



Optimal Pipette Resistance, Seal Resistance, and Zero-Current Membrane Potential for Loose Patch or Breakthrough Whole-Cell Recording *in vivo*

Linqing Yan^{1†}, Qi Fang^{3†}, Xingui Zhang⁴ and Bowan Huang^{2*}

¹Mental Health Center of Shantou University, Shantou, China, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Central People's Hospital of Zhanjiang, Zhanjiang, China, ³Zilkha Neurogenetic Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ⁴College of Integrative Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alexey Semyanov, Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry (RAS), Russia

Reviewed by:

Giorgio Rispoli, University of Ferrara, Italy Yulia Dembitskaya, Collège de France, France

***Correspondence:** Bowan Huang bowanhuang@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

> Received: 09 March 2020 Accepted: 11 May 2020 Published: 30 June 2020

Citation:

Yan L, Fang Q, Zhang X and Huang B (2020) Optimal Pipette Resistance, Seal Resistance, and Zero-Current Membrane Potential for Loose Patch or Breakthrough Whole-Cell Recording in vivo. Front. Neural Circuits 14:34. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2020.00034 In vivo loose patch and breakthrough whole-cell recordings are useful tools for investigating the intrinsic and synaptic properties of neurons. However, the correlation among pipette resistance, seal condition, and recording time is not thoroughly clear. Presently, we investigated the recording time of different pipette resistances and seal conditions in loose patch and breakthrough whole-cell recordings. The recording time did not change with pipette resistance for loose patch recording ($R_{\rm p}$ -loose) and first increased and then decreased as seal resistance for loose patch recording ($R_{\rm s}$ -loose) increased. For a high probability of a recording time ≥30 min, the low and high cutoff values of $R_{\rm s}$ -loose were 21.5 and 36 M Ω , respectively. For neurons with $R_{\rm s}$ loose values of 21.5–36 M Ω , the action potential (AP) amplitudes changed slightly 30 min after the seal. The recording time increased as seal resistance for whole-cell recording ($R_{\rm s}$ -tight) increased and the zero-current membrane potential for breakthrough whole-cell recording (MPzero-current) decreased. For a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min, the cutoff values of $R_{\rm s}$ -tight and MP_{zero-current} were 2.35 G Ω and -53.5 mV, respectively. The area under the curve (AUC) of the MP_{zero-current} receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was larger than that of the R_s-tight ROC curve. For neurons with MP_{zero-current} values ≤ -53.5 mV, the inhibitory or excitatory postsynaptic current amplitudes did not show significant changes 30 min after the seal. In neurons with $R_{\rm s}$ -tight values >2.35 G Ω , the recording time gradually increased and then decreased as the pipette resistance for whole-cell recording ($R_{\rm o}$ -tight) increased. For the high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min, the low and high cutoff values of $R_{\rm p}$ -tight were 6.15 and 6.45 M Ω , respectively. Together, we concluded that the optimal $R_{\rm s}$ -loose range is 21.5–36 M Ω , the optimal $R_{\rm p}$ -tight range is 6.15–6.45 M Ω , and the optimal $R_{\rm s}$ -tight and MP_{zero-current} values are \geq 2.35 G Ω and \leq -53.5 mV, respectively. Compared with R_stight, the MP_{zero-current} value can more accurately discriminate recording times \geq 30 min and <30 min.

Keywords: in vivo recordings, pipette resistance, seal resistance, membrane potential, recording time

INTRODUCTION

In vivo loose patch recording and breakthrough whole-cell recording (hereafter, whole-cell recording) are important techniques in neuroscience (Sun et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2010). However, the recording time is often short (Wang et al., 2016). The recording time is interrelated with the recording electrode's condition (e.g., pipette resistance) and the recording electrode's seal condition [e.g., seal resistance before rupturing the cell membrane or zero-current membrane potential ($MP_{zero-current}$) without artificial interference after rupturing the cell membrane] (Neher et al., 1978; Hamill et al., 1981). The correlation among pipette resistance, seal condition, and recording time remains largely unclear. Solving this problem will help researchers to improve recording time, enhance their confidence in completing studies, and decrease training time.

Via loose patch recording, researchers can measure the suprathreshold firing of a single neuron and investigate the spiking properties of neurons (Tan et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2013). In loose patch recording, the pipette is usually sealed on the membrane patch that is invaginated into its lumen usually via suction (Roberts and Almers, 1992). The cell membrane is not ruptured (Neher et al., 1978). As the pipette resistance for loose patch recording (R_p -loose) decreases, the tip diameter of the recording electrode increases. The larger patched area is harder to seal (Horn and Korn, 1992; Penner, 1995), and even if sealed, the seal is looser and easier to destroy (Hamill et al., 1981). In addition, a larger patch membrane fluctuates more easily and is ruptured by the strength (i.e., the negative pressure in the lumen of the recording electrode or resilience of the cell membrane), which invaginates the cell membrane into the lumen of the recording electrode (Roberts and Almers, 1992). As the $R_{\rm p}$ -loose increases, the tip diameter of the recording electrode decreases. Thus, the cell membrane is easier to directly pierce by the tip of the recording electrode during recording because of the movement of the cell membrane (Wang et al., 2016). On one hand, when $R_{\rm p}$ -loose is constant, the larger the seal resistance for loose patch recording (R_s -loose) is, the larger the negative pressure in the lumen of the recording electrode or resilience of the cell membrane is (Roberts and Almers, 1992), and the easier it is to damage the cell membrane (Weiss et al., 1986; Milton and Caldwell, 1990; Roberts et al., 1990; Roberts and Almers, 1992). On the other hand, the smaller R_s -loose is, the looser the seal is and the easier it is to destroy (Hamill et al., 1981). Taken together, $R_{\rm p}$ -loose and $R_{\rm s}$ -loose seem to correlate with recording time, but the details of their correlation need to be further investigated.

