

Characterization of a Paramagnetic Mononuclear Nonheme Iron-Superoxo Complex

Chien-Wei Chiang,† Scott T. Kleespies,‡ Heather D. Stout,# Katlyn K. Meier,# Po-Yi Li,† Emile L. Bominaar, $*$, $*$ Lawrence Que, Jr., $*$, $*$ Eckard Münck, $*$, $*$ and Way-Zen Lee $*$, \dagger

† Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 11677, Taiwan (ROC)

‡ Department of Chemistry and Center for Metals in Biocatalysis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

Department of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, United States

S [Supporting Information](#page-3-0)

ABSTRACT: O_2 bubbling into a THF solution of Fe^{II}(BDPP) (1) at -80 °C generates a reversible bright yellow adduct 2. Characterization by resonance Raman and Mössbauer spectroscopy provides complementary insights into the nature of 2. The former shows a resonance-enhanced vibration at 1125 cm[−]¹ , which can be assigned to the ν (O−O) of a bound superoxide, while the latter reveals the presence of a high-spin iron(III) center that is exchange-coupled to the superoxo ligand, like the Fe^{III} – O_2^- pair found for the O_2 adduct of 4-nitrocatecholbound homoprotocatechuate 2,3-dioxygenase. Lastly, 2 oxidizes dihydroanthracene to anthracene, supporting the notion that $Fe^{III} - O_2^-$ species can carry out H atom abstraction from a C−H bond to initiate the 4-electron oxidation of substrates proposed for some nonheme iron enzymes.

The formation of an iron(III)-superoxo species upon O_2
binding to an iron(II) center is invariably the first step
proposed for the activation of O_2 by iron our
consecuently proposed for the activation of O_2 by iron oxygenases.¹⁻³ Although such species are well-characterized for enzymatic and synthetic heme centers,^{[4](#page-3-0),[5](#page-3-0)} only recently have iron-superoxo intermediates of nonheme iron enzymes been reported. The first example is the O_2 adduct of the diiron(II,III) myo-inositol oxygenase, which has been spectroscopically assigned to be an $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}$ $-$ O₂ species.^{[6](#page-3-0)} O₂ adducts for two aromatic ringcleaving dioxygenases have been observed in crystallo; 7,8 7,8 7,8 7,8 7,8 corresponding EPR and Mö ssbauer studies of homoprotocatechuate 2,3-dioxygenase (HPCD) in frozen solution show its $O₂$ adduct to be an antiferromagnetically coupled high-spin iron(III)-superoxo species.^{[9](#page-3-0)} For the above enzymes and related iron enzymes that catalyze 4-e[−] substrate oxidations, the iron(III)-superoxo species must carry out the first 1-e[−] oxidation step.[10](#page-3-0) There are thus far only two reports of synthetic nonheme iron-superoxo complexes, both of which derive from diiron(II) precursors.^{[11,12](#page-3-0)} No monoiron-superoxo species has yet been reported. Based on the chemistry some of us developed to synthesize a stable five-coordinate nickel(III) complex, $[Ni^{III}(BDPP)](PF_6)$ (see Figure 1 for the structure of the BDPP ligand),^{[13](#page-3-0)} we have prepared its iron(II) derivative to take advantage of its two anionic alkoxide donors to promote

Figure 1. X-ray structure (left) and a schematic drawing (right) of Fe(BDPP) (1); hydrogen atoms not shown. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Fe1−O1 1.9275(18), Fe1−N1 2.100(3), Fe1− N2 2.271(2), O1−Fe1−O1′ 123.04(12), N2−Fe1−N2′ 151.78(14).

 $O₂$ binding. Herein we present spectroscopic evidence for the formation of a paramagnetic mononuclear nonheme iron(III) superoxo complex.

