Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lee Mark Wetzler, Boston University, United States

REVIEWED BY Carsten Skarke, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Brendon J. Coventry brendon.coventry@adelaide.edu.au

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 24 June 2022 ACCEPTED 05 September 2022 PUBLISHED 07 October 2022

CITATION

Otasowie CO, Tanner R, Ray DW, Austyn JM and Coventry BJ (2022) Chronovaccination: Harnessing circadian rhythms to optimize immunisation strategies. *Front. Immunol.* 13:977525. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.977525

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Otasowie, Tanner, Ray, Austyn and Coventry. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Chronovaccination: Harnessing circadian rhythms to optimize immunisation strategies

Claire O. Otasowie ¹, Rachel Tanner^{2,3,4}, David W. Ray^{2,5,6}, Jonathan M. Austyn^{2,7} and Brendon J. Coventry^{7,8*}

¹Green Templeton College, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ²Wolfson College, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ³The Jenner Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁴Institute of Human Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁵National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁶Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁷Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁸Department of Surgery, University of Adelaide, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Vaccination, as a public health measure, offers effective protection of populations against infectious diseases. Optimising vaccination efficacy, particularly for higher-risk individuals, like the elderly whose immunocompromised state can prevent the development of robust vaccine responses, is vital. It is now clear that 24-hour circadian rhythms, which govern virtually all aspects of physiology, can generate oscillations in immunological responses. Consequently, vaccine efficacy may depend critically on the time of day of administration(s), including for Covid-19, current vaccines, and any future diseases or pandemics. Published clinical vaccine trials exploring diurnal immune variations suggest this approach could represent a powerful adjunct strategy for optimising immunisation, but important questions remain to be addressed. This review explores the latest insights into diurnal immune variation and the outcomes of circadian timing of vaccination or 'chronovaccination'.

KEYWORDS

chronovaccination, circadian rhythm, diurnal, chronobiology, vaccination, vaccine, innate & adaptive immunity, immune response

Introduction

Vaccination is a powerful and cost-effective public health strategy to protect against infectious diseases, with \sim 2-3 million lives saved yearly through global infant immunisation programmes (1, 2). However, heterogeneity in vaccine responses remains problematic, including vaccine failure, due to individual factors such as age, genetic background and overall health and immune status (3). The elderly are of

particular interest, since age-associated immune decline and chronic illness comorbidity increase susceptibility to infection, with potentially less effective vaccine responses (3, 4). Hence, new strategies to optimise vaccine-induced immunity are needed. One innovative approach may be to exploit the impact of circadian rhythms on immune responses, by controlling the timing of vaccination to enhance protective immunity through 'chronovaccination'.

Circadian clock control

Pioneering 2017 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine recipient chronobiologists Hall, Robash and Young, elucidated the mechanistic basis of circadian rhythms (Figure 1) (10). Indeed, circadian rhythms govern virtually all elements of our physiology, including the immune system (11). The temporal organisation of physiology is driven by environment and behaviour, and also by cell-intrinsic circadian oscillators (12). Discoveries made under highly controlled conditions have identified autonomous circadian rhythms. However, in the

real-world, analysis is complicated by environmental factors such as light-dark and behavioural rhythms, including sleep-wake and feeding times (13, 14).

In humans, the central circadian clock is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus, where it receives information on light exposure via the retinohypothalamic tract, a non-image forming mechanism of 'entrainment' which results in synchronisation of the internal clock to external time cues (12, 15, 16) (Figure 1). However, most body cells have intrinsic circadian oscillators which are entrained to the SCN by autonomic and humoral pathways, often indirectly regulated as a result of timed eating (12). Approximately 50% of the expressed genes vary through circadian time, with up to 40% of metabolic pathways showing 24-hour periodic oscillations (17-19). Importantly, most immune system cells retain intrinsic circadian rhythmicity, with impacts documented on immunological outputs such as the relative size and functions of immune cell populations, inflammatory responses, and responses to infection. It is no surprise that some studies have identified a role for timing in immune responses to vaccination (11).