Using whole-cell recording, researchers can measure the subthreshold excitatory and inhibitory electrical activities of a neuron and explore the properties of specific ion channels or receptors and the effect of neuronal activity on the functionality of neural circuits (Wehr and Zador, 2003; Zhang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2016). Moreover, during whole-cell recording, staining and pharmacological agents can be infused into the neuron to reveal neuronal morphology and carry out intracellular pharmacological studies (Wang et al., 2016). During whole-cell recording, as the cell membrane is invaginated into the lumen of the recording electrode, the recording

electrode is attached to the neuron with a seal resistance for whole-cell recording $(R_s$ -tight) ≥ 1 G Ω , and subsequently, the cell membrane is ruptured (Horn and Brodwick, 1980; Hamill et al., 1981). As analyzed in the above loose patch recording description, a smaller pipette resistance for whole-cell recording $(R_{\rm p}$ -tight) means a looser seal (Horn and Korn, 1992), which is easier to destroy (Hamill et al., 1981). A larger R_p -tight means a smaller amount of patch membrane is involved, which makes fluctuations more difficult and increases the difficulty of rupture. The process of rupturing the membrane alters the mechanical stability of the seal with a higher probability. As the seal resistance increases (Hamill et al., 1981) and the zero-current membrane potential (MPzero-current) decreases (Hamill et al., 1981; Kornreich, 2007), the seal is mechanically more stable. Therefore, Rp-tight, Rs-tight, and MPzero-current values all theoretically influence the recording time (Hamill et al., 1981). However, the detailed correlation among these factors remains unclear.

The R_p -loose, R_p -tight, and R_s -loose values cannot be too small or too large. The R_s -tight cannot be too small, and the MP_{zero-current} cannot be too high. Therefore, we hypothesize that for long-lasting recording, there are optimal ranges of R_p -loose, R_s -loose, and R_p -tight. Additionally, there are optimal cutoff values for R_s -tight and MP_{zero-current}. To test our hypothesis in this study, we analyzed the data (R_p -loose, R_s -loose, R_p tight, R_s -tight, MP_{zero-current}, and recording time) of loose patch and whole-cell recordings in the primary auditory cortex and aimed to determine the correlation among pipette resistance, seal condition, and recording time.

METHODS

Animal Preparation

All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shantou University Medical College, Guangdong, China. C57BL/6J mice (female, 6-8 weeks, 16-20 g) with normal hearing were used in this study. The mice were housed under a 12-h light/dark cycle with water and food provided ad libitum. The mice were first anesthetized using sodium pentobarbital (60-70 mg/kg i.p., Sigma-Adrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), with an additional dose administered if the pedal withdrawal reflex was evoked by a toe pinch. The mouse body temperature was continuously monitored and maintained at 37°C using a heating pad with a feedback controller. Atropine sulfate (0.25 mg/kg, Nandao, Hainan, China) was injected subcutaneously to reduce secretions in the respiratory tracts. The heads of the mice were fixed using a customized apparatus with dental cement. Then, for approximately 3 days, the mice were then habituated, allowed to recover, and trained to be accustomed to head fixation on the recording setup.

In vivo Recordings of Awake Mice

Before electrophysiological recordings, the mouse was anesthetized with isoflurane (2%; Sigma-Adrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and a craniotomy was performed over the primary auditory cortex. The electrophysiological experiments, including loose patch recording and breakthrough whole-cell recording *in vivo*, were carried out on an anti-vibration table in a soundproof room, and the head of the mouse was immobilized as described above (Xiong et al., 2013).

After the mouse awakened from isoflurane anesthesia, the dura was removed, and a glass pipette (tip diameter of approximately 1.0 µm, Sutter, Inc., USA, vertically pulled by PC-10, Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted in the primary auditory cortex vertically to the brain surface controlled by a micromanipulator (Siskiyou Inc., Grants Pass, OR, USA). The pipette solution contained artificial cerebral spinal fluid (ACSF; in mM: 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.23 NaH₂PO₄, 20 glucose, and 1.5% biocytin, pH 7.25, Sigma-Adrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for loose patch recording or a synthetic fluid (in mM: 125 Cs-gluconate, 2 CsCl, 5 TEA-Cl, 4 MgATP, 0.3 GTP, 8 phosphocreatine, 10 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 1 QX-314, pH 7.23, Sigma-Adrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for whole-cell recordings. After being inserted into an electrode holder (Axon Instruments), the patch pipette was first rapidly lowered to the desired depth (from L2/3 to L6, i.e., $151-1,000 \mu m$) under 6-psi positive pressure; then, the pipette was slowly advanced (in 1 µm steps) at a lower pressure (0.5–1 psi) until a neuron was detected, which was reflected by a pipette resistance change. When the patch pipette obtained a low seal resistance (10–200 M Ω), the neuron recording was considered to be a loose patch recording. An Axoclamp 700B amplifier (Axon Instruments/Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used in current-clamp mode for recording action potential (AP). When the patch pipette obtained a high seal resistance (≥ 1 G Ω) and the neuron membrane was successfully sucked through, the recording was considered to be a whole-cell recording that would allow the MPzero-current to be evaluated in current-clamp mode (I = 0) first and then the recording mode could be switched to voltage-clamp mode to record the inhibitory postsynaptic current (IPSC) and excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC). For recording the IPSC and EPSC, the membrane potential was held at 0 mV and -70 mV, respectively (Wu et al., 2011). For voltageclamp recording, the whole cell and pipette were completely compensated for, and the initial series resistance (20–40 M Ω) was compensated for by 50-60% to achieve an effective series resistance of 10-20 M Ω (Wu et al., 2006). Electrical signals were filtered with a bandpass filter (300-3,000 Hz) and sampled at 20 kHz.

