RESEARCH

Nutrition & Metabolism

Open Access

TET1 promotes fatty acid oxidation and inhibits NAFLD progression by hydroxymethylation of PPARα promoter



Jingjie Wang¹⁺, Yitong Zhang¹⁺, Qin Zhuo¹⁺, Yujen Tseng¹, Jiucun Wang², Yanyun Ma^{2,3*}, Jun Zhang^{1*} and Jie Liu^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: As a lipid metabolic disorder, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is an important cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, with no effective drug up to date. Previous studies have demonstrated increased methylation levels of key genes in NAFLD, suggesting that hydroxymethylation, a key step in demethylation, may be a possible strategy to reverse NAFLD. TET1 is well known as a key hydroxymethylase, however, its role and mechanism in NAFLD remains unclear.

Methods: In this study, we utilized TET1 knockout mice, fed with high-fat diet. Furthermore, by ChIP and hMeDIP. TET1 knockdown L02 and HepG2 cell lines.

Results: Their degree of liver steatosis was more severe than that of wild-type mice, suggesting that TET1 had a significant protective effect against NAFLD. We further found that PPARa, a key regulator of fatty acid oxidation, and its downstream key enzymes ACOX1 and CPT1A, as well as the fatty acid oxidation product β -HB were significantly decreased in TET1 knockout mice. While the key genes for fatty acid synthesis and uptake were not significantly changed, suggesting that TET1 inhibits NAFLD by promoting fatty acid oxidation via PPARa pathway. TET1 was confirmed to directly bind to the promoter of PPARa and elevate its hydroxymethylation level.

Conclusions: This study is the first to show that TET1 can activate PPARa, promote fatty acid oxidation and inhibit NAFLD progression by hydroxymethylation of PPARa promoter, which may be a new strategy to reverse NAFLD.

Keywords: TET1, NAFLD, PPARa, Fatty acid oxidation, Hydroxymethylation

Background

As a metabolic disease derived from lipid disorder, NAFLD is the most common chronic liver disease, which is an important and common cause of liver fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [1, 2].

* Correspondence: yanyunma@fudan.edu.cn; archsteed@gmail.com; jieliu@fudan.edu.cn

[†]Jingjie Wang, Yitong Zhang and Qin Zhuo contributed equally to this work. ²State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Human Phenome Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

¹Department of Digestive Diseases of Huashan Hospital and Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China Compared to other etiologies, such as HBV, HCV, and alcohol, NAFLD has become the most stable increasing cause of HCC [3]. Currently, NAFLD and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are considered to be modified by a variety of environmental elements which act on susceptible genes and epigenetic backgrounds, but the specific mechanism is still unclear [4]. At present, there is no government-approved drug for the treatment of NAFLD [5], therefore it is necessary to study the pathogenesis of NAFLD, in pursuit of new therapeutic targets.

The TET protein is a DNA cytosine oxygenase that catalyzes 5-methyl cytosine (5mC) to produce 5-



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) in a manner dependent on α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) and Fe2+ [6]. The mammalian TET protein has three family members, TET1, TET2 and TET3. TET maintains the unmethylated status of genes by the above-described "active" demethylation and the so called "passive" demethylation which is competitive with DNA methyltransferases [6]. Studies have shown that TET and oxidized 5-mC derivatives (such as 5-hmC) play important roles in various biological and pathological processes including gene transcription, embryonic development and tumorigenesis [7, 8]. However, there is a lack of relevant research on the role of TET in metabolic diseases such as NAFLD. Previous studies have revealed that some DNA and mitochondrial DNA are methylated during the pathogenesis of NAFLD [9-11], suggesting that demethylation process may be involved in NAFLD. It has also been shown that missense mutations in the TET1 and TET2 loci are associated with NAFLD and type 2 diabetes [12]. Therefore, it is speculated that TET may play an important role in NAFLD. The present study intends to study the role of TET genes in lipid metabolism and pathogenesis of NAFLD, explore the target and specific mechanism, and provide new ideas for the diagnosis and treatment of NAFLD.

