metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis{2-[(5-hydroxypentyl)iminomethyl]-phenolato- $\kappa^2 N, O^1$ }copper(II)

Ritwik Modak, Santu Patra, Senjuti Mandal, Yeasin Sikdar and Sanchita Goswami*

Department of Chemistry, University of Calcutta, 92 A.P.C. Road, Kolkata 700 009, USA

Correspondence e-mail: sgchem@caluniv.ac.in

Received 2 April 2013; accepted 17 June 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.030; wR factor = 0.096; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.8.

In the title compound, $[Cu(C_{12}H_{16}NO_2)_2]$, the Cu^{II} ion, located on a center of inversion, is coordinated by two singly deprotonated Schiff base ligands derived from condensation of salicyldehyde and 1-aminopentan-5-ol. The imino N and phenol O atoms from both ligands offer a square-planar arrangement around the metal ion. The Cu-N and Cu-O bond lengths are 2.0146 (15) and 1.8870 (12) Å, respectively. Since the Cu–O and Cu–N bond lengths are different, it can be concluded that the resulting geometry of the complex is distorted. The aliphatic -OH group of the ligand is not coordinated and points away from the metal coordination zone and actively participates in hydrogen bonding connecting two other units and thus stabilizing the crystal lattice. This results in a two-dimensional extended array parallel to (201).

Related literature

For the participation of the copper ion in the active sites of a large number of metalloproteins involved in important biological electron-transfer reactions, see: Reedijk & Bouwman (1999); Solomon et al. (2001); Hatcher & Karlin (2004); Kaim & Rall (1996). For references regarding the t₄ value, see: Yang et al. (2007). For similar Cu-N and Cu-O bond lengths, see: Maeda et al. (2003); Akimova et al. (2001); Pawlicki et al. (2007); Verma et al. (2011); Khandar & Nejati (2000); Sundaravel et al. (2009).



 $V = 1146.70 (12) \text{ Å}^3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.8 \times 0.6 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$

13343 measured reflections

2549 independent reflections

2174 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 0.99 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$

Z = 2

Experimental

Crystal data [C (C II NO

$[Cu(C_{12}H_{16}NO_2)_2]$
$M_r = 476.07$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 11.8815 (8) Å
b = 5.2219 (3) Å
c = 18.9588 (12) Å
$\beta = 102.876 \ (2)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII SMART CCD

diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.497, T_{\max} = 0.674$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ 143 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.096$ H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.27 \text{ e} \text{ Å}$ S = 0.95 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 2549 reflections

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O1-H1···O1 ⁱ	0.82	2.07	2.864 (2)	163
$C1 - H1B \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.97	2.34	2.771 (2)	106

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008).

Financial support from the University Grants Commission for a junior research fellowship to SM [Sanction No. UGC/ 749/Jr. Fellow(Sc.)] and an RFSMS fellowship (Sanction No. UGC/740/RFSMS) to RM is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the DST for a junior research fellowship to YS (Sanction No. SERB/F/1585/2012–13). DST–FIST is acknowledged for providing the X-ray diffraction facility at the Department of Chemistry, University of Calcutta.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BV2221).

References

- Akimova, E. V. R., Nazarenko, A. Y., Chen, L., Krieger, P. W., Herrera, A. M., Tarasov, V. V. & Robinson, P. D. (2001). Inorg. Chim. Acta, **324**, 1–15.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker–Nonius AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Hatcher, L. Q. & Karlin, K. D. (2004). J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 9, 669-683.
- Kaim, W. & Rall, J. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 35, 43-60.
- Khandar, A. A. & Nejati, M. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 607-613.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Maeda, H., Osuka, A., Ishikawa, Y., Aritome, I., Hisaeda, Y. & Furuta, H. (2003). Org. Lett. 5, 1293–1296.
- Pawlicki, M., Kanska, I. & Latos-Grazynski, L. (2007). Inorg. Chem. 46, 6575– 6584.
- Reedijk, J. & Bouwman, E. (1999). In *Bioinorganic Catalysis*, 2nd ed. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Solomon, E. I., Chen, P., Metz, M., Lee, S.-K. & Palmer, A. E. (2001). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40, 4570–4590.
- Sundaravel, K., Suresh, E. & Palaniandavar, M. (2009). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 362, 199–207.
- Verma, P., Weir, J., Mirica, L., Stack, T. & Daniel, P. (2011). Inorg. Chem. 50, 9816–9825.
- Yang, L., Powell, D. R. & Houser, R. P. (2007). Dalton Trans. pp. 955-964.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, m412-m413 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813016802]