Treatment of H_2BDPP with NaH in CH_3CN and then with FeCl₂ forms a red solution of Fe^{II}(BDPP) (1). Evaporation of the solvent affords a solid that yields dark red crystals of 1 upon recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane. Complex 1 exhibits two UV–vis absorption bands at 325 (sh, $\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ 1500) and 525 nm $(\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ 570) in THF and gives rise to a quasi-reversible cyclic voltammogram ($\Delta E = 80$ mV) with an $E_{1/2}$ value at 122 mV vs Ag/AgCl in CH_3CN ([Figure S1\)](#page-3-0). X-ray crystallography of 1 (Figure 1) reveals a mononuclear iron(II) complex with a distorted square pyramidal geometry ($\tau = 0.48$).^{[14](#page-3-0)}

The five-coordinate iron(II) center of 1 would appear to be well set up to bind O_2 . Indeed, bubbling of O_2 through a THF solution of 1 at −80 °C generates a bright yellow solution with an intense absorption band at 330 nm $(\varepsilon_M 9400)$ (Figure [2\)](#page-1-0); an isosbestic point at 465 nm is observed in the conversion of 1 to **2** (Figure [2](#page-1-0) inset). For comparison, the O_2 adduct of $[Fe^{II}](\mu OH)_{2}(6-Me_{3}TPA)_{2}]^{2+}$ (6-Me₃TPA = tris(6-methylpyridyl-2methyl)amine) observed in CH_2Cl_2 at -80 °C exhibits a similarly intense UV band at 325 nm (ϵ_M 10 300) and has been characterized to be an iron(II)iron(III)-superoxo complex.^{[11](#page-3-0)} Interestingly, bubbling of N_2 through the THF solution of 2 for 5 min at −80 °C regenerates 1, showing that 2 is a reversible

Received: May 2, 2014 Published: July 18, 2014

Figure 2. Formation of 2 (red line) at -80 °C by bubbling O_2 through a THF solution of 1 (0.1 mM) (blue line). The spectra shown were taken over a 15 s time frame.

Fe−O₂ adduct; several cycles of alternating N_2/O_2 purges can be achieved ([Figure S2\)](#page-3-0).

Excitation of 2 obtained with 413.1 nm irradiation reveals a resonance-enhanced vibration at 1125 cm[−]¹ (Figure 3),

Figure 3. Resonance Raman spectra of 2 (λ_{ex} 413.1 nm, 30 mW, 77 K) prepared by bubbling O_2 into 1 (5 mM) in THF or THF- d_8 at -80 $^{\circ}$ C. Top: black, $^{16}O_2$; red, $^{18}O_2$ in THF. Bottom: black, $^{16}O_2$; red, $^{18}O_2$ in THF- d_8 . Asterisks denote solvent peaks.

presumably arising from the bound $O₂$. Its frequency falls within the 1100−1200 cm⁻¹ range found for the ν (O-O) features of other mononuclear metal-superoxo complexes (Table 1).[15](#page-3-0) Hooke's Law predicts a downshift of 64 cm[−]¹ for the ν (O−O) of the ¹⁸O₂ isotopologue. This downshift moves the ν (O−O) for 2 into a region obscured by a THF mode, but the corresponding experiment in THF- d_8 shows a peak at 1062 cm[−]¹ . Taken together, the observed frequency and 63 cm[−]¹ downshift support a superoxo ligand for 2. Given the pentadentate nature of BDPP and the reversibility of $O₂$ binding, it is likely that the superoxide is bound end-on, as deduced for the other complexes listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Raman Data for Metal-Superoxo Complexes^a

Figure 4 shows 4.2 K Mössbauer spectra of 2 recorded in zero field (A) and parallel applied fields $B = 2.2$ mT (B) and B

Figure 4. Left: 4.2 K Mössbauer spectra of 2 recorded in zero field (A) parallel applied fields of 2.2 mT (B) and 45 mT (C). (D) Difference spectrum "2.2 mT minus 45 mT". Red lines in A, B, and D outline a quadrupole doublet that represents \approx 10% of the Fe attributed to 2. Red line in C is a spectral simulation for 2 based on eq [1](#page-2-0) and represents 89% of the Fe. Red line represents two similar $S = 3$ species, 2a and 2b (parameters of 2b are given in italics): % Fe $62(27)$, D = $-1.2(-1.2)$ cm⁻¹, $E/D = 0.08(0.08)$, δ = 0.58(0.58), ΔE_Q = $-1.65(-1.65)$ mm/s, $\eta \approx 0.0(0.6)$, $A_z/g_n\beta_n = -13.8(-14.4)$ T (because $\langle S_{x,y} \rangle \approx 0$, the spectra are insensitive A_x and A_y). Right: Splittings of the $S = 3$ multiplet; for labels, see ref [18](#page-3-0).

