BMJ Open Long-term patient satisfaction and durability of laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery in a large Danish cohort: study protocol for a retrospective cohort study with development of a novel scoring system for patient selection

Jonas Sanberg Ljungdalh ⁽¹⁾,^{1,2} Katrine Hass Rubin,³ Jesper Durup,⁴ Kim Christian Houlind^{2,5}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Sanberg Ljungdalh J, Rubin KH, Durup J, *et al.* Long-term patient satisfaction and durability of laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery in a large Danish cohort: study protocol for a retrospective cohort study with development of a novel scoring system for patient selection. *BMJ Open* 2020;**10**:e034257. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2019-034257

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034257).

Received 12 September 2019 Revised 06 February 2020 Accepted 21 February 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Jonas Sanberg Ljungdalh; jsanberg@dadlnet.dk

Introduction Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery is standard of care in surgical treatment of gastrooesophageal reflux disease and is not without risks of adverse effects, including disruption of the fundoplication and postfundoplication dysphagia, in some cases leading to reoperation. Non-surgical factors such as pre-existing anxiety or depression influence postoperative satisfaction and symptom relief. Previous studies have focused on a short-term follow-up or only certain aspects of disease, such as reoperation or postoperative quality of life. The aim of this study is to evaluate long-term patientsatisfaction and durability of laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery in a large Danish cohort using a comprehensive multimodal follow-up, and to develop a clinically applicable scoring system usable in selecting patients for anti-reflux surgery.

Methods and analysis The study is a retrospective cohort study utilising data from patient records and followup with patient-reported quality of life as well as registrybased data. The study population consists of all adult patients having undergone laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery at The Department of Surgery, Kolding Hospital, a part of Lillebaelt Hospital Denmark in an 11-year period. From electronic records; patient characteristics, preoperative endoscopic findings, reflux disease characteristics and details on type of surgery, will be identified. Diseasespecific quality of life and dysphagia will be collected from a patient-reported follow-up. From Danish national registries, data on comorbidity, reoperative surgery, use of pharmacological anti-reflux treatment, mortality and socioeconomic factors will be included. Primary outcome of this study is treatment success at follow-up. Ethics and dissemination Study approval has been

obtained from The Danish Patient Safety Agency, The Danish Health Data Authority and Statistics Denmark, complying to Danish and EU legislation. Inclusion in the study will require informed consent from participating subjects. The results of the study will be published in peerreviewed medical journals regardless of whether these are positive, negative or inconclusive.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study is a single-centre retrospective observational cohort study with prospective follow-up.
- The study is large but does not include a control group.
- Patient-reported quality of life is combined with unique national registries allowing for comprehensive follow-up.
- A combination of relevant outcomes allows for a realistic estimate of the success of anti-reflux surgery.
- Findings from this study will be used to begin development of a clinical scoring system allowing for better patient selection.

Trial registration number Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT03959020).

INTRODUCTION

Episodic reflux of gastric contests to the oesophagus is physiological but is considered gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) when accompanied by bothersome symptoms, typically heartburn, regurgitation or retrosternal pain. Extra-oesophageal symptoms such as asthma, laryngitis and chronic cough may also occur.¹ GORD is a complex and multifaceted disease.² ³ GORD affects 10%–20% of the Western population,⁴ and has been shown to significantly reduce the quality of life.⁵ Worldwide, the prevalence of GORD has been increasing.^{6–9}

The treatment of GORD consists of anti-secretory drugs, mainly proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), or anti-reflux surgery.¹⁰ Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery is considered standard of care in surgical treatment of GORD,¹¹ and with careful patient selection

based on thorough preoperative workup¹²; symptom control and patient satisfaction are high compared with medical therapy.^{13 14}

Despite a tailored approach, laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery is not without risks of adverse effects, such as disruption of the fundoplication, postfundoplication dysphagia, gas-bloat syndrome and recurrence of GORD, in some cases leading to reoperation.^{15–20} Furthermore non-surgical factors such as pre-existing anxiety or depression disorders can influence postoperative satisfaction and symptom relief when compared with patients without concomitant psychological disorders.²¹

Although few studies conclude that medical and surgical treatments of GORD have similar effectiveness,^{22,23} disease-specific quality of life generally improves after anti-reflux surgery and patient satisfaction is high.¹³ Depending on type of surgical procedure, the postoperative quality of life, ranges from significantly increased compared with preoperative measurements, to values as found in subjects devoid of GERD.^{24–34} Approximately 73%–98% are satisfied with their condition after surgery and would choose surgery again.^{31–33 35–38}

Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery is more effective than medical management with regards to short-to-medium length follow-up.^{14 39 40} Few studies provide a long-term follow-up. After 10 years, the benefits of surgery seem to decrease, but there is still a significant improvement in quality of life compared with preoperative measurements.^{41 42} Known causes of dissatisfaction are postoperative complication, redo-fundoplication and developing new symptoms such as gas-bloat and dysphagia combined with inadequate symptom relief.^{43 44}

Follow-up of randomised clinical trials has demonstrated that anti-reflux surgery patients use acid suppressing drugs, primarily PPI therapy at postoperative follow-up. However, use have been considered minimal with <20% using PPI therapy,³⁹ and 27%–44% using PPI at a 5-year follow-up.⁴⁵ A recent register-based study,⁴⁶ demonstrated a greater number of redeemed prescriptions of PPI after primary anti-reflux surgery, than previously known. Five-year, ten-year and fifteen-year risks of long-term PPI use were 29.4%, 41.1% and 56.6%, respectively. However, this register-based study could not examine whether patients had objective recurrence of reflux disease and also did not examine indication for treatment as well as position of prescribing physician.

From 2001 to 2011, 94.4% of PPI were prescribed in primary care,⁴⁷ and it is unknown why postfundoplication patients are prescribed PPI. It has previously been demonstrated that the use of acid suppressing drugs after anti-reflux surgery does not necessarily correlate with abnormal acid exposure to the oesophagus.^{48 49} In general, the use of PPI has increased rapidly in Denmark, rising 243% from 2001 to 2011 despite more restrictive guide-lines and changes in reimbursement.⁴⁷ As the majority of PPIs are prescribed by general practitioners and not by the surgical centres, surgeons have very little knowledge of this aspect of life following anti-reflux surgery.

Our hypothesis is that instead of merely considering a single outcome (eg, reoperation, quality of life or use of pharmacological treatment postoperatively), a multimodal approach involving all these factors as a composite endpoint could provide a more truthful estimate of antireflux surgery treatment efficacy.

The aim of this study is to evaluate long-term patient satisfaction and durability of laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery in a large Danish cohort using comprehensive multimodal follow-up, and using the results of follow-up, to develop a clinically applicable scoring system usable in selecting patients for anti-reflux surgery.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The study is a retrospective cohort study utilising data from patient records and follow-up with patient-reported quality of life as well as registry-based data. The study was commenced on 1 March 2017 and collection of data is expected to be concluded by 1 June 2020.

Study population

The study population consists of all adult patients (age \geq 18) having undergone laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery (n=557) (Nomesco Classification of Surgical Procedures (NCSP)⁵⁰: KJBC01) from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2013 in The Department of Surgery, Kolding Hospital, a part of Lillebaelt Hospital Denmark. Kolding Hospital, a part of Lillebaelt Hospital, is a tertiary surgical centre with regards to anti-reflux surgery. It is one of the two centres servicing the region of Southern Denmark and its 1.27 million inhabitants. Patients are identified through Lillebaelt Hospital's Patient Administrative System. Day of surgery will be considered index date.

Date of follow-up will be the date, patient filled out quality-of-life questionnaires as described below. For patients deceased since index date, permission to include data from electronic patient records and registry-based data has been obtained from The Danish Patient Safety Authority.

All patients will be contacted by the existing Danish national digital communication system (e-Boks) and asked them to participate in the study using an online survey. Patients exempt from using the system, will be contacted by letter with physical questionnaires. Only patients providing informed consent will be included in the study.

Data sources

Data for the study will consist of electronic patient records, follow-up with patient-reported quality-of-life measurements and registry-based data.

Electronic patient records

From the electronic patient records, the following data will be identified: age (years), sex (male/female), body mass index (kg/m²), alcohol consumption (drinks of 12g ethanol per week), tobacco use (current, former or

never-smoker), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)⁵¹ and previous abdominal surgery (yes/no).

