Abstract

and neck region.

and comorbidities.

**KEYWORDS** 

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Revised: 7 December 2018

# WILEY

# Geriatric assessment and 1-year mortality in older patients with cancer in the head and neck region: A cohort study

Floor J. van Deudekom  $MD^1 \oplus |$  Lilly-Ann van der Velden MD,  $PhD^2 |$  Willianne H. Zijl  $MD^1 |$ Anouk S. Schimberg  $MD^3 |$  Anton P. Langeveld MD,  $PhD^4 |$  Marije Slingerland MD,  $PhD^5 |$ Gerard J. Blauw MD,  $PhD^1 |$  Simon P. Mooijaart MD,  $PhD^{1,6}$ 

**Background:** The aim is to describe the association of functional capacity and

cognitive functioning with 1-year mortality in older patients with cancer in the head

Methods: We performed a cohort study in which all patients aged 70 years and older

Results: A total of 102 patients were included. Median age was 78.7 years (inter-

quartile range [IQR], 72.3-84.5), 25% were cognitive impaired, 40% were malnour-

ished, and 28.4% used a walking device. Overall, 1-year mortality was 42.3%.

Male sex (hazard ratio [HR], 4.30; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.35-13.67), mal-

nutrition (HR, 2.55; 95% CI, 1.19-5.16), and using a walking device (HR, 2.80;

95% CI 1.13-6.93) were associated with higher mortality risk, independent of stage

Conclusion: In older patients with head and neck cancer, the mortality rates are

high. Nutritional status and mobility are determinants of 1-year mortality, indepen-

cognitive disorders, geriatric oncology, head and neck cancer, physical functioning, social functioning

received a geriatric screening before treatment. Main outcome was 1-year mortality.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Department of Head and Neck Surgery and Oncology, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Radboud University Medical Center, Niimegen, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Head and Neck Surgery and Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Institute for Evidence-based Medicine in Old Age (IEMO), Leiden, The Netherlands

#### Correspondence

Floor J. van Deudekom, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Centre, PO box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands. Email: f.j.a.van\_deudekom@lumc.nl

#### **Funding information**

The Institute for Evidence-Based Medicine in Old Age (IEMO) is supported by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport and supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development ZonMW, Grant/Award Number: 62700.3001

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

Patients diagnosed with head and neck cancer (HNC) are, in case of curative intention, facing major treatment options,

such as extensive operation and/or (chemo)radiation therapy. Older patients in general are at higher risk for adverse health outcomes (such as delirium, complications, and longer duration of stay) after treatment, but the risk for patients with

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2019 The Authors. *Head & Neck* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

dent of tumor stage, age, and comorbidity.

HNC is even higher because of a high prevalence of previous excessive alcohol drinking and smoking, <sup>1–3</sup> which makes this group more susceptible for cognitive<sup>3,4</sup> and functional<sup>5</sup> decline. It could be important to make a careful selection of the patients who are suitable for the intensive treatment. In the United States, it is expected that between 2010 and 2030, the incidence of oral cavity and pharyngeal cancer in patients aged 65 years and older will increase by 61%.<sup>6</sup> Besides, the 5-year survival is poor with an estimated survival of 50% with a large variation between the different tumor localizations.<sup>7,8</sup> However, limited evidence is available on the association of a geriatric assessment with adverse health outcomes and the role of assisting clinical decision making in older patients with HNC.

Across a variety of (surgical) oncologic population and cancer types, components of the geriatric assessment, such as cognition, functional status, and social status, are predictive for adverse health outcomes such as postoperative complications, institutionalization after discharge, and mortality.<sup>9,10</sup> Several guidelines recommend for a form of geriatric assessments as part of routine preoperative care.<sup>11,12</sup> A recent systematic review in older patients with HNC showed that geriatric conditions were prevalent and in 64% of the included studies there was a statistically significant association of geriatric impairments with a higher risk of adverse outcome.<sup>13</sup> However, cognitive function and objectively measured physical capacity were not assessed.

The aim of this study is to describe the association in older patients with cancer in the head and neck region of geriatric measurements, including functional capacity and cognitive functioning, with 1-year mortality.