 $= 45$ mT (C). The spectrum of Figure 4C is typical of those observed for high-spin $(S_1 = 5/2)$ Fe^{III} with a ground Kramers doublet that is magnetically uniaxial (such as the $M_S = \pm 5/2$ doublet of a mononuclear Fe^{III} which has $g_z \gg g_{x}g_y$). Indeed, the isomer shift, $\delta = 0.58(3)$ mm/s, derived from analyzing the magnetic hyperfine pattern is strongly indicative of high-spin Fe^{III}. If 2 would represent an exchange-coupled Fe^{III} – O_2 [–] pair like that for the HPCD superoxo intermediate, 9 the ground state would have integer spin $S = 2$ or 3, corresponding to antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic coupling, respectively. In either case, we would expect to observe for $B = 0$ a quadrupole doublet. Instead, the zero field spectrum (ZFS) of 2 (Figure 4A) displays two spectral components, namely, a majority paramagnetic component with similar features as the spectrum of Figure 4C plus a doublet (ca. 10% of Fe) with $\delta = 0.58$ mm/ s and quadrupole splitting $\Delta E_{\rm O} \approx 1.7$ mm/s that is not present in Figure 4C. The features of the zero field spectrum are highly unusual but can be understood using the ideas developed in our analysis of the P^{ox} state of the nitrogenase P clusters^{[17](#page-3-0)} (where the two lowest spin levels are so closely spaced that they are mixed by 57Fe magnetic hyperfine interactions; see [Supporting](#page-3-0) [Information\)](#page-3-0).

a Abbreviations: 6-Me3TPA = tris(6-methylpyridyl-2-methyl)amine; 6-pivTPA = (6-pivaloylamidopyridyl-2-methyl)-bis(6-methylpyridyl-2-methyl) amine; TMC = 1,4,8,11-tetramethylcyclam; TMG₃tren =1,1,1-tris{2-[N2-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidino)]ethyl}amine).

The proof that 2 contains an exchange-coupled Fe^{III} – O_2 [–] pair is independent of whether the coupling is ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic. We have analyzed our data using the $S = 3$ spin Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H} = D[S_z^2 - 4 + (E/D)(S_x^2 - S_y^2)] + g\beta \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \hat{H}_{hf}
$$
\n(1)

with

$$
\hat{H}_{hf} = (eQV_{zz}/12)[3I_z^2 - 15/4 + \eta(I_x^2 - I_y^2)] + S \cdot A \cdot I
$$

$$
- g_n \beta_h I \tag{2}
$$

For the $S = 3$ multiplet, the ZFS parameters D, (E/D) , and the 57Fe magnetic hyperfine tensor, A, are related to the corresponding local quantities of the $S_1 = 5/2$ Fe^{III} site by $D =$ $(2/3)\hat{D}_1$, $(E/D) = (E/D)_1$ and $\mathbf{A} = (5/6)\mathbf{A}_1^9$ $\mathbf{A} = (5/6)\mathbf{A}_1^9$. For $D < 0$, the S = 3 multiplet has two low-lying non-Kramers doublets (Figure [4](#page-1-0)), whose properties are fundamental to understanding the unusual spectroscopic properties of 2. For $B = 0$, the $M_S = \pm 3$ ground doublet^{[18](#page-3-0)} is split by $\Delta_g = 3D(E/D)^3$, while the first excited, $M_s = \pm 2$, doublet is split by $\Delta_e = 15D(E/D)^2$. .

