Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ScienceDirect** 

journal homepage: http://ees.elsevier.com/gendis/default.asp

### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

# Writing and erasing MYC ubiquitination and SUMOylation



Yingxiao Chen, Xiao-Xin Sun, Rosalie C. Sears \*\*<sup>,1</sup>, Mu-Shui Dai<sup>\*,1</sup>

Departments of Molecular & Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, OHSU Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239, USA

Received 24 April 2019; received in revised form 23 May 2019; accepted 29 May 2019 Available online 24 July 2019

#### **KEYWORDS**

deSUMOylating enzymes; Deubiquitinating enzymes; MYC; SUMO; SUMO; SUMOylation; Ubiquitination **Abstract** The transcription factor c-MYC (MYC thereafter) controls diverse transcription programs and plays a key role in the development of many human cancers. Cells develop multiple mechanisms to ensure that MYC levels and activity are precisely controlled in normal physiological context. As a short half-lived protein, MYC protein levels are tightly regulated by the ubiquitin proteasome system. Over a dozen of ubiquitin ligases have been found to ubiquitinate MYC whereas a number of deubiquitinating enzymes counteract this process. Recent studies show that SUMOylation and deSUMOylation can also regulate MYC protein stability and activity. Interestingly, evidence suggests an intriguing crosstalk between MYC ubiquitination and SUMOylation. Deregulation of the MYC ubiquitination-SUMOylation regulatory network may contribute to tumorigenesis. This review is intended to provide the current understanding of the complex regulation of the MYC biology by dynamic ubiquitination and SUMOylation and their crosstalk.

Copyright © 2019, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Introduction

The MYC oncoprotein regulates the expression of a large body of genes implicated in diverse cellular processes,

including cell cycle progression, nucleotide biosynthesis, metabolism, RNA processing, ribosomal biogenesis/protein translation as well as apoptosis, cell senescence and differentiation.<sup>1–3</sup> It functions as a pleiotropic transcription

\* Corresponding author. \*\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses*: searsr@ohsu.edu (R.C. Sears), daim@ohsu.edu (M.-S. Dai).

- Peer review under responsibility of Chongqing Medical University.
- <sup>1</sup> Fax: +503 494 6886.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2019.05.006

2352-3042/Copyright © 2019, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

factor in association with its primary partner MAX<sup>4</sup> through a C-terminal basic HLH/Leu-zipper domain (bHLH-LZ).<sup>5,6</sup> MYC/MAX heterodimers activate many target genes by binding to canonical E-box (CACGTG) elements within gene promoters and enhancers.<sup>6–8</sup> The N-terminal first 143 amino acids of MYC constitute the transactivation domain (TAD) that is essential for both transcriptional activation and repression<sup>5,9–11</sup> and contains the highly conserved MYC box I (MBI) and MBII. Two important phosphorylation sites, Threonine 58 (T58) and Serine 62 (S62) lie in MBI, involved in regulation of MYC stability and activity in response to cell growth signals.<sup>1,2,12,13</sup> The central region of MYC contains the MBIII and MBIV which are both important for MYC's pro-apoptotic activity as well as for transcriptional repression and activation respectively.<sup>14–16</sup>

While MYC is essential for normal cell growth and proliferation, deregulated MYC overexpression and activation contribute to the development of most human cancers. Thus, it is not surprising that MYC levels and activity are under tight scrutiny and regulation during normal cell homeostasis.<sup>2,17</sup> Besides transcriptional regulation, <sup>18–20</sup> there are various post-translational modifications of MYC that regulate its stability and activity, including phosphorylation, acetylation, glycosylation, proline isomerization, methylation, ubiquitination and SUMOylation. In this review, we will focus on the regulation of MYC stability and activity by dynamic ubiquitination and SUMOylation and their potential crosstalk.

# MYC ubiquitination regulates MYC stability and activity

As one of the most prevalent protein posttranslational modifiers, ubiquitin is attached to substrates by a threestep enzymatic process, consisting of the ubiquitinactivating enzyme (E1), the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2) and the ubiquitin ligase (E3).<sup>21</sup> The human genome encodes two E1 enzymes (UBE1 and UBA6), approximately 40 E2s, and more than 600 E3s that are classified into three groups based on their general mode of catalysis. The HECT (homologous to E6-AP carboxy terminus) domain containing E3s have an identifiable catalytic site, whereas the RING (really interesting new gene) domain-containing E3s are thought to mediate Ub transfer by positioning E2s in close proximity to targets. The RBR (RING-IBR-RING) E3s' action is still unclear, but likely similar to the RING E3s. RING proteins, and E3s in general, are regulated by a number of mechanisms that modulate either their activity or interactions.<sup>22,23</sup> Ub is first activated by ATP-dependent formation of a thioester bond between the active-Cys residue of the E1 and the C-terminus di-glycine motif of Ub. The activated Ub is then transferred to the active-Cys residue of one of the E2s. Finally, the E3 ubiquitin ligase mediates the isopeptide bond formation between the lysine residue of the substrate and C-terminal carboxyl group of ubiquitin by interacting with ubiquitin-charged E2 and a specific substrate. Proteins can be modified predominately by Lys48 linked ubiquitin chains for proteasome degradation, or by a single ubiquitin or a polyubiquitin chain with other or mixed linkages (Lys63, Lys6, Lys11, Lys27, Lys29, Lys33 or Met1), serving as signals for multifaceted regulatory functions.<sup>24</sup> In general, the E2s determine the type of ubiquitin chain assembled while the E3s contribute to the efficiency and substrate specificity of the ubiquitination reaction.<sup>21,25</sup>

MYC is an unstable protein with a half-life of less than 30 min in non-transformed cells<sup>13,26,27</sup> due to rapid turnover through the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS). Intriguingly, ubiquitination has been found to regulate MYC activity without affecting its protein levels or by promoting its turnover. To date, at least 16 ubiquitin E3s have been identified to ubiquitinate MYC, most of which were reported as negative regulators targeting MYC for degradation while the rest of them positively regulate MYC activity (Fig. 1).

### SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup>

SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup> is the best characterized ubiquitin E3 for MYC. The core components of SCF complex include an adaptor protein Skp1, a scaffold protein Cul1 and a ring-finger protein Rbx1/Roc1. Fbw7 is a F-box protein which is the variable component of the complex that determines specificity for substrates.<sup>28</sup> There are three Fbw7 isoforms that differ in subcellular localization: Fbw7 $\alpha$  (nucleoplasm), Fbw7 $\beta$ (cytoplasm) and Fbw7 $\gamma$  (nucleolus).  $^{28,29}$  Both Fbw7 $\alpha$  and Fbw7 $\gamma$  have been shown to target MYC for degradation. Fbw7 mediated MYC turnover is dependent on sequential MYC phosphorylation at S62 and T58. Following growth signals, MYC is stabilized upon S62 phosphorylation mediated by Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK kinase cascade and/or CDKs.<sup>13,30,31</sup> S62 phosphorylation is a prerequisite for subsequent phosphorylation at T58 by GSK3B, which is inhibited by PI(3)K/Akt signaling, but activated when Ras



**Figure 1 MYC ubiquitin ligases (E3s).** Shown are the ubiquitin E3s reported to mediate MYC ubiquitination. The arrow indicates the activation of MYC activity whereas the bar indicates the inhibition of MYC activity.

signaling is down.<sup>10,30–33</sup> Phosphorylation of T58 facilitates Pin1-mediated isomerization at proline 63 of MYC, allowing dephosphorylation of MYC at S62 by PP2A.<sup>34</sup> Consequently, p-T58-MYC is recognized by SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup> for K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation through the proteasome system.<sup>29,35–37</sup> Consistently, as one of the "hot spots" for mutations in a subset of Burkitt's lymphomas, T58 mutation causes stabilization and enhanced activity of MYC.<sup>10,38–40</sup> *MYC<sup>T58A</sup>* transgenic mice show higher penetrance and reduced latency in cancer development compared with *MYC<sup>WT</sup>* transgenic mice.<sup>41,42</sup> Moreover, mutations and deletions of *FBW7* have been identified in multiple human cancers, consistent with its role in negatively regulating MYC.<sup>28</sup>