Sound Calibration and Generation

White noise stimuli with various frequencies (2–45 kHz, 0.1-octave interval) were generated and delivered to the contralateral ear of mice using a Tucker-Davis Technologies System 3 (TDT 3, Tucker-Davis Technologies, Alachua, FL, USA). A real-time processor (RP 2.1) and a custom-made program written with RPvdsEx software were used to generate the sound signals, and the intensities of the sound were controlled by a programmable attenuator (PA5). The synthesized signals were amplified and delivered through an electrostatic speaker driver (ED1) and a free-field ultrasonic loudspeaker (ES1; frequency range, 2–110 kHz). The loudspeaker was calibrated with 1/8- and 1/4-inch microphones (Brüel and Kjaer 4138,

4135, Naerum, Denmark) and an amplifier (Brüel and Kjaer 2610, Naerum, Denmark) before the experiment. The noise parameters (60 dB SPL, 50-ms duration, 5-ms rise–fall time) were controlled by Brain Ware software. The noises were repeated until no recognizable APs, IPSCs, or EPSCs were observed and the interstimulus interval was 500 ms.

Data Processing

The R_p-loose, R_p-tight, R_s-loose, R_s-tight, MP_{zero-current}, and recording time were documented. To investigate the correlation among the above variables, scatterplots of the two above variables were plotted. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is a tool to find the optimal cutoff value of a variable to better predict a positive event in many studies (Søreide, 2009; Mandrekar, 2010). In this study, to find the optimal cut-off values of a variable for a high probability of recording time \geq 30 min, the ROC curve was determined, and the area under the curve (AUC) and Youden index were computed (Mandrekar, 2010). For offline data processing, the APs from loose patch recording were extracted via a custom-made MATLAB program. The IPSCs and EPSCs from the whole-cell recording were directly extracted via Clampex 12 (Axon, USA). Then, the amplitudes of APs, IPSCs, and EPSCs at 5 and 30 min were measured and compared.

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistical software (version 13). The measurement data were presented as the mean \pm SE and were first tested for normal distribution (Shapiro–Wilk test) and equal variances (Levene's test) before performing appropriate parametric tests. For two-group comparisons, a two-tailed unpaired *t*-test (for normally distributed data) or Mann–Whitney *U* test (for nonmormally distributed data) was applied to evaluate significance. The enumeration data were tested with the Chi-square test. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate significance.

RESULTS

Correlation Among R_p -loose, R_s -loose, and Recording Time in Loose Patch Recording

Loose patch recording was carried out in 156 neurons. $R_{\rm p}$ -loose ranged from 2.4 to 8.9 M Ω , R_s -loose ranged from 10 to 100 M Ω , and the recording time ranged from 5 to 50 min. As R_p -loose increased, the recording time did not seem to be significantly changed (**Figure 1A**). The entire interval of R_p -loose was averagely divided into three small intervals [the range of any small interval was (biggest R_p -loose – smallest R_p -loose)/3] (Figure 1A, two green lines). The proportion of neurons with a recording time \geq 30 min (hereafter, neurons \geq 30 min; Figure 1A, red dots) did not present significant change with R_p-loose values in three small intervals (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 4.52$, P = 0.11). The R_s -loose values of neurons (\geq 30 min; Figure 1B, red dots) were mostly in the range of 18–35 MΩ. When 18 and 35 MΩ were used as the cutoff values, the proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min; Figure 1B, red dots) first increased and then decreased as $R_{\rm s}$ -loose increased (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 25.74$, $P = 2.58 \times 10^{-6}$).



neurons (\geq 30 min). The two green lines were the cut off values to divide the entire interval of the abscissa variable into three small intervals, and the numbers in the bar chart were the number of neurons (\geq 30 min)/total number in the three small intervals (corresponding to values from left to right); panel (**B**) is depicted in a similar manner. (**B**) Change in recording time with R_s -loose (Chi-square test, P < 0.01). Red dots represent neurons (\geq 30 min). (**C**) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to find the low cutoff value of R_s -loose for a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min area under the curve [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.87, and low cutoff value = 21.5 MΩ]. (**D**) ROC curve to find the high cut off value of R_s -loose for a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min area under the curve [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.76, and high cutoff value = 36 MΩ).

A recording time \geq 30 min was considered a successful recording. The optimal interval of R_s -loose should include two cutoff values. To find the two cutoff values, we first determined the $R_{\rm s}$ -loose values with the highest proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min). With a 3-M Ω step, the entire interval of 18-35 M Ω could be divided into six small intervals. The number of neurons (>30 min)/(total number) in these small intervals of 18-20 MΩ, 21-23 MΩ, 24-26 MΩ, 27-29 MΩ, 30-32 MΩ, and 33-35 MΩ were 3/24, 17/20, 33/41, 8/8, 5/15, and 2/8, respectively. In the small interval of 27–29 M Ω , the proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min) was highest (8/8). To find the low cutoff value, the data of neurons with a R_s -loose value \leq 29 M Ω were used to draw the ROC curve (Figure 1C), and the AUC was 0.87. According to the Youden index, the low cutoff value was 21.5 M Ω . Similarly, the data of neurons with a R_s -loose value $\geq 27 \text{ M}\Omega$ were used to draw the ROC curve to find the high cutoff value (Figure 1D), and the AUC was 0.76. According to the Youden index, the high cutoff value was 36 M Ω . Using a similar method to that used in **Figure 1A**, the proportion of neurons with a $R_{\rm s}$ -loose value of 21.5–36 M Ω [hereafter, neurons (21.5–36 M Ω); **Figure 2**, red dots] was not influenced by $R_{\rm p}$ -loose (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 3.21, P = 0.20$).