The observation of magnetic hyperfine structure even in zero field (Figure [4](#page-1-0)A) shows that Δ_{g} of 2 must be exceptionally small, namely, $\Delta_{\rm g} < 0.003$ ${\rm cm}^{-1}$. For such a small value of $\Delta_{\rm g}$ the ground doublet develops a large expectation value of the electronic spin along z [\(Figure S6A\)](#page-3-0), even in weak applied fields. The magnetic splitting of the spectrum of Figure [4C](#page-1-0) is determined by the internal magnetic field $B_{int,z} = -\langle S_z \rangle A_z / g_n \beta_n$ $\approx \pm 3(A_z/g_n\beta_n)$, where the + and – refer to the lower and upper members of the ground doublet, respectively, and $B_{\text{int},x,y}$ vanishes for β B « |D|. A uniaxial electronic doublet yields a characteristic 6-line Mö ssbauer pattern like that of Figure [4C](#page-1-0). The observation that $B_{int,z}$ approaches the maximum value obtainable for an isolated doublet at $B = 2.2$ mT also shows Δ_{σ} < 0.003 cm⁻¹. For *B* = 45 mT, $\langle S_z \rangle$ is saturated at $\langle S_z \rangle = \pm 3$, which implies a value $A_z/g_n\beta_n \approx -14$ T for the z component of the magnetic hyperfine tensor.

Interestingly, the central part of Figure [4](#page-1-0)A,B contains a doublet (red solid lines) that is absent in Figure [4C](#page-1-0). This quadrupole doublet originates from the excited states of the $S =$ 3 manifold, mainly from the $M_S = \pm 2$ doublet, and represents the same molecular species as the magnetic feature of the ground state (explained in [Supporting Information\)](#page-3-0). Consider now the difference spectrum shown in Figure [4](#page-1-0)D, obtained by subtracting Figure [4](#page-1-0)C from Figure [4B](#page-1-0). The difference spectrum shows a quadrupole doublet (red solid line) with $\Delta E_{\rm O} \approx 1.65$ mm/s and $\delta = 0.58$ mm/s, values that are the same as those obtained from analysis of the 6-line pattern of Figure [4C](#page-1-0) (as they must be if both spectra represent 2). This quadrupole doublet disappears for $B = 45$ mT. At 2.2 mT and 4.2 K, it contributes ∼10% of the absorption of species 2, a population that fits to an S = 3 level splitting corresponding to $D \approx -1.2$ cm^{-1} (the same D value was obtained from level mixing at B = 3.0 T; see [Figure S5\)](#page-3-0).

The red lines in Figures [4C](#page-1-0) and [S5](#page-3-0) are spectral simulations based on eq 1 using the parameters given in the figure caption. For our final simulations, we assumed that 2 appears as two conformers, 2a and 2b, representing 62 and 27% of the total absorption (explanation given in [Supporting Information\)](#page-3-0), possibly due to slight differences in the $Fe-O_2^-$ moiety arising from interactions with the frozen THF solution. The remaining

∼11% of the absorption belongs to a broad and shallow background that has not yet been identified.

The HPCD-superoxo complex^{[9](#page-3-0)} has an $S = 2$ ground multiplet with $D < 0$, $E/D = 0.20$, and exhibits an EPR signal near $g = 8.2$. We have searched for a parallel mode EPR signal for 2 dissolved in THF, acetone, and dichloromethane, but no signal attributable to 2 was found. For $D < 0$, the EPR intensities of $S = 3$ and 2 ground doublets are proportional to Δ_g^2 , that is, proportional to $(E/D)^6$ and $(E/D)^4$, respectively.^{[17](#page-3-0)} Analyzing our data assuming $S = 3$ and 2 yielded $(E/D)_{S=3}$ = 0.08 and $(E/D)_{S=2} = 0.02$ (see [Supporting Information](#page-3-0)). Thus, in either case, the expected signal intensity of the ground doublet is expected to be 4 orders of magnitude smaller than that found for the HPCD intermediate.