#### SCF<sup>Skp2</sup>

Skp2 (S-phase Kinase-associated protein2), also known as FBXL1, has been well characterized as an oncoprotein.<sup>43,44</sup> As the substrate-binding subunit of the SCF<sup>Skp2</sup> ubiquitin ligase complex, Skp2 was shown to bind to the MBII and bHLH-LZ domains of MYC and can mediate its ubiquitination and degradation during the G1/S transition of the cell  $cvcle.^{45\mathchar`-47}$  Since both MYC and Skp2 are oncoproteins critical for G1/S transition, the finding that Skp2 targets MYC for degradation seems to be contradictory, but has given rise to the concept of a transcription-coupled proteasomal degradation mechanism for transcription: Skp2 mediates MYC ubiquitination at the site of its activity (chromatin), first promoting its activity and subsequently its degradation. Indeed, Skp2 increases transcriptional activity of MYC and promotes MYC-induced S phase entry.<sup>45,47</sup> In support of this transcription-coupled proteasomal degradation of MYC, we found that PIN1 also promotes the turnover of MYC at target gene promoters while increasing its transactivation activity. 48,49 ARF and SNIP1 were shown to compete with Skp2 to regulate MYC.<sup>50,51</sup> ARF inhibits Skp2-mediated ubiquitination and degradation, resulting in the switch of MYC from a canonical oncogenic protein towards an inducer of apoptosis,<sup>51</sup> whereas SNIP1 stabilizes MYC by competing for binding with Skp2 and possibly switches MYC into another mode of transcription activation through recruitment of p300.<sup>50</sup> In addition, Skp2 induces cell cycle genes and enhances the proliferative response of human  $\beta$ -cells in a MYC-dependent manner, suggesting MYC as a central Skp2 target for the induction of cell cycle entry, expansion and regeneration of human T2D  $\beta$ -cells.<sup>52</sup> However, by using the Skp2-LRR mutant unable to form a SCF complex, several studies argued that Skp2 promotes MYC activity independently of MYC ubiquitination and SCF<sup>Skp2</sup> E3 ligase activity. One report showed that Skp2 recruits p300 and Miz1 to the MYC transcriptional complex to promote RhoA transcription, cell migration and invasion in an E3 independent manner.<sup>53</sup> Another group showed that the Skp2-LLR mutant restores MYC activity downregulated by hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), suggesting that Skp2 functions as a transcriptional activator of MYC rather than a component of the SCF complex in HGF signaling in HepG2 cells.<sup>54</sup> Together, these studies clearly reveal a critical crosstalk between Skp2 and MYC in regulating cell cycle progression and cell transformation involving proteinprotein interaction and protein turnover that impact on the MYC transcriptional output.  $^{\rm 55}$ 

# SCF<sup>FBOX32</sup>

FBXO32, also known as Atrogin-1, was originally identified as the muscle-specific gene for muscle atrophy.<sup>56,57</sup> Recent evidence suggests that it has a role in regulating tumorigenesis, where it is downregulated.<sup>58,59</sup> SCF<sup>FBXO32</sup> targets MYC for proteasome degradation by promoting MYC ubiquitination at K326 via K48-linked ubiquitin chains independently of T58 or S62 phosphorylation. FBXO32 interacts with MYC at MBII, MBIV and a PEST (rich in proline, glutamic acid, serine and threonine) domain. Functionally, FBXO32 suppresses MYC transactivation activity and inhibits cancer cell proliferation. Interestingly, FBXO32 is found to be a MYC target gene, thereby forming a negative regulatory loop with MYC.<sup>60</sup>

# SCF<sup>FBXL3</sup>

An unexpected finding shows that the circadian repressor CRY2 recruits T58-phosphorylated MYC to the SCF<sup>FBXL3</sup> ubiquitin E3 ligase complex for MYC ubiquitination and degradation.<sup>61</sup> In fact, The SCF<sup>FBXL3</sup> has been identified to regulate the clock by promoting the degradation of circa-dian repressor CRYs.<sup>62,63</sup> In addition to being a substrate of SCF<sup>FBXL3</sup>, CRY2, but not CRY1, acts as a cofactor associating with phospho-T58 (p-T58) MYC near its binding interface with FBXL3. Evidence shows that CRY2-FBXL3-mediated MYC turnover is independent of the FBW7 pathway. Overexpression of WT CRY2, but not the F428D mutant incapable of binding to FBXL3, reduced MYC levels. Importantly, significant low expression of CRY2 was observed in tumor samples from a variety of types of cancers compared to normal controls. Also, CRY2 deletion enhances MYC-driven Lymphoma in vivo. These findings suggest a critical CRY2-FBXL3-MYC pathway, which could explain how clock output affects cancer susceptibility due to circadian disruption.<sup>61</sup>

### SCF<sup>FBXL14</sup>

FBXL14 is highly expressed in non-stem glioma cells and neural progenitors, but its expression is low in glioma stem cells (GSCs) that contribute to tumor initiation and malignant progression.<sup>64</sup> Indeed, it has been shown that FBXL14 mediates MYC polyubiquitination for degradation, suggesting its role in controlling MYC at the posttranslational level in glioma cells, but not in GSCs. FBXL14 mediated ubiquitination of MYC appears to be T58 and S62dependent and the MYC<sup>T58A</sup> mutant shows less binding affinity to FBXL14. Consistently, overexpression of FBXL14 induces GSC differentiation and inhibits GSC tumor growth, which can be rescued by the ubiquitinationresistant MYC<sup>T58A</sup> mutant.<sup>64</sup>

# $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$

Emerging evidence has implicated that  $\beta$ -TrCP, also known as Fbxw1, plays an oncogenic role in human cancers.<sup>43</sup> In line with this, SCF<sup> $\beta$ -TrCP</sup> has been found to antagonize SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup>-

mediated MYC degradation, leading to MYC stabilization that is required for MYC-dependent recovery from S phase arrest.  $\beta$ -TrCP binds to phosphorylated MYC at amino acids 278–283 that is highly similar to the phospodegron (DpSGXXpS) recognized by  $\beta$ -TrCP.<sup>65</sup> Unlike Fbw7 which mediates K48linked polyubiquitination by using Cdc34,  $\beta$ -TrCP requires UbcH5 to form K33/K63/K48 heterotypic polyubiquitin chains on MYC.<sup>65</sup> Of note, ubiquitination by SCF<sup> $\beta$ -TrCP</sup> occurs preferentially in G2 phase, and Plk1, which is most active in G2 and M phases,<sup>66</sup> phosphorylates MYC to enhance the binding of SCF<sup> $\beta$ -TrCP</sup>, suggesting a model in which phosphorylation of MYC by Plk1 recruits SCF<sup> $\beta$ -TrCP</sup> to stabilize MYC. Furthermore, the reduction of MYC levels is associated with the reduced levels of  $\beta$ -TrCP1 by Pl3K/mTOR inhibitor treatment in triple-negative breast cancer cells (TNBCs).<sup>67</sup>

# SCF<sup>FBOX28</sup>

As a less characterized F-box protein, FBXO28 was found to control MYC-dependent transcription by non-proteolytic ubiquitination that transmits CDK activity to MYC function during cell cycle progression.<sup>68</sup> In S and G2/M phases, FBOX28 is phosphorylated at Ser 344 by CDK1/2. Although this phosphorylation is not required for FBOX28 binding to MYC, it positively affects SCF<sup>FBXO28</sup> E3 activity. Further, SCF<sup>FBXO28</sup> promotes ubiquitination on specific lysine residues within the 294-367 region of MYC, where the HUWE1-mediated MYCp300 interaction occurs (see below).<sup>69</sup> Indeed, SCF<sup>FBX028</sup> stimulates MYC activity by facilitating recruitment of p300 to target gene promoters. Consequently, FBXO28 promotes MYC-driven tumorigenesis and high expression of FBXO28 (and high Ser 344 phosphorylation) is associated with poor patient outcomes in human breast cancer.68 It would be interesting to further study how SCF<sup>FBXO28</sup> interplays with other E3s during the cell cycle progression.

#### SCFSPOP

Recent studies highlight SPOP as an important regulator of cell proliferation and MYC expression in the prostate luminal epithelium.<sup>70,71</sup> SPOP mediates ubiquitination and degradation of MYC by directly binding to MYC, while this binding is attenuated by the prostate cancer-associated mutations, SPOP<sup>F102C</sup> and SPOP<sup>F133V</sup>. Consistently, analysis of transcriptomic signatures associated with mutant SPOP reveals the enrichment of MYC target genes.<sup>72,73</sup> These data suggest mutant SPOP- induced stabilization of MYC as another mechanism that can raise MYC levels in prostate cancer cells, in addition to MYC locus amplification, and support a role for SPOP's frequent inactivation in prostate cancer patients.<sup>70</sup> Recently, LINC01638 lncRNA has been shown to stabilize MYC by blocking the binding between SPOP and MYC and thus inhibiting SPOP- induced MYC ubiquitination and degradation in TNBCs,<sup>71</sup> indicating a new RNA-mediated mechanism utilized by cancer cells to stabilize MYC protein.

#### TRIM32

mutant TRIM32<sup>C24A</sup>, can ubiquitinate and destabilize MYC. As a cell-fate determinant in neural stem cells, TRIM32 is required and sufficient for suppressing self-renewal and inducing neuronal differentiation partially by degrading MYC. Accordingly, it has been shown that TRIM32 is upregulated during neuronal differentiation of mouse neural stem cells, accompanied by subcellular translocation of TRIM32 from the cytoplasm of progenitor cells to the nucleus of mature neurons, which is in further agreement to its role in regulating MYC. In addition, TRIM32 has also been shown to ubiquitinate N-MYC and facilitate its degradation during mitosis, thereby inducing asymmetric cell division in human neuroblastoma cells.<sup>76</sup>

#### RLIM

RNF12/RLIM is a RING domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase that promotes MYC ubiquitination without affecting MYC levels, independently of S62 and T58 phosphorylation.<sup>77</sup> However, RLIM attenuates MYC transcriptional activity towards at least some of its target genes such as E2F2 and nucleolin. MYC depletion abolished the effects of RLIM on cell growth, suggesting that RLIM may restrain cell proliferation by regulating MYC activity. It remains to be determined whether MYC is a direct substrate of RLIM and whether RLIM-mediated polyubiquitination directly suppresses MYC transactivation activity.