Recording Quality of Neurons With a R_s -loose Value of 21.5–36 M Ω and a Recording Time \geq 30 min in Loose Patch Recording

In this study, there were 90 neurons (21.5–36 M Ω). Among these 90 neurons, there were 64 neurons (\geq 30 min; 71.11%). In an example neuron, 60 dB noise stimulation with 20 repetitions was delivered, and we recorded APs 5 and 30 min after sealing (**Figures 3A,B**, black lines). The AP waveforms were then averaged (**Figures 3A,B**, red lines). The voltage difference from the positive peak to the negative valley was defined as the AP



chart were the number of neurons $(21.5-36 \text{ M}\Omega)$ /total number in the three small intervals (corresponding to values from left to right).

amplitude (**Figure 3A**, the voltage between two green lines). The amplitudes of 20 APs or 64 averaged APs at 5 min were similar to those at 30 min in the example neuron (**Figure 3C**, Mann–Whitney *U* test, Z = -1.89, P = 0.06) or in all recorded neurons (**Figure 3D**, Mann–Whitney *U* test, Z = -0.74, P = 0.45).

Correlation Among *R*_p-Tight, *R*_s-Tight, MP_{zero-current}, and Recording Time in Whole-Cell Recording

Whole-cell recording was carried out in 146 neurons. Rptight ranged from 4.8 to 7.2 M Ω ; R_s -tight ranged from 1 to 5.6 GΩ; MP_{zero-current} ranged from -78 to -15 mV; and the recording time ranged from 5 to 50 min. With the same method as that used in Figure 1A, in three small intervals, the proportion of neurons (>30 min; Figure 4A, red dots) did not significantly change as R_{p} -tight increased (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 4.13$, P = 0.13), the proportions of neurons (≥ 30 min; Figures 4B,C, red dots) gradually increased as R_s-tight increased (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 14.75$, $P = 0.63 \times 10^{-3}$), and MP_{zero-current} decreased (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 36.86$, $P = 9.90 \times 10^{-9}$). A recording time \geq 30 min was defined as a successful recording. To find the cutoff values of R_s-tight and MP_{zero-current} for a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min, the ROC curves of R_{s} tight and MPzero-current were plotted (Figures 4D,E). The AUC of R_s-tight was 0.73, which was smaller than that of MP_{zero-current} (0.80). According to the Youden index, the cutoff value of $R_{\rm s}$ tight was 2.35 G Ω , and the cutoff value of MP_{zero-current} was -53.5 mV.

As shown in the analysis in Figure 1A, in the three small intervals, the proportion of neurons with $MP_{zero-current}$ values

≤ -53.5 mV [hereafter, neurons (≤ -53.5 mV; **Figure 5A**, red dots)] did not change with R_p -tight (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 0.49$, P = 0.78), and the proportion of neurons (≤ -53.5 mV) or neurons with a R_s -tight value ≥ 2.35 GΩ [hereafter, neurons (≥2.35 GΩ; **Figures 5B,C**, red dots)] gradually increased as R_s -tight (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 11.08$, $P = 3.92 \times 10^{-3}$) or R_p -tight (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 14.78$, $P = 0.62 \times 10^{-3}$) increased. A MP_{zero-current} value ≤ -53.5 mV was defined as a successful seal. To find the cutoff value of R_s -tight for the high probability of a MP_{zero-current} value ≤ -53.5 mV, the ROC curve of R_s -tight was plotted (**Figure 5D**). The AUC was 0.69. According to the Youden index, the cutoff value of R_s -tight was 2.70 GΩ, which was similar to the cutoff value (2.35 GΩ) of R_s -tight for a high probability of a recording time ≥30 min.

Recording Quality of Neurons With a $MP_{zero-current}$ Value ≤ -53.5 mV and a Recording Time \geq 30 min in Whole-Cell Recording

In this study, there were 49 neurons (≤ -53.5 mV), among which there were 38 neurons (>30 min; 77.55%). Similar to the loose patch recording approach, 60-dB noise stimulation with 20 repetitions was presented to evoke IPSCs and EPSCs (Figures 6A,B, black lines, an example neuron). Then, the averaged IPSC and EPSC were obtained (Figures 6A, B, red line). The IPSC and EPSC amplitudes were extracted by measuring the voltage difference from the baseline to the positive or negative peak (Figures 6A,B, the voltage between two green lines). In the example neuron, the amplitudes of 20 IPSCs or EPSCs at 5 min were not significantly different from those at 30 min (IPSC, unpaired t-test, t = 0.32, P = 0.75; Figure 6C; EPSC, Mann-Whitney U test, Z = -0.62, P = 0.53; Figure 6D). Moreover, when the averaged IPSC or EPSC was used to measure the amplitude, in 38 recorded neurons, the amplitudes of averaged IPSC or EPSC at 5 min were consistent with those at 30 min (IPSC, unpaired *t*-test, t = 0.48, P = 0.63; Figure 6E; EPSC, Mann–Whitney U test, Z = -0.46, P = 0.64; Figure 6F).