Preoperative endoscopically verified occurrence of hiatal hernia (yes/no), oesophagitis (yes/no) will be included as well as, from 24 hours pH measurement and oesophageal manometry, reflux index (%), symptom correlation (%) and presence of oesophageal motility disorder (hypomotility, normal motility or hypermotility). Patients preoperative reflux symptoms defined as typical symptoms (yes/no), atypical symptoms (yes/no) and dysphagia (yes/no) are included as are surgical data included duration of surgery (minutes), type of fundoplication (270° Toupet/360° Nissen), length of hospital stay (days), peroperative and postoperative complications registered within 30 days as defined by Clavien-Dindo.⁵²

Quality-of-life follow-up

Patients will be contacted as described above and asked them to answer validated questionnaires regarding disease-specific quality of life, GERD-Health Related Quality of Life Questionnaire (GERD-HRQL)⁵³ and dysphagia (Dysphagia Handicap Index).⁵⁴ Patients will also be asked if they are currently taking PPI (yes/no), in what dosage (never/when needed/once a day/two times per day), which symptoms have warranted PPI use (reflux symptoms/dysphagia/gastritis/pre-emptive against peptic ulcer disease/other) and which physician prescribed the drug (general practitioner/surgeon/other doctor at hospital/other specialist in private practice)

Registries

All Danish inhabitants are issued with a civil registry number at birth or at date of moving to Denmark. The civil registry number is a unique personal identifier and is used across a variety of nationwide databases with regards to health, financial and educational data.⁵⁵ Data from the following nationwide Danish registries will be included in the study:

The National Patient Registry (NPR) on re-operative surgery (NCSP: KJBC00-02, KJBW96-98, KJBB00-01, KJBB96-97) and endoscopy (NCSP: KUJD02-05, KJCA55) during follow-up). NPR contains records of all discharge diagnosis since 1977 and all outpatient diagnosis since 1994.^{56 57} All performed procedures, including endoscopies and surgeries, are also registered using NCSP.

The National Patient Registry of Psychiatry (NPR-PSYK) on psychiatric diagnoses (ICD-10: F01-F99) in a 2-year period before primary surgery. NPR-PSYK is a sub-registry of NPR and specifically contains records of all psychiatric discharge and outpatient diagnosis from psychiatric departments since 1995.^{56 57}

The Civil Registry on marital status, 90-day mortality after primary surgery and all-cause mortality during follow-up. The Danish Civil Registry maintains complete records of births, deaths, civic status and emigration status of the entire Danish population based on civil registration number.⁵⁸

The Danish National Prescription Registry on use of anti-reflux medication (ATC: A02BA, A02BC, A02BX), anti-thrombotic treatment (ATC: B01A), non-steroid antiinflammatory drugs (NSAID) (ATC: M01A) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) (ATC: N06AB) in a time period beginning 2 years before surgery and ending at end of follow-up. The Danish National Prescription Registry contains information on all sale of prescription drugs including date of sale, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification code, civil registration number of buyer and package volume since 1994.⁵⁹

Statistics Denmark on educational level at time of primary surgery⁶⁰ defined by the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED),⁶¹ occupation status (employed/unemployed/retired)⁶² and equivalent annual income in the year preceding primary surgery.⁶³

Primary outcome

Primary outcome of the study is treatment success or failure, with failure defined for each patient as at least one of the following statements being fulfilled:

- 1. Having undergone reoperation (NSCP: KJBC00-02, KJBW96-98, KJBB00-01, KJBB96-97) between index date and end of follow-up.
- 2. Having filled prescription of >60 defined daily dosages (DDD) per year of PPI redeemed using no less than two scripts in any year between index date and end of follow-up.
- 3. Having no measure ≥3 on GERD-HRQL indicating symptoms being bothersome every day.
- 4. Having no measure ≥4 on Dysphagia Handicap index indicating symptoms being a moderate problem.

Date of failure will be defined as follows: In case of (1), date of failure will be date of reoperation. In case of (2), date of failure will be date of first prescription filled in the year, where >60 DDD of PPI are filled with at least two scripts. In case of (3) or (4), date of failure will be end of follow-up.

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcome will be 90-day mortality after primary surgery, rate of reoperation, use of PPI in DDD/year, use of PPI at follow-up (including indication and prescribing physician) and quality of life defined by GERD HRQL, Dysphagia Handicap Index. As a secondary outcome, concordance between failures defined only be registerbased data and failures based on quality-of-life data will be examined.