Methods

Animals

The animal protocol was approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Fudan University. The C57BL/6 background TET1 knockout heterozygote (HE) mouse was purchased from the Jackson Laboratory, and the WT and TET1 -/- mice genotypes were determined by PCR using following primers: wild type Forward: TCAGGGAGCTCATGGAGACTA; Common: TTAAAGCATGGGTGGGAGTC; Mutant Forward: AACTGATTCCCTTCGTGCAG. Homozygous (HO) has a band at 650 bp, and HE has a band at both 650 bp and 300 bp, WT has a band at 300 bp. Only male mice were used in these studies. Male mice of 8-10 weeks old (about 25 g) were used. The mice in the NAFLD group were fed a high-fat diet (HFD; carbohydrates, 20.3%; protein, 18.1%; fat, 61.6%; D12492, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) for 12 weeks. The mice in the control group were fed normal chow (NC; carbohydrates, 71.5%; protein, 18.3%; fat, 10.2%; D12450B, Research Diets) for 12 weeks. There are 6 mice in each group.

Glucose tolerance test (GTT) and insulin tolerance test (ITT)

GTT and ITT experiments were performed at 10 and 11 weeks after feeding HFD or normal food. Mice were fasted overnight, and the next morning, 1 g/kg of glucose

or 0.75 U/kg of insulin was administered intraperitoneally. Blood glucose was measured at 0, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after the injection.

Detection of biochemical indicators in plasma

Plasma triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), lipoprotein, AST and ALT were detected by automated biochemical analyzer. Plasma insulin detection with Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit (Crystal Chem, IL, USA) was used according to instructions. The end point calorimetric assays were performed using a BioTek PowerWave XS Microplate spectrophotometer.

Detection of liver tissue and intracellular triglyceride

Intrahepatic and intracellular triglyceride (TG) contents were assayed using kits purchased from Applygen Technologies Inc. (Beijing, China) in accordance with the vendor's recommended protocols. Briefly, the lysis of cells and tissues with lysate were assayed for total protein concentration using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and the TG concentration was measured using the reagents in the kit. Finally, the TG content was calculated from the TG concentration to total protein concentration.

Cell culture and treatment

HpG2 and L02 cells were incubated with DMEM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum in a 37 °C incubator containing 5% CO2. The in vitro model of NAFLD was cultured in a medium containing 1 mM oleic acid and palmitic acid (2,1) (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) for 24 h. The cells were treated with TET1 plasmid or siTET1 for 24 h and then cultured with FFA-containing medium.

Tissue and immunofluorescence analysis

H&E and oil red O staining were performed in liver tissue. Liver fat accumulation was observed under a microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The cells were incubated with TET1 antibody (11000) (GeneTex, CA, USA) for 2 h at room temperature and then incubated with secondary antibody and fat fluorescent dye BOD-IPY493/503 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 1 h, and the nuclei were stained with DAPI (Beyotime shanghai, China) for 1 min. Results were observed under a Nikon inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Quantitative RT-PCR assay

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), then reverse transcribed into cDNA using a reverse transcription kit (life technologies- Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). PCR was amplified with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (QIAGEN, NRW, Germany). The mRNA expression of the target gene is normalized to β -actin.

Tet1(M) -F: ACACAGTGGTGCTAATGCAG. Tet1(M) -R: AGCATGAACGGGAGAATCGG. Tet2(M) -F: AGAGAAGACAATCGAGAAGTCGG. Tet2(M) -R: CCTTCCGTACTCCCAAACTCAT. Tet3(M) -F: TGCGATTGTGTGTCGAACAAATAGT. Tet3(M) -R: TCCATACCGATCCTCCATGAG. Srebp1(M) -F: CAAGGCCATCGACTACATCCG. Srebp1(M) -R: CACCACTTCGGGTTTCATGC. Ppary(M) -F: GGAAGACCACTCGCATTCCTT. *Ppary*(M) -R: GTAATCAGCAACCATTGGGTCA. Fas(M) -F: GGAGGTGGTGATAGCCGGTAT. Fas(M) -R: TGGGTAATCCATAGAGCCCAG. Acc(M) -F: CTCCCGATTCATAATTGGGTCTG. Acc(M) -R: TCGACCTTGTTTTACTAGGTGC. Cd36(M) -F: ATGGGCTGTGATCGGAACTG. Cd36(M) -R: TTTGCCACGTCATCTGGGTTT. Fatp1(M) -F: TCTGTTCTGATTCGTGTTCGG. Fatp1(M) -R: CAGCATATACCACTACTGGCG. Fabp1(M) -F: ATGAACTTCTCCGGCAAGTACC. Fabp1(M) -R: CTGACACCCCCTTGATGTCC. *Ppar* α (M) -F: AACATCGAGTGTCGAATATGTGG. *Ppar* α (M) -R: CCGAATAGTTCGCCGAAAGAA. Cpt1a(M) -F: TGGCATCATCACTGGTGTGTT. Cpt1a(M) -R: GTCTAGGGTCCGATTGATCTTTG. Acox1(M) -F: TAACTTCCTCACTCGAAGCCA. Acox1(M) -R: AGTTCCATGACCCATCTCTGTC. β-actin(M) -F: GGCTGTATTCCCCTCCATCG. β-actin(M) -R: CCAGTTGGTAACAATGCCATGT. TET1(H) -F: TAATGGAAGCACTGTGGTTTGT. TET1(H) -R: GCCCCAGATTTGATCTTGGC. TET2(H) -F: ATACCCTGTATGAAGGGAAGCC. TET2(H) -R: CTTACCCCGAAGTTACGTCTTTC. TET3(H) -F: TCCAGCAACTCCTAGAACTGAG. TET3(H) -R: AGGCCGCTTGAATACTGACTG. SREBF1(H) -F: ACGGCAGCCCCTGTAACGACCA CTGTGA.

