

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Cell Stem Cell

A Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-based Platform to Study SARS-CoV-2 Tropism and Model Virus Infection in Human Cells and Organoids

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- A hPSC-derived cell and organoid platform is used to study SARS-CoV-2 tissue tropism
- Human pancreatic alpha and beta cells are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection
- Human hepatocyte and cholangiocyte organoids are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection
- hPSC-derived cells/organoids show similar chemokine responses as COVID-19 tissues

Authors

Liuliu Yang, Yuling Han, Benjamin E. Nilsson-Payant, ..., Todd Evans, Robert E. Schwartz, Shuibing Chen

Correspondence

fcp2002@med.cornell.edu (F.C.P.), dh2994@cumc.columbia.edu (D.D.H.), benjamin.tenoever@mssm.edu (B.R.t.), tre2003@med.cornell.edu (T.E.), res2025@med.cornell.edu (R.E.S.), shc2034@med.cornell.edu (S.C.)

In Brief

Yang et al. show that hPSC-derived cells and organoids provide valuable models to study SARS-CoV-2 tropism and to model COVID-19. They find that hPSCderived pancreatic endocrine cells and human adult hepatocyte and cholangiocyte organoids are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection.





Cell Stem Cell

Short Article

A Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-based Platform to Study SARS-CoV-2 Tropism and Model Virus Infection in Human Cells and Organoids

Liuliu Yang,^{1,17} Yuling Han,^{1,17} Benjamin E. Nilsson-Payant,^{2,17} Vikas Gupta,^{3,17} Pengfei Wang,⁴ Xiaohua Duan,^{1,5} Xuming Tang,¹ Jiajun Zhu,¹ Zeping Zhao,¹ Fabrice Jaffré,¹ Tuo Zhang,⁶ Tae Wan Kim,^{7,8} Oliver Harschnitz,^{7,8} David Redmond,⁹ Sean Houghton,⁹ Chengyang Liu,¹⁰ Ali Naji,¹⁰ Gabriele Ciceri,^{7,8} Sudha Guttikonda,^{7,8,11} Yaron Bram,³ Duc-Huy T. Nguyen,³ Michele Cioffi,¹² Vasuretha Chandar,³ Daisy A. Hoagland,² Yaoxing Huang,⁴ Jenny Xiang,⁶ Hui Wang,^{5,13} David Lyden,¹² Alain Borczuk,¹⁴ Huanhuan Joyce Chen,¹⁵ Lorenz Studer,^{7,8} Fong Cheng Pan,^{1,} David D. Ho,^{4,*} Benjamin R. tenOever,^{2,*} Todd Evans,^{1,*} Robert E. Schwartz,^{3,16,*} and Shuibing Chen^{1,78,*} ¹Department of Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine, 1300 York Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA ²Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. 1468 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10029, USA ³Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, 1300 York Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA ⁴Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA ⁵School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, 201210 Shanghai, China ⁶Genomic Resource Core Facility, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY 10065, USA ⁷The Center for Stem Cell Biology, Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, New York, NY 10065, USA ⁸Developmental Biology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, New York, NY 10065, USA ⁹Division of Regenerative Medicine, Ansary Stem Cell Institute, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY 10065, USA ¹⁰Department of Surgery, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA ¹¹Weill Cornell/Rockefeller/Sloan Kettering Tri-Institutional MD-PhD Program, New York, NY, USA ¹²Children's Cancer and Blood Foundation Laboratories, Departments of Pediatrics, and Cell and Developmental Biology, Drukier Institute for Children's Health, Meyer Cancer Center, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA ¹³State Key Laboratory of Oncogenes and Related Genes, Center for Single-Cell Omics, School of Public Health, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China ¹⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA ¹⁵The Pritzker School of Molecular Engineering, the Ben May Department for Cancer Research, the University of Chicago, IL, USA ¹⁶Department of Physiology, Biophysics and Systems Biology, Weill Cornell Medicine, 1300 York Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA ¹⁷These authors contributed equally ¹⁸Lead Contact

*Correspondence: fcp2002@med.cornell.edu (F.C.P.), dh2994@cumc.columbia.edu (D.D.H.), benjamin.tenoever@mssm.edu (B.R.t.), tre2003@med.cornell.edu (T.E.), res2025@med.cornell.edu (R.E.S.), shc2034@med.cornell.edu (S.C.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2020.06.015

SUMMARY

SARS-CoV-2 has caused the COVID-19 pandemic. There is an urgent need for physiological models to study SARS-CoV-2 infection using human disease-relevant cells. COVID-19 pathophysiology includes respiratory failure but involves other organ systems including gut, liver, heart, and pancreas. We present an experimental platform comprised of cell and organoid derivatives from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs). A Spike-enabled pseudo-entry virus infects pancreatic endocrine cells, liver organoids, cardiomyocytes, and dopa-minergic neurons. Recent clinical studies show a strong association with COVID-19 and diabetes. We find that human pancreatic beta cells and liver organoids are highly permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection, further validated using adult primary human islets and adult hepatocyte and cholangiocyte organoids. SARS-CoV-2 infection caused striking expression of chemokines, as also seen in primary human COVID-19 pulmonary autopsy samples. hPSC-derived cells/organoids provide valuable models for understanding the cellular responses of human tissues to SARS-CoV-2 infection and for disease modeling of COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Respiratory failure is the most common mortality outcome in COVID-19 patients, yet serious and even fatal manifestations are seen across multiple organ systems, including the brain (Helms et al., 2020; Pleasure et al., 2020) and gastrointestinal tract (Goyal et al., 2020). In one retrospective study of more than 400 COVID-19 patients, nearly 20%







had suffered in-hospital cardiac injury, which was a significant independent risk factor for mortality (Shi et al., 2020). Nearly 25% of patients have gastrointestinal manifestations including anorexia, diarrhea, vomiting, and abdominal pain, and these symptoms are associated with worse COVID-19 outcomes (Pan et al., 2020). A recent clinical study among 7,300 COVID-19 patients also showed a strong correlation between poor COVID-19 outcomes and type 2 diabetes (Zhu et al., 2020). Recent clinical studies suggest that diabetes is not only a risk factor for severe COVID-19 disease, but also that SARS-CoV-2 infection can induce new-onset diabetes (Bornstein et al., 2020).

Currently, there are no vaccines or effective treatment options for COVID-19 due to limited knowledge of SARS-CoV-2 viral biology. Most current studies are based on clinical data, immortalized cell lines, or transgenic animals that express the human SARS viral entry receptor, ACE2. Unfortunately, these in vitro (e.g., African green monkey Vero cells or human cancer cell lines) and in vivo (e.g., mice engineered to express ACE2) models are sufficiently distinct from human biology that they are unlikely to capture key aspects of viral infection and virus-host interactions. Several human cancer lines, including A549, Calu3, HFL (lung adenocarcinoma), Caco2 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), Huh7 (hepatocellular adenocarcinoma), HeLa (cervical adenocarcinoma), 293T (embryonic kidney), U251 (glioblastoma), and RD (rhabdomyosarcoma) have been used to study SARS-CoV-2 infection and for drug evaluation (Chu et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2020; Shang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). However, many human organs and tissues contain multiple cell types and ACE2, the putative receptor of SARS-CoV-2, is heterogeneously expressed in different cell types. Thus, using cancer cell lines might fail to appreciate the different cell types affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection. In addition, most of these human cancer cell lines carry tumor-associated mutations, such as P53 mutations. P53 has been shown to regulate SARS-CoV replication, which raises concern for how these cancer cell lines recapitulate the viral biology of SARS-CoV-2 in normal nontransformed cells (Ma-Lauer et al., 2016). Moreover, certain cell lines (such as Huh7.5) have mutations in genes controlling the innate immune response (a known defect in RIG-I) which may obscure antiviral responses and the subsequent viral life cycle. As these cells are all cancer cell lines, they have maintained their ability to proliferate and often are unpolarized which could impact several components of viral infection. Taken together, it seems likely that these differences from primary cells and tissues will impact their ability to model SARS-CoV-2 infection. As a consequence, there is an urgent need to create models to study SARS-CoV-2 biology using human disease-relevant cells and tissues. A human cell-based platform to study viral tropism would be a first step toward defining cell types permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection and for modeling COVID-19 disease across multiple organ systems.

Human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs), including human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), can be used to derive functional human cells/tissues/ organoids for modeling human disease and drug discovery, including for infectious diseases. For example, hPSC-derived neuronal progenitor cells (hNPCs) and brain organoids were used to study the impact of Zika virus (ZIKV) on human brain development and the mechanistic link between ZIKV infection

Cell Stem Cell Short Article

and microcephaly, as reviewed (Wen et al., 2017). hPSC-derived hNPCs were used to screen for anti-ZIKV drugs and identified emricasan as a pan-caspase inhibitor that protects hNPCs, in addition to cyclin-dependent kinases and niclosamide that inhibit ZIKV replication (Xu et al., 2016). Similarly, we performed a high content screen and identified an anti-ZIKV compound, hippeastrine hydrobromide, that suppressed viral propagation when administered to adult mice with active ZIKV infection, high-lighting its therapeutic potential (Zhou et al., 2017). Here, we present a platform developed using hPSCs to generate multiple different cell and organoid derivatives representative of all three primary germ layers. We used these to systematically explore the viral tropism of SARS-CoV-2 and cellular responses to infection.

RESULTS

Evaluation of ACE2 Expression across a Spectrum of hPSC-Derived Cells and Organoids

We used directed differentiation of hPSCs to generate eight distinct cell types or organoids representing lineages from all three definitive germ layers (Figure 1A). After hPSC differentiation into definitive endoderm (DE), pancreatic and liver cells were generated. For the pancreatic lineage, DE cells were differentiated progressively into pancreatic progenitors and then directed into pancreatic endocrine lineages using a modified strategy from a previously published protocol (Zeng et al., 2016) that specifies glucagon⁺ (GCG⁺) pancreatic alpha cells, insulin⁺ (INS⁺) pancreatic beta cells, and somatostatin⁺ (SST⁺) delta cells (Figures S1A and S2A). The DE cells were otherwise induced using a modification of a previously published approach to differentiate into liver organoids, comprising mainly albumin⁺ (ALB⁺) hepatocytes (Figure S1B and S2A).