#### Pirh2

Pirh2 was initially identified as a p53 ubiguitin ligase that targets p53 for proteasomal degradation<sup>78</sup> and was later shown to target CHK2 for ubiquitination and degradation.<sup>79</sup> Pirh2 deletion mice consistently exhibit increased levels of p53 and increased p53-dependent apoptosis in response to DNA damage,<sup>80</sup> indicating that Pirh2 possesses an oncogenic potential. However, subsequent studies showed that Pirh2 binds to MYC and mediates MYC polyubiquitination and proteasome degradation, suggesting that Pirh2 is a bona fide ubiquitin E3 ligase for MYC.<sup>80</sup> Moreover, approximately 25% of Pirh2<sup>-/-</sup> and 17% of Pirh2<sup>+/-</sup> mice developed solid tumors including liver, testes, mammary and lung cancers and sarcomas. This is associated with increased levels of MYC in various tissues from the Pirh $2^{-/-}$  mice. Downregulation of Pirh2 is associated with poor outcome of a number of human cancers including breast, ovarian, and lung cancers.<sup>80</sup> Thus, Pirh2 may promote cell proliferation, tumorigenesis and tumor progression in tissue and cell context-dependent manners. Indeed, Pirh2 promotes cell proliferation in the absence of  $p53^{81}$  and the lifespan of  $Pirh2^{-/-}$ ;  $p53^{-/-}$  mice was significantly reduced compared to  $Pirh2^{-7-}$  or  $p53^{-7-}$  mice.<sup>8</sup>

#### DCXTRUSS

TRUSS (tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated ubiquitous scaffolding and signaling protein),<sup>82</sup> also called TRPC4AP (transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily C, member 4-associated protein),<sup>83</sup> is the substrate-specific adaptor of a DCX (DDB1-CUL4-X-box) ubiquitin E3 ligase complex.<sup>84</sup> TRUSS interacts with both

TRIM32 is a Ring-finger ubiquitin E3 ligase that targets MYC for degradation.<sup>74,75</sup> TRIM32<sup>WT</sup>, but not the RING finger

MYC and N-MYC at their C-terminal bHLH-LZ domain, thus mediating DCX-dependent MYC ubiquitination and degradation.<sup>84</sup> Moreover, TRUSS suppresses MYC transactivation and transformation activity, and was also shown to be downregulated in a number of cancers.<sup>84</sup> Interestingly, TRUSS is primarily expressed in G1 phase and negatively correlated with the expression of S-phase specific Skp2 during cell cycle progression. TRUSS itself has been revealed as a substrate of SCF<sup>Skp2</sup> for ubiquitination and degradation, implying the interplay between the ubiquitin E3s in regulating MYC stability and activity during cell cycle progression.<sup>85</sup>

#### HUWE1

HUWE1 (also known as ARF-BP1, HectH9, MULE), a member of the HECT-domain containing ubiquitin E3 ligases, has complex roles in regulating MYC function. It was originally reported that HUWE1 enhances MYC activity by catalyzing K63-linked polyubiguitin chains on MYC in a region containing 6 lysine residues near the nuclear localization signal (NLS).<sup>69</sup> By using a MYC mutant with all the 6 lysines mutated to arginine, it was found that ubiguitination by HUWE1 enhances the transcriptional activity of MYC by recruiting the coactivator p300. Consistently, HUWE1 is overexpressed in multiple human tumors and is essential for proliferation of a subset of tumor cells.<sup>69</sup> This oncogenic function of HUWE1 was further confirmed by a later study, showing that HUWE1 is required for growth of colorectal cancer cells both in cell culture and xenograft models.<sup>86</sup> Consistent with other published data showing that HUWE1 destabilizes Miz1, a negative regulator of MYC,<sup>87,88</sup> inhibition of HUWE1 bv small molecule inhibitors leads to Miz1's global accumulation on MYC target genes and contributes to the repression of MYC-activated target genes.<sup>86</sup> However, an in vivo study shows that HUWE1 deletion accelerates skin carcinogenesis, suggesting a tumor suppressor role.<sup>87</sup> Mechanistically, HUWE1 deficiency resulted in the accumulation of MYC/Miz1 complexes at promoters of p21 and p15 associated with decreased expression. In addition, inactivating HUWE1 mutations were found in human colorectal cancers (CRC) and deletion of HUWE1 in mouse CRC models leads to marked increase in tumor initiation that is partially associated with MYC stabilization,<sup>89</sup> further supporting a tumor suppressor role of HUWE1. Thus, it will be interesting to examine whether HUWE1 can directly target MYC for degradation by mediating K48linked polyubiquitination in these specific tissues. Further investigation of HUWE1 may shed light on the complexity of its E3 ubiquitin-mediated regulation of MYC.

#### CHIP

CHIP (carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein) binds to Hsp70 and Hsp90 chaperones through its tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain and functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase containing a modified RING finger domain (U-box), allowing CHIP to network chaperone complexes to the ubiquitin-proteasome system.<sup>90,91</sup> It has been shown that CHIP mediates polyubiquitination of MYC for degradation and suppresses MYC activity.<sup>92</sup> Indeed, CHIP interacts with MYC through its TPR domain, and the association between CHIP and MYC is dependent on the chaperone, particularly Hsp70. However, CHIP lacking the U-box domain was still able to promote MYC ubiquitination, indicating there may be E3 unrelated effects of CHIP on MYC ubiquitination possibly via involving other ubiquitin E3s. Further study needs to determine whether CHIP is a bona fide ubiquitin E3 for MYC and whether CHIP regulates MYC levels under physiological conditions.<sup>92</sup>

#### ELL

ELL (eleven-nineteen lysine-rich leukaemia), a key regulator of transcriptional elongation, 93,94 has been identified as a novel ubiquitin ligase, and MYC was found to be the very first substrate for ELL.<sup>95</sup> ELL mediates MYC ubiquitination and degradation independent of MYC phosphorylation and UbcH8 was found to be the cognate ubiquitinconjugating enzyme. Although no obvious conserved domains of typical ubiguitin ligases were found in ELL, Cys 595 was identified as an active cysteine required for its E3 activity. ELL-mediated MYC degradation inhibits MYCdependent transcriptional activity and cell proliferation and suppresses MYC-dependent xenograft tumor growth.<sup>95</sup> Consistently, ELL expression was decreased in human colon cancer specimens, negatively correlating with the expression of MYC, highlighting the tumor suppressor function of ELL that is at least partially associated with its role in downregulating MYC.<sup>95</sup>

#### RNF4

RNF4 is a well-characterized SUMO targeted ubiquitin E3 ligase (StubL) that recognizes SUMOylated substrates and mediates substrate ubiquitination and proteasome degradation.<sup>96–98</sup> However, RNF4 was recently shown to bind and stabilize a group of substrates in a manner that depends on phosphorylation but not SUMOylation.<sup>99</sup> RNF4 binds to MYC phosphorylated at Ser 62 and mediates its K11- and K33-linked ubiquitination that leads to MYC stabilization and activation. In line with its positive regulation of MYC, RNF4 is upregulated in multiple cancers correlating with poor patient outcomes.<sup>99</sup> This finding presents a novel mechanism underlying the role for RNF4 in tumorigenesis wherein it promotes MYC activity via different types of ubiquitin linkages in specific cell contexts.

# Erasing MYC ubiquitination by deubiquitinating enzymes

Like other posttranslational modifications, ubiquitination of MYC is dynamic and can be reversed by deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs). Approximately 99 DUBs are encoded by the human genome that are classified into 7 families: ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases (UCHs), ubiquitin specific protease (USPs), ovarian tumor associated proteases (OTUs), Machado-Josephin domain proteins (MJDs), JAB1/MPN/Mov34 metalloenzymes (JAMMs), motif interacting with Ub-containing novel DUB family (MINDY) and ZUP1.<sup>100–102</sup> Emerging evidence reveals that deubiquitination plays an equally important role in regulating MYC protein stability and activity as ubiquitination. So far, six DUBs have been reported to act on MYC, including USP28, USP36, USP37, USP22, USP13 and USP7 (Fig. 2).

#### USP28

USP28 is the first DUB discovered to deubiquitinate and stabilize MYC.<sup>103</sup> USP28 interacts with MYC via Fbw7 $\alpha$  and reverses Fbw7 $\alpha$ -mediated ubiquitination of MYC in the nucleoplasm. Consistently, USP28 overexpression was found in colon and breast cancers and USP28- mediated stabilization of MYC is essential for the cancer cell proliferation.<sup>103</sup> Interestingly, a recent study showed that Usp28 deletion results in the accumulation of SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup> substrates, including NICD1, c-Jun, and MYC in both Fbw7-deficient mouse fibroblasts and intestinal tissues, suggesting that USP28 also functions independently of Fbw7. In fact, it was subsequently found that USP28 binds to MYC at the same motif recognized by Fbw7, but only when it is unphosphorylated, and promotes deubiquitination of MYC in the absence of Fbw7.<sup>104</sup> Moreover, it has been reported that USP28 dissociates from Fbw7 $\alpha$  in response to DNA damage, allowing MYC to be degraded by Fbw7 $\alpha$ .<sup>105</sup> Adding another layer of complexity in regulating MYC, USP28 was reported to control the stability of Fbw7 by antagonizing its autoubiquitination and degradation in specific tissues such as lung, pancreas and liver, leading to destabilization of Fbw7



Figure 2 MYC deubiquitinating enzymes. Shown are the DUBs known to deubiquitinate and stabilize MYC. Top: USP36 deubiquitinates MYC and counteracts Fbw7 $\gamma$  in the nucleolus whereas USP28 deubiquitinates MYC and counteracts Fbw7 $\alpha$  in the nucleoplasm. USP22 and USP37 may also deubiquitinate MYC in the nucleoplasm. Bottom: the TRIM32-USP7 and FBLX14-USP13 axis regulate MYC stability and activity in neural stem cells and glioblastoma stem cells, respectively.

targets and to dose-dependent effects in *Usp28* knockout mice. Therefore, while heterozygousity can destabilize MYC, complete deletion of USP28 triggers Fbw7 auto-destruction, resulting in the stabilization of Fbw7 sub-strates including MYC in specific tissues.<sup>106</sup> Thus, the USP28-Fbw7 $\alpha$  interplay dictates MYC stability and activity in the nucleoplasm and involves fine stoichiometry of the two opposing enzymes.