Correlation Between R_p -Tight and MP_{zero-current} or Recording Time in Neurons (\geq 2.35 G Ω) or Neurons With a R_s -Tight <2.35 G Ω in Whole-Cell Recording

In whole-cell recording, the MP_{zero-current} and recording time were associated with R_s -tight (**Figures 4B**, **5B**), and R_s -tight was associated with R_p -tight (**Figure 5C**). Thus, the MP_{zero-current} and recording time should theoretically depend on R_p -tight. However, this dependence is not supported by our results (**Figures 4A**, **5A**). To further examine the correlation between R_p -tight value and MP_{zero-current} value or recording time, we divided the neurons in whole-cell recording into two groups, neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$) and neurons with a R_s -tight < 2.35 G Ω [hereafter, neurons (<2.35 G Ω)].

The data in **Figures 7A-D** were analyzed with similar methods to that used in **Figure 1A**. In neurons (<2.35 G Ω), the proportion of neurons (≤ -53.5 mV; **Figure 7A**, red dots)



FIGURE 3 | Comparison between action potential (AP) amplitudes at 5 and 30 min after sealing in loose patch recording. (**A**,**B**) In an example neuron, 60 dB noise evoked APs 5 and 30 min after sealing. The averaged APs (red lines) were obtained by averaging 20 APs (black lines) to the same acoustic stimulus. (**C**) AP amplitudes from the example neuron at 5 and 30 min (mean = 613.45 and SE = 5.88 at 5 min, and mean = 588.99 and SE = 8.52 at 30 min, Mann–Whitney *U* test, P > 0.05). (**D**) Averaged AP amplitudes from all neurons at 5 and 30 min (mean = 377.79 and SE = 16.79 at 5 min, and mean = 362.10 and SE = 16.78 at 30 min, Mann–Whitney *U* test, P > 0.05).

or neurons (\geq 30 min; **Figure 7B**, red dots) did not show a significant change with R_p -tight (MP_{zero-current}: Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 1.14$, P = 0.57; recording time: Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 0.05$, P = 0.98). In neurons (\geq 2.35 GΩ), the proportion of neurons (\leq -53.5 mV; **Figure 7C**, red dots) exhibited no significant change with R_p -tight (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 2.43$, P = 0.30); the proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min; **Figure 7D**, red dots) first showed an increase and then a decrease as R_p -tight increased (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 9.96$, $P = 6.86 \times 10^{-3}$).

In the second small interval of 5.73–6.47 M Ω (Figure 7D), we further examined the seven small intervals determined in the 1-M Ω step. The numbers of neurons (\geq 30 min)/(total number) in the small intervals of 5.8 M Ω , 5.9 M Ω , 6.0 M Ω , 6.1 M Ω , 6.2 M Ω , 6.3 M Ω , and 6.4 M Ω were 5/7, 0/0, 4/6, 1/2, 12/13, 5/7, and 4/6, respectively. In the small interval of 6.2 M Ω , the proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min) was highest (12/13). To find the low cutoff value, the data of neurons with a R_p -tight \leq 6.2 M Ω were used to plot the ROC curve (Figure 7E). The AUC was 0.75, and the low cutoff value was 6.15 M Ω based on the Youden index. Similarly, the data of neurons with a R_p -tight \geq 6.2 M Ω were used to plot the ROC curve and determine the high cutoff

value (Figure 7F). The AUC was 0.76, and the high cutoff value was 6.45 M Ω from the Youden index.

DISCUSSION

R_p -loose and R_p -Tight for a High Probability of a Recording Time \geq 30 min

An AUC value ≥ 0.7 of the ROC suggests that the prediction performance of a variable is acceptable (Mandrekar, 2010). In neurons (≥ 2.35 G Ω), the AUC values of the two ROC curves for finding low and high cutoff values of R_p -tight were larger than 0.7. Therefore, 6.15–6.45 M Ω were optimal R_p -tight values for a high probability of a recording time ≥ 30 min, which is similar to the R_p -tight values used in the report by Malboubi et al. (2009). This result supported the analysis in the "Introduction" section, which states that R_p -tight cannot be too small or too large. However, in loose patch recording or neurons (< 2.35 G Ω), the R_p -loose or R_p -tight did not influence the recording time (**Figures 1A**, **7B**). This lack of influence may be because the R_p -loose or R_p -tight in our study may be in the optimal range for



(chi-square test, P < 0.01). (D) ROC curve to find the cutoff value of R_s -tight for a high probability of a recording time ≥ 30 min (AUC = 0.73, cutoff value = 2.35 GΩ). (E) ROC curve to find the cutoff value of MP_{zero-current} for a high probability of a recording time ≥ 30 min (AUC = -53.5 mV).

having a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min or because the seal is relatively loose in loose patch recording or neurons (<2.35 GΩ), and the recording time is greatly influenced by the mechanical stability of the seal, not by R_p -loose or R_p -tight value.