Cells committed to mesoderm fate were directed to differentiate into endothelial cells (Figure S1C), cardiomyocytes (Figure S1D), macrophages (Figure S1E), or microglia (Figure S1F). The endothelial cells stained positively with antibodies recognizing CD31 (Figure S2A). The cardiomyocytes were derived from an MYH6:mCherry hESC reporter line (Tsai et al., 2020) and more than 90% expressed mCherry and stained positively with an antibody recognizing sarcomeric α -Actinin (Figure S2A). Mesodermal cells were alternatively differentiated progressively to hematopoietic progenitor cells, monocytes, and finally either CD11b⁺/CD206⁺ macrophages or PU.1⁺/IBA1⁺ microglial cells (Figure S2A).

Cells initially induced to form ectoderm were differentiated into cortical neurons or dopaminergic neurons using previously reported protocols (Zhou et al., 2018). Day 45 cortical neurons stained positively with antibodies against beta III-tubulin, while dopaminergic neurons stained positively with antibodies recognizing the midbrain marker FOXA2 and the neuronal marker MAP2 (Figure S2A).

ACE2 is a putative receptor for SARS-CoV-2 infection (Hoffmann et al., 2020). Immunostaining was performed to examine the expression of ACE2 in the spectrum of hPSC-derived cell types. In pancreatic endocrine cells, ACE2 expression was detected readily in GCG⁺ alpha cells and INS⁺ beta cells, but not in SST⁺ delta cells (Figures 1B and S2B). In liver organoids, ACE2 was expressed in most ALB⁺ hepatocytes (Figure 1B). **Cell Stem Cell** CellPress **Short Article** Α hPSC Definitive Mesoderm Ectoderm Endoderm Pancreatic Endothelial Cardiomyocytes Macrophages Cortical Liver Microglia Dopaminergic endocrine cells cells neurons neurons organoids MYH6:mCherry ACE2
ALB ACE2 DAPI CD31ACE2 DAPI alpha ActininDAPI betallI-tubulin ACE2DAPI В GCGACE2DAPI CD206ACE2DAPI IBA1ACE2DAPI FOXA2ACE2DAPI 2DAP MAP2A С hPSC-cardiomyocytes hPSC-macrophages hPSC-pancreatic endocrine cells hPSC-liver organoids hPSC-endothelial hPSChPSC-cortical hPSC-dopaminergic cells microglia neurons neurons 8000 *** 5000 200 500 *** **: *** 4000 400 4000 600 1500 300 3000 3000 3000 SU-4000 1000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 500 1000 1000 1000 1000 Mock Virus D LUCDAPI LUCDAPI LUCDAPI LUCDAPI LUC DAPI **LUCDAPI** LUCDAPI LUCDAPI Mock SARS-CoV-2 Entry Virus G MYH6:mCherry LUC MYH6: alpha ActininDAPI mCherry Е J LUC LUC CD206LUCDAPI LUC GCGLUCDAPI GCG н Κ LUC LUC INSLUCDAP MAP2LUCDAPI MAP2 betalll-tubulin DAPI LUC F CD31LUCDAPI CD31 LUC L



ACE2 was also expressed in hPSC-derived CD31⁺ endothelial cells, hPSC-derived cardiomyocytes, microglia, CD206⁺ macrophages, and hPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons (Figure 1B). In contrast, ACE2 expression was detected at relatively low levels in hPSC-derived cortical neurons (Figure 1B). ACE2 expression was not detected in hPSCs (Figure S2C).

Differential Cell Type-Dependent Permissiveness to SARS-CoV-2 Pseudo-entry Virus Infection

To determine the relative permissiveness of hPSC-derived cells and organoids to SARS-CoV-2 viral entry, we used a vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV)-based SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus, for which the backbone was provided by a VSV pseudo-typed △G-luciferase virus with the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein incorporated in the surface of the viral particle instead (Nie et al., 2020; Whitt, 2010). Pseudo-typed entry viruses based on either a VSV or HIV backbone have been broadly used to study SARS-CoV-2 entry (Ou et al., 2020; Shang et al., 2020) and to evaluate drug candidates (Hoffmann et al., 2020) and neutralizing antibodies (Cao et al., 2020; Lei et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). Each of the eight distinct hPSC-derivatives were inoculated with the SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus and at 24 h post-infection (hpi) analyzed for viral entry based on luciferase activity (Figures 1C and S2D). The results largely correlated with ACE2 expression profiles with a few exceptions. High luciferase (LUC) activity, as a readout for the efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus infection, was readily detected in hPSCderived pancreatic endocrine cells, liver organoids, cardiomyocytes, and dopaminergic neurons. Relatively low or no LUC levels were found for endothelial cells, microglia, macrophages, or cortical neurons. Time course experiments showed that low LUC activity was not a delayed response, as levels remained comparable at 48 h (Figure S2E). Thus, we focused on 24 hpi for remaining studies. The same cell types that showed high

LUC activity stained positively with a LUC antibody (Figure 1D). In hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells, LUC expression was detected in GCG⁺ alpha cells and INS⁺ beta cells, but not in SST⁺ delta cells, consistent with ACE2 expression (Figure 1E and S2F). In liver organoids, LUC expression was detected in ALB⁺ hepatocytes (Figure 1F). LUC was also detected in sarcomeric α -Actinin⁺ cardiomyocytes (Figure 1G). LUC was rarely detected in IBA1⁺ microglial cells (Figure 1H), CD31⁺ endothelial cells (Figure 1I), or CD206⁺ macrophages (Figure 1J). Finally, LUC⁺ cells were detected in hPSC-derived MAP2⁺ and FOXA2⁺ dopaminergic neurons (Figure 1K and S2G), but not beta III-tubulin⁺ cortical neurons (Figure 1L).

Adult Human Pancreatic Alpha and Beta Cells Are Permissive to SARS-CoV-2 Pseudo-entry Virus and SARS-CoV-2 Virus Infection

Before validating permissiveness of primary human islets to SARS-CoV-2 virus, we used single-cell RNA-sequencing to examine global transcript profiles and to define cell lineages. The data were projected using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP, Figure 2A). Nine distinct cell types were identified, including acinar, ductal, beta, alpha, mesenchymal, poly-peptide (PP), delta, endothelial, and immune cells (Figures 2B and S3A). The expression of unique marker genes, including PRSS1 (acinar cells), keratin19/KRT19 (ductal), INS (beta cells), GCG (alpha cells), COL1A1 (mesenchymal cells), PECAM1 (endothelial cells), TYROBP (immune cells), SST (delta cells), and PPY (PP cells), in each cell population confirmed the robustness of the cell type classification strategy (Figure 2B). We examined the expression profiles of two factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection: the putative receptor ACE2 and the effector protease TMPRSS2 (Hoffmann et al., 2020). ACE2 and TMPRSS2 were both expressed in acinar cells, ductal cells, beta cells, alpha cells, mesenchymal cells, and endothelial cells

(A) Scheme of the hPSC differentiation to eight types of cells or organoids.

(L) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived cortical neurons infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or cortical neuron marker, beta-III tubulin. Scale bar represents 50 µm.

See also Figures S1 and S2.

Figure 1. ACE2 Expression and Permissiveness of hPSC-Derived Cells and Organoids to SARS-CoV-2 Pseudo-entry Virus

⁽B) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived cells or organoids using antibodies against ACE2 and pancreatic endocrine cell markers (INS and GCG), liver cell marker (ALB), endothelial cell marker (CD31), cardiomyocyte markers (MYH6:mCherry and alpha-Actinin), macrophage marker (CD206), microglia markers (IBA1 and PU.1), cortical neuron marker (beta-III tubulin), and dopaminergic neuron markers (FOXA2 and MAP2). Scale bar represents 25 μ m.

⁽C) Luciferase activity of eight types of hPSC-derived cells or organoids either mock or infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus at 24 hpi (MOI = 0.01). n = 3 independent biological replicates. Data were presented as mean \pm STDEV. p values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. ***p < 0.001.

⁽D) Confocal imaging of eight types of hPSC-derived cells or organoids either mock or infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus at 24 hpi (MOI = 0.01) for luciferase staining as a measure for pseudo-entry virus infection. Scale bar represents 25 μ m.

⁽E) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or pancreatic endocrine cell markers, INS and GCG. Scale bar represents 10 µm.

⁽F) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived liver organoids infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or hepatocyte cell marker, ALB. Scale bar represents 50 µm.

⁽G) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived cardiomyocytes infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or cardiomyocyte markers, MYH6:mCherry and alpha-Actinin. Scale bar = 25 μm.

⁽H) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived microglia infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or microglia marker, IBA1. Scale bar represents 50 μm.

⁽I) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived endothelial cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase and endothelial cell marker, CD31. Scale bar represents 25 µm.

⁽J) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived macrophages infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or macrophage marker, CD206. Scale bar represents 50 µm.

⁽K) Confocal imaging of hPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus using antibodies against luciferase or dopaminergic neuron marker, MAP2. Scale bar represents 50 μ m.

CellPress



Figure 2. Adult Human Pancreatic Alpha and Beta Cells Express ACE2 and Are Permissive to SARS-CoV-2 Pseudo-entry Virus and SARS-CoV-2 Virus Infection

(A) UMAP of adult human islets after single-cell RNA-seq.

(B) UMAP of pancreatic cell markers, including PRSS1, KRT19, INS, GCG, COL1A1, PECAM1, TYROBP, SST, and PPY.

(C) UMAP of ACE2 and TMPRSS2.

(D) Jitter plots of ACE2 and TMPRSS2.

(E) Confocal imaging of adult human islets stained with the antibodies against ACE2, INS, or GCG. Scale bar represents 10 µm.

(F) Confocal imaging of adult human islets infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI = 0.01, 24 hpi) stained with antibodies against SARS-S, INS, or GCG. Scale bar represents 10 µm.

(G) Scheme of viral inoculation in humanized mice.

(H) Confocal imaging of human pancreatic endocrine xenografts with antibodies against ACE2, INS, or GCG. Scale bar represents $25 \ \mu m$.



(Figures 2C and 2D). Immunohistochemistry further validated that primary human beta cells and alpha cells express ACE2 (Figure 2E). Human primary islets were infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus (USA-WA1/2020, MOI = 0.01) and analyzed at 24 hpi by immunostaining. Both INS⁺ beta cells and GCG⁺ alpha cells (Figure 2F) stained positive for SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein.