Bis{2-[(5-hydroxypentyl)iminomethyl]phenolato- $\kappa^2 N, O^1$ }copper(II)

Ritwik Modak, Santu Patra, Senjuti Mandal, Yeasin Sikdar and Sanchita Goswami

Comment

Coordination chemistry of copper complexes of chelating ligands is a subject of continuing importance in connection with their structural, spectral, and redox properties in general and from the standpoint of their relevance to coppercontaining metalloproteins in particular (Solomon *et al.*, 2001; Hatcher & Karlin, 2004; Kaim & Rall, 1996). Copper ions are found in the active sites of a large number of metalloproteins involved in important biological electron-transfer reactions, as well as in redox processes of molecular oxygen (Reedijk & Bouwman, 1999).

Crystallographic analysis reveals that the asymmetric unit of the title mononuclear complex consists of one Cu^{II} ion, which is located on a center of inversion, and two singly deprotonated ligands, HL, with the phenolic O atom being deprotonated. The phenolic O atoms (O2 and O2 a; symmetry code: (a) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z) and the imine N atoms (N1 and N1 a; symmetry code: (a) 2-x, 1-y, 1-z) from both the ligands coordinate to the same Cu^{II} center in the *trans* disposition to each other. The aliphatic –OH group remains as a pendant arm and is pointing away from the metal coordination zone. This uncoordinated oxygen atom, O1, is 8.083 Å away from the Cu^{II} ion. The complex has a τ_4 value of 0 ($\alpha = O2 - Cu1 - Cu$) O2 a = 180.00 and β = N1 - Cu1 - N1 a = 180.00) as a consequence of the Cu lying on a center of inversion thus supporting an assignment of distorted square planar geometry around the central metal ion (Yang et al. 2007). The complex exhibits a Cu1 – N1 bond length of 2.0146 (16) Å. In a perfectly square planar CuN₄ moiety, the average Cu^{II} – N distance lies in the range of 1.980 (9) and 2.018 (9) Å (Maeda et al., 2003, Akimova et al., 2001). The Cu – N bond length value is comparable to the previously reported nearly planar Cu^{II} porphyrins (2.020 Å, 2.065 Å, 1.977 Å) (Pawlicki *et al.* 2007). It agrees well with the CuN₂O₂ monomer ($\tau_4 = 1/5$) having average Cu^{II} – N bond length range of 2.071 Å (Verma et al., 2011). The Cu1 – O2 bond distance in the complex is 1.8871 (11) Å. It is well established in the literature that in a nearly square planar geometry, the Cu^{II} – phenolic oxygen bond length lies in the range of 1.84 Å to 1.93 Å (Khandar & Nejati, 2000; Sundaravel et al., 2009). Since the Cu - O and Cu - N bond lengths are different, therefore, it can be concluded that the resultant geometry is a distorted square planar one. The pendant –OH group actively participates in H-bonding and connects two other units stabilizing the crystal lattice. As a result we have a twodimensional extended array parallel to 201 plane with O1 - H1 - - - O1 length 2.864 (2) Å.

Experimental

The solution of 5-amino-1-pentanol (3 mmol, 650.8 mg) in methanol (20 mL) was added to the solution of salicylaldehyde (3 mmol, 366.36 mg) in methanol (20 ml) under vigorous stirring condition. The resulting reaction mixture was subsequently refluxed with stirring for 4 h. Completion of the reaction checked by thin layer chromatography (TLC). After reaction was complete, the solution was dried over Na_2SO_4 , followed by filtration and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to get the ligand. Now a solution of $Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$ (1.5 mmol, 299.47 mg) in methanol (20 ml) was added to the solution of the prepared crude ligand (3 mmol, 621.84 mg) in methanol(20 ml) with constant stirring. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then filtered. The resulting dark brown solution on slow evaporation gave a brown amorphous solid which was washed with diethyl ether properly and dried in vacuum desiccator containing anhydrous CaCl₂. X-ray quality single crystals were grown from acetonitrile by the slow evaporation method.

Refinement

The H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.93 Å, aliphatic C – H = 0.97 Å and O – H = 0.82 Å.

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. H atoms omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

A view of the two-dimensional hydrogen-bonded framework viewed along the b axis. Hydrogen bonding interactions are shown by dashed lines.