Statistical analysis

Contingency tables

Contingency tables will be created listing:

 Patient characteristics: Age (median), sex (male/female), body mass index (kg/m²), alcohol consumption (drinks of 12g ethanol per week), tobacco use (current, former or never-smoker), ASA score, CCI, preoperative psychiatric diagnoses, use of anti-reflux medication (yes/no), and ulcerogenic medication

Open access

before surgery (yes/no) and previous abdominal surgery (yes/no), educational level at time of primary surgery (ISCED), occupation status (employed/unemployed/retired) and equivalent annual income in the year preceding primary surgery.

- 2. GORD characteristics: Symptom profile: typical symptoms (yes/no), atypical symptoms (yes/no) and dysphagia (yes/no), endoscopically verified occurrence of hiatal hernia (yes/no), oesophagitis (yes/no), measures from 24 hours pH measurement and oesophageal manometry: reflux index (%), symptom correlation (%) and presence of oesophageal motility disorder (hypomotility, normal motility or hypermotility).
- 3. Operative characteristics: Duration of surgery (minutes), type of fundoplication (270° Toupet/360° Nissen), length of hospital stay (days), peroperative and postoperative complications registered within 30 days as defined by Clavien-Dindo and 90-day mortality.
- 4. Follow-up: Rate of reoperation (%), use of PPI in DDD/year, use of PPI at follow-up (including indication and prescribing physician), GERD-HRQL and Dysphagia Handicap Index.

Categorical and continuous variables will be compared using χ^2 , Fisher's Exact test and Kruskal-Wallis test where appropriate, including when comparing secondary outcomes between patients defined as having treatment failure and treatment success. Included patients with missing data from explanatory variables will be omitted from the study.

Cox regression

Cox regression will be performed with treatment success as dependent variable, time to end of follow-up (as defined above) and the following variables will be tested and included as independent variables where appropriate: Age (median), sex (male/female), body mass index (kg/ m²), alcohol consumption (drinks of 12g ethanol per week), tobacco use (current, former or never-smoker), ASA score, CCI, preoperative psychiatric diagnoses, use of anti-reflux medication (yes/no), and ulcerogenic medication before surgery (yes/no) and previous abdominal surgery (yes/no), educational level at time of primary surgery (ISCED), occupation status (employed/unemployed/retired) and equivalent annual income in the year preceding primary surgery, typical symptoms (yes/ no), atypical symptoms (yes/no) and dysphagia (yes/ no), endoscopically verified occurrence of hiatal hernia (yes/no), oesophagitis (yes/no), measures from 24 hours pH-measurement and oesophageal manometry: reflux index (%), symptom correlation (%) and presence of oesophageal motility disorder (hypomotility, normal motility or hypermotility), type of fundoplication (270° Toupet/360° Nissen).

Proportional hazards assumption will be tested and reported appropriately. Interactions between all included covariates will be investigated and included as interaction terms in the regression analysis if statistically significant.

Clinical scoring system

Using results from the Cox regression and using the methods for validation described by Steyerberg and Vergouwe,⁶⁴ a clinical scoring system will be developed with the purpose of identifying probability of treatment success based on preoperative known variables. The scoring system will be internally validated using bootstrap resampling. External validation will be the subject of future studies.

Power calculation

Previous studies have shown a reoperation rate of 5% after anti-reflux surgery in Denmark⁶⁵ and a long-term PPI use of 38.5%.⁴⁶ Pilot data from our study show that some patients undergo reoperation without long-term PPI use and therefore we assume a composite failure rate of 40%.

For Cox regression analysis, assuming a loss to follow-out leaving 450 patients for analysis, a failure event rate in the study population of 40%, significance level of 0.05 and power of 0.9, the estimated effect size and coefficient demonstrated will be -0.4832.

Data management

Data collected from electronic patient records and patientreported quality-of-life follow-up will be stored using RedCAP (REDCap 7.4.23, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA) hosted by OPEN—Open Patient Data Explorative Network, Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark and Odense University Hospital, Denmark. Data will be transferred to Statistics Denmark and merged with registry data. All analysis will be performed using STATA V.15 (StataCorp, College Station, Texax, USA) on the servers of Statistics Denmark.

Patient and public involvement statement

The hypothesis of this study was conceived with the help of patients through outpatient clinical follow-up after antireflux surgery. Through patient experience of the different postoperative consequences and complications, this study's composite endpoint involves both reoperation, pharmacological treatment and quality of life.

Patient participation is essential in this follow-up study but does not affect the conduct of the study. Time required for participation has been evaluated by a small sample of patients and was considered minimal.