SREBF1(H) -R: TGCCAAGATGGTTCCGCCACTC ACCAGG.

PPAR γ (H) -F: GGGATCAGCTCCGTGGATCT. PPAR γ (H) -R: TGCACTTTGGTACTCTTGAAGTT. FAS(H) -F: AAGGACCTGTCTAGGTTTGATGC. FAS(H) -R: TGGCTTCATAGGTGACTTCCA. ACC(H) -F: ATGTCTGGCTTGCACCTAGTA. ACC(H) -R: CCCCAAAGCGAGTAACAAATTCT. PPAR α (H) -F: ATGGTGGACACGGAAAGCC. PPAR α (H) -F: CGATGGATTGCGAAATCTCTTGG. CPT1A(H) -F: TCCAGTTGGCTTATCGTGGTG. CPT1A(H) -R: TCCAGAGTCCGATTGATTTTGC. ACOX1(H) -F: GGCGCATACATGAAGGAGACCT. ACOX1(H)-R:AGGTGAAAGCCTTCAGTCCAGC. CD36(H)-F:CAGGTCAACCTATTGGTCAAGCC. CD36(H)-R:GCCTTCTCATCACCAATGGTCC. FATP1(H)-F:TGACAGTCGTCCTCCGCAAGAA. FATP1(H)-R:CTTCAGCAGGTAGCGGCAGATC. FABP1(H)-F:GTGTCGGAAATCGTGCAGAAT. FABP1(H)-R:GACTTTCTCCCCTGTCATTGTC. β -Actin(H) -F: CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC. β -Actin(H) -R: CTCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT.

Western blotting

Cells and tissues were lysed using a mixture containing RIPA Lysis Buffer (Beyotime shanghai, China) and Protease inhibitor cocktail (Beyotime shanghai, China), and then shaken for uniform mixture. The protein-containing supernatant was centrifuged, then separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to the PVDF membrane (Millipore) by electrophoretic transfer. After blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin, the membrane was incubated overnight with a diluted primary antibody at 4 °C. After repeated rinsing, the membrane was incubated with the corresponding secondary antibody at 37 °C at a 1:5000 dilution for 1 h.

Primary antibody

TET1(GeneTex, CA, USA), PPAR α (Abcam, Camb,UK), ACOX1(Proteintech, IL, USA), CPT1A(Proteintech, IL, USA), CD36(Abcam, Camb,UK). β -actin((Proteintech, IL, USA)).

Secondary antibody

Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti- mouse (Beyotime shanghai, China).

Proteins were extracted from cells using RIPA Lysis Buffer (Beyotime) with Protease inhibitor cocktail for general use (Beyotime), separated by SDS-PAGE, and electrophoretically transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, MA, USA).