## 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Study design and setting

We performed a retrospective cohort study (from October 2014 until January 2017) in older patients seen with cancer in the head and neck region in the Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC). From October 2014, a routine clinical care pathway was implemented in which all older patients with HNC were referred to the Department of Gerontology and Geriatrics for a geriatric screening before treatment. The result of this geriatric screening was discussed in the multidisciplinary team. Patients were referred when aged 70 years and older, or younger but with multiple comorbidities, diagnosed with stage III-IV HNC, or diagnosed with a lower stage HNC but needing invasive treatment, for geriatric screening before their invasive treatment. In this study, HNC was considered as cancer in the head and neck region needing invasive treatment by the head and neck surgeon. This includes cancer in the sinonasal or oral regions, nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, supraglottic, the larynx, the salivary glands, or the proximal esophagus. However, also patients with large or regionally metastasized dermal cancer, lymphoma, an unknown primary, or a recurrent tumor were referred for geriatric assessment. Patients with thyroid cancer are not included in this study, because in the Netherlands thyroid cancer is not treated by a head and neck surgeon. For the retrospective collection and analysis of the data from these patients, the Medical Ethical Committee of the LUMC issued a "certificate of no objection."

#### 2.2 | Determinants

Collected demographics were age, sex, marital status, and level of education. High education level was defined as university or higher vocational training and low education is defined as elementary school, community college, and secondary education. The Adult Comorbidity Evaluation-27 score (ACE-27) was calculated.<sup>14</sup> The ACE-27 has specifically been developed for patients with cancer in general. This index contains 27 different comorbidities from various organ systems. Grade 0 corresponds to no comorbidity, grade 1 to mild comorbidity, grade 2 to moderate comorbidity, and grade 3 to severe comorbidity.<sup>15,16</sup> Disease severity indicators consisted of tumor site, tumor stage, and whether the tumor was a new primary tumor. Tumor stage was directly extracted from the medical record.<sup>17</sup> Geriatric measurements were the Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living (Katz ADL),<sup>18</sup> the Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL),<sup>19</sup> the 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test (6CIT),<sup>20</sup> the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA),<sup>21</sup> and the Identification of Seniors At Risk-Hospitalized Patients questionnaire (ISAR-HP).<sup>22</sup> The Katz ADL score ranges from 0 to 6 and the Lawton IADL score ranges from 0 to 24, a higher score corresponds to more functional dependency. The 6CIT is a short cognition test<sup>20</sup> and has a maximum score of 28 points, in this routine clinical care pathway, a score >8 is considered as abnormal, suggesting cognitive impairment. Nutritional status was assessed with the MNA questionnaire, a screening tool consisting of 6 questions to estimate the risk of malnutrition,<sup>21</sup> a cutoff point of  $\leq 11$  was used to define (the risk for) malnutrition. The ISAR-HP ranges from 0 to 5 and is a screening tool to assess the risk for development of functional decline. A cutoff point of  $\geq 2$  points was used to define this risk.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, the use of a walking device was extracted from the medical record.

### 2.3 | Outcome

The main outcome of this study was mortality at 12 months of follow-up after start of treatment. Mortality data were extracted from the municipal records.

#### 2.4 | Statistical methods

Baseline characteristics are presented as mean with SD in case of normal distribution, median with interquartile range (IQR) in case of skewed distribution, or as numbers with percentages. Different groups were compared using the *t* test for continuous normally distributed data, chi-square test for categorical data, and the Mann-Whitney *U* test for skewed data. To investigate the association between baseline characteristics and mortality, a Cox regression model was used. In the multivariable model (Table 2), treatment intention was not used as a determinant, to avoid overcorrection, because treatment intention is based on all the other determinants. In the multivariable analysis reported in Table 3, we stratified the analysis for curative intention. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated, and a *P*-value of <0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM version 23; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York).

## 3 | RESULTS

A total of 102 older patients with HNC were included in the present study. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of this population. The median age was 78.7 years (IQR, 72.3-84.5) and 71 patients (69.6%) were men. Mild or moderate comorbidity was observed in 71 patients (69.6%) and 25 patients (24.5%) had severe comorbidity. A minority of the patients were diagnosed with skin cancer in the head and neck region (24.5%). Most patients (n = 72) had a newly diagnosed head and neck tumor (70.6%), and 62 patients (65.6%) had stage III-IV cancer. More than 25% of the patients had cognitive impairment, almost 40% had (risk for) malnutrition, more than 40% had an abnormal ISAR-HP, and 28.4% of the included patients used a walking device.