#### USP36

We recently identified the nucleolar USP36 as a bono fide MYC DUB controlling MYC's nucleolar degradation pathway.<sup>107</sup> USP36 directly interacts with and deubiquitinates MYC, leading to the stabilization of MYC. Notably, USP36 interacts with the nucleolar Fbw7 $\gamma$  but not the nucleoplasmic Fbw7 $\alpha$ . Yet, it can abolish MYC degradation mediated both by Fbw7 $\gamma$  and by Fbw7 $\alpha$ , suggesting that USP36 controls the end step of Fbw7-mediated MYC degradation in the nucleolus in concert with the role of USP28 in the nucleoplasm. Supporting this notion is that USP36 and USP28 cooperatively further increase MYC levels.  $^{108}$  Thus, it is likely that the Fbw7 $\alpha$ -USP28 and Fbw7y-USP36 axis dynamically control the life cycle of MYC in different cell compartments (Fig. 2). Interestingly, USP36 itself is a MYC target gene, suggesting that USP36 and MYC form a positive feedback regulatory loop.<sup>107</sup> High expression levels of USP36 were found in a subset of human breast and lung cancers, indicating its oncogenic role. The finding of MYC as a USP36 target also supports the critical role for USP36 in ribosome biogenesis.<sup>109-111</sup>

#### USP37

USP37 was shown to directly interact with MYC at the MBIII region and deubiquitinate MYC.<sup>112</sup> Although USP37mediated deubiquitination and stabilization of MYC is independent of either Fbw7 or the phosphorylation of MYC at T58, overexpression of USP37 can block the Fbw7-mediated degradation of MYC. Functionally, overexpression of USP37 promotes cell proliferation and metabolism. USP37 is also upregulated in human lung cancer tissues that positively correlates with MYC expression.<sup>112</sup>

#### USP22

USP22 was recently found as another MYC DUB that deubiquitinates and stabilizes MYC. USP22 interacts with MYC through its N-terminal region containing zinc finger motif and abrogates Fbw7-mediated polyubiquitination and degradation of MYC. Consistently, overexpression of USP22 stimulates while downregulation of USP22 suppresses breast cancer cell growth, migration and tumorigenesis in a MYC dependent manner.<sup>113</sup>

#### USP13

As mentioned above, FBXL14 ubiquitinates and destabilizes MYC. In the same study, USP13 was found to stabilize MYC by antagonizing FBXL14-mediated ubiquitination to

maintain GSC self-renewal and tumorigenic potential.<sup>64</sup> USP13 was preferentially expressed in GSCs, and its depletion reduces MYC protein levels and potently impairs GSC proliferation and tumor growth. The expression of the ubiquitination-insensitive MYC<sup>T58A</sup> mutant rescued these effects caused by USP13 depletion. In gliobalstoma (GBM) patients, USP13 expression inversely correlates with the patient survival whereas FBXL14 expression displays a positive correlation. Thus, USP13 is implied as an attractive therapeutic target for disrupting GSCs and improving GBM treatment.<sup>64</sup>

#### USP7

USP7 has recently been shown to stabilize MYC by antagonizing TRIM32-mediated polyubiquitination and this function is required to maintain neural stem cell fate.<sup>74</sup> USP7 directly interacts with MYC and TRIM32. The balanced activity of TRIM32 and USP7 to dynamically control MYC ubiquitination provides a novel mechanism of cell fate determination of neural stem cells. Interestingly, USP7 has also been shown to interact with, deubiquitinate and stabilize N-MYC, a driver of neuroblastoma tumorigenesis, but not MYC in neuroblastoma, which might be explained by cell type specific difference or the different spatial and temporal expression patterns for N-MYC and MYC.<sup>114</sup>

#### MYC is modified by SUMO

SUMOylation, a posttranslational modification of proteins by small ubiquitin-like modifiers (SUMOs), plays a crucial role in the regulation of diverse cellular processes, including transcription, chromatin dynamics, DNA replication and repair, RNA splicing and processing, cell cycle control, as well as ribosome biogenesis.<sup>115–125</sup> Mammals express three main SUMO isoforms: SUMO2 and SUMO3 are 97% identical (referred to as SUMO2/3) and each shares 45% sequence identity with SUMO1.<sup>121,126–129</sup> Like ubiquitination, SUMOylation is ATP-dependent and occurs through sequential reactions involving a heterodimeric SUMOactivating enzyme SAE1/SAE2 (E1), a single SUMOconjugating enzyme Ubc9 (E2) and one of a few SUMO ligases (E3).<sup>121,129,130</sup> Ubc9 transfers SUMO to substrate acceptor lysine residues via an isopeptide linkage, which is facilitated by but not necessarily dependent on a small number of SUMO E3s, such as the PIAS family members and RanBP2.<sup>121,129,130</sup> The SUMO acceptor Lys is often present within a conserved  $\Psi$ KxE motif, where  $\Psi$  is a large hydrophobic amino acid and x is any amino acid. 121,131-133SUMOylation can interfere with protein-protein interactions through steric hindrance<sup>134</sup> or compete with other lysine-directed modifications like acetylation or ubiquitination.<sup>135</sup> Consequently, SUMOylation can regulate protein localization, trafficking, stability and activity.<sup>122,131</sup>

Recently, several studies showed that MYC is subjected to SUMOylation in cells.<sup>136–139</sup> It was initially shown that MYC is modified by SUMO at the C-terminal Lys residues K323 and K326. Mass spectrometry analysis further showed that MYC can be SUMOlyated on at least 10 SUMO acceptor Lys residues, including K323 and K326.<sup>136,137,139</sup> However, mutating K323 and K326 or all the 10 Ks did not abolish MYC SUMOvlation and did not significantly alter its levels or activity, <sup>136,137,139</sup> suggesting that c-MYC SUMOylation can act promiscuously and leaving the question of whether SUMOvlation regulates MYC stability and activity still open. Yet, evidence has suggested that MYC SUMOylation may regulate MYC protein stability.<sup>136</sup> We have shown that proteasome inhibition markedly induces the levels of MYC SUMOylation by either SUMO1 or SUMO2.<sup>140</sup> The SUMO ligase PIAS1 was initially suggested to play a role in MYC SUMOylation as its knockdown reduced MYC SUMOylation and enhanced MYC transcriptional activity.<sup>136</sup> Recently, PIAS1 was shown to SUMOylate MYC, mainly at K51 and K52.138 However, PIAS1-mediated SUMOylation augmented MYC stability and transactivation activity by recruiting JNK1 to phosphorylate MYC at S62. Consequently, PIAS1 overexpression promotes MYC-driven tumorigenesis.<sup>138</sup> Therefore, it is still not clear how SUMOylation directly regulates MYC activity, largely because SUMO acceptor Lysines in MYC act promiscuously<sup>136,137,139</sup> and SUMO-defective MYC mutants are not available, making it difficult to evaluate the direct effects of SUMO modification on MYC.

#### Erasing MYC SUMOylation by SENP1

SUMO modification is highly dynamic and reversible. SUMO can be removed from substrates via deSUMOylation by deSUMOylating enzymes (also called SUMO proteases). Studying MYC deSUMOylation allows us to learn about the role of SUMOylation in MYC regulation that was not possible through MYC mutagenesis studies. To this end, we screened a group of 9 known deSUMOylating enzymes in human, including SENP1-3 and SENP5-7, USPL1, DESI-1, and DESI-2,<sup>122,141,142</sup> for their ability to bind to MYC and found that SENP1 binds to MYC and is a bona fide MYC deSUMOylase.<sup>140</sup>

SENP1 is a nuclear SUMO-specific protease that can cleave SUMO1, -2, and -3 conjugates although an in vivo study of MEF cells with mutant SENP1 suggests it mainly regulates SUMO1 modifications.<sup>143</sup> SENP1 is enriched at the nuclear envelope through its interaction with components of the nuclear pore complex (NPC) and it is also present in nuclear foci that partially overlap with PML nuclear bodies.<sup>142</sup> SENP1 has been reported to deSUMOylate a variety of proteins such as HIF-1 $\alpha$ , PML, Ets-1, Elk1, Gli1, c-JUN, PTEN, Pin1, etc.<sup>144-151</sup> thus playing critical roles in diverse cellular processes including cell cycle control, transcription, immune response, DNA repair, and metabolism as well as in animal development. Homozygous deletion of the SENP1 gene is embryonic lethal due to impaired erythropoiesis.<sup>146</sup> Consistent with the tight connection between dysregulation of the SUMO pathway and tumorigenesis, SENP1 has been suggested to have oncogenic function<sup>152,153</sup> and is overexpressed in many types of human cancers including prostate,<sup>154</sup> breast,<sup>140,145</sup> and thyroid cancers.<sup>155</sup> However, molecular mechanisms underlying SENP1's oncogenic function remain understudied.

