Systemic Spread and Propagation of a Plant-Pathogenic Virus in European Honeybees, *Apis mellifera*

Ji Lian Li,^a R. Scott Cornman,^b Jay D. Evans,^b Jeffery S. Pettis,^b Yan Zhao,^c Charles Murphy,^d Wen Jun Peng,^a Jie Wu,^a Michele Hamilton,^b Humberto F. Boncristiani Jr.,^e Liang Zhou,^f John Hammond,^g Yan Ping Chen^b

Key Laboratory of Pollinating Insect Biology of the Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Apicultural Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Beijing, China^a; Department of Agriculture, ARS, Bee Research Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland, USA^b; Department of Agriculture, ARS Molecular Plant Pathology Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland, USA^c; Department of Agriculture, ARS, Soybean Genomic & Improvement Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland, USA^d; Department of Biology, University North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, North Carolina, USA^e; Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA^f; Department of Agriculture, ARS, Floral and Nursery Plants Research Unit, Beltsville, Maryland, USA^g

ABSTRACT Emerging and reemerging diseases that result from pathogen host shifts are a threat to the health of humans and their domesticates. RNA viruses have extremely high mutation rates and thus represent a significant source of these infectious diseases. In the present study, we showed that a plant-pathogenic RNA virus, tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV), could replicate and produce virions in honeybees, *Apis mellifera*, resulting in infections that were found throughout the entire body. Additionally, we showed that TRSV-infected individuals were continually present in some monitored colonies. While intracellular life cycle, species-level genetic variation, and pathogenesis of the virus in honeybee hosts remain to be determined, the increasing prevalence of TRSV in conjunction with other bee viruses from spring toward winter in infected colonies was associated with gradual decline of host populations and winter colony collapse, suggesting the negative impact of the virus on colony survival. Furthermore, we showed that TRSV was also found in ectoparasitic *Varroa* mites that feed on bee hemolymph, but in those instances the virus was restricted to the gastric cecum of *Varroa* mites, suggesting that *Varroa* mites may facilitate the spread of TRSV in bees but do not experience systemic invasion. Finally, our phylogenetic analysis revealed that TRSV isolates from bees, bee pollen, and *Varroa* mites clustered together, forming a monophyletic clade. The tree topology indicated that the TRSVs from arthropod hosts shared a common ancestor with those from plant hosts and subsequently evolved as a distinct lineage after transkingdom host alteration. This study represents a unique example of viruses with host ranges spanning both the plant and animal kingdoms.

IMPORTANCE Pathogen host shifts represent a major source of new infectious diseases. Here we provide evidence that a pollenborne plant virus, tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV), also replicates in honeybees and that the virus systemically invades and replicates in different body parts. In addition, the virus was detected inside the body of parasitic *Varroa* mites, which consume bee hemolymph, suggesting that *Varroa* mites may play a role in facilitating the spread of the virus in bee colonies. This study represents the first evidence that honeybees exposed to virus-contaminated pollen could also be infected and raises awareness of potential risks of new viral disease emergence due to host shift events. About 5% of known plant viruses are pollen transmitted, and these are potential sources of future host-jumping viruses. The findings from this study showcase the need for increased surveillance for potential host-jumping events as an integrated part of insect pollinator management programs.

Received 20 October 2013 Accepted 13 December 2013 Published 21 January 2014

Citation Li JL, Cornman RS, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Zhao Y, Murphy C, Peng WJ, Wu J, Hamilton M, Boncristiani HF, Jr., Zhou L, Hammond J, Chen YP. 2014. Systemic spread and propagation of a plant-pathogenic virus in European honeybees, *Apis mellifera*. mBio 5(1):e00898-13. doi:10.1128/mBio.00898-13. Editor Anne Vidaver. University of Nebraska

Copyright © 2014 Li et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported license, which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Address correspondence to Yan Ping Chen, Judy.Chen@ars.usda.gov.

The European honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) provides pollination services to 90 commercial crops worldwide. In the United States alone, honeybee pollination is valued at \$14.6 billion annually (1). However, over the past several decades, there has been much concern throughout the world over the steep decline in populations of honeybees (2). Colony collapse disorder (CCD), a mysterious malady that abruptly wiped out entire hives of honeybees across the United States, was first reported in 2006 (3, 4) and has since spread around the world (5), exacerbating the already dire situation for honeybees. RNA viruses, alone or in conjunction with other pathogens, have frequently been implicated in colony losses (3, 6, 7).

Previous studies have shown that viruses that cause common infections in managed honeybees, *A. mellifera*, also infect other hymenopteran pollinators, including the bumblebee, which has also been declining worldwide (8–11). A study conducted by Singh et al. (11) reported that deformed wing virus (DWV), sacbrood virus (SBV), and black queen cell virus (BQCV), which are common in *A. mellifera*, were detected in eleven species of native bees and wasps as well as in pollen pellets collected directly from healthy foraging bees. Furthermore, the study by Singh et al. (11) showed that viruses in the pollen were infective, as illustrated by the fact that queens became infected and laid infected eggs after virus-negative colonies consumed virus-contaminated foods. This discovery raised concerns about a possible role of pollen in spreading viruses and suggested that viruses could possibly contribute to the observed pollinator decline around the world. In order to advance our understanding of the role of pollen in virus transmission of honeybees, we carried out a study to screen bees and pollen loads of bee colonies for the presence of frequent and rare viruses. Our study resulted in the serendipitous detection of a plant virus, tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV), in honeybees and prompted us to investigate whether this plant-infecting virus could cause systemic infection in exposed honeybees.

Generally, the majority of plant viruses are dependent upon herbivorous insects for their spread from one host plant to another in nature but cause infection only in plants that the insect vectors feed upon. To date, only a few plant viruses are known that also infect their insect vectors. Rhabdoviridae, a family of arboviruses carried by arthropods, has long been recognized to have a broad range of hosts throughout the animal and plant kingdoms (12). Flock house virus (FHV), a positive-stranded RNA virus of insect origin belonging to the family Nodaviridae, has been shown to replicate in plants as well as in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and mammalian cells (13, 14). A recent study (15) showed that a plant-pathogenic virus, tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV), which is a member of the family Bunyaviridae, could directly alter the behavior of thrips that vector it. The phenomenon of viral host range spanning the plant and animal kingdoms adds an additional layer to the already complex plant-pathogen-pollinator interactions and could have important epidemiological consequences.