Finally, the spectra of Figures [4](#page-1-0) and [S5](#page-3-0) do not reveal whether the ground state of 2 has $S = 3$ or 2. In principle, this information can be obtained from Mö ssbauer spectroscopy. Suppose the electronic spin system is in the slow relaxation regime at 11 K. At this temperature, the excited $M_s = \pm 2$ doublet would be sufficiently populated to be detected and would yield at $B = 100 \text{ mT}$ a 6-line Mössbauer spectrum with features completely determined by the parameters of the 45 mT ground state spectrum of Figure [4](#page-1-0)C. (The excited $M_s = \pm 1$ states of an $S = 2$ system would *not* yield a 6-line spectrum because Δ_e would be too large for $(E/D)_{S=2}$ = 0.02.) Preliminary data hint at the presence of this 6-line spectrum (i.e., at $S = 3$), but the onset of intermediate relaxation at 11 K cautions us to reserve final judgment. While the above analysis could have been presented with minor modifications for $S = 2$ (see [SI\)](#page-3-0), our preliminary 11 K data suggested to us to describe the spectra for an $S = 3$ system. Further Mössbauer experiments in strong applied fields at different temperatures as well as EPR studies in different solvents including glassing solvents should shed further light on the nature of the coupling.

The low-temperature stability of 2 has led us to test the notion that an iron(III)-superoxo moiety can abstract a H atom from a substrate C−H bond. This question was examined by adding an excess of 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHA, D_{C-H} 78 kcal/mol^{[16](#page-3-0)}) to a THF solution of 2 at -70 °C, which resulted in the exponential decay of its characteristic 330 nm band. Anthracene was formed in 90% yield relative to the amount of 2, and neither anthrone nor anthraquinone was found as byproducts. The reaction followed first-order kinetics in the presence of excess DHA, and a plot of the pseudo-first-order rate constants against the concentration of DHA gave a straight line, from which a second-order rate constant k_2 of 0.005 M⁻¹ s^{-1} was obtained at −70 °C [\(Figure S3\)](#page-3-0). When DHA- d_4 was used as substrate, a kinetic isotope effect of 7 was observed, showing that C−H bond cleavage is involved in the ratedetermining step. Our data can be compared with those of the two other metal-superoxo complexes for which the kinetics of intermolecular C−H bond cleavage have been studied. After adjustment for differences in temperature and substrate D_{C-H} values, it appears that 2 has a C−H bond cleaving rate comparable to that of $[Cr^{III}(TMC)O_2(Cl)]^+$ ($k_2 = 0.17$ M^{-1} s⁻¹ at -10 °C for DHA oxidation)^{[15d](#page-3-0)} but slower than that of $[Cu^{II}(6-pivTPA)O_2]$ ⁺ ($k_2 = 0.19$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at -125 °C for 1benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide (DC−^H 71 kcal/mol) oxida-tion).^{[15b](#page-3-0)} In addition, the latter two complexes were found to exhibit KIE values for C−H bond cleavage of 50^{15d} 50^{15d} 50^{15d} and $12,^{15b}$ $12,^{15b}$ $12,^{15b}$ respectively, that may implicate hydrogen tunneling. What the differences among the KIE values indicate about the nature of the superoxo ligand and how that affects the H atom

abstraction mechanism should be an interesting topic for future work. In addition, as observed for $[Cr^{III}(TMC)O_2(Cl)]^{+,15d}$ we , also found a 1:1 reaction stoichiometry of 2 consumed and anthracene formed, indicating that 2 provided two oxidizing equivalents for the oxidation of DHA. This result suggests that the FeIII−OOH species, presumably formed upon H atom abstraction of DHA by 2, must react further with the nascent DHA· radical. The nature of these iron byproducts in the DHA oxidation and related reactions is under investigation and will be reported in a subsequent publication.

To summarize, we have generated the first synthetic example of a mononuclear iron(III)-superoxo complex in a nonheme ligand environment, providing a model complex with which to compare corresponding complexes that have been trapped, or are likely to occur, in the catalytic cycles of nonheme iron oxygenases.6−¹⁰ For 2, resonance Raman and Mö ssbauer spectroscopy provide complementary information. Thus, the former reveals a vibration at 1125 cm[−]¹ that arises from the superoxo ligand, while the Mössbauer spectra demonstrate that 2, observed as two related conformers 2a and 2b, contains a $S =$ $5/2$ Fe III center that is exchange-coupled to a radical which, of course, is the superoxo moiety. The demonstration that 2 can oxidize dihydroanthracene at −70 °C supports the mechanistic notion that Fe^{III} −O₂[−] species can carry out the H atom abstraction from a substrate C−H bond that is necessary for the initiation of the 4-e[−] oxidation of substrates by nonheme iron enzymes such as *myo*-inositol oxygenase.⁶