All patient eligible for inclusion in the study, are offered to be informed of the results, whether they participate or not. The results and their consequence for future patient selection, will be disseminated to the public through media.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Permission to contact patient for the project has been obtained from The Danish Patient Safety Agency. Each patient must consent to inclusion in the study, including the use of electronic patient records and registry-based data as described above. For deceased patients, permission for use of electronic patient records and registry-based 6

data has been obtained from The Danish Patient Safety Agency. Specific permission for use of registry-based data has been obtained from The Danish Health Data Authority and Statistics Denmark.

The results of the study will be published in peerreviewed medical journals regardless of whether these are positive, negative or inconclusive. Two peer-reviewed articles are expected to come from this study: one including the primary results, and another calculating a clinical scoring system based on the results.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Surgery, Kolding Hospital, a part of Lillebaelt Hospital, Kolding, Denmark

²Department of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Syddanmark, Denmark

³OPEN — Open Patient Data Explorative Network, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Syddanmark, Denmark

⁴Department of Surgery, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

⁵Department of Vascular Surgery, Kolding Hospital, a part of Lillebaelt Hospital, Kolding, Denmark

Contributors JSL: Conception of the work, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, drafting the article, critical revision of the article, final approval of the version to be published. KHR: Data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the article, final approval of the version to be published. JD: Data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the article, final approval of the varial approval of the version to be published. KCH: Conception of the work, data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the version to be published. KCH: Conception of the work, data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the article, final approval of the version to be published.

Funding This study is supported by The Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark with a 1-year faculty scholarship, The Research Council at Lillebaelt Hospital with funds for acquiring registry data and The Region of Southern Denmark with a 1-year scholarship.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study has been approved and registered at Lillebaelt Hospital according to current Danish Law and has previously been approved by The Danish Data Protection Agency (Permission #17/1942). Generated datasets will be stored on the servers of Statistics Denmark in compliance with Danish and EU regulations on personal health data and will be anonymised after merging, so that the unique personal identifier is removed.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Jonas Sanberg Ljungdalh http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9943-3521

REFERENCES

- 1 Madanick RD. Extraesophageal presentations of GERD: where is the science? Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2014;43:105–20.
- 2 Boeckxstaens GE, Rohof WO. Pathophysiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2014;43:15–25.
- 3 Herregods TVK, Bredenoord AJ, Smout AJPM. Pathophysiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: new understanding in a new era. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;27:1202–13.
- 4 El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, et al. Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut* 2014;63:871–80.
- 5 Hansen JM, Wildner-Christensen M, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB, *et al.* Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in a Danish population:

a prospective follow-up analysis of symptoms, quality of life, and health-care use. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104:2394–403.