SENP1 directly interacts with MYC, deconjugates MYC SUMOylation with either SUMO1 or SUMO2 and stimulates MYC transactivation activity. Interestingly, wild-type (WT) SENP1, but not its catalytically inactive C603S mutant (SENP1<sup>C603S</sup>), stabilizes MYC, demonstrating that SENP1mediated deSUMOylation suppresses MYC turnover. Consistently, knockdown of SENP1 increases MYC SUMOylation



**Figure 3 Possible models for the crosstalk between MYC ubiquitination and MYC SUMOylation.** MYC may be ubiquitinated and SUMOylated directly at different Lys residues (A). MYC may be co-modified by mixed SUMO-Ub chain(s) via ubiquitination of SUMO conjugates on MYC (B) or via SUMOylation of ubiquitin conjugates on MYC (C), or the combination of B and C (D).

with either SUMO1 or SUMO2, reduces MYC levels, induces cell cycle arrest, and markedly inhibits cell proliferation and anchorage-independent colony formation of cancer cells.<sup>140</sup> Compared to MYC-independent breast cancer MCF7 and SKBR3 cells, MYC-dependent MDA-MB-231 and SUM159 cells exhibited stronger inhibition of proliferation and transformation upon SENP1 knockdown. These results suggest that the role of SENP1 in cell growth is at least partially through positively regulating MYC, and the indispensability of SENP1 in cell proliferation and transformation of the breast cancer cells correlates with their MYC dependency. Consistent with the oncogenic function, SENP1 is frequently overexpressed in human breast cancer tissues, correlating with the high expression of MYC.<sup>140</sup>

# Crosstalk between MYC ubiquitination and SUMOylation

To understand how deSUMOylation of MYC increases its levels, we found that overexpression of SENP1<sup>WT</sup>, but not SENP1<sup>C603S</sup>, inhibits MYC ubiquitination and degradation. MG132 treatment significantly increased MYC SUMOylation by either SUMO1 or SUMO2 in various tested cells.<sup>140</sup> Thus, SUMOvlation promotes MYC ubiguitination and proteasome degradation. SUMOylation may regulate protein stability through several possible mechanisms: (1) Competing lysines for ubiquitination; (2) SUMOylation-directed ubiquitination by SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligase (StubL) RNF4 or RNF111<sup>122,131,134-136</sup>; or (3) SUMOvlation-regulated protein ubiguitination independently of StubLs. The StubL RNF111 does not play a role in MYC ubiguitination<sup>136</sup> whereas RNF4mediated ubiquitination has been reported to modestly affect c-MYC stability<sup>136</sup> or promote MYC function, but not degradation.99 Given these unclear results, SUMOylated MYC may be ubiquitinated independently of StubLs. We have shown that SENP1 interacts with Fbw7 and suppresses Fbw7-mediated MYC ubiguitination and degradation dependent on its deSUMOylating enzyme activity, suggesting that SENP1 regulates growth signal-controlled MYC turnover at least in part via counteracting Fbw7-mediated MYC ubiquitination and degradation.<sup>140</sup> Together, our data demonstrate that SENP1 positively regulates MYC activity and protein stability by counteracting MYC ubiquitination and proteasome degradation. Supporting this regulation, it has been shown that SENP1 also stabilizes hypoxiainducible factor (HIF)-  $1\alpha$  stability by deSUMOylating HIF-1 $\alpha$ .<sup>146</sup>

Intriguing questions arise as to how MYC SUMOylation cross talks with ubiquitination. One possibility is that SUMOvlated MYC is a better Fbw7 substrate than non-SUMOylated MYC. In support of this, SUMOylation enables  $MYC^{T58A}$ , a mutant normally not targeted by Fbw7, to be a Fbw7 substrate as we reported.<sup>140</sup> It will be interesting in future studies to test whether and how SENP1 directly suppresses Fbw7 ubiquitin E3 activity given that SENP1 also physically interacts with Fbw7 in cells, <sup>140</sup> including whether SENP1 deSUMOylates Fbw7 and suppresses its activity. It is also important to determine whether SUMOylation-directed MYC ubiquitination occurs directly at Lys residue(s) on MYC (Fig. 3A) or indirectly on SUMO attached to MYC (Fig. 3B–D). Supporting the latter possibility is that recent proteomic analyses identified ubiquitination of SUMO as well as SUMO-conjugation to multiple lysines of ubiguitin.<sup>156,157</sup> Our sequential co-IP assays revealed that MYC can be co-modified by both SUMO and ubiquitin, indicating that SUMOylated MYC can be targeted for proteasome degradation possibly due to co-modification with ubiquitin and SENP1 stabilizes MYC by removing ubiquitination via deSUMOylation (indirect deubiquitination). Interestingly, we show that overexpression of SENP1 in the absence of proteasome inhibition resulted in the accumulation of a mono-ubiquitinated form of MYC in cells, suggesting the SUMOylation of the ubiquitin attached to MYC (Fig. 3C), forming the mixed SUMO-ubiquitin chain that can be removed along with SUMO by SENP1, leaving the single ubiquitin attached to MYC. Future studies are warranted to further analyze the SUMO-ubiguitin mixed chains (Fig. 3) and their role in regulating MYC turnover and function. By the same token, it is also interesting to test whether SENP1 cross talks with other ubiquitin E3s and DUBs such as USP28 to co-regulate MYC ubiquitination and SUMOylation.

#### Conclusion

It is clear that MYC protein stability and activity are tightly regulated by ubiquitination. Recent studies further reveal the critical role of the concerted action of SUMOylation in regulating MYC stability and activity and highlight the intriguing function of MYC co-modification by ubiquitin and SUMO. The dynamic nature of MYC post-translational modifications has also been seen in its ubiquitination, which can either positively or negatively regulate MYC stability and activity in a ligase-dependent manner.<sup>12,108</sup> It is therefore conceivable that dynamic balance of

SUMOylation and its crosstalk with ubiquitination are equally required for properly regulated MYC turnover and function in cells. Therefore, it is imperative in future studies to understand how SUMOvlation interplays with ubiquitination to coordinately regulate MYC and in what conditions they may do so. As SENP1 promotes MYC binding to target gene promoters, it would be important to test whether SENP1 plays a role in regulating MYC SUMOylation and turnover on chromatin. We recently also found that posttranslational modification (Ser 62 phosphorylation and PIN1-mediated isomerization) targets MYC to the inner basket of the nuclear pore complex to regulate a subset of target genes involved in proliferation in response to growth signals.<sup>49</sup> Interestingly, SENP1 is enriched at the nuclear envelop<sup>158,159</sup> and SENP1-stabilized MYC is phosphorylated at S62,<sup>140</sup> suggesting that SENP1 may play a critical role in regulating MYC subnuclear localization and its control of target genes at the nuclear pore. Taken together, MYC SUMOvlation plays a key role in regulating MYC stability and function. Further studies are warranted to reveal the temporal and spatial regulation of MYC SUMOylation and its physiological significance as well as the role of deregulation of MYC SUMOylation in cancer.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

These authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Dai and Sears laboratories for active discussion. This work was supported by NIH/NCI grant R01 CA186241 to M-S. D. and R. S.

#### References

- Adhikary S, Eilers M. Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2005;6(8): 635–645.
- Meyer N, Penn LZ. Reflecting on 25 years with MYC. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008;8(12):976–990.
- van Riggelen J, Yetil A, Felsher DW. MYC as a regulator of ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2010;10(4):301-309.
- Blackwood EM, Eisenman RN. Max: a helix-loop-helix zipper protein that forms a sequence-specific DNA-binding complex with Myc. Science. 1991;251(4998):1211–1217.
- Amati B, Dalton S, Brooks MW, Littlewood TD, Evan GI, Land H. Transcriptional activation by the human c-Myc oncoprotein in yeast requires interaction with Max. *Nature*. 1992;359(6394):423–426.
- Mao DY, Watson JD, Yan PS, et al. Analysis of Myc bound loci identified by CpG island arrays shows that Max is essential for Myc-dependent repression. *Curr Biol*. 2003;13(10):882–886.
- Bieda M, Xu X, Singer MA, Green R, Farnham PJ. Unbiased location analysis of E2F1-binding sites suggests a widespread role for E2F1 in the human genome. *Genome Res.* 2006;16(5): 595–605.
- Fernandez PC, Frank SR, Wang L, et al. Genomic targets of the human c-Myc protein. *Genes Dev.* 2003;17(9):1115–1129.
- 9. Eisenman RN. Deconstructing myc. *Genes Dev.* 2001;15(16): 2023–2030.