TRSV is a type species of the genus *Nepovirus* within the family Secoviridae (16). TRSV infects a wide range of herbaceous crops and woody plants, some of considerable economic importance. The infected plants show discoloration, malformation, and stunted growth, accompanied by reduced seed vield or almost total seed loss due to flower and pod abortion. Of a number of plant diseases caused by TRSV, bud blight disease of soybean (Glycine max L.) is the most severe. It is characterized by necrotic ring spots on the foliage, curving of the terminal bud, and rapid wilting and eventual death of the entire plant, resulting in a yield loss of 25 to 100% (17). Like other members of the genus, TRSV has a bipartite genome of positive-sense, single-stranded polyadenylated RNA molecules, RNA-1 and RNA-2, which are encapsidated in separate virions of similar size. Both RNA molecules possess a genome-linked protein (Vpg) covalently bound at their 5' ends. RNA-1 encodes a large polyprotein precursor that is proteolytically processed into protease cofactor (P1A), putative ATPdependent helicase (Hel), picornain 3C-like protease (Pro), and RNA-directed RNA polymerase (Pol). RNA2 encodes a virion capsid protein (CP), a putative movement protein (MP), and an N-terminal domain involved in RNA-2 replication (P2A). Proteins encoded by RNA-1 are required for RNA replication, while proteins encoded by RNA-2 function in cell-to-cell movement and viral RNA encapsulation. RNA-1 is capable of replication independently of RNA-2, but both are required for systemic infection. Transmission of TRSV can occur in several ways. The numerous vectors include a dagger nematode (18), aphids, thrips, grasshoppers, and tobacco flea beetle (19–21); however, vertical transmission through seeds is important for long-distance dispersal of the virus (22). It has also been shown that honeybees transmit TRSV when they move between flowers and transfer virusborne pollen from infected plants to healthy ones (23–26). It was,



FIG 1 Schematic diagram showing the genome organization of TRSV and locations of primer sets used for virus distribution and replication studies. Open reading frames encoding proteins are boxed and labeled. Positions of primers utilized for amplification of the flanks are marked by black arrows for both RNA segments.

however, unknown prior to our study whether honeybees could become infected by plant viruses they physically encounter or consume.

In the present study, we provide evidence that TRSV is present in honeybees and the infection can be widespread through the body of honeybees. TRSV in honeybees does not fit a circulativepropagative model of insect-vectored plant viruses, in which virions are ingested by an insect vector, replicate, and disperse to salivary glands for reinfection of the plant host. Instead, our data indicate that the replication of TRSV occurs widely in the honeybee body but not in the gut or salivary gland and that TRSV in conjunction with other bee viruses is correlated with winter colony level declines. Further, virus was found in a common ectoparasite mite of honeybees, *Varroa destructor*, but was restricted to the gastric cecum. This study presents a unique example of viruses that cause infection in both plants and animals.

RESULTS

Sequence identity of TRSV genomic segments and morphology of the virus isolates. Sequence analysis of cDNA libraries from purified virus preparation revealed overlapping and nonoverlapping clones of different lengths. About 75% of the clones (n = 40)matched the genome sequences of common honeybee viruses, including BQCV, DWV, and Israeli acute paralysis virus (IAPV). Unexpectedly, about 20% of the clones (n = 10) matched the sequences of TRSV for two genomic segments in the NCBI database. By assembling sequence fragments from different cDNA clones, we obtained a 1,545-bp length of nucleotide sequences encoding the RNA helicase and covering ~21% of the coding region of the polyprotein gene of genomic RNA-1. We also obtained a 2,024-bp long sequence encoding the complete capsid protein. A BLAST search of the helicase sequence showed highest identity with a TRSV strain isolated from bud blight disease of soybean (GenBank accession no. U50869), with 88% homology at the nucleotide level and 96% homology at the amino acid level. A BLAST search of the DNA fragment encoding the capsid protein showed strongest similarity to a TRSV strain from bean (GenBank accession no. L09205), with 96% homology at the nucleotide level and 99% homology at the amino acid level. The cDNA sequences were used to design two primer sets, TRSV-F1/R1 and TRSV-F2/R2 (Fig. 1), for the subsequent studies of TRSV replication and distribution in honeybees and Varroa mites.

Electron microscopy showed no obvious contamination from



FIG 2 Electron microscopy of TRSV particles from infected honeybees. The presence of TRSV particles in viral preparation was confirmed by RT-PCR assay. Bar, 100 nm.

host cellular material. Negatively stained viral particles had a diameter of 25 to 30 nm and an icosahedral shape, typical morphological features of secoviruses (Fig. 2), and RT-PCR assay confirmed the presence of TRSV in the viral preparation for EM analysis.

The purity of the virus preparation in our study was confirmed by electron microscopy. Electron microscopy showed no obvious contamination from host cellular material. Negatively stained viral particles had a diameter of 25 to 30 nm and an icosahedral shape, typical morphological features of secoviruses (Fig. 2). However, the viral preparation was determined by RT-PCR to contain not only TRSV but other bee viruses as well, including BQCV, DWV, and IAPV. It was not possible to definitely distinguish TRSV viral particles morphologically from these other bee viruses.

Distribution and replication of TRSV in infected honeybees. Although no apparent disease symptoms were observed in examined bees, TRSV was widespread in honeybee tissues, which was confirmed by the amplification of a 731-bp PCR fragment with the TRSV-F2/R2 primer set. Except for the compound eyes, TRSV was found in all tissues examined, including hemolymph, wings, legs, antennae, brain, fat bodies, salivary gland, gut, nerves, tracheae, and hypopharyngeal gland. Although there was the same amount of input cDNA, the intensity of the PCR signals varied between samples. Tissues of the gut and muscle had weaker PCR bands than other tissues, indicating a relatively lower level of TRSV infection (Fig. 3). It is unclear if the absence of PCR amplification in the compound eye was due to PCR inhibition previously reported for that tissue (27).