Circadian rhythms and the immune response

There is increasing evidence for tight, bidirectional crosstalk between the circadian and immune systems, resulting in temporal gating of the magnitude of immunological responses (11, 20). Rhythmicity in innate immune functions has been long established (11, 21-23). Recent molecular evidence has similarly shown that adaptive immunity is under circadian control. Intrinsic circadian oscillator existence in T-cells was first demonstrated in 2011 by clear rhythmicity of immune-gene (IFNy, CD40L) and clock-gene expression in polyclonally- stimulated CD4+ T-cells isolated from human blood over a 24-hour period (24). This discovery was soon complemented with the first description of circadian oscillations in B-cells from mice housed in 12:12 light:dark conditions or in constant darkness (25). Clock gene expression in CD8+ T-cells has yet to be formally demonstrated, although it is known that rhythmicity in their antigen-specific proliferative responses requires a functional intrinsic clock (26).

A comprehensive analysis of circadian clock influence on the immune system is reviewed elsewhere (11). However, leukocyte migratory behaviour, which is critical for induction of robust adaptive (T-cell and/or B-cell) responses, is of particular interest. In 2017, a seminal mouse study demonstrated that the cellularity of lymph and lymph nodes (LN) oscillates throughout the day, being highest during the behavioural 'active phase' of the organism (at night for mice, and day for humans) due to cellintrinsic rhythmic expression of critical retention and egress factors (27). Consistent with lymphocyte recirculation dynamics, naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell counts in human blood peak at night (02:00) and decline during daytime to an early afternoon (14:00) nadir (28, 29). Dendritic cell (DC) migration into lymph nodes shows similar evidence of rhythmicity due to cell autonomous clocks acting in both DC and lymphatic endothelial cells (30). Even the expression and function of molecules such as Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9), ligation of which can induce DC migration, is regulated in a temporal manner (31).

Several lines of evidence indicate that the outcome from infection is determined by the time of day at which it is initiated in a clock gene-dependent manner. Infection of mice with *Salmonella typhimurium* during their rest phase, for example, resulted in higher bacterial loads compared with those infected in the middle of the active phase, and this is CLOCK-dependent (32). Furthermore, *Bmal1* (Figure 1) in monocytes can modulate *Leishmania* parasite burden (33); and herpes, influenza A, RSV and *Paramyxoviridae* respiratory virus infections are enhanced when *Bmal1* is disrupted (34, 35). These and other studies (36) provide functionally-relevant *in vivo* evidence that the circadian clock can regulate pathogen-specific immunity; further evidence suggests it also regulates disease severity in experimental studies of inflammatory diseases (31).

Chronovaccination

From the foregoing, it is clear there are strong theoretical and experimental lines of evidence supporting potentially critical roles for timing in immunisation protocols. Making therapeutic use of the link between chronobiology and immunity is a novel concept that is rapidly gaining attention for its potential to improve drug and vaccine delivery and efficacy (37, 38). We have coined the term 'chronovaccination' for chronotherapy applied in the context of vaccines, to embrace emerging evidence suggesting that adjusting the time-of-day of vaccination to align with the optimal circadian phase could be a powerful tool to maximise vaccine immunogenicity.

Excitingly, taking biological timing of vaccination into consideration might represent a simple, cost-effective complementary approach to increasing vaccine immunogenicity alongside other considerations in vaccine design. This is particularly relevant given the slow pace of adjuvant discovery, partly due to safety concerns (39), or where behavioural interventions, like stress reduction or exercise before vaccination, are impractical (40).

The new appreciation for circadian orchestration of immune responses is translating into promising clinical chronovaccination research (Figure 2). Leading the efforts, in 2016, Long and colleagues (42, 43) performed the first large-scale randomised controlled trial (RCT) assessing the effect of different vaccination times: UK adults aged over 65 received seasonal influenza vaccines either in the morning (9:00-11:00) or afternoon (15:00-17:00). One month later, significantly higher specific antibody responses were mounted against the A/H1N1 and B influenza strains (but not the A/H3N2 strain) after vaccination in the morning compared to the afternoon (42, 43).