Humanized mice carrying human pancreatic endocrine cells *in vivo* provide a unique platform for modeling COVID-19. In brief, hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells were transplanted under the kidney capsule of SCID-beige mice (Figure 2G). Two months after transplantation, the organoid xenograft was removed and examined for cellular identities. Consistent with *in vitro* culture, ACE2 can be detected in hPSC-derived INS⁺ beta cells and GCG⁺ alpha cells (Figure 2H). Next, SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus was inoculated locally in xenografted mice (1 × 10⁴ PFU). At 24 hpi, the xenografts were removed and analyzed by immunohistochemistry. LUC was detected in the xenografts inoculated with virus, but not in mock-infected controls. Immunohistochemistry detected LUC in INS⁺ beta cells and GCG⁺ alpha cells (Figures 2I and 2J), indicating these are both permissive to SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus infection *in vivo*.

SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Pancreatic Endocrine Cells Results in Robust Chemokine Induction Similar to What Is Seen in Autopsy Samples from COVID-19 Patients

To evaluate the cellular response of human pancreatic endocrine cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection, hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020) at increasing MOIs (MOI = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1). Viral replication 24 hpi as measured by viral subgenomic (sg) N RNA levels was detected using qRT-PCR analysis (Figure 3A). SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein was detected in both INS⁺ beta cells and GCG⁺ alpha cells by immunofluorescence staining (Figure 3B). A substantial number of SARS-S⁺INS⁺ cells (more than 50 for each field) and SARS-S⁺GCG⁺ cells (approximately 20 for each field) were detected in SARS-CoV-2-infected conditions (Figure 3C). Transcript profiling was then performed to compare mock and SARS-CoV-2-infected cells. Alignment of transcripts with the viral genome confirmed robust viral replication in hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells (Figure 3D). Principal component analysis (PCA) showed that mock and SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cell samples cluster separately (Figure 3E). KEGG Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) comparing mock-infected with SARS-CoV-2-infected cells revealed the upregulation of pathways associated with viral infection, such as human cytomegalovirus infection, herpes simplex infection, human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection, Epstein-Barr virus infection, and hepatitis C. Interestingly, the insulin resistance pathway was also upregulated. The pathways associated with beta cell or alpha cell function, including calcium signaling pathways, glucagon signaling pathways, and metabolic pathways, were all downregulated in the virus-infected conditions (Figure 3F, Table S1). These changes could be due either to increased cell death or loss of cellular identities. To distinguish these possibilities, we stained

Cell Stem Cell Short Article

mock or SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells with a cell apoptotic marker (CASP3) and cell type-specific markers (GCG for alpha cells and INS for beta cells). Both the percentage of CASP3⁺ cells in GCG⁺ cells and the percentage of CASP3⁺ cells in INS⁺ cells increased in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells (Figures S3B and S3C), suggesting that the changed signaling pathway profiles in SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSCderived endocrine cells is mainly due to increased cell apoptosis. In agreement with this, genes associated with apoptosis were upregulated while genes associated with cell survival were downregulated in SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells (Figure S3D). As it is technically challenging to obtain pancreatic samples from COVID-19 patients, we compared transcript profiles from lung autopsy samples from healthy donors or COVID-19 patients and identified a robust upregulation of chemokines, including CCL2, CXCL5, and CXCL6, in the COVID-19 patient samples as has been described previously (Figure 3G; Blanco-Melo et al., 2020). These and other chemokine and cytokine transcript levels were also upregulated in SARS-CoV-2-infected pancreatic endocrine cells compared to mock infected cells (Figure 3H). Together, the data suggest that SARS-CoV-2-infected pancreatic endocrine cells show robust chemokine induction, which is similar to what is found in autopsy samples from COVID-19 patients.

Adult Liver Hepatocyte and Cholangiocyte Organoids Are Permissive to SARS-CoV-2 Virus Infection and Show Similar Chemokine Responses for SARS-CoV-2 Infection as Seen in Autopsy Samples from COVID-19 Patients

The viral tropism analysis using hPSC-derived cells showed that hPSC-derived liver organoids are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus infection (Figure 1F). We next used human adult hepatocyte and cholangiocyte organoids to validate this finding. Adult primary human hepatocyte organoids were infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus (MOI = 0.1). At 24 hpi, significantly increased LUC activity was detected in SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus-infected samples (Figure S4A) and LUC expression was detected in SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus-infected ALB⁺ hepatocytes but not mock-infected hepatocytes (Figures S4B and S4C). Consistently, LUC expression was also detected in SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus-infected CK19⁺ cholangiocyte organoids but not mock-infected organoids at 24 hpi (Figures S4D and S4E).

Adult primary human hepatocyte organoids were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020, MOI = 0.1) and analyzed at 24 hpi. Analysis by qRT-PCR demonstrated robust SARS-CoV-2 infection as evidenced by high levels of viral sgRNA transcripts of the replicating viral RNA (Figure 4A). This was confirmed at the protein level by immunostaining for SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein expression (Figure 4B). The percentage of SARS-S⁺ cells in the infected hepatocyte organoids was highly significant (Figure 4C). We were also able to generate primary human organoids comprised mostly of cholangiocytes. These organoids were

⁽I and J) Confocal imaging (I) and quantification (J) of human pancreatic endocrine xenografts against LUC, INS, or GCG, at 24 hpi of SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus (1 × 10⁴ PFU) infection. Scale bar represents 25 µm.

n = 3 independent biological replicates. Data were presented as mean ± STDEV. p values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S3.







Figure 3. RNA-Seq Analysis of hPSC-Derived Endocrine Cells after SARS-CoV-2 Virus Infection Reveals the Induction of Chemokine Expression, Similar to What Is Seen in Samples from Autopsies of COVID-19 Patients

(A) qRT-PCR analysis of relative viral N sgRNA expression in hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1) for 24 h. Viral N sgRNA levels were internally normalized to ACTB levels and different MOIs were compared relative to mock.

(B and C) Confocal imaging (B) and quantification (C) of SARS-CoV-2-infected (MOI = 0.01, 24 hpi) hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells stained for SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein, INS, or GCG. Scale bar represents 10 μ m.

(D) Read coverage of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells (MOI = 0.01, 24 hpi). Schematic denotes the SARS-CoV-2 genome.



also inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI = 0.1) and analyzed at 24 hpi. Analysis by qRT-PCR demonstrated robust SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 4D), which was confirmed by immunostaining (Figure 4E), and the percentage of SARS-S⁺ cells in infected cholangiocyte organoids was also significant (Figure 4F).

Transcript profiles were then compared between mock and SARS-CoV-2-infected human primary hepatocyte and cholangiocyte organoids. Alignment with the viral genome confirmed robust viral replication in both hepatocyte organoids (Figure 4G) and cholangiocyte organoids (Figure 4H). PCA showed significant distinction between mock infected and SARS-CoV-2-infected hepatocyte organoids (Figure 4I). Volcano plots and heatmaps of differentially expressed genes in SARS-CoV-2-infected hepatocyte organoids revealed robust induction of chemokines, including CXCL1, CXCL3, CXCL5, CXCL6, and CCL20, and significant downregulation of key hepatocyte metabolic markers, such as CYP7A1, CYP2A6, CYP1A2, and CYP2D6 (Figures 4J and S4F). KEGG gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) comparing mock-infected with SARS-CoV-2-infected hepatocyte organoids revealed over-represented and upregulated pathways, including cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, IL-17 signaling, chemokine signaling pathway, TNF signaling, and NF-kB signaling pathway, while cellular metabolism was largely downregulated (Figure 4K, Table S1).

PCA also suggested that mock and SARS-CoV-2-infected cholangiocyte organoid transcript profiles clustered separately (Figures 4L and S4G). Volcano plots and heatmap analysis of differentially expressed genes in SARS-CoV-2-infected cholangiocyte organoids revealed robust induction of chemokines, including *CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3,* and *CCL2* (Figures 4M and S4H). Consistent with results using hepatocyte organoids, KEGG GSEA comparing mock infected with SARS-CoV-2-infected cholangiocyte organoids revealed the upregulation of inflammatory pathways, including cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction and IL-17 signaling (Figure 4N, Table S1), which is consistent with previous findings in COVID-19 lung autopsy samples (Han et al., 2020).

Finally, qRT-PCR analysis of SARS-CoV-2-infected (MOI = 0.1) hPSC-derived cardiomyocytes, dopaminergic neurons, macrophages, microglia, and cortical neurons demonstrated high levels of viral sgRNA in cardiomyocytes (Figure S4I) and dopaminergic neurons (Figure S4J) and low or no level of viral sgRNA was detected in cortical neurons (Figure S4K), microglia (Figure S4L), and macrophages (Figure S4M), consistent with data from pseudo-entry virus infections.

DISCUSSION

Although respiratory failure is the most common adverse outcome for COVID-19 patients, they often present with addi-

Cell Stem Cell Short Article

tional clinical complications involving the metabolic, cardiac, neurological, and gastrointestinal systems. Defining the tropism of SARS-CoV-2 is a first step toward understanding the pathology of SARS-CoV-2, which is critical for the development of anti-SARS-CoV-2 therapies. The most broadly used models for SARS-CoV-2 studies have been African green monkey-derived Vero cells or human cancer cell lines, which have clear limitations for modeling complex human organ systems. Therefore, the development of physiologically relevant human models to study SARS-CoV-2 infection is critically important. By developing a broad platform using system-wide human cell lineages and organoids, we discovered that pancreatic alpha and beta cells, liver organoids, cardiomyocytes, and dopaminergic neurons are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 virus infection using both pseudo-entry virus and live SARS-CoV-2 systems. One possible concern to using hPSC-derived cells for disease modeling is whether hPSC-derived cells can recapitulate the biology of SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults, since vertical infection of the fetus is not entirely clear (Lamouroux et al., 2020). Here, we used primary adult human islets to confirm the ACE2 expression profiles and the permissiveness of pancreatic alpha and beta cells to SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus and SARS-CoV-2 virus infection. In addition, adult liver organoids were used to confirm the permissiveness of hepatocytes and cholangiocytes to SARS-CoV-2 virus infection. The liver is susceptible to a variety of viral pathogens including hepatitis A, B, C, D, and E as well as atypical viruses such as herpes simplex virus family members along with cytomegalovirus (Talwani et al., 2011). Although human coronaviruses previously had not been considered to infect liver cells, our results are consistent with current COVID-19 clinical observations that more than 50% of patients have evidence of viral hepatitis (Ong et al., 2020). Consistent with these results, SARS-CoV-2 virus was recently shown to infect liver ductal organoids which caused increased cell death (Zhao et al., 2020).