TRSV is a positive-stranded RNA virus replicating through the production of a negative-stranded intermediate; therefore, the

Detection TRSV in Different Tissues of Honey Bees



FIG 3 Detection of tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV) in different tissues of honeybees by conventional RT-PCR. The 731-bp bands on the right side of the gels indicate the presence of positive signal for TRSV.

presence of negative-stranded RNA constitutes proof of active viral replication. To investigate the replication of TRSV in bees, negative-stranded RT-qPCR was performed using a tagged primer system (28). Amplification and sequence analysis of a 462-bp negative-strand-specific product in different tissues showed that active replication of TRSV occurs in most tissues (Fig. 4). A single peak on the melting curve analysis corroborated the specificity of the amplicon. The lack of amplification following RT-qPCR of total RNA without primers in the reverse transcription reaction mixture ruled out any nonspecific effect from self priming due to the secondary structure of viral RNA or false priming by antigenomic viral RNA or cellular RNAs. Among tissues with detectable levels, the relative abundance of negative-stranded TRSV varied significantly (P < 0.001; one-way analysis of variance [ANOVA]). The brain had the lowest detectable level of negativestranded TRSV and was chosen as the calibrator. The abundance of TRSV in other tissues relative to the brain ranged from 56-fold to 957-fold. The concentration of TRSV in additional body tissues showed the following ranking: muscle > hypopharyngeal gland > leg > fat body > trachea > hemolymph > antenna > nerve > wing. The replication of TRSV was not evident in the salivary gland, gut or compound eye (Fig. 5), although the presence of PCR inhibitors in the latter is a possibility (27).

Localization of TRSV in the ectoparasitic Varroa mite of honeybees. In situ hybridization showed that TRSV could also be detected in the ectoparasitic mite, V. destructor, collected from the same TRSV-infected bee colonies. Sections hybridized with a digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled TRSV RNA probe had strong staining within the storage organs of the mite, the upper and lower gastric ceca (Fig. 6A), although histopathological signs were not evident in these areas. No positive signal of TRSV was observed in other mite tissues, and no signal was observed with the negative-control probe (Fig. 6B).

Prevalence of TRSV infection in honeybee colonies. Of ten bee colonies included in this study, six were classified as described in Materials and Methods as strong colonies and four were classified as weak colonies. Both TRSV and IAPV were absent in bees from strong colonies in any month, but both were found in bees from weak colonies. As with other detected viruses, TRSV showed



FIG 4 Detection of negative-stranded RNA of TRSV and housekeeping gene for β -actin in different tissues of honeybees by strand-specific RT-qPCR. The 462-bp bands on the right side of the gels indicate the presence of a positive signal for negative-stranded RNA of TRSV. The similar signal intensity of β -actin indicates the same amount of starting material in each tissue sample.

a significant seasonality. The infection rate of TRSV increased from spring (7%) to summer (16.3%) and autumn (18.3%) and peaked in winter (22.5%) before colony collapse. Of viruses detected in weak colonies, DWV was the most commonly detected, with an average annual infection rate of 44%, followed by BQCV, IAPV, and TRSV. Additionally, a low incidence of SBV and chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV) infections was also detected in bees from weak colonies. While DWV and BQCV were detected in both healthy and weak colonies all year round, the prevalence of DWV and BQCV in weak colonies was significantly higher than that in strong colonies. The bee populations in weak colonies that had a high level of multiple virus infections began falling rapidly in late fall. All colonies that were classified as strong in this study survived through the cold winter months, while weak colonies perished before February. In Fig. 7A and B, the seasonal prevalence of TRSV along with other bee viruses in both weak and strong colonies is presented.

Phylogenetic characterization of TRSV isolates. Figure 8 illustrates the phylogenetic relationship among our TRSV isolates and viruses with existing GenBank TRSV sequence records, based on the partial capsid protein sequence amplified with primers. TRSV isolates infecting plants constitute the early lineages of the phylogenetic tree, and TRSV isolates from honeybees, bee pollen, and *Varroa* mites clustered together, branching next from the early lineage. There is no obvious sequence divergence among TRSV isolates from bees, mites, and bee pollen.

DISCUSSION

Among major pathogen groups, RNA viruses have the highest rate of mutation, because the virus-encoded RNA polymerases lack



FIG 5 Relative abundance of negative-stranded RNA of TRSV in different tissues of honeybees. Brain tissue had the minimal level of TRSV and therefore was chosen as a calibrator. The concentration of negative-stranded RNA of TRSV in other tissues was compared with the calibrator and expressed as *n*-fold change. The *y* axis depicts fold change relative to the calibrator.

 $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease proofreading activity (29). The consequence of such high mutation rates is that populations of RNA viruses exist as "quasispecies," clouds of genetically related variants that might work cooperatively to determine pathological characteristics of the population (30). These sources of genetic diversity coupled with large population sizes facilitate the adaptation of RNA viruses to new selective conditions, such as those imposed by a novel host. RNA viruses therefore are the most likely source of emerging and reemerging infectious diseases, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), type A avian influenza A (H5N1), and swine origin influenza A (H1N1), that have engendered worldwide public health concern because of their invasiveness and ability to spread among different species (31–35).

Honeybees carry a strong electrostatic charge that ensures the adherence of pollen to their bodies, and they also actively store pollen in specialized pollen baskets on their hind legs. It is therefore not unexpected that the foraging behavior of honeybees could move virus-contaminated pollen to the flowers of healthy plants (26, 36). However, this study represents the first evidence that honeybees exposed to virus-contaminated pollen could also be subsequently infected and that the infection could be systemic and spread throughout the entire body of honeybees. About 5% of known plant viruses are pollen transmitted, and the genomes of the majority of plant viruses are made of RNA (37, 38), providing a large set of potential host-jumping viruses. The finding from this study illustrates the complexity of relationships between plant pathogens and the pollinating insects and emphasizes the need for surveillance for potential host-jumping events as an integrated part of insect pollinator conservation.



A. Hybridized With Dig-Labeled TRSV Probe

B. Hybridized Without Dig-labeled TRSV Probe



FIG 6 In situ hybridization analysis of *Varroa* mites. (A) The slides were hybridized with DIG-labeled TRSV probe. (B) The slides were not hybridized with DIG-labeled TRSV probe. The positive signal is dark blue, and the negative areas are pink. The infected tissues of the upper and lower gastric ceca are shown in dark blue.