- 10. Oster SK, Ho CS, Soucie EL, Penn LZ. The myc oncogene: MarvelouslY Complex. *Adv Cancer Res.* 2002;84:81–154.
- 11. Wanzel M, Herold S, Eilers M. Transcriptional repression by myc. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2003;13(3):146–150.
- 12. Farrell AS, Sears RC. MYC degradation. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Medicine*. 2014;4(3).
- **13.** Hann SR. Role of post-translational modifications in regulating c-Myc proteolysis, transcriptional activity and biological function. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2006;16(4):288–302.
- Cowling VH, Chandriani S, Whitfield ML, Cole MD. A conserved Myc protein domain, MBIV, regulates DNA binding, apoptosis, transformation, and G2 arrest. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26(11): 4226-4239.
- Herbst A, Hemann MT, Tworkowski KA, Salghetti SE, Lowe SW, Tansey WP. A conserved element in Myc that negatively regulates its proapoptotic activity. *EMBO Rep.* 2005;6(2): 177–183.
- Kurland JF, Tansey WP. Myc-mediated transcriptional repression by recruitment of histone deacetylase. *Cancer Res.* 2008; 68(10):3624–3629.
- Nesbit CE, Tersak JM, Prochownik EV. MYC oncogenes and human neoplastic disease. Oncogene. 1999;18(19): 3004–3016.
- Brooks TA, Hurley LH. Targeting MYC expression through Gquadruplexes. Genes Cancer. 2010;1(6):641–649.
- Hurley NE, Schildmeyer LA, Bosworth KA, et al. Modulating the functional contributions of c-Myc to the human endothelial cell cyclic strain response. J Vasc Res. 2010;47(1): 80–90.
- 20. Levens D. You don't muck with MYC. *Genes Cancer*. 2010;1(6): 547–554.
- 21. Pickart CM. Mechanisms underlying ubiquitination. Annu Rev Biochem. 2001;70:503-533.
- Jin J, Li X, Gygi SP, Harper JW. Dual E1 activation systems for ubiquitin differentially regulate E2 enzyme charging. *Nature*. 2007;447(7148):1135–1138.
- 23. Stewart MD, Ritterhoff T, Klevit RE, Brzovic PS. E2 enzymes: more than just middle men. *Cell Res.* 2016;26(4):423-440.
- 24. Swatek KN, Komander D. Ubiquitin modifications. *Cell Res.* 2016;26(4):399–422.
- 25. Ye Y, Rape M. Building ubiquitin chains: E2 enzymes at work. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(11):755-764.
- 26. Ciechanover A, DiGiuseppe JA, Bercovich B, et al. Degradation of nuclear oncoproteins by the ubiquitin system in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991;88(1):139–143.
- Flinn EM, Busch CM, Wright AP. Myc boxes, which are conserved in myc family proteins, are signals for protein degradation via the proteasome. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1998;18(10): 5961–5969.
- Welcker M, Clurman BE. FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008;8(2):83–93.
- Welcker M, Orian A, Grim JE, Eisenman RN, Clurman BE. A nucleolar isoform of the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase regulates c-Myc and cell size. *Curr Biol*. 2004;14(20):1852–1857.
- Lutterbach B, Hann SR. Hierarchical phosphorylation at Nterminal transformation-sensitive sites in c-Myc protein is regulated by mitogens and in mitosis. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1994; 14(8):5510–5522.
- Sears R, Nuckolls F, Haura E, Taya Y, Tamai K, Nevins JR. Multiple Ras-dependent phosphorylation pathways regulate Myc protein stability. *Genes Dev.* 2000;14(19):2501-2514.
- Gregory MA, Qi Y, Hann SR. Phosphorylation by glycogen synthase kinase-3 controls c-myc proteolysis and subnuclear localization. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(51):51606–51612.
- Chang DW, Claassen GF, Hann SR, Cole MD. The c-Myc transactivation domain is a direct modulator of apoptotic versus proliferative signals. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2000;20(12):4309–4319.

- Yeh E, Cunningham M, Arnold H, et al. A signalling pathway controlling c-Myc degradation that impacts oncogenic transformation of human cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2004;6(4):308–318.
- Moberg KH, Mukherjee A, Veraksa A, Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Hariharan IK. The Drosophila F box protein archipelago regulates dMyc protein levels in vivo. *Curr Biol.* 2004;14(11): 965–974.
- Yada M, Hatakeyama S, Kamura T, et al. Phosphorylationdependent degradation of c-Myc is mediated by the F-box protein Fbw7. *EMBO J.* 2004;23(10):2116–2125.
- 37. Welcker M, Orian A, Jin J, et al. The Fbw7 tumor suppressor regulates glycogen synthase kinase 3 phosphorylationdependent c-Myc protein degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A. 2004;101(24):9085–9090.
- Bahram F, von der Lehr N, Cetinkaya C, Larsson LG. c-Myc hot spot mutations in lymphomas result in inefficient ubiquitination and decreased proteasome-mediated turnover. *Blood*. 2000;95(6):2104–2110.
- **39.** Gregory MA, Hann SR. c-Myc proteolysis by the ubiquitinproteasome pathway: stabilization of c-Myc in Burkitt's lymphoma cells. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2000;20(7):2423–2435.
- Salghetti SE, Kim SY, Tansey WP. Destruction of Myc by ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis: cancer-associated and transforming mutations stabilize Myc. EMBO J. 1999;18(3): 717–726.
- Hemann MT, Bric A, Teruya-Feldstein J, et al. Evasion of the p53 tumour surveillance network by tumour-derived MYC mutants. *Nature*. 2005;436(7052):807–811.
- 42. Wang X, Cunningham M, Zhang X, et al. Phosphorylation regulates c-Myc's oncogenic activity in the mammary gland. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(3):925–936.
- **43.** Frescas D, Pagano M. Deregulated proteolysis by the F-box proteins SKP2 and beta-TrCP: tipping the scales of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008;8(6):438–449.
- 44. Gstaiger M, Jordan R, Lim M, et al. Skp2 is oncogenic and overexpressed in human cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98(9):5043–5048.
- **45.** Kim SY, Herbst A, Tworkowski KA, Salghetti SE, Tansey WP. Skp2 regulates Myc protein stability and activity. *Mol Cell*. 2003;11(5):1177–1188.
- **46.** von der Lehr N, Johansson S, Larsson LG. Implication of the ubiquitin/proteasome system in Myc-regulated transcription. *Cell Cycle*. 2003;2(5):403–407.
- **47.** von der Lehr N, Johansson S, Wu S, et al. The F-box protein Skp2 participates in c-Myc proteosomal degradation and acts as a cofactor for c-Myc-regulated transcription. *Mol Cell*. 2003;11(5):1189–1200.
- **48.** Farrell AS, Pelz C, Wang X, et al. Pin1 regulates the dynamics of c-Myc DNA binding to facilitate target gene regulation and oncogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2013;33(15):2930–2949.
- **49.** Su Y, Pelz C, Huang T, et al. Post-translational modification localizes MYC to the nuclear pore basket to regulate a subset of target genes involved in cellular responses to environmental signals. *Genes Dev.* 2018;32(21–22):1398–1419.
- Fujii M, Lyakh LA, Bracken CP, et al. SNIP1 is a candidate modifier of the transcriptional activity of c-Myc on E boxdependent target genes. *Mol Cell*. 2006;24(5):771–783.
- Zhang Q, Spears E, Boone DN, Li Z, Gregory MA, Hann SR. Domain-specific c-Myc ubiquitylation controls c-Myc transcriptional and apoptotic activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(3):978–983.
- Tiwari S, Roel C, Tanwir M, et al. Definition of a Skp2-c-myc pathway to expand human beta-cells. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28461.
- Chan CH, Lee SW, Li CF, et al. Deciphering the transcriptional complex critical for RhoA gene expression and cancer metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2010;12(5):457–467.

- 54. Li X, Bian Y, Takizawa Y, et al. ERK-dependent downregulation of Skp2 reduces Myc activity with HGF, leading to inhibition of cell proliferation through a decrease in Id1 expression. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2013;11(11):1437–1447.
- 55. Hydbring P, Castell A, Larsson LG. MYC Modulation around the CDK2/p27/SKP2 Axis. *Genes (Basel)*. 2017;8(7).
- Bodine SC, Latres E, Baumhueter S, et al. Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. *Science*. 2001;294(5547):1704–1708.
- 57. Gomes MD, Lecker SH, Jagoe RT, Navon A, Goldberg AL. Atrogin-1, a muscle-specific F-box protein highly expressed during muscle atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98(25): 14440–14445.
- Ciarapica R, De Salvo M, Carcarino E, et al. The Polycomb group (PcG) protein EZH2 supports the survival of PAX3-FOXO1 alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma by repressing FBXO32 (Atrogin1/MAFbx). Oncogene. 2014;33(32):4173–4184.
- 59. Guo W, Zhang M, Shen S, et al. Aberrant methylation and decreased expression of the TGF-beta/Smad target gene FBXO32 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2014;120(16):2412–2423.
- Mei Z, Zhang D, Hu B, Wang J, Shen X, Xiao W. FBXO32 targets c-myc for proteasomal degradation and inhibits c-myc activity. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(26):16202–16214.
- Huber AL, Papp SJ, Chan AB, et al. CRY2 and FBXL3 cooperatively degrade c-MYC. *Mol Cell*. 2016;64(4):774–789.
- **62.** Busino L, Bassermann F, Maiolica A, et al. SCFFbxl3 controls the oscillation of the circadian clock by directing the degradation of cryptochrome proteins. *Science*. 2007;316(5826): 900–904.
- **63.** Godinho SI, Maywood ES, Shaw L, et al. The after-hours mutant reveals a role for Fbxl3 in determining mammalian circadian period. *Science*. 2007;316(5826):897–900.
- **64.** Fang X, Zhou W, Wu Q, et al. Deubiquitinase USP13 maintains glioblastoma stem cells by antagonizing FBXL14-mediated Myc ubiquitination. *J Exp Med.* 2017;214(1):245–267.
- Popov N, Schulein C, Jaenicke LA, Eilers M. Ubiquitylation of the amino terminus of Myc by SCF(beta-TrCP) antagonizes SCF(Fbw7)-mediated turnover. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010;12(10): 973–981.
- Macurek L, Lindqvist A, Lim D, et al. Polo-like kinase-1 is activated by aurora A to promote checkpoint recovery. *Nature*. 2008;455(7209):119–123.
- **67.** Yi YW, Kang HJ, Bae EJ, Oh S, Seong YS, Bae I. beta-TrCP1 degradation is a novel action mechanism of PI3K/mTOR inhibitors in triple-negative breast cancer cells. *Exp Mol Med.* 2015;47:e143.
- Cepeda D, Ng HF, Sharifi HR, et al. CDK-mediated activation of the SCF(FBXO) (28) ubiquitin ligase promotes MYCdriven transcription and tumourigenesis and predicts poor survival in breast cancer. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2013;5(7): 999–1018.
- **69.** Adhikary S, Marinoni F, Hock A, et al. The ubiquitin ligase HectH9 regulates transcriptional activation by Myc and is essential for tumor cell proliferation. *Cell*. 2005;123(3): 409–421.
- 70. Geng C, Kaochar S, Li M, et al. SPOP regulates prostate epithelial cell proliferation and promotes ubiquitination and turnover of c-MYC oncoprotein. *Oncogene*. 2017;36(33): 4767–4777.
- Luo L, Tang H, Ling L, et al. LINC01638 lncRNA activates MTDH-Twist1 signaling by preventing SPOP-mediated c-Myc degradation in triple-negative breast cancer. *Oncogene*. 2018; 37(47):6166–6179.
- 72. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. The molecular taxonomy of primary prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2015;163(4):1011–1025.