It is particularly interesting that human pancreatic beta cells are infected by SARS-CoV-2. Previous studies suggested that ACE2 is expressed in the human pancreas (Yang et al., 2010). Using scRNA-seq and immunostaining, we showed that ACE2 is expressed in human adult alpha and beta cells. A number of studies support the hypothesis that viral infections play a causative role in Type 1 diabetes (Vehik et al., 2019), including enteroviruses (Krogvold et al., 2015) such as Coxsackievirus B (Anagandula et al., 2014; Hyöty et al., 1995), as well as rotavirus (Honeyman et al., 2000), mumps virus (Hyöty et al., 1988), and cytomegalovirus (Forrest et al., 1971). Enterovirus isolates obtained from newly diagnosed type 1 diabetic patients could infect and induce destruction of human islet cells *in vitro* (Elshebani et al., 2007). Here, we found that both hPSC-derived and adult human pancreatic beta cells are permissive to SARS-

⁽E) PCA of differential gene expression from SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells compared to mock infection.

⁽F) KEGG gene set enrichment analysis of differential gene expression profiles from SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells compared to mock infection.

⁽G) Volcano plot analysis of differentially expressed genes from lung autopsies of healthy donors compared to COVID-19 patients. Individual genes denoted by gene name. Differentially expressed genes (p-adjusted value < 0.05) with a log₂ (Fold Change) > 2 are indicated in red. Non-significant differentially expressed genes with a log₂ (Fold Change) > 2 are indicated in green.

⁽H) Heatmap of chemokine transcript levels in SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cell cells compared to mock infection.

n = 3 independent biological replicates. Data were presented as mean ± SD. p values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. ***p < 0.001. See also Table S1.





Figure 4. Adult Hepatocyte and Cholangiocyte Organoids Are Permissive to SARS-CoV-2 Virus Infection and Show a Similar Chemokine Response Compared to Autopsy Samples from COVID-19 Patients

(A) qRT-PCR analysis of relative viral N sgRNA expression in adult human hepatocyte organoids infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI = 0.1) for 24 h. Viral N sgRNA levels were internally normalized to ACTB levels and depicted relative to mock.

(B and C) Confocal imaging (B) and quantification (C) of adult human hepatocyte organoids at 24 hpi of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection (MOI = 0.1). Scale bar represents 50 μm.

(D) qRT-PCR analysis of relative viral N sgRNA expression in adult human cholangiocyte organoids infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI = 0.1) for 24 h. Viral N sgRNA levels were internally normalized to ACTB levels and depicted relative to mock.





CoV-2 infection. A recent clinical study showed a strong correlation between COVID-19 and type 2 diabetes (Zhu et al., 2020). Closer monitoring of individuals with high risk for diabetes is needed to evaluate the contribution of SARS-CoV-2 in previously infected COVID-19 patients to progression toward type 1 or type 2 diabetes.

In summary, we generated a library of hPSC-derived cells/organoids, including pancreatic endocrine cells, liver organoids, endothelial cells, cardiomyocytes, macrophages, microglia, cortical neurons, and dopaminergic neurons, to evaluate the permissiveness of normal human cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The hPSC-derived hepatic and pancreatic cells were found to be permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection, which was further validated using adult primary human islets, adult hepatic and cholangiocyte organoids, and a humanized mouse model. Transcript profiling after SARS-CoV-2 infection of hPSC-derived pancreatic endocrine cells and liver organoids revealed upregulation of chemokine expression, consistent with profiles of tissues obtained after autopsy of COVID-19 patients. Interestingly, several cell types expressing ACE2, such as endothelium, macrophages, and cortical neurons show low or no permissiveness to both SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus and SARS-CoV-2 virus, suggesting that factors besides ACE2 are also involved in viral entry (exemplified by the TMPRSS2 effector protein). The non-linear relationship between ACE2 and permissiveness to SARS-CoV-2 infection highlights the importance of using hPSC-derived primary-like cells instead of ACE2-overexpressing cells to study SARS-CoV-2 biology. Finally, this disease-relevant human cell/ organoid-based platform can now be directly applied for drug screening and the evaluation of prospective anti-viral therapeutics.

Limitations of Study

While our study demonstrated permissiveness of multiple cell types to SARS-CoV-2 virus, whether or not some of these cells are major targets of viral infection in COVID-19 will not be clear without more thorough analysis of primary patient-derived samples. We focused here on viral entry and to some extent replication, but there may be cell lineage differences for viral release and secondary infection that could next be explored. The cell and organoid-based platform described here is a first step toward modeling COVID-19 in human organ systems but is clearly simplified compared to fully functioning and interacting adult hu-

man organs. In the future, the platform can be expanded to generate more complex organoid models, including incorporation of immune system components that are currently missing from the analysis.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead Contact
 - Materials Availability
 - Data and Code Availability
- METHOD DETAILS
 - hPSC maintenance and pancreatic differentiation
 - hPSC liver differentiation
 - hPSC endothelial differentiation
 - hPSC cardiomyocyte differentiation
 - hPSC macrophage differentiation
 - hPSC microglia differentiation
 - hPSC cortical and dopamine neuron differentiation
 - Adult liver organoid culture
 - Human islets
 - Cell Lines
 - SARS-CoV-2-Pseudo-Entry Viruses
 - SARS-CoV-2 entry virus infections
 - SARS-CoV-2 Virus infections
 - Xenograft formation
 - Immunohistochemistry
 - qRT-PCR
 - Human islet sample sequencing and gene expression UMI counts matrix generation
 - Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis
 - Human studies
 - RNA-Seg before and following viral infections
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stem.2020.06.015.

(E and F) Confocal imaging (E) and quantification (F) of human cholangiocyte organoids at 24 hpi of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection (MOI = 0.1). Scale bar represents 50 μ m.

(I and J) PCA (I) and volcano plot (J) analysis of differential expressed genes in SARS-CoV-2-infected human hepatocyte organoids compared to mock infection. Individual genes denoted by gene name. Differentially expressed genes (p-adjusted value < 0.05) with a log₂ (Fold Change) > 2 are indicated in red. Non-significant differentially expressed genes with a log₂ (Fold Change) > 2 are indicated in green.

(K) KEGG gene set enrichment analysis of transcript profiles from SARS-CoV-2-infected human hepatocyte organoids compared to mock infection.

(L and M) PCA (L) and volcano plot (M) analysis of differential expressed genes in SARS-CoV-2-infected adult human cholangiocyte organoids (donor 1) compared to mock infection. Individual genes denoted by gene name.

(N) KEGG gene set enrichment analysis of transcripts from SARS-CoV-2-infected adult human cholangiocyte organoids (donor 1) compared to mock infection. n = 3 independent biological replicates. Data were presented as mean \pm SD. p values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S4 and Table S1.

⁽G) Read coverage of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in infected human hepatocyte organoids at 24 hpi of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection (MOI = 0.1). Schematic denotes the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

⁽H) Read coverage of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in infected adult human cholangiocyte organoids (donor 1 and donor 2) at 24 hpi of SARS-CoV-2 virus infection (MOI = 0.1). Schematic denotes the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) (DP3DK111907, R01DK116075, R01DK119667, and R01 DK124463 to S.C.), Department of Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine (T.E., F.C.P., S.C.), NCI (R01CA234614), NIAID (2R01AI107301), NIDDK (R01DK121072 and 1RO3DK117252), Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine (R.E.S.), the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA-16-35-INTERCEPT-FP-006 to B.R.T.), the Jack Ma Foundation (D.D.H.), NIH (1R01AG056298 and P30CA008748 to L.S.), NYSTEM (DOH01-STEM5-2016-00300-C32599GG to L.S and S.C.), and U.S. National Institutes of Health (1K99 CA226353-01A1, H.J.C). S.C. and R.E.S. are supported as Irma Hirschl Trust Research Award Scholars. Human islets were received from the University of Pennsylvania human islet center with funding provided by the NIDDK-supported Human Pancreas Analysis Program (HPAP) (https://hpap.pmacs.upenn.edu/citation) grant UC4 DK112217 to A.N. V.G. is a Weill Cornell Department of Medicine Fund for the Future awardee, supported by the Kendrick Foundation. S.R.G. was supported by the Ruth L. Kirschstein Individual Predoctoral NRSA for MD/PhD Fellowship (1F30MH115616). G.C. was supported by a EMBO postdoctoral fellowship and a NYSTEM postdoctoral fellowship. The authors would like to thank Dr. Tom Moran, Center for Therapeutic Antibody Discovery at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai for providing the anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike antibody.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.C., R.E.S., T.E., B.R.T., D.D.H., H.W., H.J.C., D.L., A.B., and L.S. conceived and designed the experiments. L.Y., Y. Han, V.G., X.T., J.Z., Z.Z., F.J., X.D., F.C.P., Y.B., T.W.K., O.H., G.C., S.G., M.C., X.D., V.C., and D.T.N. performed organoid differentiation, *in vivo* transplantation, and pseudo-entry virus-infection. P.W. and Y. Huang performed SARS2-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus-related experiments. C.L. and A.N. performed the human islets experiments. B.E.N.-P., D.A.H., and B.R.T. performed SARS2-CoV-2-related experiments. D.R., S.H., T.Z., and J.X. performed the scRNA-sequencing and bioinformatics analysis.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

R.E.S. is on the scientific advisory board of Miromatrix Inc. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

Received: May 11, 2020 Revised: May 27, 2020 Accepted: June 15, 2020 Published: June 19, 2020

REFERENCES

Anagandula, M., Richardson, S.J., Oberste, M.S., Sioofy-Khojine, A.B., Hyöty, H., Morgan, N.G., Korsgren, O., and Frisk, G. (2014). Infection of human islets of Langerhans with two strains of Coxsackie B virus serotype 1: assessment of virus replication, degree of cell death and induction of genes involved in the innate immunity pathway. J. Med. Virol. *86*, 1402–1411.

Blanco-Melo, D., Nilsson-Payant, B.E., Liu, W.C., Uhl, S., Hoagland, D., Møller, R., Jordan, T.X., Oishi, K., Panis, M., Sachs, D., et al. (2020). Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. Cell *181*, 1036– 1045.e9.