For a virus to successfully establish infection in a novel host, the virus must overcome three major hurdles. First, it must have the opportunity to come into contact with a prospective host for the viral particles to gain entry into the host cells. Second, the virus must undergo genetic changes that mediate the entry of virus into host cells, typically through host receptors on the cell surface. The virus must also undergo genetic changes that can lead to the ability to bypass the host's immune defense and replicate its genome using the host's cellular machinery. Finally, the virus must gain the ability to spread horizontally between individuals of the same gen-

A. Weak Colonies



B. Strong Colonies



FIG 7 Seasonal prevalence of TRSV and other honeybee viruses in honeybee colonies. (A) Weak colonies. The prevalence of TRSV along with deformed wing virus (DWV), black queen cell virus (BQCV), Israeli acute paralysis virus (IAPV), and two rarely detected viruses, sacbrood virus (SBV) and chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV) was found in all season. The viral infections reached their peaks in winter before the colony collapsed. Of viruses detected in weak colonies, DWV was the most prevalent, followed by BQCV, IAPV, TRSV, and others (SBV and CBPV). (B) Strong colonies. Only DWV and BQCV were detected in healthy colonies all year round, but the prevalence of the viruses in strong colonies was significantly lower in weak colonies. All strong colonies survived through the cold winter months.

eration within new host populations. The detection of replicate intermediates of TRSV in different tissues of honeybees and the prevalence of TRSV in bee populations provide strong evidence that TRSV has overcome these key hurdles. The presence of a TRSV-positive signal in parasitic *Varroa* mites suggests that *Varroa* could serve as a vector to facilitate the horizontal transmission of TRSV between bees in the colonies.

Food-borne transmission is one of the most important routes for virus transmission in honeybees. Infections of several honeybee viruses occur through ingestion of virus-contaminated food followed by dissemination of the viruses from the midgut into other tissues through the hemolymph (39). Since TRSV is a known pollen-borne plant virus, we initially believed that the presence of TRSV was restricted to the bees' digestive tract. However, titers of TRSV in our study were unexpectedly low in the gut. Viral replication was not detected in either the gut or the salivary gland. Instead, high titers of negative-stranded virus were found in the wing, nerve, antenna, trachea, hemolymph, and fat body, indicating replication in those tissues. The absence of virus replication in the tissues of the gut and salivary gland excludes the possibility of TRSV as a persistent-propagative virus which must first replicate in epithelial cells of the midgut and then migrate to the salivary glands to be ejected together with saliva. Our quantitative analysis suggests that TRSV is neurotropic in honeybees, with



FIG 8 Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of TRSV isolates from arthropod and plant hosts. The partial sequences of capsid protein of TRSV amplified from honeybees, *Varroa* mites, and bee pollen were compared with the corresponding regions of TRSV that were isolated from plants and retrieved from GenBank. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test are shown next to the branches. Numbers at each node represent bootstrap values as percentages of 500, and only bootstrap values of >50% are shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree and in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. Individual sequences are labeled by their GenBank accession numbers.

more extensive infection detected in the nervous system than in other internal tissues, and therefore it is conceivable that severe TRSV infection can cause functional impairment of the nerve and muscle in honeybees. The low levels of TRSV in the gut suggests a possible result of sloughing off of infected epithelial cells from midgut as a host defensive mechanism or the possibility that TRSV might utilize some alternative invasion routes such as the neural or tracheal route. Further investigation of the virus transmission and pathogenicity is warranted.

The circulation of TRSV in bee hemolymph was further proven by the presence of TRSV in *Varroa* mites. *Varroa* is an obligate parasite of the honeybee and has been catastrophic for the beekeeping industry. Both adult mites and nymphs use their piercing mouth parts to penetrate the body wall of the bees and suck out the hemolymph. In addition to its direct detrimental effects on host life span and colony vigor (40–45), the feeding of mites on bees provides an entry for microbial pathogens (44). Indeed, the roles played by *Varroa* mites in acquiring and transmitting honeybee viruses have been experimentally demonstrated in several studies (46–49). The observation of the positive signal of TRSV within the storage organ of the mites suggests that the *Varroa* mite is not merely a mechanical vector that physically transports viruses from host to host with its mouthparts. More work is needed to confirm whether *Varroa* mites can act as a biological vector to support TRSV replication.

TRSV isolates from honeybees, Varroa mites, and bee pollen clustered together phylogenetically, indicating that they descended from a common ancestor. It is likely that Varroa mites obtained the virus from their hosts during the blood feeding and that the virus-infected bees contaminated the bee pollen when they mix plant pollen with their glandular secretions and honey to produce "bee bread." The finding that TRSV isolates from honeybees appeared to be derived more recently on the evolutionary timeline than TRSV from plants suggests that life cycles of the virus involving arthropod hosts evolved after host expansion. However, it remains to be determined whether TRSV possesses the ability to maintain persistent infection in honeybee colonies in the absence of newly inoculated viruses from visited plants or whether infected bees can subsequently inoculate healthy plants. It will similarly be helpful to screen other pollinator species for the presence of TRSV, since it is known that honeybees and other pollinators share some viral species (8–11, 50).

Sequence comparison of the TRSV isolates from this study with isolates with other accession numbers suggests that the capsid protein region is much more conserved than the RNA helicase region at the nucleotide level. The relatively high level of sequence similarity at the amino acid level for both capsid protein and helicase indicates a high level of structural and functional conservation. Nevertheless, substitution of a single or a few amino acids at the surface of virus particles can be sufficient to alter receptor recognition and thereby alter host range (51). Thus, the few amino acid polymorphisms observed in TRSV strains infecting honeybees may still be associated with cell tropism and host adaptation. It would be helpful to further characterize the complete genome of TRSV isolates from honeybees as well as from Varroa mites to deepen our understanding of genetic diversity of this virus. More work is needed to elucidate the molecular basis of cell tropism and host range modifications and to investigate the roles of the honeybee as a newly identified host in the epidemiology of TRSV.

The evidence of systemic spread and propagation of a plantpathogenic virus in honeybees raises awareness of the potential impact of new viral disease emergence on bee health. While findings from this study have important implications for understanding TRSV transmission and pathogenesis, much remains to be learned about the intracellular life cycle, species-level genetic variation, and pathogenesis of the virus in honeybee hosts. Although the cause(s) of CCD and the decline in the worldwide bee population is not yet fully understood (52), a growing body of evidence has indicated that parasites and pathogens are key culprits involved in widespread disappearance/death and population declines of honeybees (3, 5, 53–57). The observation that increasing prevalence of TRSV in conjunction with other bee viruses in infected colonies is associated with gradual decline of host populations and winter colony collapse supports the argument that virus infections could have a significant negative impact on colony survival. While the simultaneous presence of multiple viruses and asymptomatic viral infections in honeybees as well as lack of a cell culture system for virus production (58, 59) makes Koch's postulates of disease causality difficult to fulfill, the observed negative correlation between the level of TRSV infections and size of host populations suggests that TRSV, in combination with other viruses, is likely a contributing factor to poor survivorship of honeybee colonies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Honeybee colonies and sample collection. Honeybee colonies used for this study were maintained in the research apiaries of the USDA-ARS Bee Research Laboratory in Beltsville, MD. For viral particle purification and tissue dissection, fifty adult worker bees were collected by removing a central frame filled with brood and covered with adult bees from a hive and gently scraping worker bees into a 50-ml conical tube. In addition, bee pollen that was processed by bees and stored in combs around the brood was collected using a spatula and transferred into 15-ml conical tubes. Individual *Varroa* mites that had crawled from brood cells onto the tops of brood frames were collected with forceps and transferred into 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes.

