living HEK293 cell expression system. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 43, 1118–1122
- **35.** Tao, X., and MacKinnon, R. (2019) Molecular structures of the human Slo1 K^+ channel in complex with β 4. *Elife* **8**, e51409
- Li, Q., F. F., Kwak, H. R., and Yan, J. (2015) Molecular basis for differential modulation of BK channel voltage-dependent gating by gamma auxiliary subunits. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 145, 543–554
- 37. Zeng, X. H., Yang, C., Xia, X. M., Liu, M., and Lingle, C. J. (2015) SLO3 auxiliary subunit LRRC52 controls gating of sperm KSPER currents and is critical for normal fertility. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 2599–2604
- Peltola, M. A., Kuja-Panula, J., Lauri, S. E., Taira, T., and Rauvala, H. (2011) AMIGO is an auxiliary subunit of the Kv2.1 potassium channel. *EMBO Rep.* 12, 1293–1299
- 39. Brody, M. J., Feng, L., Grimes, A. C., Hacker, T. A., Olson, T. M., Kamp, T. J., Balijepalli, R. C., and Lee, Y. (2016) LRRC10 is required to maintain cardiac function in response to pressure overload. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 310, H269–H278
- Bedford, C., Sears, C., Perez-Carrion, M., Piccoli, G., and Condliffe, S. B. (2016) LRRK2 regulates voltage-gated calcium channel function. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 9, 35

- Cao, Y., Posokhova, E., and Martemyanov, K. A. (2011) TRPM1 forms complexes with nyctalopin *in vivo* and accumulates in postsynaptic compartment of ON-bipolar neurons in mGluR6-dependent manner. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 11521–11526
- 42. Voss, F. K., Ullrich, F., Munch, J., Lazarow, K., Lutter, D., Mah, N., Andrade-Navarro, M. A., von Kries, J. P., Stauber, T., and Jentsch, T. J. (2014) Identification of LRRC8 heteromers as an essential component of the volume-regulated anion channel VRAC. *Science* 344, 634–638
- Syeda, R., Qiu, Z., Dubin, A. E., Murthy, S. E., Florendo, M. N., Mason, D. E., Mathur, J., Cahalan, S. M., Peters, E. C., Montal, M., and Patapoutian, A. (2016) LRRC8 proteins form volume-regulated anion channels that sense ionic strength. *Cell* 164, 499–511
- Shipston, M. J., and Tian, L. (2016) Posttranscriptional and posttranslational regulation of BK channels. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 128, 91–126
- 45. Tunyasuvunakool, K., Adler, J., Wu, Z., Green, T., Zielinski, M., Zidek, A., Bridgland, A., Cowie, A., Meyer, C., Laydon, A., Velankar, S., Kleywegt, G. J., Bateman, A., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., *et al.* (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the human proteome. *Nature* 596, 590–596
- 46. Li, Q., Guan, X., Yen, K., Zhang, J., and Yan, J. (2016) The single transmembrane segment determines the modulatory function of the BK channel auxiliary gamma subunit. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 147, 337–351
- 47. Szent-Gyorgyi, C., Stanfield, R. L., Andreko, S., Dempsey, A., Ahmed, M., Capek, S., Waggoner, A. S., Wilson, I. A., and Bruchez, M. P. (2013) Malachite green mediates homodimerization of antibody VL domains to form a fluorescent ternary complex with singular symmetric interfaces. *J. Mol. Biol.* 425, 4595–4613
- Bravo-Zehnder, M., Orio, P., Norambuena, A., Wallner, M., Meera, P., Toro, L., Latorre, R., and González, A. (2000) Apical sorting of a voltageand Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel alpha-subunit in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells is independent of N-glycosylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 13114–13119
- Hagen, B. M., and Sanders, K. M. (2006) Deglycosylation of the betalsubunit of the BK channel changes its biophysical properties. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 291, C750–C756
- 50. Wang, X., Xiao, Q., Zhu, Y., Qi, H., Qu, D., Yao, Y., Jia, Y., Guo, J., Cheng, J., Ji, Y., Li, G., and Tao, J. (2021) Glycosylation of beta1 subunit plays a pivotal role in the toxin sensitivity and activation of BK channels. *J. Venom. Anim. Toxins Incl. Trop. Dis.* 27, e20200182
- **51.** Huang, Z., L, H., Yan, Z., Wang, S., Wang, L., and Ding, J. (2017) The glycosylation of the extracellular loop of β 2 subunits diversifies functional phenotypes of BK channels. *Channels (Austin)* **2**, 156–166
- 52. von Heijne, G. (1989) Control of topology and mode of assembly of a polytopic membrane protein by positively charged residues. *Nature* 341, 456–458
- 53. Zhang, J., G, X., Li, Q., Meredith, A. L., Pan, H., and Yan, J. (2018) Glutamate-activated BK channel complexes formed with NMDA receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E9006–E9014
- Wu, E. L., Cheng, X., Jo, S., Rui, H., Song, K. C., Davila-Contreras, E. M., Qi, Y., Lee, J., Monje-Galvan, V., Venable, R. M., Klauda, J. B., and Im, W. (2014) CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder toward realistic biological membrane simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 35, 1997–2004
- 55. Van Der Spoel, D., Lindahl, E., Hess, B., Groenhof, G., Mark, A. E., and Berendsen, H. J. (2005) Gromacs: Fast, flexible, and free. *J. Comput. Chem.* 26, 1701–1718
- 56. Lee, J., Cheng, X., Swails, J. M., Yeom, M. S., Eastman, P. K., Lemkul, J. A., Wei, S., Buckner, J., Jeong, J. C., Qi, Y., Jo, S., Pande, V. S., Case, D. A., Brooks, C. L., 3rd, MacKerell, A. D., Jr., *et al.* (2016) CHARMM-GUI input generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER, OpenMM, and CHARMM/OpenMM simulations using the CHARMM36 additive force field. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 12, 405–413
- 57. Khan, H. M., MacKerell, A. D., Jr., and Reuter, N. (2019) Cation-pi interactions between methylated ammonium groups and tryptophan in the CHARMM36 additive force field. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 15, 7–12