Bornstein, S.R., Rubino, F., Khunti, K., Mingrone, G., Hopkins, D., Birkenfeld, A.L., Boehm, B., Amiel, S., Holt, R.I., Skyler, J.S., et al. (2020). Practical recommendations for the management of diabetes in patients with COVID-19. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. *8*, 546–550.

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E., and Satija, R. (2018). Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat. Biotechnol. *36*, 411–420.

Cao, X., Yakala, G.K., van den Hil, F.E., Cochrane, A., Mummery, C.L., and Orlova, V.V. (2019). Differentiation and Functional Comparison of Monocytes and Macrophages from hiPSCs with Peripheral Blood Derivatives. Stem Cell Reports *12*, 1282–1297.



Cao, Y., Su, B., Guo, X., Sun, W., Deng, Y., Bao, L., Zhu, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Geng, C., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 identified by high-throughput single-cell sequencing of convalescent patients' B cells. Cell. Published online May 18, 2020. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.025.

Chu, H., Chan, J.F.-W., Yuen, T.T.-T., Shuai, H., Yuan, S., Wang, Y., Hu, B., Yip, C.C.-Y., Tsang, J.O.-L., Huang, X., et al. (2020). Comparative tropism, replication kinetics, and cell damage profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV with implications for clinical manifestations, transmissibility, and laboratory studies of COVID-19: an observational study. The Lancet Microbe *1*, E14–E23.

Davis, M.P., van Dongen, S., Abreu-Goodger, C., Bartonicek, N., and Enright, A.J. (2013). Kraken: a set of tools for quality control and analysis of high-throughput sequence data. Methods 63, 41–49.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15–21.

Elshebani, A., Olsson, A., Westman, J., Tuvemo, T., Korsgren, O., and Frisk, G. (2007). Effects on isolated human pancreatic islet cells after infection with strains of enterovirus isolated at clinical presentation of type 1 diabetes. Virus Res. *124*, 193–203.

Forrest, J.M., Menser, M.A., and Burgess, J.A. (1971). High frequency of diabetes mellitus in young adults with congenital rubella. Lancet *2*, 332–334.

Goyal, P., Choi, J.J., Pinheiro, L.C., Schenck, E.J., Chen, R., Jabri, A., Satlin, M.J., Campion, T.R., Jr., Nahid, M., Ringel, J.B., et al. (2020). Clinical Characteristics of Covid-19 in New York City. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 2372–2374.

Han, Y., Yang, L., Duan, X., Duan, F., Nilsson-Payant, B.E., Yaron, T.M., Wang, P., Tang, X., Zhang, T., Zhao, Z., et al. (2020). Identification of candidate COVID-19 therapeutics using hPSC-derived lung organoids. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.05.079095.

Harding, A., Cortez-Toledo, E., Magner, N.L., Beegle, J.R., Coleal-Bergum, D.P., Hao, D., Wang, A., Nolta, J.A., and Zhou, P. (2017). Highly Efficient Differentiation of Endothelial Cells from Pluripotent Stem Cells Requires the MAPK and the PI3K Pathways. Stem Cells *35*, 909–919.

Helms, J., Kremer, S., Merdji, H., Clere-Jehl, R., Schenck, M., Kummerlen, C., Collange, O., Boulay, C., Fafi-Kremer, S., Ohana, M., et al. (2020). Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 2268–2270.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T.S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.H., Nitsche, A., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell *181*, 271–280.e8.

Honeyman, M.C., Coulson, B.S., Stone, N.L., Gellert, S.A., Goldwater, P.N., Steele, C.E., Couper, J.J., Tait, B.D., Colman, P.G., and Harrison, L.C. (2000). Association between rotavirus infection and pancreatic islet autoimmunity in children at risk of developing type 1 diabetes. Diabetes *49*, 1319–1324, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.05.079095.

Hyöty, H., Leinikki, P., Reunanen, A., Ilonen, J., Surcel, H.M., Rilva, A., Käär, M.L., Huupponen, T., Hakulinen, A., Mäkelä, A.L., et al. (1988). Mumps infections in the etiology of type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes. Diabetes Res. *9*, 111–116.

Hyöty, H., Hiltunen, M., Knip, M., Laakkonen, M., Vähäsalo, P., Karjalainen, J., Koskela, P., Roivainen, M., Leinikki, P., Hovi, T., et al. (1995). A prospective study of the role of coxsackie B and other enterovirus infections in the pathogenesis of IDDM. Childhood Diabetes in Finland (DiMe) Study Group. Diabetes 44, 652–657.

Jiang, H., Lei, R., Ding, S.W., and Zhu, S. (2014). Skewer: a fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-generation sequencing paired-end reads. BMC Bioinformatics *15*, 182.

Krogvold, L., Edwin, B., Buanes, T., Frisk, G., Skog, O., Anagandula, M., Korsgren, O., Undlien, D., Eike, M.C., Richardson, S.J., et al. (2015). Detection of a low-grade enteroviral infection in the islets of langerhans of living patients newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes *64*, 1682–1687.

Lamouroux, A., Attie-Bitach, T., Martinovic, J., Leruez-Ville, M., and Ville, Y. (2020). Evidence for and against vertical transmission for SARS-CoV-2



(COVID-19). Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Published online May 4, 2020. 10.1016/j. ajog.2020.04.039.

Lei, C., Qian, K., Li, T., Zhang, S., Fu, W., Ding, M., and Hu, S. (2020). Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike pseudotyped virus by recombinant ACE2-Ig. Nat. Commun. *11*, 2070.

Liao, Y., Wang, J., Jaehnig, E.J., Shi, Z., and Zhang, B. (2019). WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. Nucleic Acids Res. *47* (W1), W199–W205.

Lun, A.T.L., McCarthy, D.J., and Marioni, J.C. (2016). A step-by-step workflow for low-level analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor. F1000Res. 5, 2122.

Ma-Lauer, Y., Carbajo-Lozoya, J., Hein, M.Y., Müller, M.A., Deng, W., Lei, J., Meyer, B., Kusov, Y., von Brunn, B., Bairad, D.R., et al. (2016). p53 down-regulates SARS coronavirus replication and is targeted by the SARS-unique domain and PLpro via E3 ubiquitin ligase RCHY1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, E5192–E5201.

Nie, J., Li, Q., Wu, J., Zhao, C., Hao, H., Liu, H., Zhang, L., Nie, L., Qin, H., Wang, M., et al. (2020). Establishment and validation of a pseudovirus neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. Emerg. Microbes Infect. 9, 680–686.

Ong, J., Young, B.E., and Ong, S. (2020). COVID-19 in gastroenterology: a clinical perspective. Gut 69, 1144–1145.

Ou, X., Liu, Y., Lei, X., Li, P., Mi, D., Ren, L., Guo, L., Guo, R., Chen, T., Hu, J., et al. (2020). Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1620.

Pan, L., Mu, M., Yang, P., Sun, Y., Wang, R., Yan, J., Li, P., Hu, B., Wang, J., Hu, C., et al. (2020). Clinical Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients With Digestive Symptoms in Hubei, China: A Descriptive, Cross-Sectional, Multicenter Study. Am. J. Gastroenterol. *115*, 766–773.

Pleasure, S.J., Green, A.J., and Josephson, S.A. (2020). The Spectrum of Neurologic Disease in the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Pandemic Infection: Neurologists Move to the Frontlines. JAMA Neurol. Published online April 10, 2020. 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1065.

Ramírez, F., Ryan, D.P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S., Dündar, F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. *44* (W1), W160-5.

Ricordi, C., Goldstein, J.S., Balamurugan, A.N., Szot, G.L., Kin, T., Liu, C., Czarniecki, C.W., Barbaro, B., Bridges, N.D., Cano, J., et al. (2016). National Institutes of Health-Sponsored Clinical Islet Transplantation Consortium Phase 3 Trial: Manufacture of a Complex Cellular Product at Eight Processing Facilities. Diabetes 65, 3418–3428.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139–140.

Shang, J., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Ye, G., Geng, Q., Auerbach, A., and Li, F. (2020). Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *117*, 11727–11734.

Shi, S., Qin, M., Shen, B., Cai, Y., Liu, T., Yang, F., Gong, W., Liu, X., Liang, J., Zhao, Q., et al. (2020). Association of Cardiac Injury With Mortality in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Cardiol. Published online March 25, 2020. 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0950.

Si-Tayeb, K., Noto, F.K., Nagaoka, M., Li, J., Battle, M.A., Duris, C., North, P.E., Dalton, S., and Duncan, S.A. (2010). Highly efficient generation of human hepatocyte-like cells from induced pluripotent stem cells. Hepatology *51*, 297–305.

Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck, W.M., 3rd, Hao, Y., Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P., and Satija, R. (2019). Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell *177*, 1888–1902.e21. Talwani, R., Gilliam, B.L., and Howell, C. (2011). Infectious diseases and the liver. Clin. Liver Dis. *15*, 111–130.

Cell Stem Cell Short Article

Tsai, S.Y., Ghazizadeh, Z., Wang, H.J., Amin, S., Ortega, F.A., Badieyan, Z.S., Hsu, Z.T., Gordillo, M., Kumar, R., Christini, D.J., et al. (2020). A human embryonic stem cell reporter line for monitoring chemical-induced cardiotoxicity. Cardiovasc. Res. *116*, 658–670.

van den Brink, S.C., Sage, F., Vértesy, Á., Spanjaard, B., Peterson-Maduro, J., Baron, C.S., Robin, C., and van Oudenaarden, A. (2017). Single-cell sequencing reveals dissociation-induced gene expression in tissue subpopulations. Nat. Methods *14*, 935–936.

Vehik, K., Lynch, K.F., Wong, M.C., Tian, X., Ross, M.C., Gibbs, R.A., Ajami, N.J., Petrosino, J.F., Rewers, M., Toppari, J., et al.; TEDDY Study Group (2019). Prospective virome analyses in young children at increased genetic risk for type 1 diabetes. Nat. Med. *25*, 1865–1872.

Walls, A.C., Park, Y.J., Tortorici, M.A., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., and Veesler, D. (2020). Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell *181*, 281–292.e6.

Wang, M., Cao, R., Zhang, L., Yang, X., Liu, J., Xu, M., Shi, Z., Hu, Z., Zhong, W., and Xiao, G. (2020). Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. Cell Res. *30*, 269–271.