- 73. Geng C, Rajapakshe K, Shah SS, et al. Androgen receptor is the key transcriptional mediator of the tumor suppressor SPOP in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74(19):5631–5643.
- 74. Nicklas S, Hillje AL, Okawa S, et al. A complex of the ubiquitin ligase TRIM32 and the deubiquitinase USP7 balances the level of c-Myc ubiquitination and thereby determines neural stem cell fate specification. *Cell Death Differ*. 2019;26(4): 728–740.
- **75.** Schwamborn JC, Berezikov E, Knoblich JA. The TRIM-NHL protein TRIM32 activates microRNAs and prevents self-renewal in mouse neural progenitors. *Cell*. 2009;136(5):913–925.
- Izumi H, Kaneko Y. Trim32 facilitates degradation of MYCN on spindle poles and induces asymmetric cell division in human neuroblastoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74(19):5620–5630.
- 77. Gao R, Wang L, Cai H, Zhu J, Yu L. E3 ubiquitin ligase RLIM negatively regulates c-myc transcriptional activity and restrains cell proliferation. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(9):e0164086.
- **78.** Logan IR, Sapountzi V, Gaughan L, Neal DE, Robson CN. Control of human PIRH2 protein stability: involvement of TIP60 and the proteosome. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279(12): 11696–11704.
- 79. Bohgaki M, Hakem A, Halaby MJ, et al. The E3 ligase PIRH2 polyubiquitylates CHK2 and regulates its turnover. *Cell Death Differ*. 2013;20(6):812–822.
- Hakem A, Bohgaki M, Lemmers B, et al. Role of Pirh2 in mediating the regulation of p53 and c-Myc. *PLoS Genet*. 2011; 7(11):e1002360.
- Daks A, Petukhov A, Fedorova O, et al. E3 ubiquitin ligase Pirh2 enhances tumorigenic properties of human non-small cell lung carcinoma cells. *Genes Cancer*. 2016;7(11–12): 383–393.
- Soond SM, Terry JL, Colbert JD, Riches DW. TRUSS, a novel tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 scaffolding protein that mediates activation of the transcription factor NF-kappaB. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2003;23(22):8334–8344.
- Poduslo SE, Huang R, Huang J, Smith S. Genome screen of late-onset Alzheimer's extended pedigrees identifies TRPC4AP by haplotype analysis. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2009;150B(1):50–55.
- Choi SH, Wright JB, Gerber SA, Cole MD. Myc protein is stabilized by suppression of a novel E3 ligase complex in cancer cells. *Genes Dev.* 2010;24(12):1236–1241.
- 85. Jamal A, Swarnalatha M, Sultana S, Joshi P, Panda SK, Kumar V. The G1 phase E3 ubiquitin ligase TRUSS that gets deregulated in human cancers is a novel substrate of the Sphase E3 ubiquitin ligase Skp2. *Cell Cycle*. 2015;0.
- Peter S, Bultinck J, Myant K, et al. Tumor cell-specific inhibition of MYC function using small molecule inhibitors of the HUWE1 ubiquitin ligase. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2014;6(12): 1525–1541.
- 87. Inoue S, Hao Z, Elia AJ, et al. Mule/Huwe1/Arf-BP1 suppresses Ras-driven tumorigenesis by preventing c-Myc/Miz1-mediated down-regulation of p21 and p15. *Genes Dev.* 2013;27(10): 1101–1114.
- Yang Y, Do H, Tian X, et al. E3 ubiquitin ligase Mule ubiquitinates Miz1 and is required for TNFalpha-induced JNK activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107(30): 13444–13449.
- Myant KB, Cammareri P, Hodder MC, et al. HUWE1 is a critical colonic tumour suppressor gene that prevents MYC signalling, DNA damage accumulation and tumour initiation. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2017;9(2):181–197.
- **90.** Ballinger CA, Connell P, Wu Y, et al. Identification of CHIP, a novel tetratricopeptide repeat-containing protein that interacts with heat shock proteins and negatively regulates chaperone functions. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1999;19(6):4535–4545.

- **91.** Murata S, Minami Y, Minami M, Chiba T, Tanaka K. CHIP is a chaperone-dependent E3 ligase that ubiquitylates unfolded protein. *EMBO Rep.* 2001;2(12):1133–1138.
- **92.** Paul I, Ahmed SF, Bhowmik A, Deb S, Ghosh MK. The ubiquitin ligase CHIP regulates c-Myc stability and transcriptional activity. *Oncogene*. 2013;32(10):1284–1295.
- 93. Eissenberg JC, Ma J, Gerber MA, Christensen A, Kennison JA, Shilatifard A. dELL is an essential RNA polymerase II elongation factor with a general role in development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(15):9894–9899.
- 94. Shilatifard A, Lane WS, Jackson KW, Conaway RC, Conaway JW. An RNA polymerase II elongation factor encoded by the human ELL gene. *Science*. 1996;271(5257): 1873–1876.
- Chen Y, Zhou C, Ji W, et al. ELL targets c-Myc for proteasomal degradation and suppresses tumour growth. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11057.
- 96. Lallemand-Breitenbach V, Jeanne M, Benhenda S, et al. Arsenic degrades PML or PML-RARalpha through a SUMOtriggered RNF4/ubiquitin-mediated pathway. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2008;10(5):547–555.
- 97. Sriramachandran AM, Dohmen RJ. SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligases. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014;1843(1):75-85.
- Tatham MH, Geoffroy MC, Shen L, et al. RNF4 is a poly-SUMOspecific E3 ubiquitin ligase required for arsenic-induced PML degradation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008;10(5):538–546.
- **99.** Thomas JJ, Abed M, Heuberger J, et al. RNF4-Dependent oncogene activation by protein stabilization. *Cell Rep.* 2016; 16(12):3388–3400.
- 100. Clague MJ, Urbe S, Komander D. Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme specificity begets function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2019;20(6):338–352.
- 101. Harrigan JA, Jacq X, Martin NM, Jackson SP. Deubiquitylating enzymes and drug discovery: emerging opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2018;17(1):57–78.
- Nijman SM, Luna-Vargas MP, Velds A, et al. A genomic and functional inventory of deubiquitinating enzymes. *Cell*. 2005; 123(5):773–786.
- 103. Popov N, Wanzel M, Madiredjo M, et al. The ubiquitin-specific protease USP28 is required for MYC stability. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2007;9(7):765–774.
- 104. Diefenbacher ME, Chakraborty A, Blake SM, et al. Usp28 counteracts Fbw7 in intestinal homeostasis and cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2015;75(7):1181–1186.
- 105. Popov N, Herold S, Llamazares M, Schulein C, Eilers M. Fbw7 and Usp28 regulate myc protein stability in response to DNA damage. *Cell Cycle*. 2007;6(19):2327–2331.
- **106.** Schulein-Volk C, Wolf E, Zhu J, et al. Dual regulation of Fbw7 function and oncogenic transformation by Usp28. *Cell Rep.* 2014;9(3):1099–1109.
- 107. Sun XX, He X, Yin L, Komada M, Sears RC, Dai MS. The nucleolar ubiquitin-specific protease USP36 deubiquitinates and stabilizes c-Myc. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(12): 3734–3739.
- 108. Sun XX, Sears RC, Dai MS. Deubiquitinating c-Myc: USP36 steps up in the nucleolus. *Cell Cycle*. 2015;14(24):3786–3793.
- 109. Endo A, Kitamura N, Komada M. Nucleophosmin/B23 regulates ubiquitin dynamics in nucleoli by recruiting deubiquitylating enzyme USP36. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(41): 27918–27923.
- 110. Fraile JM, Campos-Iglesias D, Rodriguez F, et al. Loss of the deubiquitinase USP36 destabilizes the RNA helicase DHX33 and causes preimplantation lethality in mice. *J Biol Chem.* 2018;293(6):2183–2194.
- 111. Thevenon D, Engel E, Avet-Rochex A, et al. The Drosophila ubiquitin-specific protease dUSP36/Scny targets IMD to

prevent constitutive immune signaling. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2009;6(4):309-320.