Figure 1 shows the cumulative survival curve of all included patients. Within 1 year, 42.3% of the patients were deceased. Table 2 shows the risk of 1-year mortality for baseline determinants for all included patients. In the univariable analysis, several determinants were associated with an increased mortality; a low BMI with a hazard ratio (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.83-0.95) compared to a higher BMI, stage III-IV (HR, 4.12; 95% CI, 1.61-10.60) compared to stage I-II, and treatment with palliative intention (HR, 5.16; 95% CI, 2.74-9.72) compared to curative intention. Also, (risk for) malnutrition was associated with an increased mortality (HR, 3.40; 95% CI, 1.83-6.33) compared to no (risk for) malnutrition and also dependency in IADL functioning (HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.02-1.12) compared with no dependency. Independent factors for a higher risk for 1-year mortality were male sex (HR, 4.30; 95% CI, 1.35-13.67), an abnormal MNA score (HR, 2.55; 95% CI, 1.19-5.16), and the use of a walking device (HR, 2.80; 95% CI, 1.13-6.93).

Figure 2 shows the sensitivity analysis in which we stratified the cumulative survival to treatment intention. After 12 months of follow-up, 74.3% (n = 26) of the patients

#### TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the total study population

Characteristics	No. of participants = 102
Patient characteristics	
Age (years), median (IQR)	78.7 (72.3-84.5)
Male sex, n (%)	71 (69.6)
Married, n (%)	55 (53.9)
Educational level, n (%)	
Low	67 (75.3)
High	22 (24.7)
ACE-27 score, n (%)	
No comorbidity	6 (5.9)
Mild comorbidity	37 (36.3)
Moderate comorbidity	34 (33.3)
Severe comorbidity	25 (24.5)
Number of drugs, median (IQR)	6 (2.3-8)
BMI, median (IQR)	24.6 (21.6-26.9)
Smoking history, n (%)	82 (83.7)
Alcohol units/week, median (IQR)	5.0 (0-14)
Disease specific	
Tumor site, n (%)	
Oral cavity	24 (23.5)
Pharynx	24 (23.5)
Larynx	9 (8.8)
Salivary gland	8 (7.8)
Skin of head and neck region	24 (24.5)
Other <sup>a</sup>	13 (12.7)
New primary tumor	72 (70.6)
Stage grouping, n (%)	
I-II	33 (34.4)
III-IV	62 (65.6)
Treatment goal, n (%)	
Curative	67 (65.7)
Palliative	35 (34.3)
Geriatric domains	
Cognitive impairment, n (%)	25 (25.3)
Functional dependent, n (%)	14 (13.7)
Dependent in IADL function, n (%)	10 (9.9)
Risk of malnutrition or malnourished, n (%)	40 (39.2)
Risk for functional decline after hospitalization, n (%)	24 (41.4)
Use of a walking device, n (%)	29 (28.4)

Abbreviations: ACE-27, Adult Comorbidity Evaluation Score; IADL = Independent Activities in Daily Living; IQR, interquartile range; MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment; n, number.

Data incomplete for educational level (n = 89), number of drugs (n = 100), BMI (n = 101), smoking history (n = 98), alcohol consumption (n = 97), stage of disease (n = 96), 6-CIT score (n = 99), IADL score (n = 101).

<sup>a</sup>In the other group were included: unknown primary tumor, sinonasal tumor, proximal esophagus tumors, lymphoma of head and neck and vestibular schwannoma.



**FIGURE 1** Cumulative survival curve of the total study population [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

treated with palliative intention were deceased in contrast to 25.4% (n = 17) of the patients treated with curative intention. The median survival for the patients treated with palliative intention was 6.3 months. Table 3 shows the risk of 1-year mortality for baseline determinants for the patients treated with curative intention. Independent factors for a higher risk for 1-year mortality were male sex (HR, 27.64; 95% CI, 1.56-490.1), (risk for) malnutrition (HR, 6.81; 95% CI, 1.84-25.22) compared to no (risk for) malnutrition, and the use of a walking device (HR, 6.93; 95% CI, 1.58-30.46) compared with no use of a walking device.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study are that the mortality rate is high, even in the patients treated with curative intent and that (the risk for) malnutrition and mobility were determinants associated with 1-year mortality, independent of tumor stage, age, and comorbidity in older patients with cancer in the head and neck region.