ChIP assay

ChIP assay was carried out using the ChIP assay kit (Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay kit, Millipore, MA, USA) according to protocol. Briefly, 1×10^7 cells were harvested and treated with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37 °C to cross-link. Glycine was added to the cell suspension such that its final concentration was 0.125 M to terminate the reaction. The chromatin was sheared on ice by sonication to produce DNA fragments of 200 to 1000 bp. After centrifugation, the cell lysates were incubated with indicated antibody overnight and subsequently with protein G-agarose beads for $2\sim4\,h$ at 4°C with agitation. Beads were washed and eluted, and the cross links were reversed by incubation at 65 °C for 4 h. Finally, the beads were washed and eluted, and the cross-linking was reversed by incubation at 65 °C for 4 h. The purified DNA was used to analyze the binding of TET1 to the PPARα promoter locus by Q-ChIP reaction. The sequences of oligonucleotides were used as Q-ChIP primers:

| – 1017—–-717 F: | CGCTCCGGCAGCTCGAGC |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| GTCACGG. | |
| – 1017—–-717 R | : TGAGGGGCTGACTTTGTG |
| CCTACGC. | |
| – 716—–-416 F: | GCCACCTGTTTCCTTGTC |
| CTCCCAG. | |
| – 716—–-416 R: | GAGGCTCAGAAGTGCGTA |
| GGGTGGG. | |
| – 415—–-115 F: | GAGGGGCGCTGACGCTCA |
| GCGGTGT. | |
| – 415——-115 R: TC | CAGCGGCTCCCACCTAGCG. |

hMeDIP-Q-PCR

The 5hmC status of DNA of normal and siTET1 cell samples was determined by hMeDIP. In summary, genomic DNA was extracted and sheared by sonication into 200-1000 bp of fragments, and the DNA solution containing 5hmC was extracted according to the hMeDIP kit (EpiQuik Hydroxymethylated DNA Immunoprecipitation Kit, Epigentek, NY, USA) protocol. After the sample was placed in the sample plate, Non-immune IgG was added to the negative control group, while 5-hmC Antibody was added to the sample well and the positive control group. After incubation for 60 min at room temperature, each well was washed sequentially with different solutions. Finally, the prepared DRB-PK reagent was added to the well and placed in a 65 °C environment for 20 min, and the DNA-containing solution was taken up for subsequent Q-PCR. The precipitated DNA was used for Q-PCR by using the following primers:

– 415——-115 F: GAGGGGGCGCTGACGCTCA GCGGTGT.

- 415—--115 R: TCAGCGGCTCCCACCTAGCG.

Results

Decreased expression of TET1 in NAFLD models in vitro and in vivo

In order to explore the role of the TET family in lipid metabolism and fatty liver, wild type (WT) mice were fed with a high-fat diet (HFD) for 12 weeks in order to establish a mouse NAFLD model (Fig. 1 a). Compared with normal liver, the mRNA level of TET1 in fatty liver was significantly reduced, while changes in TET2 and TET3 were not significant (Fig. 1 b). In addition, TET1 also showed a significant decrease in protein level in fatty liver (Fig. 1 c). To further confirm our findings, we explored the in vitro model of hepatic steatosis, which stimulates L02 and HepG2 cell steatosis with oleic acid and palmitic acid (Fig. 1 d, f, j). Compared with the control group, the mRNA and protein levels of TET1 in the two steatosis cell lines were significantly decreased, while the mRNAs of TET2 and TET3 were not significantly changed (Fig. 1 e, g, h, i, j).

The knockout of TET1 can exacerbate HFD-induced fatty liver

Since TET1 had been previously shown to be inhibited in both in vivo and in vitro NAFLD models, we then explored the role of complete deficiency of TET1 (TET1 knockout) in hepatic steatosis. After 12 weeks of HFD feeding, both WT and TET1-/- mice exhibit fatty liver, showing abundant microscopic and macrovesicular steatosis by histopathology examination (Fig. 2 d, e, f). The knockout of TET1 could aggravate hepatic steatosis compared with WT mice (Fig. 2 d, e, f), which was consistent with body weight (Fig. 2 g), intrahepatic triglyceride (TG) (Fig. 2 h), serum TG (Fig. 2 l), cholesterol (Fig. 2 k), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (Fig. 2 n) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (Fig. 2 o), all of which were significantly higher in TET1-/- mice. In addition, being fed 12 weeks of normal diet, the body weight of TET1-/- mice was not significantly different from that of WT mice (Fig. 2 g), however, serum TG, cholesterol, and intrahepatic TG showed significant differences. Moreover, insulin secretion and glucose tolerance were inhibited in TET1-/- mice (Fig. 2 p, s) after 12 weeks of HFD, but the insulin sensitivity was not significantly different compared to WT mice (Fig. 2 q, r, t). A similar situation was also observed in vitro. Inhibition of TET1 expression by siTET1 in both L02 and HepG2 cells increased intracellular TG (Fig. 3 a, b). The transfection of TET1 overexpression plasmid could reduce the accumulation of intracellular TG caused by FFA (Fig. 2 c, d).