R_s -loose or R_s -Tight for a High Probability of a Recording Time \geq 30 min

The AUC values of ROC curves to find the cutoff values of R_s-loose or R_s-tight were larger than 0.7 (Mandrekar, 2010). Consequently, it was suitable that for a high probability of a recording time >30 min, the optimal R_s -loose was 21.5−36 MΩ and the optimal R_s -tight was ≥2.35 GΩ. In neurons $(21.5-36 \text{ M}\Omega)$, 71.11% of neurons could be recorded >30 min; at 30 min after sealing, the AP amplitudes remained the same (Figures 3C,D). These results further support that R_s -loose values of 21.5–36 M Ω are suitable. In theory, higher R_s -loose or $R_{\rm s}$ -tight value means that the mechanical stability of the seal is higher. However, loose patch recording is a form of extracellular recording without rupturing the membrane (Neher et al., 1978). Different from whole-cell recording, if R_s -loose reaches G Ω , the AP is not usually recognizable in loose patch recording. Therefore, R_s -loose needs to be smaller, and the electrode tip and cell membrane cannot be too clean in loose patch recording. Due to the uncleanliness, suction is usually used to reach the target R_s-loose value (Roberts and Almers, 1992). The larger the strength of suction is, the higher R_s -loose is, and the easier it is to damage the cell membrane or seal (Weiss et al., 1986; Milton and Caldwell, 1990; Roberts et al., 1990; Roberts and Almers, 1992). That is, different from R_s -tight, as R_s -loose further increases, the recording time conversely decreases.

After determining the optimal R_s -loose value, we can adjust R_s -loose to the target value *via* suction or advancing or retracting the recording electrode. Whole-cell recording requires higher R_s -tight (≥ 1 G Ω ; Hamill et al., 1981), which is very difficult to achieve by only suction, or altering the depth of the recording electrode. To achieve optimal R_s -tight value, it is important to keep the electrode tip and cell membrane clean (Hamill et al., 1981; Stett et al., 2003; Kornreich, 2007) and the recording system steady (Wang et al., 2016).

$\begin{array}{l} MP_{zero-current} \text{ for a High Probability of a} \\ Recording Time \geq 30 \text{ min} \end{array}$

In addition to R_s -tight, the MP_{zero-current} value also reflects the seal state. A lower MP_{zero-current} value means a higher mechanical stability of the seal (Hamill et al., 1981; Kornreich, 2007). As the AUC value of the ROC curve increases, the prediction performance of a variable becomes better (Mandrekar, 2010). The AUC of the ROC curve to find the cutoff value of MP_{zero-current} (0.80) was larger than that of R_s -tight (0.73). Therefore, compared with R_s -tight, the MP_{zero-current} is a better index for predicting a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min. The optimal MP_{zero-current} value was \leq -53.5 mV. This optimal value was also supported by a high proportion of neurons (\geq 30 min) and a high recording quality of IPSC and EPSC in neurons (\leq -53.5 mV; Figures 6E,F).



neurons (-53.5 mV). The two green lines are the cutoff values to divide the entire interval of the abscissa variable into three small intervals, and the numbers in the bar chart were the number of neurons (-53.5 mV)/total number in the three small intervals (corresponding to values from left to right); this is also the case in panels (**B,C**). (**B**) Change in MP_{zero-current} with R_s -tight (Chi-square test, P < 0.01). Red dots represent neurons (≤ -53.5 mV). (**C**) Changes in R_s -tight with R_p -tight (Chi-square test, P < 0.01). Red dots represent neurons (≥ 2.35 GΩ). (**D**) ROC curve to find the cutoff value of R_s -tight for a high probability of a MP_{zero-current} ≤ -53.5 mV (AUC = 0.69, cutoff value = 2.70 GΩ).

The MP_{zero-current} reflects the eventual seal state. For whole-cell recording, if the R_s -tight value is ≥ 1 G Ω , the cell membrane needs to be artificially ruptured (Hamill et al., 1981). During the rupturing process, the stability of the seal may decrease. Therefore, R_s -tight does not reflect the eventual seal state and results in worse prediction performance in comparison with the MP_{zero-current}. Reaching the optimal R_s -tight value does not mean that the optimal MP_{zero-current} can be achieved. It is still necessary for the experimenter to improve his or her skill regarding rupturing membrane to obtain the optimal MP_{zero-current}.

Correlation Between Seal Resistance and MP_{zero-current} or Pipette Resistance in Loose Patch or Whole-Cell Recording

Both R_s -tight and MP_{zero-current} represent seal condition. R_s -tight was related to the MP_{zero-current} (**Figures 5B,D**). For a high probability of an MP_{zero-current} ≤ -53.5 mV, the optimal R_s -tight was ≥ 2.70 G Ω , which was similar to ≥ 2.35 G Ω for a high probability of a recording time ≥ 30 min. Notably,

2.35 G Ω was determined by directly analyzing the recording time and was smaller than 2.70 G Ω . We considered that the value ≥ 2.35 G Ω was optimal for R_s -tight. R_s -tight changed with R_p -tight (**Figure 5C**). This result was different from that of R_s -loose, which was not influenced by R_p -loose (**Figure 2A**). This difference may also be attributed to the fact that R_p -loose had been in the optimal range or that the seal is relatively loose in the loose patch recording, and R_s -loose is mostly dependent on the mechanical stability of the seal, not on the R_p -loose.