Bis{2-[(5-hydroxypentyl)iminomethyl]phenolato- $\kappa^2 N, O^1$ } copper(II)

Crystal data	
[Cu(C ₁₂ H ₁₆ NO ₂) ₂] $M_r = 476.07$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 11.8815 (8) Å b = 5.2219 (3) Å c = 18.9588 (12) Å $\beta = 102.876$ (2)° V = 1146.70 (12) Å ³	F(000) = 502.0 $D_x = 1.385 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo <i>Ka</i> radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 13343 reflections $\theta = 1.8-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.99 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K Block, dark green $0.8 \times 0.6 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$
Z = 2	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII SMART CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.497, T_{max} = 0.674$ <i>Refinement</i>	13343 measured reflections 2549 independent reflections 2174 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.027$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 1.8^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 24$
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.096$	neighbouring sites
S = 0.95	H-atom parameters constrained
2549 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0695P)^2 + 0.3017P]$
143 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.015$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.27$ e Å ⁻³
direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.30$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 ,

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cu1	1.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.03217 (12)
01	0.50800 (15)	0.8210 (3)	0.72046 (8)	0.0640 (4)
H1	0.4971	0.9476	0.7436	0.096*
O2	1.04519 (10)	0.2230 (2)	0.44865 (7)	0.0440 (3)
N1	0.83417 (13)	0.4686 (3)	0.44597 (8)	0.0338 (3)
C1	0.74512 (14)	0.6417 (3)	0.46237 (9)	0.0372 (4)
H1A	0.6794	0.6453	0.4215	0.045*
H1B	0.7761	0.8140	0.4697	0.045*
C2	0.70561 (16)	0.5564 (3)	0.52942 (10)	0.0389 (4)
H2A	0.6628	0.3975	0.5191	0.047*
H2B	0.7727	0.5236	0.5680	0.047*
C3	0.63016 (16)	0.7546 (4)	0.55460 (10)	0.0420 (4)
H3A	0.5621	0.7829	0.5163	0.050*
H3B	0.6722	0.9150	0.5629	0.050*
C4	0.59209 (16)	0.6804 (4)	0.62336 (10)	0.0422 (4)
H4A	0.5346	0.5457	0.6122	0.051*
H4B	0.6579	0.6127	0.6581	0.051*

C5	0.5428 (2)	0.8995 (5)	0.65661 (12)	0.0571 (5)	
H5A	0.6001	1.0342	0.6685	0.068*	
H5B	0.4768	0.9679	0.6222	0.068*	
C6	0.79987 (14)	0.3133 (3)	0.39297 (9)	0.0375 (4)	
H6	0.7230	0.3277	0.3687	0.045*	
C7	0.86641 (14)	0.1202 (3)	0.36685 (8)	0.0362 (4)	
C8	0.98489 (15)	0.0831 (3)	0.39729 (9)	0.0357 (3)	
C9	1.04061 (17)	-0.1203 (3)	0.36855 (10)	0.0437 (4)	
H9	1.1187	-0.1500	0.3873	0.052*	
C10	0.98222 (18)	-0.2730 (4)	0.31404 (10)	0.0485 (5)	
H10	1.0212	-0.4049	0.2967	0.058*	
C11	0.86595 (19)	-0.2348 (4)	0.28419 (10)	0.0502 (5)	
H11	0.8270	-0.3392	0.2469	0.060*	
C12	0.80936 (19)	-0.0411 (4)	0.31035 (11)	0.0452 (4)	
H12	0.7313	-0.0149	0.2904	0.054*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cul	0.02804 (18)	0.03330 (18)	0.03728 (18)	0.00376 (10)	0.01180 (12)	-0.00224 (10)
01	0.0847 (11)	0.0657 (9)	0.0553 (8)	0.0084 (8)	0.0448 (8)	0.0046 (7)
O2	0.0337 (6)	0.0444 (7)	0.0535 (7)	0.0065 (5)	0.0088 (5)	-0.0123 (6)
N1	0.0299 (7)	0.0393 (8)	0.0358 (7)	0.0058 (5)	0.0146 (6)	0.0026 (5)
C1	0.0309 (8)	0.0425 (9)	0.0405 (8)	0.0106 (7)	0.0131 (6)	0.0037 (7)
C2	0.0356 (9)	0.0362 (8)	0.0498 (10)	0.0068 (7)	0.0203 (7)	0.0052 (7)
C3	0.0427 (9)	0.0422 (9)	0.0471 (9)	0.0112 (7)	0.0226 (8)	0.0079 (7)
C4	0.0442 (10)	0.0426 (9)	0.0444 (9)	0.0038 (7)	0.0198 (7)	0.0042 (7)
C5	0.0746 (15)	0.0529 (12)	0.0562 (12)	0.0147 (11)	0.0415 (11)	0.0116 (10)
C6	0.0312 (8)	0.0467 (9)	0.0359 (8)	0.0016 (7)	0.0103 (6)	0.0029 (7)
C7	0.0402 (9)	0.0369 (9)	0.0350 (8)	-0.0010 (7)	0.0158 (7)	0.0006 (7)
C8	0.0400 (9)	0.0318 (8)	0.0386 (8)	0.0028 (7)	0.0161 (7)	0.0015 (7)
C9	0.0481 (10)	0.0371 (9)	0.0486 (10)	0.0099 (8)	0.0167 (8)	-0.0001 (8)
C10	0.0693 (13)	0.0356 (9)	0.0464 (10)	0.0071 (9)	0.0252 (9)	-0.0032 (8)
C11	0.0651 (13)	0.0474 (10)	0.0399 (9)	-0.0069 (9)	0.0156 (8)	-0.0066 (8)
C12	0.0459 (11)	0.0537 (11)	0.0369 (9)	-0.0038 (8)	0.0110 (8)	-0.0025 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O2 ⁱ	1.8870 (12)	C4—C5	1.488 (3)	-
Cu1—O2	1.8870 (12)	C4—H4A	0.9700	
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	2.0146 (15)	C4—H4B	0.9700	
Cu1—N1	2.0146 (15)	C5—H5A	0.9700	
01—C5	1.424 (2)	C5—H5B	0.9700	
01—H1	0.8200	C6—C7	1.436 (2)	
O2—C8	1.298 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9300	
N1—C6	1.285 (2)	C7—C8	1.411 (2)	
N1—C1	1.476 (2)	C7—C12	1.411 (3)	
C1—C2	1.517 (2)	C8—C9	1.423 (2)	
C1—H1A	0.9700	C9—C10	1.366 (3)	
C1—H1B	0.9700	С9—Н9	0.9300	