The lack of TET1 does not affect fatty acid synthesis and uptake

In order to study how the lack of TET1 aggravates fatty liver caused by HFD, we first investigated several key regulators in the process of fat production. Fatty acid synthase (FAS) and Acetyl CoA carboxylase1 (ACC1) are the rate-limiting enzymes for fat synthesis, which are both regulated by the transcription factors PPARy (perproliferators-activated receptors oxisome γ) and SREBP1(Sterol-regulatory element binding proteins 1). However, there was no significant changes in the mRNA level of these genes in the liver of TET1-/- mice compared with WT mice (Fig. 4 a). In addition, we also evaluated the effect of TET1 on fatty acid uptake by detecting the mRNA levels of relevant genes in the liver, such as CD36, FATP1 and FABP1. The results showed that FATP1 and FABP1were not significantly different except for a slight decrease of CD36 in TET1-/- mice (Fig. 4 d), while there was no significant change in the protein level of CD36 (Fig. 5 d). To confirm our findings



in vitro, we inhibited TET1 expression by siTET1 in both L02 and HepG2 cells. Similar to in vivo results, no significant change in mRNA levels of fatty liver synthesis and fatty acid uptake genes was noted after TET1 inhibition (Fig. 4 b, c, e, f). In order to prevent the impact of cellular uptake of fatty

acids, lipoprotein-free fetal bovine serum was used. It was shown that even after the block of cellular uptake of fatty acids, the inhibition of TET1 still promoted the accumulation of intracellular triglycerides, which also confirmed that TET1 did not affect the uptake of fatty acids (Fig. 4 g, h).



Shortage of TET1 inhibits fatty acid oxidation pathway

The oxidation of fatty acids is mainly processed through β -oxidation pathway, which produces acetyl CoA. Acetyl CoA undergoes a series of reactions to produce ketone bodies (β -hydroxybutyric acid, acetone and acetoacetic acid), among which β -hydroxybutyrate (β -HB) accounts for the highest proportion of about 70%. The content of

 β -HB can indirectly reflect the case of β -oxidation of fatty acids. Thus, we examined the β -HB content in mouse plasma and cell lines to assess whether TET1 affects β -oxidation. The β -HB in the fasting plasma of HFD-fed TET1-/- mice was significantly lower than that of the control group (Fig. 5 f). Similarly, the use of siTET1 inhibited the production of β -HB in cells (Fig. 5 g).



In addition, after being fed with HFD, the mRNA levels of PPAR α (peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor α), ACOX1 (Peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase) and CPT1A (Carnitine Palmitoyltransferase 1A), which are key genes in the β -oxidation pathway of fatty acids, were significantly lower in TET1–/– mice than those in WT mice (Fig. 5 a). Concurrently, their protein levels were consistent with the trend of mRNAs (Fig. 5 d). These findings were also confirmed in cell lines. After the inhibition of TET1 by siTET1, the above three key genes showed significant decrease in mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 5 b, c, e).

TET1 affects fatty acid oxidation by hydroxymethylation of $\ensuremath{\text{PPAR}\alpha}$

PPAR α is a very important transcription factor in lipid metabolism. It binds to the peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE) located upstream of target genes,

which are mainly ACOX1 and CPT1A in fatty acid oxidation [13]. We detected the expression of PPAR α in NAFLD models and found that the mRNA and protein levels of PPAR α in the model groups were lower than those in the control group (Fig. 6 a, b,g, h, i). Furthermore, the effect of siTET1 on inhibiting β -HB production and increasing TG accumulation was weakened after using the agonist of PPARa, GW7647 (Fig. 6 c, d, e, f). Since there is a 1932 bp CpG island starting from the – 1017 position of PPARα promoter, ChIP was used to verify the direct binding of TET1 in three segments of PPARα promoter. As a result, it was found that TET1 could directly bind to the three segments, among which the strongest binding region was - 415 to - 115 bp (Fig. 6 l, m). To further confirm that PPAR α may be regulated by TET1 mediated hydroxymethylation, we first confirmed that PPAR α can be regulated by methylation.