For assessing the effects of viruses on honeybees, the seasonal prevalence of virus infections was determined in ten colonies for a period of 1 year starting in March and finishing in February of the following year. Bee colonies were classified as strong or weak based on the size of adult populations, amount of sealed brood, and presence of food stores, as previously described (60). Bee colonies that had more than ten frames covered with adult workers and more than six frames filled with brood and food stores were defined as strong colonies, while bee colonies that had a small number of foraging bees flying in and out, fewer than ten frames of food stores were defined as weak colonies. For each colony, samples of 20 adult workers were collected every month and stored at -80° C until subsequent RNA isolation for virus analysis.

Virus purification and electron microscopy. Thirty worker bees were frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder, and homogenized in 10-ml extraction buffer (0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer [pH 7.5], 0.2% diethyldithiocarbamate, 1/5 volume of diethyl ether). The mixture was emulsified with 5 ml carbon tetrachloride and centrifuged at $5,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 30 min to remove tissue debris. Supernatant containing viruses was centrifuged once more at $5,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 30 min and then filtered through a 45-µm filter to remove small tissue debris. The filtrate was then centrifuged at $10,187 \times g$ for 6 h at 4°C to pellet the viral particles. The pellet was resuspended in 2 ml of 0.2 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer. A 15-µl portion of viral solution was examined for the presence of virus particles in an electron microscope. The rest of the viral solution was saved for subsequent viral RNA isolation and cDNA library construction.

Virus particles were negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate on a Formvar-coated Ni grid and viewed in a Hitachi H-7000 electron microscope at magnifications between \times 33,000 and \times 100,000.

cDNA library construction and virus-specific primer design. Total RNA was extracted by homogenizing the viral solution with TRIzol LS reagent (Invitrogen), a solution of phenol and guanidine isothiocyanate used for isolating total RNA from liquid samples according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resultant RNA pellets were resuspended in DNase- and RNase-free water (Invitrogen) in the presence of ribonuclease inhibitor (Invitrogen). The quantity and purity of RNA were measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies). The cDNA library was constructed using a CloneMiner cDNA library construction kit (Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's protocol. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from extracted RNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase with a biotin-conjugated attB2 oligo(dT) primer. After cDNA synthesis, the products were size fractionated by column chromatography to remove excess primers, adapters, and small cDNAs and cloned into an attP-containing donor vector, pDONR 222. The BP (recombination between attB and attP sites) reaction products were transformed into ElectroMAX DH10B T1 phage-resistant cells, and the transformed cells were plated onto LB agar medium supplemented with

kanamycin (50 μ g/ml). The positive clones were purified using the Wizard Plus miniprep DNA purification system (Promega). A total of 50 cDNA clones were randomly selected and sequence analyzed to confirm the presence of the insert.

Primers specific for TRSV RNA segments 1 and 2 were designed based on the nucleotide sequences obtained from cDNA clones of this study. The sequences of primers for amplifying a 462-bp region of helicase (Hel) of RNA segment 1 were TRSV-F1 (5'-CATGAATGTTGTTATCCAAT-3') and TRSV-R1 (5'-TCCTCAGTAAATTTCATTTG-3'). The sequences of primers for amplifying a 731-bp region of capsid protein (CP) region of RNA segment 2 were TRSV-F2 (5'-GTGTGCTGTGACGGTTGTTCC-3') and TRSV-R2 (5'-TGCCAGACCACCCAAGATTCC-3'). Figure 1 illustrates the positions of primers.

Bee tissue dissection. Twenty adult worker bees were individually fixed on the wax top of a dissecting dish with steel insect pins. Under a dissecting microscope, about 10 μ l of hemolymph was collected from each bee with a micropipette tip by making a small hole on the roof of the bee's thorax with a needle to make it bleed. Following hemolymph collection, the legs, wings, antennae, and compound eyes were cut off with a pair of fine scissors. The body was opened by cutting along the dorsal midline from the tip of the abdomen to the head with scissors. Tissues of the brain, fat body, salivary gland, gut, muscle, nerve, trachea, and hypopharyngeal gland were individually removed using a pair of fine forceps under a dissecting microscope. In total, thirteen tissues were collected from each bee, and a total of thirty bees were dissected. The scissors and forceps were wiped between tissues once with a cotton pad soaked with 10% bleach and once with a cotton pad soaked with 70% alcohol followed by a final rinse in sterile water. To prevent possible contamination with hemolymph, all tissues were rinsed once in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and twice in nuclease-free water. The washing solution was changed every time for each tissue to prevent cross-contamination. All freshly dissected tissues were subjected to subsequent RNA extraction immediately.

Total RNA extraction and conventional RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from disserted tissues, adult bees, bee pollen, and *Varroa* mites using Invitrogen Trizol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. Conventional RT-PCR was performed on RNA samples extracted from adult bees, *Varroa* mites, different tissues, and bee bread collected from the same colony for the presence and distribution of TRSV. The Promega one-step Access RT-PCR system was used for virus detection as previously described (58). PCR products were purified and sequenced to confirm the specificity of the primers.

To determine the seasonal prevalence of TRSV in honeybee colonies, bee samples collected every month were subject to RT-PCR analysis individually for TRSV as well as other seven common honeybee viruses, including acute bee paralysis virus (ABPV), BQCV, chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV), DWV, Israeli acute paralysis virus (IAPV), Kashmir bee virus (KBV), and SBV. The primer pair TRSV-F2/TRSV-R2 was used for RT-PCR amplification of TRSV. The primer sets used for RT-PCR amplification of common honeybee viruses have been reported previously (49, 58). Putative TRSV amplification products were purified and sequenced to confirm the specificity of the RT-PCR assay. The infection rate of each virus (20 workers) and strength of individual colonies were recorded every month throughout the year.