C2—C3	1.514 (2)	C10—C11	1.386 (3)
C2—H2A	0.9700	С10—Н10	0.9300
C2—H2B	0.9700	C11—C12	1.368 (3)
C3—C4	1.522 (2)	С11—Н11	0.9300
С3—НЗА	0.9700	C12—H12	0.9300
С3—Н3В	0.9700		
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—O2	179.999 (1)	C3—C4—H4A	109.0
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	91.94 (5)	C5—C4—H4B	109.0
O2—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	88.06 (5)	C3—C4—H4B	109.0
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	88.06 (5)	H4A—C4—H4B	107.8
O2—Cu1—N1	91.94 (5)	O1—C5—C4	110.77 (17)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	179.998 (1)	O1—C5—H5A	109.5
C5—O1—H1	109.5	C4—C5—H5A	109.5
C8—O2—Cu1	130.21 (11)	O1—C5—H5B	109.5
C6—N1—C1	115.71 (15)	C4—C5—H5B	109.5
C6—N1—Cu1	123.56 (12)	H5A—C5—H5B	108.1
C1—N1—Cu1	120.58 (11)	N1—C6—C7	127.59 (15)
N1—C1—C2	111.53 (13)	N1—C6—H6	116.2
N1—C1—H1A	109.3	С7—С6—Н6	116.2
C2—C1—H1A	109.3	C8—C7—C12	119.69 (16)
N1—C1—H1B	109.3	C8—C7—C6	122.08 (15)
C2—C1—H1B	109.3	С12—С7—С6	118.20 (16)
H1A—C1—H1B	108.0	O2—C8—C7	124.29 (15)
C3—C2—C1	112.27 (14)	O2—C8—C9	118.78 (16)
C3—C2—H2A	109.2	С7—С8—С9	116.92 (16)
C1—C2—H2A	109.2	C10—C9—C8	121.64 (18)
С3—С2—Н2В	109.2	С10—С9—Н9	119.2
C1—C2—H2B	109.2	С8—С9—Н9	119.2
H2A—C2—H2B	107.9	C9—C10—C11	121.12 (17)
C2—C3—C4	113.92 (15)	С9—С10—Н10	119.4
С2—С3—НЗА	108.8	C11—C10—H10	119.4
С4—С3—НЗА	108.8	C12—C11—C10	118.98 (18)
С2—С3—Н3В	108.8	C12—C11—H11	120.5
С4—С3—Н3В	108.8	C10—C11—H11	120.5
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	107.7	C11—C12—C7	121.66 (19)
C5—C4—C3	112.76 (15)	C11—C12—H12	119.2
C5—C4—H4A	109.0	C7—C12—H12	119.2

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
O1—H1…O1 ⁱⁱ	0.82	2.07	2.864 (2)	163
C1—H1 <i>B</i> ···O2 ⁱ	0.97	2.34	2.771 (2)	106

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2.