After treatment of cells with different concentrations of decitabine (5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine, a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor), the mRNA of PPARa was significantly increased (Fig. 6 j, k). Then we used the hydroxymethylation DNA immunoprecipitation (hMeDIP) kit to detect the hydroxymethylation rate of the - 415--115 bp region of PPARa promoter, which binds most strongly to TET1. The results showed that the hydroxymethylation rate of the -415--115 bp region was significantly decreased after inhibition of TET1 (Fig. 6 n). Furthermore, there is a 1255 bp CpG island starting from the -952 mouse position of PPARa promoter. Detection of hydroxymethylation from -208 to -44 in PPAR α promoter showed that the rate of hydroxymethylation in the PPARα promoter of HFD-fed mice was also lower than that in the NC group. (Fig. 6 o).

Discussion

In the present study, we discovered that TET1 expression was reduced in the in vitro and in vivo models of NAFLD. TET1 is an important hydroxymethylase that activates the expression of multiple genes and plays an important role in development and tumor. However, its role in NAFLD has been poorly understood. We have demonstrated in both in vivo and in vitro experiments that the lack of TET1 can cause an increase in the accumulation of triglycerides in hepatocytes. TET1 can promote the expression of PPAR α in the methylated PPAR α promoter region and increase the expression of key enzymes in the downstream fatty acid β oxidation to promote fatty acid β -oxidation, thereby inhibiting the accumulation of triglycerides in the liver.

DNA methylation, an important part of epigenetics, has received increasing attention in the pathogenesis of NAFLD [14]. The DNA promoter methylation level of PPARy coactivator 1 α (PGC1- α) in the liver of NAFLD patients was significantly higher. The methylation level of PGC1- α is also associated with fasting blood glucose, fasting insulin levels, peripheral insulin resistance, and the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) [15]. These suggest that both PGC1- α transcriptional activity and insulin resistance are associated with DNA methylation. Because mitochondria are closely related to oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species (ROS), mitochondrial dysfunction can continue to produce ROS during the progression of simple



steatosis to NASH. There is evidence that mitochondrial DNA methylation can also change during the course of NAFLD [16]. The TET family, as the first step in the process of demethylation and a key enzyme of hydroxy-methylation [16], has been studied by many scholars for its role in the physiological [17, 18] and pathological processes of tumor development [19–21]. However, its role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD is still poorly understood. Our study showed a significant change in TET1 expression in both in vitro and in vivo models of NAFLD, while TET2 and TET3 did not change significantly.

Key genes of various fatty acid oxidation reactions are regulated by PPAR α expression [13], and targeting PPAR α to regulate its expression and transcriptional activity has become a new strategy for the treatment of NAFLD [22]. In the past, the fibrate drug for the treatment of hyperlipidemia is targeted at PPAR α [23, 24]. However, its efficacy in the treatment of NAFLD is poor [25]. Therefore, new types of PPARa agonists have been developed for the treatment of NAFLD [26]. There are also clinical trials for new PPARa agonists in the treatment of NAFLD, but the efficacy remains to be confirmed [27]. Previous studies have confirmed that methylation of the promoter region of PPAR α can affect its expression. Pregnant mice fed with a protein-controlled diet can significantly reduce the methylation level of PPARα in the offspring, while the expression of PPARα is significantly increased [28]. Furthermore, hypermethylation of the promoter regions of PPARa and CTP1A genes was shown in a model of high fructose-induced metabolic syndrome in rats [29]. In the mild and severe NAFLD cohort, PPARa and PPARo showed significant specific site hypermethylation in patients with severe NAFLD [30]. These studies all suggest that PPAR α is regulated by methylation, but no studies have shown whether PPAR α is regulated by hydroxymethylation. Our study confirmed that the promoter region of



PPAR α can be hydroxymethylated by TET1, which indicates that PPAR α is regulated not only by methylation but also by hydroxymethylation.

5-mC oxidation products (5-hmC, The 5hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-formylcytosine, 5-fC and 5carboxylcytosine, 5-caC) catalyzed by the TET family are not suitable for DNMT1 substrate, so the three 5-mC oxidized derivatives are resistant to DNMT1-mediated maintenance of methylation [31, 32]. This also suggests that TET1 and 5-hmC may serve as potential new targets for the treatment of NAFLD, which may have a better prospect than simply inhibiting methylation. The level of hydroxymethylation at specific sites of PPARa may also be a new biomarker for determining the progression and prognosis of NAFLD.

Conclusions

Our study revealed the relationship between TET1, 5-hmC, PPAR α , and NAFLD, which enriched the pathogenesis of NAFLD and provided new ideas for the diagnosis and treatment of NAFLD.