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experimental details, figures showing the cyclic voltammogram of 1, the reversibility of O_2 binding to 1, a plot of k_{obs} vs DHA concentration for the reaction of 2 with DHA and DHA- d_4 , and Mössbauer spectra obtained at $B = 0$ and 3.0 T, comments on the Mössbauer spectra of 2, magnetization curves for $M_s = \pm 2$ and ± 3 doublets, and a crystallographic file in CIF format for 1. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

wzlee@ntnu.edu.tw emunck@cmu.edu larryque@umn.edu eb7g@andrew.cmu.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (102-2113M-003-007-MY3 to W.Z.L.) and the U.S. National Science Foundation (CHE-1058248 and CHE-1361773 to L.Q. and CHE-1305111 to E.M.).

ENDERGERENCES

(1) Bertini, I.; Gray, H. B.; Stiefel, E. I.; Valentine, S. J. Biological Inorganic Chemistry: Structure & Reactivity; University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, 2007.

(2) Sono, M.; Roach, M. P.; Coulter, E. D.; Dawson, J. H. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2841.

(3) Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L., Jr. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939.

- (4) Meunier, B.; de Visser, S. P.; Shaik, S. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3947.
- (5) Momenteau, M.; Reed, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 659.
- (6) Xing, G.; Diao, Y.; Hoffart, L. M.; Barr, E. W.; Prabhu, K. S.; Arner, R. J.; Reddy, C. C.; Krebs, C.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2006, 103, 6130.
- (7) Kovaleva, E. G.; Lipscomb, J. D. Science 2007, 316, 453.

(8) Jeoung, J.-H.; Bommer, M.; Lin, T.-Y.; Dobbek, H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013, 110, 12625.

(9) Mbughuni, M. M.; Chakrabarti, M.; Hayden, J. A.; Bominaar, E. L.; Hendrich, M. P.; Münck, E.; Lipscomb, J. D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 2010, 107, 16788.

(10) van der Donk, W.; Krebs, C.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2010, 20, 673.

(11) Shan, X.; Que, L., Jr. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005, 102, 5340.

(12) Zhao, M.; Helms, B.; Slonkina, E.; Friedle, S.; Lee, D.; DuBois, J.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Fréchet, J. M. J.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4352.

 (13) Lee, W.-Z.; Chiang, C.-W.; Lin, T.-H.; Kuo, T.-S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 50.

(14) Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; van Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349.

(15) (a) Schatz, M.; Raab, V.; Foxon, S. P.; Brehm, G.; Schneider, S.; Reiher, M.; Holthausen, M. C.; Sundermeyer, J.; Schindler, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4360. (b) Peterson, R. L.; Himes, R. A.; Kotani, H.; Suenobu, T.; Tian, L.; Siegler, M. A.; Solomon, E. I.; Fukuzumi, S.; Karlin, K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1702. (c) Cho, J.; Kang, H. Y.; Liu, L. V.; Sarangi, R.; Solomon, E. I.; Nam, W. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1502. (d) Cho, J.; Woo, J.; Nam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5958.

(16) (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J. P.; Ji, G.; Satish, A. V.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9790. (b) Roth, J. P.; Mayer, J. M. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 2760.

(17) Surerus, K. K.; Hendrich, M. P.; Christie, P. D.; Rottgardt, D.; Orme-Johnson, W. H.; Münck, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8579. (18) For $B = 0$, the true eigenstates are "nonmagnetic" states composed of symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of $|+M_S\rangle$ and |−MS⟩ states; see eq 2 of ref 17. For B > 2 mT (for the ground doublet) and $B > 100$ mT (for the excited doublet), the levels are better described by the magnetic $|\pm M_{\rm S}\rangle$ states.