Wen, Z., Song, H., and Ming, G.L. (2017). How does Zika virus cause microcephaly? Genes Dev. *31*, 849–861.

Whitt, M.A. (2010). Generation of VSV pseudotypes using recombinant ∆G-VSV for studies on virus entry, identification of entry inhibitors, and immune responses to vaccines. J. Virol. Methods *169*, 365–374.

Xu, M., Lee, E.M., Wen, Z., Cheng, Y., Huang, W.K., Qian, X., Tcw, J., Kouznetsova, J., Ogden, S.C., Hammack, C., et al. (2016). Identification of small-molecule inhibitors of Zika virus infection and induced neural cell death via a drug repurposing screen. Nat. Med. *22*, 1101–1107.

Yang, J.K., Lin, S.S., Ji, X.J., and Guo, L.M. (2010). Binding of SARS coronavirus to its receptor damages islets and causes acute diabetes. Acta Diabetol. *47*, 193–199.

Zeng, H., Guo, M., Zhou, T., Tan, L., Chong, C.N., Zhang, T., Dong, X., Xiang, J.Z., Yu, A.S., Yue, L., et al. (2016). An Isogenic Human ESC Platform for Functional Evaluation of Genome-wide-Association-Study-Identified Diabetes Genes and Drug Discovery. Cell Stem Cell *19*, 326–340.

Zhao, X., Howell, K.A., He, S., Brannan, J.M., Wec, A.Z., Davidson, E., Turner, H.L., Chiang, C.I., Lei, L., Fels, J.M., et al. (2017). Immunization-Elicited Broadly Protective Antibody Reveals Ebolavirus Fusion Loop as a Site of Vulnerability. Cell *169*, 891–904.e15.

Zhao, B., Ni, C., Gao, R., Wang, Y., Yang, L., Wei, J., Lv, T., Liang, J., Zhang, Q., Xu, W., et al. (2020). Recapitulation of SARS-CoV-2 infection and cholangiocyte damage with human liver ductal organoids. Protein Cell. Published online April 17, 2020. 10.1007/s13238-020-00718-6.

Zhou, T., Tan, L., Cederquist, G.Y., Fan, Y., Hartley, B.J., Mukherjee, S., Tomishima, M., Brennand, K.J., Zhang, Q., Schwartz, R.E., et al. (2017). High-Content Screening in hPSC-Neural Progenitors Identifies Drug Candidates that Inhibit Zika Virus Infection in Fetal-like Organoids and Adult Brain. Cell Stem Cell *21*, 274–283.e5.

Zhou, T., Kim, T.W., Chong, C.N., Tan, L., Amin, S., Sadat Badieyan, Z., Mukherjee, S., Ghazizadeh, Z., Zeng, H., Guo, M., et al. (2018). A hPSC-based platform to discover gene-environment interactions that impact human β -cell and dopamine neuron survival. Nat. Commun. *9*, 4815.

Zhu, L., She, Z.G., Cheng, X., Qin, J.J., Zhang, X.J., Cai, J., Lei, F., Wang, H., Xie, J., Wang, W., et al. (2020). Association of Blood Glucose Control and Outcomes in Patients with COVID-19 and Pre-existing Type 2 Diabetes. Cell Metab. *31*, 1068–1077.e3.

Ziemann, M., Kaspi, A., and El-Osta, A. (2019). Digital expression explorer 2: a repository of uniformly processed RNA sequencing data. Gigascience 8, 8.



KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Polyclonal Guinea Pig Anti-Insulin	Dako	#A0564; RRID:AB_10013624
Glucagon Rabbit Ab	Cell Signaling	#2760; RRID:AB_659831
Polyclonal Rabbit Anti-Somatostatin	Dako	Cat#A0566; RRID:AB_2688022
Human CD31/PECAM-1 Antibody	R&D Systems	Cat#AF806; RRID:AB_355617
APC anti-mouse/human CD11b Antibody	Biolegend	Cat#101212; RRID:AB_312795
APC anti-human CD206 (MMR) Antibody	Biolegend	Cat#321109; RRID:AB_571884
Anti-ACE2 antibody	Abcam	Cat#ab15348-50UG; RRID:AB_301861
Human ACE-2 Antibody	R & D Systems	Cat#AF933; RRID:AB_355722
Firefly luciferase Monoclonal Antibody (CS 17)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#35-6700; RRID:AB_2533218
Sarcomeric α-Actinin	Abcam	Cat#ab137346
Recombinant Anti-Firefly Luciferase antibody	Abcam	Cat#ab185924
Anti IBA-1 (rabbit)	Wako	Cat#019-19741; RRID:AB_839504
Anti SPI1 (PU.1) (mouse)	Biolegend	Cat#658002; RRID:AB_2562720
Chicken polyclonal anti-MAP2	Abcam	Cat#ab5392; RRID:AB_2138153
Goat polyclonal anti-FOXA2	R&D Systems	Cat#AF2400; RRID:AB_2294104
Mouse monoclonal anti-γ Tubulin	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#T6557; RRID:AB_477584
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross- Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21202; RRID:AB_141607
Alexa Fluor 488 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Guinea Pig IgG (H+L)	Jackson Immunoresearch Labs	Cat#706-545-148; RRID:AB_2340472
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross- Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21203; RRID:AB_2535789
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21207; RRID:AB_141637
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-31573; RRID:AB_2536183
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-31571; RRID:AB_162542
Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21447; RRID:AB_2535864
Donkey anti-Chicken IgG (H+L) Cross- Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Jackson Immunoresearch Labs	Cat#703-545-155; RRID:AB_2340375
Donkey anti-Sheep IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21448; RRID:AB_10374882
DAPI	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-3598
Chemicals		
Y-27632	MedchemExpress	#HY-10583
CHIR99021	Cayman Chemical	#13122
SANT-1	Sigma Aldrich	#S4572-25MG
Retinoic acid	Sigma Aldrich	#R2625-500MG
LDN 193189 hydrochloride - DM 3189 hydrochloride	Axon Medchem	#Axon 1509
TPPB	Tocris Bioscience	#5343
T3 hormone	Sigma Aldrich	#T6397-100MG

(Continued on next page)



CellPress

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Zinc sulfate heptahydrate	Sigma Aldrich	#Z0251-100G
Heparin sodium salt from porcine intestinal mucosa	Sigma Aldrich	#H3149-1MU
γ-Secretase Inhibitor XX	Millipore	#565789-1MG
ALK5 Inhibitor II	Cayman Chemical	#14794
L-Ascorbic acid	Sigma Aldrich	#A4544-100G
R428	MedchemExpress	#HY-15150
N-acetyl-L-cysteine	Sigma Aldrich	#A9165-5G
Trolox	Millipore	#648471
XAV939	Cayman	# 13596
IWP	Tocris	#3533
dibutyryl cAMP (cAMP)	Sigma Aldrich	#4043
Poly(vinyl alcohol)	Sigma Aldrich	#P8136
L-Ascorbic acid 2-phosphate sesquimagnesium salt hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	#A8960
SB431542	R&D Systems	#1614/50
Dorsomorphin dihydrochloride	R&D Systems	#3093/50
IWP2	R&D Systems	#3533/50
Dexamethasone	Sigma-Aldrich	#D4902
8-Bromo-cAMP	Sigma-Aldrich	#B5386
IBMX	Sigma-Aldrich	#I5879
DAPT	R&D Systems	#2634
1-Thioglycerol	Sigma Aldrich	#M6145
Growth factors		
Activin A	R&D Systems	#338-AC-500/CF
Recombinant Human KGF (FGF-7) Protein	Peprotech	#100-19-500UG
Recombinant Human bFGF Protein	Peprotech	#100-18B-500UG
Recombinant Human BMP-4 Protein	R & D Systems	#314-BP
Recombinant Human VEGF Protein	R&D Systems	#293-VE-500/CF
Recombinant Human SCF Protein	R&D Systems	#7466-SC-100/CF
Recombinant Human IL-6 Protein	R&D Systems	#206-IL-200/CF
Recombinant Human IL-3 Protein	R&D Systems	#203-IL-050/CF
Recombinant Human TPO Protein	R&D Systems	#288-TP-200/CF
Recombinant Human M-CSF Protein	R&D Systems	#216-MC-025
Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BNDF)	R&D Systems	#248-BD
Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)	Peptrotech	#450-10
Transforming growth factor type β 3 (TGF β 3)	R&D Systems	#243-B3
DAPT	R&D Systems	#2634
Vitronectin (VTN-N)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	#A14700
SHH C25II	R&D Systems	#464-SH
Recombinant Human FGF-10 Protein	R&D Systems	#345-FG-250
Recombinant Human KGF/FGF-7 Protein	R&D Systems	#251-KG-01M
Recombinant Human bFGF	R&D Systems	#233-FB-500
Recombinant Human HGF Protein	R&D Systems	#294-HG
Recombinant Human OSM Protein	R&D Systems	#295-OM
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
Human: H9 (WA-09) hESC line	WiCell Research Institute	NIHhESC-10-0062
hESC line H9	Harvard University	0022



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
hESC line MEL-1	University of Queensland	0139
hESC line H1	Harvard University	0014
hESC line-RUES2	The Rockefeller University	0013
293T	ATCC	#CRL-11268
Vero E6	ATCC	#CRL-1586
Culture Medium		
StemFlex	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#A3349401
MCDB 131 Medium, no glutamine	Thermo Fisher Scientific	#10372019
STEMdiff™ APEL™2 Medium	Stem Cell Technologies	#05270
Endothelial Cell Growth Medium MV2 (Ready-	PromoCell	#C-22022
to-use)		
mTeSR1 Complete Kit	Stem Cell Technologies	#85850
F12	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#31765035
Lipids	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#11905031
Penicillin-Streptomycin (5,000 U/mL)	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#15070063
MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (100X)	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#11140050
IMDM	GIBCO Thermo Fisher	#21056023
GlutaMAX Supplement	Thermo Fisher Scientific	#35050079
ITS-X	Thermo Fisher Scientific	#51500056
Accutase	StemCell Technologies Inc	# 07920
BeleSB	StemCell Technologies, Inc.	# 05872
R07	Thermo Fisher Scientific	# 03572 # 03582801
R27 minus insulin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	# A1805601
Matrical	Corning	#35/03/
Essential 8 (E8)	Thermo Eisber Scientific	#01517001
Neurobasal	Life Technologies	#21102_040
N2 supplement-B	Stem Cell Technologies	#7156
R2 supplement-b	Life Technologies	#12587-010
Boly_L_Ornithing (PO)	Sigma Aldrich	#D2655
Mouse Laminin L (LAM)	R&D Systems	#3400-010-1
	Thermo Fisher Scientific	# 10565018
Manathiaghaaral	Sigma Aldrich	# 10505018 #M6145
	Thormo Eichor Scientific	#100145
		#330006
	The Jackson Loberatory	N/A
SCID-beige Milce	The Jackson Laboratory	N/A
Deposited Data		050,0051 (700)
scRNA-seq	this paper	GEO: GSE147903
RNA-seq	this paper	GEU: GSE151803
Software and Algorithms		
Cell Ranger	10X Genomics	https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell- gene-expression/software/overview/welcome
Scran	Lun et al., 2016	https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/ bioc/html/scran.html
Rstudio	Rstudio	https://rstudio.com
Seurat R package v3.1.4	Butler et al., 2018	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
DAVID6.8	LHRI	https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp
Adobe illustrator CC2017	Adobe	https://www.adobe.com/products/

(Continued on next page)



Continued REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER Graphpad Prism 6 Graphpad software https://www.graphpad.com ToppCell Atlas Toppgene https://toppgene.cchmc.org/

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Shuibing Chen (shc2034@med.cornell.edu).

Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and Code Availability

scRNA-seq data is available from the GEO repository database: GSE147903. RNA-seq data is available from the GEO repository database: GSE151803.

METHOD DETAILS

hPSC maintenance and pancreatic differentiation

Pancreatic endocrine cell differentiation was performed using INSGFP/W MEL-1 cells. Cells were cultured on Matrigel-coated 6-well plates in StemFlex medium (GIBCO Thermo Fisher). Cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂. hESCs were differentiated using a previously reported strategy (Zeng et al., 2016). In brief, on day 0, cells were exposed to basal medium RPMI 1640 supplemented with 1 × Glutamax (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50 µg/mL Normocin, 100 ng/mL Activin A (R&D systems), and 2 µM of CHIR99021 (GSK3_β inhibitor 3, Cayman Chemical) for 24 h. The medium was changed on day 1 to basal RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 1 × Glutamax (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50 μg/mL Normocin, 0.2% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Corning), 100 ng/mL Activin A (R&D systems) for 2 days. On day 3, the resulting definitive endoderm cells were cultured in MCDB 131 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 10 mM glucose (Sigma Aldrich) at final concentration, 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Lampire), 0.25 mM L-ascorbic acid (Sigma Aldrich) and 50 ng/mL of fibroblast growth factor 7 (FGF-7, Peprotech) for 2 days to acquire primitive gut tube. On day 5, the cells were induced to differentiate to posterior foregut in MCDB 131 medium supplemented with 2.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 10 mM glucose at final concentration, 2% BSA, 0.25 mM L-ascorbic acid, 50 ng/mL of FGF-7, 1 μM Retinoic acid (RA; Sigma Aldrich), 100 nM LDN193189 (LDN, Axon Medchem), 1:200 ITS-X (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 200 nM TPPB (Tocris Bioscience) and 0.25 µM SANT-1 (Sigma Aldrich) for 2 days. On day 7, the cells were induced to differentiate to pancreatic endoderm in MCDB 131 medium supplemented with 2.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 10 mM glucose at final concentration, 2% BSA, 0.25 mM L-ascorbic acid, 2 ng/mL of FGF-7, 0.1 µM RA, 200 nM LDN193189, 1:200 ITS-X, 100 nM TPPB and 0.25 µM SANT-1 for 3 days. On day 10, the cells were induced to differentiate to pancreatic endocrine precursors in MCDB 131 medium supplemented with 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 20 mM glucose at final concentration, 2% BSA, 0.05 μM RA, 100 nM LDN, 1:200 ITS-X, 0.25 μM SANT-1, 1 mM T3 hormone (Sigma Aldrich), 10 μM ALK5 inhibitor II (Cayman Chemical), 10 μM zinc sulfate heptahydrate (Sigma Aldrich) and 10 μg/mL of heparin (Sigma Aldrich) for 3 days. On day 13, cells were exposed to MCDB 131 medium supplemented with 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 20 mM glucose at final concentration, 2% BSA, 100 nM LDN193189, 1:200 ITS-X, 1 µM T3, 10 µM ALK5 inhibitor II, 10 µM zinc sulfate, 10 µg/mL of heparin, 100 nM gamma secretase inhibitor XX (Millipore) for the first 7 days. On day 27, cells were exposed to MCDB 131 medium supplemented with 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1 × Glutamax, 20 mM glucose at final concentration, 2% BSA, 1:200 ITS-X, 1 µM T3, 10 µM ALK5 inhibitor II, 10 µM zinc sulfate heptahydrate, 10 μg/mL of heparin, 1 mM N-acetyl cysteine (Sigma Aldrich), 10 μM Trolox (Millipore), 2 μM R428 (MedchemExpress) for 7-15 days. The medium was subsequently refreshed every day. The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1A.

hPSC liver differentiation

In brief, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were cultured in monolayers on Matrigel. Differentiation was achieved by sequential exposure to 100 ng/mL Activin A, 20 ng/mL BMP-4, 10 ng/mL bFGF and 20 ng/mL hepatocyte growth factor (HGF, R&D Systems) (Si-Tayeb et al., 2010). Cells at the hepatoblast stage were subsequently aggregated into spheroids and then exposed to continued 20 ng/mL HGF and 20 ng/mL oncostatin M (R&D Systems). The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1B.

hPSC endothelial differentiation

To derive endothelial cells from hPSCs, we optimized a previously reported strategy (Harding et al., 2017). Briefly, hESC line H1 were passaged onto Matrigel-coated 6-well plates in StemFlex medium with an additional 10 ng/mL of bFGF. After 1 day, culture medium was changed to StemDiff APEL medium (STEMCELL Technologies) with an additional of 6 μ m CHIR99021 for 2 days. Then, cells



were cultured in StemDiff APEL medium with an additional of 25 ng/mL BMP-4, 10 ng/mL bFGF and 50 ng/mL VEGF (R&D Systems) for another two days. On day 4, cells were dissociated with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies) and reseeded onto p100 culture dishes in EC Growth Medium MV2 (Promocell) with an additional 50 ng/mL VEGF for 4-6 days. Finally, endothelial cells were generated and passaged every 3-5 days in EC Growth Medium MV2 with an additional 50 ng/mL VEGF. The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1C.

hPSC cardiomyocyte differentiation

For monolayer-based cardiomyocyte (CM) differentiation, hPSCs were passaged at density of 3×10^5 cells/well of 6-well plate and grown for 48 h to 90% confluence in the humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. On day 0, the medium was replaced with RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 without insulin and 6 μ M CHIR99021. On day 2, the medium was changed to RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 without insulin for 24 h. Day 3, medium was refreshed to RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 without insulin for 24 h. Day 3, medium was refreshed to RPMI 1640 supplemented with B27 without insulin for 24 h. Day 3, medium was refreshed to RPMI-B27 without insulin for 48 h, and then switched to RPMI 1640 plus normal B27 for another 48 h. On day 9, the medium was transiently changed to RPMI 1640-B27 without D-glucose for two days to allow metabolic purification of CMs. From that day on, fresh RPMI 1640-B27 was changed every two days. On day 30, cells were dissociated with Accutase at 37°C followed by resuspending with fresh RPMI 1640-B27 plus Y-27632 and reseeding into 96-well plates. After 24 h, medium was switched to RMPI 1640-B27 without Y-27632 for following virus infection tests. The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1D.

hPSC macrophage differentiation

We derived macrophages from hESC line H9 and adapted a previously reported protocol (Cao et al., 2019). First, H9 cells were lifted with ReLeSR (STEMCELL Technologies) as small clusters onto Matrigel-coated 6-well plates at low density. On day 0, IF9S medium was supplemented with 50 ng/mL BMP-4, 15 ng/mL Activin A and 1.5 μ m CHIR99021. On day 2, medium was refreshed with IF9S medium with 50 ng/mL VEGF, 50 ng/mL bFGF, 50 ng/mL SCF (R&D Systems) and 10 μ m SB431542 (Cayman Chemical). On day 5 and day 7, medium was changed into IF9S with 50 ng/mL IL-6 (R&D Systems), 12 ng/mL IL-3 (R&D Systems), 50 ng/mL VEGF, 50 ng/mL VEGF, 50 ng/mL bFGF, 50 ng/mL IL-6 (R&D Systems). On day 9, cells were dissociated with TrypLE (Life Technologies) and resuspended in IF9S medium with 50 ng/mL IL-6, 12 ng/mL IL-3 and 80 ng/mL M-CSF (R&D Systems) onto low attachment plates. On day 13 and day 15, medium was changed and supplemented with 50 ng/mL IL-6, 12 ng/mL IL-6, 12 ng/mL IL-6, 12 ng/mL IL-6, 3 ng/mL M-CSF to generate monocytes. After day 15, monocytes were seeded onto normal plates and cultured in IF9S medium supplemented with 80ng/mL M-CSF for 4 days. All differentiation steps were cultured under normoxic conditions at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1E.

hPSC microglia differentiation

hESC line H9 was used for microglial differentiation. For microglial differentiation, hESCs were harvested using Accutase and plated on Matrigel-coated dishes at low density in E8 medium supplemented with Y-27632, Activin A, BMP-4 and CHIR99021, followed by WNT-inhibition. On day 2, bFGF was added to the medium. On day 3, cells were dissociated and passaged at low density on Matrigel-coated dishes in E6 medium supplemented with VEGF, bFGF and Y-27632. On day 4, Y-27632 was removed from medium. For the four following days, a cocktail was used of E6 containing the following cytokines/growth factors: VEGF, bFGF, SCF, IL-6, TPO, IL-3. Then, round cells were harvested from the culture between day 10-14 and replated on tissue culture treated plastic dishes in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, M-CSF, IL-34. Maturation was achieved over 4-8 weeks of culture. The protocol details are summarized in Figure S1F.

hPSC cortical and dopamine neuron differentiation

Cortical and mDA differentiation were performed using H9 hESCs. hESCs were grown on VTN-N (Thermo Fisher Scientific)-coated 6well plates in E8-essential medium. Cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO₂. hESCs were differentiated with an optimized protocol from a previously reported study (Zhou et al., 2018).