In our study, several geriatric impairments were associated with 1-year mortality, but after correcting for sex, age,

TABLE 2	The association between	baseline characteristics and	d mortality after 1	year of follow-up	of all included	patients
				Jeen e		

	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
Variable	HR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	HR	95% CI	P-value
Age	0.99	0.95-1.03	0.50	1.00	0.96-1.05	0.91
Male sex	1.58	0.78-3.20	0.21	4.30	1.35-13.67	0.01
Marital status						
Married	Ref					
Single	1.00	0.58-1.82	0.99			
ACE-27 score						
0-1	Ref			Ref		
2-3	1.77	0.91-3.44	0.09	1.42	0.65-3.08	0.38
Number of drugs	1.00	0.92-1.07	0.79	0.97	0.89-1.07	0.59
BMI	0.89	0.83-0.95	0.001			
Stage of disease						
0-II	Ref			Ref		
III-IV	4.12	1.61-10.60	0.003	2.03	0.79-5.27	0.14
Goal of treatment						
Curative	Ref					
Palliative	5.16	2.74-9.72	<0.001			
Cognitive impairment	1.73	0.91-3.29	0.09	1.76	0.61-5.07	0.29
(Risk for) malnutrition	3.40	1.83-6.33	<0.001	2.55	1.23-5.26	0.01
Functional dependent	1.07	0.93-1.18	0.44			
Dependent in IADL function	1.07	1.02-1.12	0.01	1.07	0.97-1.17	0.20
Use of a walking device	1.77	0.95-3.29	0.07	2.80	1.13-6.93	0.03

Abbreviations: 6-CIT, 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ACE-27, Adult Comorbidity Evaluation Score; ADL, Activities in Daily Living; IADL, Independent Activities in Daily Living; HR, hazard ratio; MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment.

Multivariate analysis was done with complete data for 85 patients.



FIGURE 2 Cumulative survival curve stratified into treatment intention

and disease-specific determinants, only the use of a walking device was independently associated with 1-year mortality. Our recently published systematic review reports that in 64% of the reported associations, a decline in functional or cognitive impairment, mood, or social environment was associated with adverse outcomes.<sup>13</sup> Very little is known about the use and the predictive value of a geriatric assessment in HNC, because most of the studies included low patient numbers and therefore have a lack of power. In other fields of

**TABLE 3** Independent determinants for 1-year survival in curative treated patients

	Multivariable analysis			
Variable	HR	95% CI	P-value	
Age	1.04	0.95-1.14	0.35	
Male sex	27.64	1.56-490.1	0.02	
ACE-27 score				
0-1	Ref			
2-3	2.41	0.65-8.95	0.19	
Number of drugs	1.00	0.86-1.16	0.99	
Stage of disease				
0-II	Ref			
III-IV	0.77	0.19-3.02	0.70	
Cognitive impairment	2.74	0.51-14.85	0.24	
(Risk for) malnutrition	6.81	1.84-25.22	0.004	
Dependent in IADL functioning	1.05	0.88-1.24	0.59	
Use of a walking device	6.93	1.58-30.46	0.01	

Abbreviations: 6-CIT, 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ACE-27, Adult Comorbidity Evaluation Score; ADL, Activities in Daily Living; IADL, Independent Activities in Daily Living; HR, hazard ratio; MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment.

Multivariate analysis was done with complete data for 60 patients. The bold values are statistically significant.

medicine, a geriatric assessment is well established to guide decision making or to identify unknown geriatric impairments (such as cognitive impairment and functional dependency), which can be taken into account before or during treatment.<sup>23,24</sup> To our knowledge, it is not previously reported that the use of a walking device is associated with 1-year mortality.