- 6 Friedenberg FK, Hanlon A, Vanar V, *et al.* Trends in gastroesophageal reflux disease as measured by the National ambulatory medical care survey. *Dig Dis Sci* 2010;55:1911–7.
- 7 El-Serag HB. Time trends of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:17–26.
- 8 Richter JE, Rubenstein JH. Presentation and Epidemiology of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2018;154:267–76.
- 9 Rubenstein JH, Chen JW. Epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2014;43:1–14.
- 10 Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:308–28. quiz 329.
- 11 Fuchs KH, Babic B, Breithaupt W, *et al.* EAES recommendations for the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc* 2014;28:1753–73.
- 12 Jobe BA, Richter JE, Hoppo T, et al. Preoperative diagnostic workup before antireflux surgery: an evidence and experience-based consensus of the esophageal diagnostic Advisory panel. J Am Coll Surg 2013;217:586–97.
- 13 Rickenbacher N, Kötter T, Kochen MM, *et al.* Fundoplication versus medical management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc* 2014;28:143–55.
- 14 Wileman SM, McCann S, Grant AM, et al. Medical versus surgical management for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010:CD003243.
- 15 Broeders JA, Sportel IG, Jamieson GG, et al. Impact of ineffective oesophageal motility and wrap type on dysphagia after laparoscopic fundoplication. Br J Surg 2011;98:1414–21.
- 16 Bunting DM, Szczebiot L, Peyser PM. Pain after laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2014;96:95–100.
- 17 Furnée EJB, Draaisma WA, Broeders IAMJ, et al. Surgical reintervention after failed antireflux surgery: a systematic review of the literature. J Gastrointest Surg 2009;13:1539–49.
- 18 Hunter JG, Swanstrom L, Waring JP. Dysphagia after laparoscopic antireflux surgery. The impact of operative technique. *Ann Surg* 1996;224:51–7.
- 19 Iqbal A, Awad Z, Simkins J, *et al.* Repair of 104 failed anti-reflux operations. *Ann Surg* 2006;244:42–51.
- 20 Patterson EJ, Herron DM, Hansen PD, et al. Effect of an esophageal bougie on the incidence of dysphagia following Nissen fundoplication: a prospective, blinded, randomized clinical trial. Arch Surg 2000;135:1052–5.
- 21 Velanovich V, Karmy-Jones R. Psychiatric disorders affect outcomes of antireflux operations for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Surg Endosc 2001;15:171–5.
- 22 Frazzoni M, Grisendi A, Lanzani A, et al. Laparoscopic fundoplication versus lansoprazole for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. A pHmetric comparison. *Dig Liver Dis* 2002;34:99–104.
- 23 Lundell L, Attwood S, Ell C, et al. Comparing laparoscopic antireflux surgery with esomeprazole in the management of patients with chronic gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a 3-year interim analysis of the Lotus trial. *Gut* 2008;57:1207–13.
- 24 Anvari M, Allen C, Marshall J, et al. A randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication versus proton pump inhibitors for the treatment of patients with chronic gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): 3-year outcomes. Surg Endosc 2011;25:2547–54.
- 25 Blazejczyk K, Hoene A, Glitsch A, et al. Evaluation of short-term and long-term results after laparoscopic antireflux surgery: esophageal manometry and 24-h pH monitoring versus quality of life index. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2013;398:1107–14.
- 26 Youssef YK, Shekar N, Lutfi R, et al. Long-Term evaluation of patient satisfaction and reflux symptoms after laparoscopic fundoplication with Collis gastroplasty. Surg Endosc 2006;20:1702–5.
- 27 Fernando HC, Luketich JD, Christie NA, et al. Outcomes of laparoscopic Toupet compared to laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. Surg Endosc 2002;16:905–8.
- 28 Granderath FA, Kamolz T, Schweiger UM, et al. Quality of life and symptomatic outcome three to five years after laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication in gastroesophageal reflux disease patients with impaired esophageal motility. Am J Surg 2002;183:110–6.
- 29 Kamolz T, Granderath FA, Bammer T, et al. Dysphagia and quality of life after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with and without prosthetic reinforcement of the hiatal crura. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:572–7.
- 30 Kamolz T, Granderath FA, Schweiger UM, et al. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with nonerosive reflux disease. long-term quality-of-life assessment and surgical outcome. Surg Endosc 2005;19:494–500.

Open access

- 31 Pessaux P, Arnaud J-P, Delattre J-F, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery: five-year results and beyond in 1340 patients. Arch Surg 2005;140:946–51.
- 32 Rosenthal R, Peterli R, Guenin MO, *et al.* Laparoscopic antireflux surgery: long-term outcomes and quality of life. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2006;16:557–61.
- 33 Sgromo B, Irvine LA, Cuschieri A, et al. Long-Term comparative outcome between laparoscopic total Nissen and Toupet fundoplication: symptomatic relief, patient satisfaction and quality of life. Surg Endosc 2008;22:1048–53.
- 34 Streets CG, DeMeester SR, DeMeester TR, *et al.* Excellent quality of life after Nissen fundoplication depends on successful elimination of reflux symptoms and not the invasiveness of the surgical approach. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:1019–25.
- 35 Granderath FA, Kamolz T, Schweiger UM, et al. Quality of life, surgical outcome, and patient satisfaction three years after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. World J Surg 2002;26:1234–8.
- 36 Granderath FA, Kamolz T, Granderath UM, et al. Gas-related symptoms after laparoscopic 360 degrees Nissen or 270 degrees Toupet fundoplication in gastrooesophageal reflux disease patients with aerophagia as comorbidity. *Dig Liver Dis* 2007;39:312–8.
- 37 Fein M, Bueter M, Thalheimer A, et al. Ten-Year outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery. J Gastrointest Surg 2008;12:1893–9.
- 38 Gee DW, Andreoli MT, Rattner DW. Measuring the effectiveness of laparoscopic antireflux surgery: long-term results. *Arch Surg* 2008;143:482–7.
- 39 Grant AM, Cotton SC, Boachie C, et al. Minimal access surgery compared with medical management for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: five year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial (reflux). BMJ 2013;346:f1908.
- 40 Ciovica R, Gadenstätter M, Klingler A, et al. Quality of life in GERD patients: medical treatment versus antireflux surgery. J Gastrointest Surg 2006;10:934–9.
- 41 Dallemagne B, Weerts J, Markiewicz S, et al. Clinical results of laparoscopic fundoplication at ten years after surgery. Surg Endosc 2006;20:159–65.
- 42 Kellokumpu I, Voutilainen M, Haglund C, et al. Quality of life following laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: assessing short-term and longterm outcomes. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19:3810–8.
- 43 Humphries LA, Hernandez JM, Clark W, et al. Causes of dissatisfaction after laparoscopic fundoplication: the impact of new symptoms, recurrent symptoms, and the patient experience. Surg Endosc 2013;27:1537–45.
- 44 Neuvonen P, livonen M, Sintonen H, et al. Health-Related quality of life 10 years after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication-results of a community-based Hospital. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2014;24:134–8.
- 45 Galmiche J-P, Hatlebakk J, Attwood S, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery vs esomeprazole treatment for chronic GERD: the Lotus randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2011;305:1969–77.
- 46 Lødrup A, Pottegård A, Hallas J, et al. Use of proton pump inhibitors after antireflux surgery: a nationwide register-based follow-up study. Gut 2014;63:1544–9.
- 47 Haastrup P, Paulsen MS, Zwisler JE, et al. Rapidly increasing prescribing of proton pump inhibitors in primary care despite