Strand-specific RT-qPCR. In order to determine the ability of TRSV to replicate in different tissues of honeybees, RNA samples were further analyzed for the presence and abundance of negative-stranded RNA, a replicative intermediate, using strand-specific reverse transcription coupled with quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). For each tissue sample, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with Tag-TRSV-F1 (5'-AGCCTGCGCA CGTGGcatgaatgttgttatccaat-3'), where the capitalized sequence corresponding to Tag was published by Yue and Genersch (61). The synthesized cDNAs were then purified using a MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen) followed by a MinElute Reaction Clean kit (Qiagen) to remove short fragments of oligonucleotides and residue of enzymatic reagents to

prevent amplification of non-strand-specific products (28). cDNA derived from negative-stranded RNA was amplified using the Brilliant SYBR green qPCR master mix (Stratagene) with a 0.4 μ M concentration each of the Tag (3'-AGCCTGCGCACCGTGG-5') and TRSV-R1 primers in a 25- μ l volume according to the manufacturer's protocol. To normalize the qPCR result, amplification of a housekeeping gene, the β -actin gene, was performed for each sample with a previously reported primer set (62).

The amplification for both TRSV and β -actin was carried out following the manufacturer's recommended protocol for thermal profile parameters for three-step PCR. After amplification, a melting curve analysis was performed to determine the specificity of the PCR products. Each sample was run in triplicate, and the qPCR assay was repeated twice. The amplification efficiencies of the SYBR green real-time RT-qPCR assay for both TRSV and β -actin were proved to be approximately equal (data not shown). The output of RT-qPCR assays for TRSV in different tissues was interpreted by using the comparative cycle threshold method ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) method). The average C_T value (ΔC_T) of TRSV in each tissue was normalized using the C_T value corresponding to the endogenous control, β -actin, with the following formula: ΔC_T = average C_T (TRSV) – average C_T (β actin). The tissue that had the lowest level of TRSV was chosen as a calibrator. The ΔC_T value of each tissue was subtracted from the ΔC_T value of the calibrator to yield $\Delta\Delta C_T$. The concentration of TRSV in each tissue was calculated using the formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ and expressed as *n*-fold difference relative to the calibrator.

In situ hybridization. Purified amplicons corresponding to the region flanked by the TRSV-F2 and TRSV-R2 primer set were incorporated into a pCR2.1 TA cloning vector upstream of a T7 promoter (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Recombinant plasmid DNAs with the TRSV insert were linearized by restriction enzyme BamHI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) at 37°C for 2 h. The linearized DNAs were extracted once with an equal volume of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated by ethanol, and dissolved in nuclease water. The DIG-labeled RNA probe complementary to TRSV genomic RNA was synthesized using a DIG-RNA labeling kit (T7) (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Live *Varroa* mites were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 100 mM PBS (pH 7.0) overnight at 4°C, rinsed in nuclease-free water three times, and then stored in 70% ethanol (200 proof) at 4°C until used. Tissue dehydration was carried out by successive incubations in ethanol (70%, 95%, and 100%) and xylol (twice for 5 min each) and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections were cut 2 to 5 micrometers thick and mounted on poly-L-lysinated slides and stored at 4°C overnight. The sections were then rehydrated through a descending concentration of ethanol (100%, 95%, and 70%), dewaxed in xylol, treated with proteinase K (10 μ g/ml) for 30 min, and acetylated with 0.33% (vol/vol) acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine-HCl (pH 8.0) for 10 min prior to hybridization.

The sections were prehybridized in prehybridization solution (50% formamide, 5× SSC [1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate], 40 µg/ml salmon sperm) at 58°C for 2 h and incubated in hybridization buffer with DIG-labeled TRSV probe solution to a concentration of 100 to 200 ng/ml probe in prehybridization solution at 58°C overnight. After hybridization, the sections were washed twice in low-stringency wash solution ($2 \times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS) at room temperature for 5 min and washed twice in high-stringency wash solution $(0.1 \times SSC, 0.1\% SDS)$ at 52°C for 15 min. The hybridization signals were detected with alkaline phosphatase (AP)-labeled sheep anti-DIG antibody conjugate (Roche Applied Science). The conjugate solution was added to the dry sections and incubated at 4°C for 2 h in a humid chamber. The slides were rinsed three times with washing buffers. The color development was performed by adding the buffer solution containing nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) to the tissue sections and incubating for 3 to 6 h at room temperature with protection from light. The color reaction was stopped by a 5-min wash in Tris-EDTA (0.1 mM, pH 8.0). The nonspecific staining was removed in 95% ethanol

overnight. The sections were rehydrated through successive incubation in ethanol (70%, 95%, and 100%) and xylol (twice for 15 min each) and mounted in Eukitt resin. Negative control reactions included regular dUTP instead of DIG-labeled TRSV probe. *In situ* hybridization slides were observed under a light microscope (Eclipse TE 300; Nikon) and photographed with a Nikon digital camera (DXM 1200). Dark blue coloring indicates where the DIG-labeled probe bound directly to the viral RNA. The section hybridized with the negative control showed pink staining only from the application of nuclear fast red.

Phylogenetic analysis. The sequences of the 731-bp TRSV fragment amplified from the region encoding the capsid protein by the primer pair TRSV-F2 and TRSV-R2 from honeybees, bee pollen, and *Varroa* mites were compared with existing GenBank sequences isolated from plants. Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in MEGA4 (63). The sequences were aligned using ClustalW, and the sequences that could not be aligned unambiguously at both 3' and 5' ends were truncated. A tree was built using the neighbor-joining method (64) with distances computed using the maximum composite likelihood method (65). The reliability of the phylogeneis was assessed by bootstrap replication (500 replicates) (66). Node labels correspond to bootstrap support, and values of >50% were regarded as evidence for the phylogenetic grouping.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The cDNA sequence data have been submitted to the GenBank sequence database and assigned the accession numbers JQ710729 and JQ710730 for the helicase and capsid protein coding regions, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Gene Robinson, Nancy A. Moran, and John Burand for their comments and helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

This research was supported in part by a USDA-CAP grant (2009-85118-05718).