A beneficial influence of morning vaccination is further supported by subsequent trials. In 2020, de Bree and others (44) assessed the effect of time of vaccination on specific and nonspecific immunity induced by the only licenced TB vaccine, Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG). When healthy adults from the Netherlands (mean age 26 years) received the BCG vaccine in the morning (08:00-09:00) or evening (18:00-18:30), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb)-specific IFN-y production by cells in vitro was elevated at 3 months post- vaccination in the group vaccinated in the morning, but not in the evening (44). Furthermore, BCG vaccination in the morning induced stronger trained innate immune responses ('innate memory') against the heterologous pathogen Staphylococcus aureus (44). Given growing interest in the potential non-specific effects of BCG and other vaccines in protecting against all-cause mortality, this could have broaderreaching implications for vaccine effectiveness.

Most recently, there are early indications that morning vaccination has a positive influence on the neutralising antibody response to an inactivated vaccine against SARS-



FIGURE 2

Evidence for improved vaccine responses following morning compared with afternoon vaccination. Vaccination against influenza and hepatitis A in the morning has been associated with increased peak antibody responses (41), and morning influenza vaccination with higher specific antibody responses to the A/H1N1 influenza strain (42, 43). Cells taken following morning BCG vaccination demonstrated elevated M.tb-specific IFN-γ production and stronger heterologous innate immune responses *in vitro* compared with those taken following afternoon vaccination (44). An inactivated COVID-19 vaccine induced higher neutralising antibody titres, improved B-cell and Tfh cell responses and higher percentages of monocytes and dendritic cells when administered in the morning (45). HepA, Hepatitis A; BCG, Bacille Calmette Guérin; *M.tb, Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; IFN-γ, interferon-gamma; Tfh, T follicular helper. Figure created with **BioRender.com**.

CoV-2 from a prospective cohort study of healthcare workers in China (45). Participants vaccinated in the morning had improved B-cell and T follicular helper (Tfh) cell responses at 8 weeks post-vaccination, and higher percentages of circulating monocytes and dendritic cells. An observational study of UK healthcare workers found that time of day of vaccination with the Pfizer mRNA or Oxford-AstraZeneca adenoviral SARS-CoV-2 vaccines was one of several factors influencing the magnitude of anti-spike antibody responses induced (46).

While the mechanism by which time of day influences vaccine-induced immunity remains unclear, studies have considered the role of circulating steroid hormones which vary diurnally and have been associated with immunoregulation and vaccine responses (47–50). However, the time-of-day effects on antibody response to influenza vaccination did not appear to be mediated by these hormones (42, 43). Similarly, de Bree et al. found no associations between circulating cortisol levels and cytokine production *in vitro* following specific or non-specific stimulation of cells from BCG vaccinated volunteers (44). Previous studies have indicated a diurnal effect on BCG-induced immune trafficking including neutrophil migration (51–53), which may be relevant given the central role for neutrophils in transferring BCG to the draining LNs (54).

Rather than circadian rhythms in soluble factors in the circulation, peripheral molecular clocks in cells (coordinated by the central clock in the SCN of the hypothalamus) may play a

key role in timed vaccine effects. Indeed, circadian clock genes have been shown to oscillate in immune cells (24). De Bree et al. hypothesise that a molecular intrinsic clock within monocytes and their progenitors, neutrophils or hematopoietic stem cells could contribute to the observed effects of time of day of vaccination on BCG-induced trained immunity (44). It is similarly possible that clocks in other peripheral blood mononuclear cells and vascular and lymphatic endothelial cells play a role in time of day influences on vaccine responses, but further work is required to understand this association.