Adult liver organoid culture

Human hepatocytes and human nonparenchymal fractions were obtained from the Liver Tissue Cell Distribution System (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) funded by (R01-DK-7-0004/HHSN26700700004C). Human liver tissue was digested to obtain cellular fractions. 2,500 cells were mixed 1:1 with Matrigel and placed at the bottom of a 6-well plate. 5 drops per well were added and then incubated for 5 min at 37°C. Medium was used that contained DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1:50 B27 supplement (without vitamin A), 1:100 N2 supplement, 1 mM N-acetylcysteine, 10% (vol/vol) Rspo1-conditioned medium, 10 mM nicotinamide, 10 nM recombinant human [Leu15]-gastrin I, 50 ng/mL recombinant human EGF, 100 ng/mL recombinant human FGF-10, 25 ng/mL recombinant human HGF, 10 μ M Forskolin and 5 μ M A83-01. Medium was changed every 3-4 days and cultures split at about 14 days after initial plating with following split every 5-7 days.

Human islets

The pancreatic organs were obtained from the local organ procurement organization under the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS). The islets were isolated in the Human Islet Core at University of Pennsylvania following the guidelines of Clinical Islet



Transplantation consortium protocol (Ricordi et al., 2016). Briefly, the pancreas was digested following intraductal injection of Collagenase & Neutral Protease in Hanks' balanced salt solution. Liberated islets were then purified on continuous density gradients (Cellgro/Mediatech) using the COBE 2991 centrifuge and cultured in CIT culture media and kept in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator.

Cell Stem Cell Short Article

Cell Lines

HEK293T (human [*Homo sapiens*] fetal kidney) and Vero E6 (African green monkey [*Chlorocebus aethiops*] kidney) were obtained from ATCC (https://www.atcc.org/). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 I.U./mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. All cell lines were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

SARS-CoV-2-Pseudo-Entry Viruses

Recombinant Indiana VSV (rVSV) expressing SARS-CoV-2 spikes was generated as previously described (Nie et al., 2020; Whitt, 2010; Zhao et al., 2017). HEK293T cells were grown to 80% confluency before transfection with pCMV3-SARS-CoV2-spike (kindly provided by Dr. Peihui Wang, Shandong University, China) using FuGENE 6 (Promega). Cells were cultured overnight at 37°C with 5% CO2. The next day, the medium was removed and VSV-G pseudotyped Δ G-luciferase (G* Δ G-luciferase, Kerafast) was used to infect the cells in DMEM at an MOI of 3 for 1 h before washing the cells with 1X DPBS three times. DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and 100 I.U. /mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin was added to the infected cells and they were cultured overnight as described above. The next day, the supernatant was harvested and clarified by centrifugation at 300xg for 10 min before aliquoting and storing at -80° C.

SARS-CoV-2 entry virus infections

To assay pseudo-typed virus infection, cells were seeded in 96 well plates. Pseudo-typed virus was added at the indicated MOI. At 2chpi, the infection medium was replaced with fresh medium. At 24chpi, cells were harvested for luciferase assays or immunohistochemistry analyses. For liver organoids, organoids were seeded in 24-well plates, pseudo-typed virus was added and centrifuged the plate at 1200 g, 1 h. At 24chpi, organoids were fixed for immunohistochemistry or harvested for luciferase assay following the Luciferase Assay System protocol (E1501, Promega).

SARS-CoV-2 Virus infections

SARS-CoV-2, isolate USA-WA1/2020 (NR-52281) was deposited by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH. SARS-CoV-2 was propagated in Vero E6 cells in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS, 4.5 g/L D-glucose, 4 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM Non-Essential Amino Acids, 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate and 10 mM HEPES as described previously (Blanco-Melo et al., 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 infections of hPSC-derived cells/organoids were performed in the respective cell/organoid growth media at the indicated MOIs for 24 h at 37°C. At 24 hpi, cells were washed three times with PBS. For RNA analysis cells were lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen). For immunofluorescence staining cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 24 h at room temperature.

All work involving live SARS-CoV-2 was performed in the CDC/USDA-approved BSL-3 facility of the Global Health and Emerging Pathogens Institute at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in accordance with institutional biosafety requirements.

Xenograft formation

hESCs-dervied endocrine cells were resuspended in 40 μ L Matrigel and transplanted under the kidney capsule of 6 to 8 week old male SCID-beige mice. Two months post-transplantation, SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-entry virus was inoculated locally at 1x10⁴ PFU. At 24 hpi, the mice were euthanized and used for immunohistochemistry analysis.

Immunohistochemistry

Histology on tissues from mice was performed on frozen sections from xenografts. Tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and transferred to 30% sucrose, followed by snap freezing in O.C.T (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Living cells in culture were directly fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 25 min, followed with 15 min permeabilization in 0.1% Triton X-100. For immunofluorescence, cells or tissue sections were immuno-stained with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and secondary antibodies at RT for 1 h. The information for primary antibodies and secondary antibodies is provided in Table S2. Nuclei were counterstained by DAPI.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA samples were prepared from cells/organoids and DNase I treated using TRIzol and Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To quantify viral replication, measured by the expression of sgRNA transcription of the viral N gene, one-step quantitative real-time PCR was performed using SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One-Step qRT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen) with primers specific for the TRS-L and TRS-B sites for the N gene as well as ACTB as an internal reference. Quantitative real-time PCR reactions were performed on a LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche). Delta-delta-cycle threshold ($\Delta\Delta$ CT) was determined relative to ACTB levels and normalized to mock infected samples. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean from three biological replicates. The sequences of primers/probes are provided in Table S3.



Human islet sample sequencing and gene expression UMI counts matrix generation

The 10X libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq500 sequencer. The sequencing data were primarily analyzed by the 10X cellranger pipeline (v3.0.2) in two steps. In the first step, cellranger *mkfastq* demultiplexed samples and generated fastq files; and in the second step, cellranger *count* aligned fastq files to the 10X pre-built human reference genome (GRCh38 v3.0.0) and extracted gene expression UMI counts matrix.

Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis

We filtered cells with less than 300 or more than 6000 genes detected as well as cells with mitochondria gene content greater than 15%, and used the remaining cells (3990, 5594 and 6563 cells for the three samples) for downstream analysis. We normalized the gene expression UMI counts using a deconvolution strategy implemented by the R scran package (v.1.14.1). In particular, we pre-clustered cells using the quickCluster function; we computed size factor per cell within each cluster and rescaled the size factors by normalization between clusters using the computeSumFactors function; we normalized the UMI counts per cell by the size factors and took a logarithm transform using the normalize function. We further normalized the UMI counts across samples using the multiBatchNorm function in the R batchelor package (v1.2.1). We identified highly variable genes using the FindVariableFeatures function in the R Seurat (v3.1.0) (Stuart et al., 2019), and selected the top 3000 variable genes after excluding mitochondria genes, ribosomal genes and dissociationrelated genes. The list of dissociation-related genes was originally built on mouse data (van den Brink et al., 2017); we converted them to human ortholog genes using Ensembl BioMart. We aligned the three samples based on their mutual nearest neighbors (MNNs) using the fastMNN function in the R batchelor package, this was done by performing a principal component analysis (PCA) on the highly variable genes and then correcting the principal components (PCs) according to their MNNs. We selected the corrected top 50 PCs for downstream visualization and clustering analysis. We ran UMAP dimensional reduction using the RunUMAP function in the R Seurat package with the number of neighboring points setting to 35 and training epochs setting to 1000. We clustered cells into thirteen clusters by constructing a shared nearest neighbor graph and then grouping cells of similar transcriptome profiles using the FindNeighbors function and FindClusters function (resolution set to 0.1) in the R Seurat package. We identified marker genes for each cluster by performing differential expression analysis between cells inside and outside that cluster using the FindMarkers function in the R Seurat package. After reviewing the clusters, we merged them into nine clusters representing nine cell types (acinar cells, ductal cells, beta cells, alpha cells, mesenchymal cells, delta cells, pp cells, endothelial cells and immune cells) for further analysis. We re-identified marker genes for the merged nine clusters and selected top 10 positive marker genes per cluster for heatmap plot using the DoHeatmap function in the R Seurat package. The rest plots were generated using the R ggplot2 package.

Human studies

For RNA analysis, tissue was acquired from four deceased COVID19 human subjects during autopsy and processed in TRIZOL. Tissue samples were provided from Weill Cornell Department of Pathology. The uninfected human lung samples were similarly obtained. The Tissue Procurement Facility operates under Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved protocol and follows guidelines set by HIPAA. Experiments using samples from human subjects were conducted in accordance with local regulations and with the approval of the institutional review board at the Weill Cornell Medicine under protocol 20-04021814.

RNA-Seq before and following viral infections

Organoid infections were performed at the described MOI in DMEM supplemented with 0.3% BSA, 4.5 g/L D-glucose, 4 mM L-glutamine and 1 µg/mL TPCKtrypsin and harvested 24 hpi. Total RNA was extracted in TRIzol (Invitrogen) and DNase I treated using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNaseq libraries of polyadenylated RNA were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform. The resulting single end reads were checked for quality (FastQC v0.11.5) and processed using the Digital Expression Explorer 2 (DEE2) (Ziemann et al., 2019) workflow. Adaptor trimming was performed with Skewer (v0.2.2) (Jiang et al., 2014). Further quality control done with Minion, part of the Kraken package (Davis et al., 2013). The resultant filtered reads were mapped to human reference genome GRCh38 using STAR aligner (Dobin et al., 2013) and gene-wise expression counts generated using the "-quantMode GeneCounts" parameter. BigWig files were generated using the bamCoverage function in deepTools2 (v.3.3.0) (Ramírez et al., 2016).

After further filtering and quality control, R package edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) was used to calculate RPKM and Log2 counts per million (CPM) matrices as well as to perform differential expression analysis. Principal component analysis was performed using Log2 CPM values and gene set analysis was run with WebGestalt (Liao et al., 2019). Heatmaps and bar plots were generated using Graphpad Prism software, version 7.0d.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

N = 3 independent biological replicates were used for all experiments unless otherwise indicated. n.s. indicates a non-significant difference. *P*-values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test unless otherwise indicated. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001.