Abbreviations

NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; 5mC: 5-methyl cytosine; 5-hmC: 5hydroxymethylcytosine; α-KG: α-ketoglutarate; HO: Homozygous; HFD: Highfat diet; NC: Normal chow; GTT: Glucose Tolerance Test; ITT: Insulin Tolerance Test; TG: Triglyceride; TC: Cholesterol; HRP: Horseradish peroxidase; WT: Wild type; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; FAS: Atty acid synthase; FAS: Fatty acid synthase; ACC1: Acetyl CoA carboxylase1; PPARy: Peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors γ; SREBP1: Sterol-regulatory element binding proteins 1; β-HB: βhydroxybutyrate; ACOX1: Peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase; CPT1A: Carnitine Palmitoyltransferase 1A; PPRE: Peroxisome proliferator response element; hMeDIP: Hydroxymethylation DNA immunoprecipitation; ROS: Reactive oxygen species

Acknowledgements

None.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, JL, JZ and YYM; writing—original draft preparation, JJW, YTZ and QZ; writing—review and editing, JJW, YTZ, QZ, YJT and JCW; visualization, QZ; supervision, JL; and funding acquisition, JL. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Science and Technology Project of China (No. 2018YFC2000503), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81861168038 and No. 81420108005), the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (2018 M641919) and the Shanghai Municipal Key Clinical Specialty (No. 3030247011). This work is also supported by "Local Innovative and Research Teams Project of Guangdong Pearl River Talents Program [2017BT015131]".

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The animal protocol was approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Fudan University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Digestive Diseases of Huashan Hospital and Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ²State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Human Phenome Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ³Six-sector Industrial Research Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

Received: 30 March 2020 Accepted: 3 June 2020 Published online: 19 June 2020

References

- De Minicis S, Day C, Svegliati-Baroni G. From NAFLD to NASH and HCC: pathogenetic mechanisms and therapeutic insights. Curr Pharm Des. 2013; 19:5239–49. 23394093.
- Estes C, Razavi H. Modeling the epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates an exponential increase in burden of disease. Hepatology. 2018;67:123-33. 28802062. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.29466.
- Margini C, Dufour JF. The story of HCC in NAFLD: from epidemiology, across pathogenesis, to prevention and treatment. Liver Int. 2016;36:317–24. 266 01627. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.13031.
- Lee JH, Friso S, Choi SW. Epigenetic mechanisms underlying the link between non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases and nutrition. Nutrients. 2014;6(8): 3303-25. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6083303.
- Konerman MA, Jones JC, Harrison SA. Pharmacotherapy for NASH: current and emerging. J Hepatol. 2018;68:362–75. 29122694. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jhep.2017.10.015.
- Kohli RM, Zhang Y. TET enzymes, TDG and the dynamics of DNA demethylation. Nature. 2013;502:472–9. 24153300. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature12750.
- Tan L, Shi YG. Tet family proteins and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in development and disease. Development. 2012;139:1895–902. 22569552. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.070771.
- Wu H, Zhang Y. Reversing DNA methylation: mechanisms, genomics, and biological functions. Cell. 2014;156:45–68. 24439369. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cell.2013.12.019.
- Lee J, Kim Y, Friso S, Choi SW. Epigenetics in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Mol Asp Med. 2017;54:78–88. 27889327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mam.2016.11.008.
- Hardy T, Zeybel M, Day CP, Dipper C, Masson S, McPherson S, et al. Plasma DNA methylation: a potential biomarker for stratification of liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut. 2017;66:1321–8. 27002005. https://doi. org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311526.
- Baumeier C, Saussenthaler S, Kammel A, Jahnert M, Schluter L, Hesse D, et al. Hepatic DPP4 DNA methylation associates with fatty liver. Diabetes. 2017;66:25–35. 27999105. https://doi.org/10.2337/db15-1716.
- Pirola CJ, Scian R, Gianotti TF, Dopazo H, Rohr C, Martino JS, et al. Epigenetic modifications in the biology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: the role of DNA Hydroxymethylation and TET proteins. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94:e1480. 26356709. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.000000000001480.
- Pawlak M, Lefebvre P, Staels B. Molecular mechanism of PPARalpha action and its impact on lipid metabolism, inflammation and fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol. 2015;62:720–33. 25450203. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.10.039.
- Del Campo JA, Gallego-Duran R, Gallego P, Grande L. Genetic and epigenetic regulation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19. 29562725. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030911.
- Pirola CJ, Fernandez Gianotti T, Castano GO, Mallardi P, San Martino J, Mora Gonzalez Lopez Ledesma M, et al. Circulating microRNA signature in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: from serum non-coding RNAs to liver histology and disease pathogenesis. Gut. 2015;64:800–12. 24973316. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-306996.