In this cohort, the 1-year mortality rates are high: 42.3% overall in the included patients, but also 25% of the patients treated with curative intent are deceased within 1 year. In general, the 5-year survival in patients with HNC is around 50% depending on tumor stage, tumor type, and treatment intention.<sup>7,8</sup> Treatment with curative intention can contain chemoradiation or an operation (depending on the type of HNC) and followed by (chemo)radiation therapy when indicated. In patients aged 70 years and older, adding chemotherapy to radiotherapy does not contribute to higher survival rates.<sup>25,26</sup> Life expectancy is obviously lower when getting older and therefore could be taken into account. The knowledge of the survival rates, the extensiveness of the treatment, and the predictors reported in this study, and in order to personalize the treatment plan for this vulnerable population, more research should be done.

We found a relatively high prevalence of geriatric impairments. For example, a quarter of the included patients were cognitively impaired. Compared to the limited literature available, the proportion of patients who are cognitively impaired reported in our study could potentially even be higher. Williams et al. describe 83 adults with HNC before treatment and report that more than 50% were cognitively impaired.<sup>27</sup> The study of Bond et al. describes 70 patients with HNC and reports around 47% of cognitively impaired patients.<sup>28</sup> So, probably the cognition test used in our study was not comprehensive enough to recognize subtle cognitive impairment. The clinical implications of cognitive impairment before treatment are not well described in literature, but most likely negatively affect patients with HNC like in other fields of oncologic medicine.<sup>29</sup> In these fields, it is known that being cognitively impaired before treatment gives a higher risk for adverse health outcomes such as toxicity, not able to finish treatment, side effects, and mortality.<sup>28,30</sup> Besides, it is probably more difficult for patients with cognitive dysfunction to weigh the risk and benefits for cancer treatment, which impedes good shared decision making, to comply with the treatment plan and to adequately ask for medical attention if necessary. Therefore, it could be informative for the patient as well as the treating specialist to have insight in the cognitive status and to take this information into account.

There are some limitations to our study. First, the included study population was relatively small. Second, the outcome of this study was mortality, whereas remaining functional and cognitive independent and quality of life would be also <sup>2482</sup> WILEY

interesting outcomes to assess. Finally, the tumor types in the present study were heterogeneous. Strengths of this study include the relatively unselected patient cohort which has a result that the included patients in this study were a reflection of the older patients with HNC seen in clinical practice. All included participants underwent a comprehensive geriatric assessment. And this study complements the, until now limited, available literature.

## **5 | CONCLUSIONS**

In older patients with HNC, the mortality rates are high. Nutritional status and mobility are determinants of 1-year mortality, independent of tumor stage, age, and comorbidity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Institute for Evidence-based Medicine in Old Age (IEMO) is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Health and Welfare and supported by ZonMw (project number 62700.3001). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

### ORCID

Floor J. van Deudekom <sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9931-6256

#### REFERENCES

- Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, et al. Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007;99(10):777-789.
- Franceschi S, Bidoli E, Negri E, Barbone F, La Vecchia C. Alcohol and cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract in men and women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1994;3(4):299-304.
- Anstey KJ, von Sanden C, Salim A, O'Kearney R. Smoking as a risk factor for dementia and cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007;166(4):367-378.
- Richards M, Jarvis MJ, Thompson N, Wadsworth ME. Cigarette smoking and cognitive decline in midlife: evidence from a prospective birth cohort study. *Am J Public Health.* 2003;93(6): 994-998.
- North TL, Palmer TM, Lewis SJ, et al. Effect of smoking on physical and cognitive capability in later life: a multicohort study using observational and genetic approaches. *BMJ Open.* 2015;5(12): e008393.

- Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, Hortobagyi GN, Buchholz TA. Future of cancer incidence in the United States: burdens upon an aging, changing nation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(17):2758-2765.
- Pulte D, Brenner H. Changes in survival in head and neck cancers in the late 20th and early 21st century: a period analysis. *Oncologist.* 2010;15(9):994-1001.
- Michiels S, Le Maitre A, Buyse M, et al. Surrogate endpoints for overall survival in locally advanced head and neck cancer: meta-analyses of individual patient data. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10(4):341-350.
- Feng MA, McMillan DT, Crowell K, Muss H, Nielsen ME, Smith AB. Geriatric assessment in surgical oncology: a systematic review. J Surg Res. 2015;193(1):265-272.
- Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, et al. The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(6):1091-1101.
- Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, Mohile S, et al. Screening tools for multidimensional health problems warranting a geriatric assessment in older cancer patients: an update on SIOG recommendations. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(2):288-300.
- Extermann M, Aapro M, Bernabei R, et al. Use of comprehensive geriatric assessment in older cancer patients: recommendations from the task force on CGA of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG). *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2005;55(3): 241-252.
- van Deudekom FJ, Schimberg AS, Kallenberg MH, Slingerland M, van der Velden LA, Mooijaart SP. Functional and cognitive impairment, social environment, frailty and adverse health outcomes in older patients with head and neck cancer: a systematic review. *Oral Oncol.* 2017;64:27-36.
- Piccirillo JF, Creech CM, Zequeira R, Anderson S, Johnston AS. Inclusion of comorbidity into oncology data registries. J Reg Manag. 1999;26(2):66-70.
- Boje CR. Impact of comorbidity on treatment outcome in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma—a systematic review. *Radiother Oncol.* 2014;110(1):81-90.
- Nesic VS, Petrovic ZM, Sipetic SB, Jesic SD, Soldatovic IA, Kastratovic DA. Comparison of the adult comorbidity evaluation 27 and the Charlson comorbidity indices in patients with laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2012;126(5): 516-524.
- Patel SG, Shah JP. TNM staging of cancers of the head and neck: striving for uniformity among diversity. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2005; 55(4):242-258. quiz 261–242, 264.
- Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW. Studies of illness in the aged. The index of Adl: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *JAMA*. 1963;185:914-919.
- Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: selfmaintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist.* 1969;9(3):179-186.
- Tuijl JP, Scholte EM, de Craen AJ, van der Mast RC. Screening for cognitive impairment in older general hospital patients: comparison of the Six-Item Cognitive Impairment Test with the Mini-Mental State Examination. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2012;27(7): 755-762.
- Guigoz Y, Lauque S, Vellas BJ. Identifying the elderly at risk for malnutrition. The Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2002;18(4):737-757.
- 22. Hoogerduijn JG, Buurman BM, Korevaar JC, Grobbee DE, de Rooij SE, Schuurmans MJ. The prediction of functional decline in older hospitalised patients. *Age Ageing*. 2012;41(3):381-387.

- 23. Antonio M, Saldana J, Linares J, et al. Geriatric assessment may help decision-making in elderly patients with inoperable, locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;118:639-647.
- Hernandez Torres C, Hsu T. Comprehensive geriatric assessment in the older adult with cancer: a review. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2017;3(4-5): 330-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2017.10.010. Epub 2018 Jan 10.
- 25. Amini A, Jones BL, McDermott JD, et al. Survival outcomes with concurrent chemoradiation for elderly patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer according to the National Cancer Data Base. *Cancer*. 2016;122(10):1533-1543.
- Lacas B, Bourhis J, Overgaard J, et al. Role of radiotherapy fractionation in head and neck cancers (MARCH): an updated metaanalysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(9):1221-1237.
- 27. Williams AM, Lindholm J, Siddiqui F, Ghanem TA, Chang SS. Clinical assessment of cognitive function in patients with head and neck cancer: prevalence and correlates. *Otolaryngology Head Neck Surg.* 2017;157(5):808-815.
- 28. Bond SM, Dietrich MS, Murphy BA. Neurocognitive function in head and neck cancer patients prior to treatment. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(1):149-157.

- Libert Y, Dubruille S, Borghgraef C, et al. Vulnerabilities in older patients when cancer treatment is initiated: does a cognitive impairment impact the two-year survival? *PLoS One*. 2016;11(8): e0159734.
- Hamaker ME, Vos AG, Smorenburg CH, de Rooij SE, van Munster BC. The value of geriatric assessments in predicting treatment tolerance and all-cause mortality in older patients with cancer. *Oncologist.* 2012;17(11):1439-1449.

How to cite this article: van Deudekom FJ, van der Velden L-A, Zijl WH, et al. Geriatric assessment and 1-year mortality in older patients with cancer in the head and neck region: A cohort study. *Head & Neck*. 2019;41:2477–2483. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.</u> 25714