Mention of trade names or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

REFERENCES

- 1. Morse R, Calderone N. 2000. The value of honey bee pollination in the United States. Bee Cult. **128**:1–15.
- Potts SG, Biesmeijer JC, Kremen C, Neumann P, Schweiger O, Kunin WE. 2010. Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25:345–353. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007.
- Cox-Foster DL, Conlan S, Holmes EC, Palacios G, Evans JD, Moran NA, Quan PL, Briese T, Hornig M, Geiser DM, Martinson V, vanEngelsdorp D, Kalkstein AL, Drysdale A, Hui J, Zhai J, Cui L, Hutchison SK, Simons JF, Egholm M, Pettis JS, Lipkin WI. 2007. A metagenomic survey of microbes in honey bee colony collapse disorder. Science 318: 283–287. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1146498.
- VanEngelsdorp D, Underwood R, Caron D, Hayes J, Jr. 2007. An estimate of managed colony losses in the winter of 2006–2007: a report commissioned by the apiary inspectors of America. Am. Bee J. 147: 599–603.
- Neumann P, Carreck NL. 2010. Honey bee colony losses. J. Apic. Res. 49:1–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.01.
- Dainat B, vanEngelsdorp D, Neumann P. 2012. Colony collapse disorder in Europe. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 4:123–125. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00312.x.
- Genersch E, von der Ohe W, Kaatz H, Schroeder A, Otten C, Büchler R, Berg S, Ritter W, Mühlen W, Gisder S, Meixner M, Liebig G, Rosenkranz P. 2010. The German bee monitoring project: a long term study to understand periodically high winter losses of honey bee colonies. Apidologie 41:332–352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/apido/2010014.
- Genersch E, Yue C, Fries I, de Miranda JR. 2006. Detection of deformed wing virus, a honey bee viral pathogen, in bumble bees (Bombus terrestris and Bombus pascuorum) with wing deformities. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 91: 61–63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.10.002.
- Li J, Peng W, Wu J, Strange JP, Boncristiani H, Chen Y. 2011. Crossspecies infection of deformed wing virus poses a new threat to pollinator conservation. J. Econ. Entomol. 104:732–739. http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/ EC10355.

- Peng W, Li J, Boncristiani H, Strange JP, Hamilton M, Chen YP. 2011. Host range expansion of honey bee Black queen cell virus in the bumble bee Bombus huntii. Apidologie 42:650–658. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s13592-011-0061-5.
- Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, Vanengelsdorp D, Lipkin WI, Toth AL, Cox-Foster DL. 2010. RNA viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-Apis hymenopteran species. PLoS One 5:e14357. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014357.
- Ammar el-D, Tsai CW, Whitfield AE, Redinbaugh MG, Hogenhout SA. 2009. Cellular and molecular aspects of rhabdovirus interactions with insect and plant hosts. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 54:447–468. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.ento.54.110807.090454.
- Selling BH, Allison RF, Kaesberg P. 1990. Genomic RNA of an insect virus directs synthesis of infectious virions in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 87:434–438. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.1.434.
- Dasgupta R, Garcia BH, II, Goodman RM. 2001. Systemic spread of an RNA insect virus in plants expressing plant viral movement protein genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98:4910–4915. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.081288198.
- Stafford CA, Walker GP, Ullman DE. 2011. Infection with a plant virus modifies vector feeding behavior. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108: 9350–9355. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100773108.
- 16. King AMQ, Carstens EB, Lefkowitz EJ. 2012. Secoviridae. *In* Virus taxonomy: ninth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Elsevier Scientific, San Diego, CA.
- Demski JW, Kuhn CW. 1989. Tobacco ringspot virus, p 57–59. *In* Compendium of soybean diseases, 3rd ed. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN.
- R-S-S. 1985. Tobacco ringspot virus. *In* CMI/AAB descriptions of plant viruses no. 309 (no. 17 revised). Association of Applied Biologists, Wellesbourne, United Kingdom.
- Bergeson GB, Athow KL, Laviolette FA, Thomasine M. 1964. Transmission, movement, and vector relationships of tobacco ringspot virus in soybean. Phytopathology 54:723–728.
- Douthit LB, McGuire JM. 1978. Transmission of tobacco ringspot virus by Xiphinema americanum to a wide range of hosts. Plant Dis. Rep. 62: 164–166.
- 21. Dunleavy JM. 1957. The grasshopper as a vector of tobacco ringspot virus in soybean. Phytopathology 47:681–682.
- Scarborough BA, Smith SH. 1977. Effects of tobacco- and tomato ringspot viruses on the reproduction tissues of pelargonium Xhortorum. Phytopathology 67:292–297.
- Bristow PR, Martin RR. 1999. Transmission and the role of honey bees in field spread of blueberry shock ilarvirus, a pollen-borne virus of highbush blueberry. Phytopathology 89:124–130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/ PHYTO.1999.89.2.124.
- Card SD, Pearson MN, Clover GRG. 2007. Plant pathogens transmitted by pollen. Austra. Plant Pathol. 36:455–461.
- Childress AM. 1987. Bee-mediated transmission of blueberry leaf mottle virus via infected pollen in highbush blueberry. Phytopathology 77: 167–172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-77-167.
- Mink G. 1982. The possible role of honey bees in long-distance spread of prunus necrotic ringspot virus from California into Washington sweet cherry orchards, p 85–92. *In* Plumb RT, Thresh JM (ed), Plant virus epidemiology. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Boncristiani HF, Li JL, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Chen YP. 2011. Scientific note on PCR inhibitors in the compound eyes of honey bee. Apidologie 42:457–460. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13592-011-0009-9.
- Boncristiani HF, Jr, Di Prisco G, Pettis JS, Hamilton M, Chen YP. 2009. Molecular approaches to the analysis of deformed wing virus replication and pathogenesis in the honey bee, Apis mellifera. Virol. J. 6:221. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-6-221.
- Drake JW, Holland JJ. 1999. Mutation rates among RNA viruses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96:13910–13913. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.96.24.13910.
- Lauring AS, Andino R. 2010. Quasispecies theory and the behavior of RNA viruses. PLOS Pathog. 6:e1001005.
- 31. Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YQ, Liu XL, Zhuang ZX, Cheung CL, Luo SW, Li PH, Zhang LJ, Guan YJ, Butt KM, Wong KL, Chan KW, Lim W, Shortridge KF, Yuen KY, Peiris JS, Poon LL. 2003. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in

southern China. Science 302:276-278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1087139.