interventions: a nationwide observational study. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2014;20:290–3.

- 48 Draaisma WA, Rijnhart-de Jong HG, Broeders IAMJ, et al. Five-Year subjective and objective results of laparoscopic and conventional Nissen fundoplication: a randomized trial. *Ann Surg* 2006;244:34–41.
- 49 Lord RVN, Kaminski A, Oberg S, et al. Absence of gastroesophageal reflux disease in a majority of patients taking acid suppression medications after Nissen fundoplication. J Gastrointest Surg 2002;6:3–10.
- 50 Nomesco NM-SC, Nordic Medico-Statistical Committee. NOMESCO classification of surgical procedures (NCSP), version 1.16. Copenhagen: NOMESCO, 2011.
- 51 Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge Abstracts using data from 6 countries. Am J Epidemiol 2011;173:676–82.
- 52 Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, *et al*. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. *Ann Surg* 2009;250:187–96.
- 53 Velanovich V. The development of the GERD-HRQL symptom severity instrument. *Dis Esophagus* 2007;20:130–4.
- 54 Silbergleit AK, Schultz L, Jacobson BH, et al. The dysphagia handicap index: development and validation. *Dysphagia* 2012;27:46–52.
- 55 Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Adelborg K, *et al.* The Danish health care system and epidemiological research: from health care contacts to database records. *Clin Epidemiol* 2019;11:563–91.
- 56 Lynge E, Sandegaard JL, Rebolj M. The Danish national patient register. Scand J Public Health 2011;39:30–3.
- 57 Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Sandegaard JL, et al. The Danish national patient registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol* 2015;7:449–90.
- 58 Schmidt M, Pedersen L, Sørensen HT. The Danish civil registration system as a tool in epidemiology. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2014;29:541–9.
- 59 Pottegård A, Schmidt SAJ, Wallach-Kildemoes H, *et al.* Data resource profile: the Danish national prescription registry. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:798.
- 60 Jensen VM, Rasmussen AW. Danish education registers. Scand J Public Health 2011;39:91–4.
- 61 UNESCO Institute for Statistics. International standard classification of education (ISCED 2011), 2011. Available: http://uis.unesco.org/en/ topic/international-standard-classification-education-isced
- 62 Petersson F, Baadsgaard M, Thygesen LC. Danish registers on personal labour market affiliation. *Scand J Public Health* 2011;39:95–8.
- 63 Baadsgaard M, Quitzau J. Danish registers on personal income and transfer payments. *Scand J Public Health* 2011;39:103–5.
- 64 Steyerberg EW, Vergouwe Y. Towards better clinical prediction models: seven steps for development and an ABCD for validation. *Eur Heart J* 2014;35:1925–31.
- 65 Funch-Jensen P, Bendixen A, Iversen MG, *et al*. Complications and frequency of redo antireflux surgery in Denmark: a nationwide study, 1997-2005. *Surg Endosc* 2008;22:627–30.