Strengths and Limitations of This Study

In previous studies, R_p -loose (Roberts and Almers, 1992), R_p tight (Hamill et al., 1981; Malboubi et al., 2009), R_s -loose (Roberts and Almers, 1992), R_s -tight (Neher et al., 1978; Malboubi et al., 2009), or MP_{zero-current} (Hamill et al., 1981) values vary greatly. In this study, for long-lasting *in vivo* loose patch or whole-cell recordings, we applied the ROC curve to analyze the recording time for obtaining optimal R_p -loose, R_p -tight, R_s -loose, R_s -tight, or MP_{zero-current} values. However, this study has some limitations. First, the recording







FIGURE 7 | Influence of R_p -tight on the MP_{zero-current} and recording time in neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$) or neurons ($< 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$) for whole-cell recording. (**A**) Change in MP_{zero-current} with R_p -tight in neurons ($< 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; Chi-square test, P > 0.05). Red dots represent neurons ($\leq -53.5 \text{ mV}$). The two green lines were the cutoff values to divide the entire interval of the abscissa variable into three small intervals, and the numbers in the bar chart were the number of neurons ($\leq -53.5 \text{ mV}$)/total number in the three small intervals (corresponding to values from left to right); this is also the case in panels (**B**–**D**). (**B**) Change in recording time with R_p -tight in neurons ($< 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; Chi-square test, P > 0.01). Red dots represent neurons ($\geq 30 \text{ min}$). (**C**) Change in MP_{zero-current} with R_p -tight in neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; Chi-square test, P > 0.01). Red dots represent neurons (≥ 0.50). Red dots represent neurons (≥ 0.50). Red dots represent neurons ($\geq -53.5 \text{ mV}$). (**D**) Changes in recording time with R_p -tight in neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; Chi-square test, P < 0.01). Red dots represent neurons (≥ 0.75 , low cutoff value of R_p -tight for a high probability of a recording time $\geq 30 \text{ min}$ in neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; AUC = 0.75, low cutoff value = 6.15 M\Omega). (**F**) ROC curve to find the high cutoff value of R_p -tight for a high probability of a recording time $\geq 30 \text{ min}$ in neurons ($\geq 2.35 \text{ G}\Omega$; AUC = 0.76, high cutoff value = 6.45 M\Omega).

time can be influenced by other factors (craniotomy quality (Lee et al., 2014), animal movement (Lee and Lee, 2017), brain pulsation (Levy et al., 2012), and cleanliness of the recording electrode (Hamill et al., 1981; Stett et al., 2003). These other factors cannot be controlled based on identical standards and may cause a biased result. Second, according to our experiment, a recording time \geq 30 min was defined as a successful recording. When the cutoff value of the recording time was not 30 min, the results would be different. Third, the cell type and size can influence the selection of electrode or seal parameters (Penner, 1995). Our data were acquired from neurons in the primary auditory cortex, most of which have a size of at least 10 µm (Gopal and Gross, 1996; Hinova-Palova et al., 2018) and a MPzero-current of -70 mV (Zhao et al., 2015). For smaller neurons (Tucker et al., 1979) or other cells (Lacampagne et al., 1996; Euler and Wässle, 1998), the optimal $R_{\rm p}$ -loose, $R_{\rm p}$ -tight, $R_{\rm s}$ -loose, $R_{\rm s}$ -tight, or MP_{zero-current} values may be different.

CONCLUSIONS

For a high probability of a recording time \geq 30 min, 21.5–36 M Ω is the optimal $R_{\rm s}$ -loose value, 6.15–6.45 M Ω is the optimal $R_{\rm p}$ -tight value, \geq 2.35 G Ω is the optimal $R_{\rm s}$ -tight value, and

REFERENCES

- Euler, T., and Wässle, H. (1998). Different contributions of GABA_A and GABA_C receptors to rod and cone bipolar cells in a rat retinal slice preparation. *J. Neurophysiol.* 79, 1384–1395. doi: 10.1152/jn.1998.79.3.1384
- Gopal, K. V., and Gross, G. W. (1996). Auditory cortical neurons in vitro: cell culture and multichannel extracellular recording. Acta Otolaryngol. 116, 690–696. doi: 10.3109/00016489609137908
- Hamill, O. P., Marty, A., Neher, E., Sakmann, B., and Sigworth, F. J. (1981). Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. *Pflugers Arch.* 2, 85–100. doi: 10.1007/bf00656997
- Hinova-Palova, D., Iliev, A., Edelstein, L., Landzhov, B., Kotov, G., and Paloff, A. (2018). Electron microscopic study of Golgi-impregnated and gold-toned neurons and fibers in the claustrum of the cat. J. Mol. Histol. 6, 615–630. doi: 10.1007/s10735-018-9799-7
- Horn, R., and Brodwick, M. S. (1980). Acetylcholine-induced current in perfused rat myoballs. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 75, 297–321. doi: 10.1085/jgp.75.3.297
- Horn, R., and Korn, S. J. (1992). Prevention of rundown in electrophysiological recording. *Methods Enzymol.* 207, 149–155. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(92) 07010-1
- Kornreich, B. G. (2007). The patch clamp technique: principles and technical considerations. J. Vet. Cardiol. 9, 25–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jvc.2007.02.001
- Lacampagne, A., Lederer, W. J., Schneider, M. F., and Klein, M. G. (1996). Repriming and activation alter the frequency of stereotyped discrete Ca^{2+} release events in frog skeletal muscle. *J. Physiol.* 497, 581–588. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021791
- Lee, D., and Lee, A. K. (2017). Whole-cell recording in the awake brain. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. 2017:pdb.top087304. doi: 10.1101/pdb.top087304
- Lee, D., Shtengel, G., Osborne, J. E., and Lee, A. K. (2014). Anesthetizedand awake-patched whole-cell recordings in freely moving rats using UV-cured collar-based electrode stabilization. *Nat. Protoc.* 12, 2784–2795. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2014.190
- Levy, M., Schramm, A. E., and Kara, P. (2012). Strategies for mapping synaptic inputs on dendrites *in vivo* by combining two-photon microscopy, sharp intracellular recording and pharmacology. *Front. Neural Circuits* 6:101. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2012.00101

 \leq -53.5 mV is the optimal MP_{zero-current} value. Additionally, the MP_{zero-current} is better than *R*_s-tight for predicting a positive event.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shantou University Medical College, Guangdong, China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LY: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, writing—review and editing. QF: formal analysis, investigation, writing—review and editing. XZ: data calculation and manuscript revision. BH: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, validation, investigation, visualization, methodology, project administration, and writing—original draft.