- 112. Pan J, Deng Q, Jiang C, et al. USP37 directly deubiquitinates and stabilizes c-Myc in lung cancer. *Oncogene*. 2015;34(30): 3957–3967.
- 113. Kim D, Hong A, Park HI, et al. Deubiquitinating enzyme USP22 positively regulates c-Myc stability and tumorigenic activity in mammalian and breast cancer cells. *J Cell Physiol*. 2017; 232(12):3664–3676.
- 114. Tavana O, Li D, Dai C, et al. HAUSP deubiquitinates and stabilizes N-Myc in neuroblastoma. *Nat Med.* 2016;22(10): 1180–1186.
- 115. Bergink S, Jentsch S. Principles of ubiquitin and SUMO modifications in DNA repair. *Nature*. 2009;458(7237):461–467.
- **116.** Chymkowitch P, Nguea PA, Enserink JM. SUMO-regulated transcription: challenging the dogma. *Bioessays*. 2015;37(10): 1095–1105.
- **117.** Cubenas-Potts C, Matunis MJ. SUMO: a multifaceted modifier of chromatin structure and function. *Dev Cell*. 2013;24(1): 1–12.
- Eifler K, Vertegaal AC. SUMOylation-mediated regulation of cell cycle progression and cancer. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2015; 40(12):779–793.
- **119.** Enserink JM. Sumo and the cellular stress response. *Cell Div.* 2015;10:4.
- 120. Finkbeiner E, Haindl M, Raman N, Muller S. SUMO routes ribosome maturation. *Nucleus*. 2011;2(6):527–532.
- 121. Geiss-Friedlander R, Melchior F. Concepts in sumoylation: a decade on. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;8(12):947–956.
- 122. Jentsch S, Psakhye I. Control of nuclear activities by substrate-selective and protein-group SUMOylation. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2013;47:167–186.
- 123. Nuro-Gyina PK, Parvin JD. *Roles for SUMO in Pre-mRNA Processing*. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA; 2015.
- 124. Raman N, Nayak A, Muller S. The SUMO system: a master organizer of nuclear protein assemblies. *Chromosoma*. 2013; 122(6):475–485.
- **125.** Sarangi P, Zhao X. SUMO-mediated regulation of DNA damage repair and responses. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2015;40(4): 233–242.
- 126. Kamitani T, Kito K, Nguyen HP, Fukuda-Kamitani T, Yeh ET. Characterization of a second member of the sentrin family of ubiquitin-like proteins. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(18):11349–11353.
- 127. Kamitani T, Nguyen HP, Kito K, Fukuda-Kamitani T, Yeh ET. Covalent modification of PML by the sentrin family of ubiquitin-like proteins. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(6): 3117–3120.
- Kamitani T, Nguyen HP, Yeh ET. Preferential modification of nuclear proteins by a novel ubiquitin-like molecule. J Biol Chem. 1997;272(22):14001–14004.
- 129. Muller S, Hoege C, Pyrowolakis G, Jentsch S. SUMO, ubiquitin's mysterious cousin. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2001;2(3): 202–210.
- 130. Hay RT. Decoding the SUMO signal. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2013; 41(2):463-473.
- 131. Gareau JR, Lima CD. The SUMO pathway: emerging mechanisms that shape specificity, conjugation and recognition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2010;11(12):861–871.
- Rodriguez MS, Dargemont C, Hay RT. SUMO-1 conjugation in vivo requires both a consensus modification motif and nuclear targeting. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(16): 12654–12659.
- 133. Sampson DA, Wang M, Matunis MJ. The small ubiquitin-like modifier-1 (SUMO-1) consensus sequence mediates Ubc9 binding and is essential for SUMO-1 modification. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276(24):21664–21669.

- Moldovan GL, Pfander B, Jentsch S. PCNA controls establishment of sister chromatid cohesion during S phase. *Mol Cell*. 2006;23(5):723–732.
- 135. Desterro JM, Rodriguez MS, Hay RT. SUMO-1 modification of IkappaBalpha inhibits NF-kappaB activation. *Mol Cell*. 1998; 2(2):233–239.
- **136.** Gonzalez-Prieto R, Cuijpers SA, Kumar R, Hendriks IA, Vertegaal AC. c-Myc is targeted to the proteasome for degradation in a SUMOylation-dependent manner, regulated by PIAS1, SENP7 and RNF4. *Cell Cycle*. 2015;14(12):1859–1872.
- 137. Kalkat M, Chan PK, Wasylishen AR, et al. Identification of c-MYC SUMOylation by mass spectrometry. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(12), e115337.
- **138.** Rabellino A, Melegari M, Tompkins VS, et al. PIAS1 promotes lymphomagenesis through MYC upregulation. *Cell Rep.* 2016; 15(10):2266–2278.
- **139.** Sabo A, Doni M, Amati B. SUMOylation of Myc-family proteins. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(3):e91072.
- 140. Sun XX, Chen Y, Su Y, et al. SUMO protease SENP1 deSUMOylates and stabilizes c-Myc. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2018; 115(43):10983–10988.
- 141. Hickey CM, Wilson NR, Hochstrasser M. Function and regulation of SUMO proteases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2012;13(12): 755–766.
- 142. Nayak A, Muller S. SUMO-specific proteases/isopeptidases: SENPs and beyond. *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(7):422.
- 143. Sharma P, Yamada S, Lualdi M, Dasso M, Kuehn MR. Senp1 is essential for desumoylating Sumo1-modified proteins but dispensable for Sumo2 and Sumo3 deconjugation in the mouse embryo. *Cell Rep.* 2013;3(5):1640–1650.
- 144. Bawa-Khalfe T, Yang FM, Ritho J, Lin HK, Cheng J, Yeh ET. SENP1 regulates PTEN stability to dictate prostate cancer development. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(11):17651–17664.
- 145. Chen CH, Chang CC, Lee TH, et al. SENP1 deSUMOylates and regulates Pin1 protein activity and cellular function. *Cancer Res.* 2013;73(13):3951–3962.
- **146.** Cheng J, Kang X, Zhang S, Yeh ET. SUMO-specific protease 1 is essential for stabilization of HIF1alpha during hypoxia. *Cell*. 2007;131(3):584–595.
- 147. Cheng J, Perkins ND, Yeh ET. Differential regulation of c-Jundependent transcription by SUMO-specific proteases. *J Biol Chem.* 2005;280(15):14492–14498.
- 148. Fasci D, Anania VG, Lill JR, Salvesen GS. SUMO deconjugation is required for arsenic-triggered ubiquitylation of PML. *Sci Signal*. 2015;8(380):ra56.
- **149.** Ji Z, Degerny C, Vintonenko N, et al. Regulation of the Ets-1 transcription factor by sumoylation and ubiquitinylation. *Oncogene*. 2007;26(3):395–406.
- 150. Liu H, Yan S, Ding J, Yu TT, Cheng SY. DeSUMOylation of Gli1 by SENP1 attenuates sonic Hedgehog signaling. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2017;37(18).
- **151.** Witty J, Aguilar-Martinez E, Sharrocks AD. SENP1 participates in the dynamic regulation of Elk-1 SUMOylation. *Biochem J*. 2010;428(2):247–254.
- **152.** Driscoll JJ, Pelluru D, Lefkimmiatis K, et al. The sumoylation pathway is dysregulated in multiple myeloma and is associated with adverse patient outcome. *Blood*. 2010;115(14): 2827–2834.
- **153.** Hoefer J, Schafer G, Klocker H, et al. PIAS1 is increased in human prostate cancer and enhances proliferation through inhibition of p21. *Am J Pathol*. 2012;180(5):2097–2107.
- **154.** Wang Q, Xia N, Li T, et al. SUMO-specific protease 1 promotes prostate cancer progression and metastasis. *Oncogene*. 2013; 32(19):2493–2498.
- **155.** Jacques C, Baris O, Prunier-Mirebeau D, et al. Two-step differential expression analysis reveals a new set of genes

involved in thyroid oncocytic tumors. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;90(4):2314–2320.

- Hendriks IA, Vertegaal AC. A comprehensive compilation of SUMO proteomics. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016;17(9):581–595.
- **157.** Lamoliatte F, McManus FP, Maarifi G, Chelbi-Alix MK, Thibault P. Uncovering the SUMOylation and ubiquitylation crosstalk in human cells using sequential peptide immunopurification. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:14109.
- **158.** Chow KH, Elgort S, Dasso M, Powers MA, Ullman KS. The SUMO proteases SENP1 and SENP2 play a critical role in nucleoporin homeostasis and nuclear pore complex function. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2014;25(1):160–168.
- **159.** Duheron V, Nilles N, Pecenko S, Martinelli V, Fahrenkrog B. Localisation of Nup153 and SENP1 to nuclear pore complexes is required for 53BP1-mediated DNA double-strand break repair. J Cell Sci. 2017;130(14):2306–2316.