- Hahn BH, Shaw GM, De Cock KM, Sharp PM. 2000. AIDS as a zoonosis: scientific and public health implications. Science 287:607–614. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5453.607.
- Webby RJ, Webster RG. 2001. Emergence of influenza A viruses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 356:1817–1828. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rstb.2001.0997.
- 34. Subbarao K, Klimov A, Katz J, Regnery H, Lim W, Hall H, Perdue M, Swayne D, Bender C, Huang J, Hemphill M, Rowe T, Shaw M, Xu X, Fukuda K, Cox N. 1998. Characterization of an avian influenza A (H5N1) virus isolated from a child with a fatal respiratory illness. Science 279: 393–396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5349.393.
- Woolhouse ME. 2002. Population biology of emerging and re-emerging pathogens. Trends Microbiol. 10:S3–S7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0966-842X(02)02428-9.
- Mink GI. 1993. Pollen and seed-transmitted viruses and viroids. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 31:375-402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.phyto.31.1.375.
- Brunt A, Crabtree K, Dallwitz M, Gibbs A, Watson L. 1996. iruses of plants: descriptions and lists from the VIDE database. CABI International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Gergerich RC, Dolja VV. 2006. Introduction to plant viruses, the invisible foe. Plant Health Instructor. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PHI-I-2006-0414-01.
- Chen YP, Siede R. 2007. Honey bee viruses. Adv. Virus Res. 70:33–80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527(07)70002-7.
- 40. **DeJong D, DeJong PH, Goncalves LS.** 1982. Weight loss and other damage to developing worker honey bees from infestation with Varroa jacobsoni. J. Apic. Res. **21**:165–167.
- Korpela S, Aarhus A, Fries I, Hansen H. 1992. Varroa jacobsoni Oud. in cold climates: population growth, winter mortality and influence on the survival of honey bee colonies. J. Apic. Res. 31:157–164.
- Kovac H, Crailsheim K. 1988. Life span of Apis mellifera carnica Pollm. infested by Varroa jacobsoni Oud. in relation to season and extent of infestation. J. Apic. Res. 27:-230–238.
- 43. Weinberg KP, Madel G. 1985. The influence of the mite Varroa jacobsoni Oud. on the protein concentration and hemolymph volume of the blood of the worker bees and drones of the honey bee, Apis mellifera. Apidologie 16:421–436. http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/apido:19850407.
- 44. Yang X, Cox-Foster DL. 2005. Impact of an ectoparasite on the immunity and pathology of an invertebrate: evidence for host immunosuppression and viral amplification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:7470–7475. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501860102.
- Martin SJ, Highfield AC, Brettell L, Villalobos EM, Budge GE, Powell M, Nikaido S, Schroeder DC. 2012. Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic mite. Science 336:1304–1306. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1220941.
- Bowen-Walker P, Martin S, Gunn A. 1999. The transmission of deformed wing virus between honeybees (Apis mellifera L.) by the ectoparasitic mite Varroa jacobsoni Oud. J. Invert. Pathol. 73:-101–106.
- Chen Y, Pettis JS, Evans JD, Kramer M, Feldlaufer MF. 2004. Molecular evidence for transmission of Kashmir bee virus in honey bee colonies by ectoparasitic mite, Varroa destructor. Apidologie 35:441–448. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1051/apido:2004031.
- Shen M, Yang X, Cox-Foster D, Cui L. 2005. The role of varroa mites in infections of Kashmir bee virus (KBV) and deformed wing virus (DWV) in honey bees. Virology 342:141–149. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.virol.2005.07.012.
- Di Prisco G, Pennacchio F, Caprio E, Boncristiani HF, Evans JD, Chen Y. 2011. Varroa destructor is an effective vector of Israeli acute paralysis virus in the honeybee, Apis mellifera. J. Gen. Virol. 92:151–155. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.023853-0.
- Zhang X, He SY, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Yin GF, Chen YP. 2012. New evidence that deformed wing virus and black queen cell virus are multihost pathogens. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 109:156–159. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jip.2011.09.010.
- Baranowski E, Ruiz-Jarabo CM, Pariente N, Verdaguer N, Domingo E. 2003. Evolution of cell recognition by viruses: a source of biological novelty with medical implications. Adv. Virus Res. 62:19–111. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527(03)62002-6.
- 52. Johnson RM, Evans JD, Robinson GE, Berenbaum MR. 2009. Changes in transcript abundance relating to colony collapse disorder in honey bees

(Apis mellifera). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106:14790–14795. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906970106.

- 53. Vanengelsdorp D, Evans JD, Saegerman C, Mullin C, Haubruge E, Nguyen BK, Frazier M, Frazier J, Cox-Foster D, Chen Y, Underwood R, Tarpy DR, Pettis JS. 2009. Colony collapse disorder: a descriptive study. PLoS One 4:e6481. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006481.
- 54. Vanengelsdorp D, Meixner MD. 2010. A historical review of managed honey bee populations in Europe and the United States and the factors that may affect them. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 103(Suppl 1):S80–S95. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.011.
- 55. VanEngelsdorp D, Speybroeck N, Evans JD, Nguyen BK, Mullin C, Frazier M, Frazier J, Cox-Foster D, Chen Y, Tarpy DR, Haubruge E, Pettis JS, Saegerman C. 2010. Weighing risk factors associated with bee colony collapse disorder by classification and regression tree analysis. J. Econ. Entomol. 103:1517–1523. http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/EC09429.
- Higes M, García-Palencia P, Martín-Hernández R, Meana A. 2007. Experimental infection of Apis mellifera honeybees with Nosema ceranae (Microsporidia). J. Invertebr. Pathol. 94:211–217. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jip.2006.11.001.
- 57. Higes M, Martín-Hernández R, Botías C, Bailón EG, González-Porto AV, Barrios L, Del Nozal MJ, Bernal JL, Jiménez JJ, Palencia PG, Meana A. 2008. How natural infection by Nosema ceranae causes honeybee colony collapse. Environ. Microbiol. 10:2659–2669. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01687.x.
- Chen Y, Pettis JS, Feldlaufer MF. 2005. Detection of multiple viruses in queens of the honey bee Apis mellifera L. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 90:118–121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.08.005.

- Chen Y, Zhao Y, Hammond J, Hsu HT, Evans J, Feldlaufer M. 2004. Multiple virus infections in the honey bee and genome divergence of honey bee viruses. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 87:84–93. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jip.2004.07.005.
- Prisco GD, Zhang X, Pennacchio F, Caprio E, Li J, Evans JD, Degrandi-Hoffman G, Hamilton M, Chen YP. 2011. Dynamics of persistent and acute deformed wing virus infections in honey bees, Apis mellifera. Viruses 3:2425–2441. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/v3122425.
- Yue C, Genersch E. 2005. RT-PCR analysis of Deformed wing virus in honeybees (Apis mellifera) and mites (Varroa destructor). J. Gen. Virol. 86:3419–3424. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.81401-0.
- Chen YP, Higgins JA, Feldlaufer MF. 2005. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR analysis of deformed wing virus infection in the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:436–441. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.1.436-441.2005.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24:1596–1599. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm092.
- Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406–425.
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S. 2004. Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101:11030–11035. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404206101.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/ 2408678.