- Malboubi, M., Ostadi, H., Wang, S., Gu, Y., and Jiang, K. (2009). *The Effect of Pipette Tip Roughness on Giga-Seal Formation*. London, UK: The World Congress on Engineering.
- Mandrekar, J. N. (2010). Receiver operating characteristic curve in diagnostic test assessment. J. Thorac. Oncol. 5, 1315–1316. doi: 10.1097/jto.0b013e3181ec173d
- Milton, R. L., and Caldwell, J. H. (1990). Na current in membrane blebs: implications for channel mobility and patch clamp recording. J. Neurosci. 10, 885–893. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.10-03-00885.1990
- Neher, E., Sakmann, B., and Steinbach, J. H. (1978). The extracellular patch clamp: a method for resolving currents through individual open channels in biological membranes. *Pflugers Arch.* 375, 219–228. doi: 10.1007/bf00 584247
- Penner, R. (1995). "A practical guide to patch clamping," in Single-Channel Recording, eds B. Sakmann and E. Neher (New York, NY: Plenum), 3–30.
- Roberts, W. M., and Almers, W. (1992). Patch voltage clamping with low-resistance seals: loose patch clamp. *Methods Enzymol.* 207, 155–176. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(92)07011-c
- Roberts, W. M., Jacobs, R. A., and Hudspeth, A. J. (1990). Colocalization of ion channels involved in frequency selectivity and synaptic transmission at presynaptic active zones of hair cells. *J. Neurosci.* 10, 3664–3684. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.10-11-03664.1990
- Søreide, K. (2009). Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis in diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarker research. J. Clin. Pathol. 62, 1–5. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2008.061010
- Stett, A., Burkhardt, C., Weber, U., van Stiphout, P., and Knott, T. (2003). CYTOCENTERING: a novel technique enabling automated cell-by-cell patch clamping with the CYTOPATCH chip. *Rec. Channels* 1, 59–66. doi: 10.1080/10606820308254
- Sun, Y. J., Kim, Y. J., Ibrahim, L. A., Tao, H. W., and Zhang, L. I. (2013). Synaptic mechanisms underlying functional dichotomy between intrinsic-bursting and regular-spiking neurons in auditory cortical layer 5. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 5326–5339. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4810-12.2013
- Sun, Y. J., Wu, G. K., Liu, B. H., Li, P., Zhou, M., Xiao, Z., et al. (2010). Fine-tuning of pre-balanced excitation and inhibition during auditory cortical development. *Nature* 7300, 927–931. doi: 10.1038/nature09079
- Tan, X., Wang, X., Yang, W., and Xiao, Z. (2008). First spike latency and spike count as functions of tone amplitude and frequency in the

inferior colliculus of mice. *Hear. Res.* 235, 90-104. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2007. 10.002

- Tucker, G. S., Hamasaki, D. I., Labbie, A., and Muroff, J. (1979). Anatomic and physiologic development of the photoreceptor of the kitten. *Exp. Brain Res.* 3, 459–474. doi: 10.1007/bf00236817
- Wang, Y., Liu, Y. Z., Wang, S. Y., and Wang, Z. (2016). *In vivo* whole-cell recording with high success rate in anaesthetized and awake mammalian brains. *Mol. Brain* 1:86. doi: 10.1186/s13041-016-0266-7
- Wehr, M., and Zador, A. M. (2003). Balanced inhibition underlies tuning and sharpens spike timing in auditory cortex. *Nature* 426, 442–446. doi: 10.1038/nature02116
- Weiss, R. E., Roberts, W. M., Stuhmer, W., and Almers, W. (1986). Mobility of voltage-dependent ion channels and lectin receptors in the sarcolemma of frog skeletal muscle. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 6, 955–983. doi: 10.1085/jgp. 87.6.955
- Wu, G. K., Li, P., Tao, H. W., and Zhang, L. I. (2006). Nonmonotonic synaptic excitation and imbalanced inhibition underlying cortical intensity tuning. *Neuron* 4, 705–715. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006. 10.009
- Wu, G. K., Tao, H. W., and Zhang, L. I. (2011). From elementary synaptic circuits to information processing in primary auditory cortex. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 2094–2104. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.05.004
- Xiong, X. R., Liang, F., Li, H., Mesik, L., Zhang, K. K., Polley, D. B., et al. (2013). Interaural level difference-dependent gain control and synaptic scaling

underlying binaural computation. Neuron 4, 738–753. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron. 2013.06.012

- Zhang, L. I., Tan, A. Y., Schreiner, C. E., and Merzenich, M. M. (2003). Topography and synaptic shaping of direction selectivity in primary auditory cortex. *Nature* 6945, 201–205. doi: 10.1038/nature 01796
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, X., Xiong, C., Xiao, Z., and Yan, J. (2015). Imbalance of excitation and inhibition at threshold level in the auditory cortex. *Front. Neural Circuits* 9:11. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2015.00011
- Zhou, Y., Liu, B. H., Wu, G. K., Kim, Y.-J., Xiao, Z., Tao, H. W., et al. (2010). Preceding inhibition silences layer 6 neurons in auditory cortex. *Neuron* 5, 706–717. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.021

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Yan, Fang, Zhang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.