- Pirola CJ, Gianotti TF, Burgueno AL, Rey-Funes M, Loidl CF, Mallardi P, et al. Epigenetic modification of liver mitochondrial DNA is associated with histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut. 2013;62:1356–63. 22879518. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302962.
- Purnell BA. TET function in development. Science. 2017;356:1135–6. 2861 9933. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.356.6343.1135-d.
- Burr S, Caldwell A, Chong M, Beretta M, Metcalf S, Hancock M, et al. Oxygen gradients can determine epigenetic asymmetry and cellular differentiation via differential regulation of Tet activity in embryonic stem cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:1210–26. 29186571. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1197.
- Thienpont B, Steinbacher J, Zhao H, D'Anna F, Kuchnio A, Ploumakis A, et al. Tumour hypoxia causes DNA hypermethylation by reducing TET activity. Nature. 2016;537:63–8. 27533040. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19081.
- Cimmino L, Dawlaty MM, Ndiaye-Lobry D, Yap YS, Bakogianni S, Yu Y, et al. TET1 is a tumor suppressor of hematopoietic malignancy. Nat Immunol. 2015;16:653–62. 25867473. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3148.
- Prasad P, Mittal SA. Hypoxia-Mediated Epigenetic Regulation of Stemness in Brain Tumor Cells. Stem Cells. 2017;35:1468–78. 28376560. https://doi.org/10. 1002/stem.2621.
- 22. Khan R, Bril F, Cusi K, Newsome PN. Modulation of Insulin Resistance in NAFLD. Hepatology. 2018. 30556145. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.30429.
- Staels B, Dallongeville J, Auwerx J, Schoonjans K, Leitersdorf E, Fruchart JC. Mechanism of action of fibrates on lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. Circulation. 1998;98:2088–93. 9808609.
- Khera AV, Millar JS, Ruotolo G, Wang MD, Rader DJ. Potent peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha agonist treatment increases cholesterol efflux capacity in humans with the metabolic syndrome. Eur Heart J. 2015; 36:3020–2. 26112886. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv291.
- Fernandez-Miranda C, Perez-Carreras M, Colina F, Lopez-Alonso G, Vargas C, Solis-Herruzo JA. A pilot trial of fenofibrate for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Dig Liver Dis. 2008;40:200–5. 18261709. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2007.10.002.
- Staels B, Rubenstrunk A, Noel B, Rigou G, Delataille P, Millatt LJ, et al. Hepatoprotective effects of the dual peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha/delta agonist, GFT505, in rodent models of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology. 2013;58:1941– 52. 23703580. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26461.
- Rotman Y, Sanyal AJ. Current and upcoming pharmacotherapy for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut. 2017;66:180–90. 27646933. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312431.
- Ge ZJ, Luo SM, Lin F, Liang QX, Huang L, Wei YC, et al. DNA methylation in oocytes and liver of female mice and their offspring: effects of high-fat-dietinduced obesity. Environ Health Perspect. 2014;122:159–64. 24316659. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307047.
- Ohashi K, Munetsuna E, Yamada H, Ando Y, Yamazaki M, Taromaru N, et al. High fructose consumption induces DNA methylation at PPARalpha and CPT1A promoter regions in the rat liver. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015;468:185–9. 26519879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.10.134.
- Ratziu V, Harrison SA, Francque S, Bedossa P, Lehert P, Serfaty L, et al. Elafibranor, an Agonist of the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptoralpha and -delta, Induces Resolution of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Without Fibrosis Worsening. Gastroenterology. 2016;150:1147–59.e1145. PMID:26874076. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.01.038.
- Hashimoto H, Liu Y, Upadhyay AK, Chang Y, Howerton SB, Vertino PM, et al. Recognition and potential mechanisms for replication and erasure of cytosine hydroxymethylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:4841–9. 22362737. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks155.
- Valinluck V, Sowers LC. Endogenous cytosine damage products alter the site selectivity of human DNA maintenance methyltransferase DNMT1. Cancer Res. 2007;67:946–50. 17283125. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.can-06-3123.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.