Interestingly, epigenetic differences resulting in increased chromatin accessibility in genes important for the mTOR pathway at 3-months post-BCG vaccination have been identified in morning- but not evening-vaccinated participants. Morning-vaccinated individuals also showed enrichment of transcription factors involved in mTOR signalling and associated with active histone marks (44). As the mTOR pathway is key to the induction of trained innate immunity, this may in part account for diurnal variation in the non-specific effects of BCG vaccination (55).

Future studies to validate these early findings might include high-dependency, frailer populations to investigate whether chronovaccination remains effective despite more complex health profiles (56). It is clear that further functional studies are also needed to clarify the molecular and cellular mechanisms involved. Nonetheless, these timely clinical trials provide important preliminary evidence that chronovaccination may be effective for improving the protective response to vaccination, particularly in the elderly.

Population age and chronovaccination outcomes

Evidence for a diurnal response to vaccination is less convincing in younger adults. The literature for this demographic is largely inconclusive: some investigators suggest time-of-day dependent effects on post-vaccination antibody responses (41, 57), while others find no difference (58, 59). This apparent inconsistency between age groups is perhaps explained (60) by reports that humans show heterologous chronotypes in circadian clock timing (sleep/wake) even under entrained conditions, exposing genetic and environmental complexity. Hence, circadian phases are variable across a population, being subject to both internal and external influences.

In 2016, three landmark genome-wide association study (GWAS) analyses of self-reported chronotype (61-64) identified multiple significant genetic associations, highlighting the complex and individual nature of circadian timing. Human populations are typically 'masked', referring to people following external cues and living against their endogenous circadian clock; such people experience circadian misalignment. A prevalent, and extreme cause of circadian misalignment is shiftwork. Interestingly, such individuals have independently increased risk of immune disorders such as asthma (65), cardiovascular disease (66, 67), type-2 diabetes (67), breast cancer (68), Covid-19 infection (69), hospitalisation and reduced vaccination efficacy (69, 70), indicating the potentially serious effects of circadian disruption.

There are highly systematic age-dependent changes of chronotype (60). Older adults become phase advanced (60) perhaps owing to greater morning exposure to natural bright light (71), which is widely accepted as the strongest zeitgeber (i.e. entraining stimulus) (14). In contrast (72), delayed chronotypes ('late-risers') were found in a university student population aged 17-26 years (60). Potentially, dramatic circadian phase differences could confound data analysis, making comparisons of chronovaccination outcomes difficult across different age groups. Surprisingly, individual chronotype has been largely overlooked as a variable in chronovaccination studies to date. These potentially confounding inter-participant phase differences need consideration in future studies for vaccination timing between older and younger adults.

Beyond circadian *phase*, many studies document a decline in circadian *amplitude* with age (73). Reduced immune responses are shown by higher mortality in >65 year old patients, for example for influenza, Covid-19, bacterial pneumonia (74), and

progressively reduced responses to Covid vaccinations (75). Some new intriguing mechanistic insights show diurnal rhythm and some innate immune responses in murine macrophages are abolished with ageing (73).

It is possible that chronovaccination, like other unconventional approaches that seek to optimize the circumstances surrounding vaccination, is only effective for 'sub-optimal' vaccination responses, as are typical in older age (74). This controversial viewpoint from Edwards et al. (40) suggests that acute exercise only enhanced the antibody response in healthy young adults (mean age 22 years) when participants were given a weakly-immunogenic half-dose of pneumococcal vaccine, as opposed to the full-dose (40). Strengthening this hypothesis will require evidence that nonuniform vaccine responses to behavioural interventions segregate with participant immunocompetence. An informative approach could include a group of participants taking immunosuppressant medication, for example therapeutic glucocorticoids.

Arguably, interventions optimising vaccine immunogenicity should prioritise those where vaccine failure poses the greatest concern, particularly those aged over 65 and the immunocompromised (75–77). Such strategies must account for inflammageing and immunosenescence as two essential characteristics of ageing (74–76). Previous studies have documented shifts in immune cell populations as people age: for example, there is a relative predominance of memory and effector T-cells over naïve T-cells in the elderly (77). Similar changes have been observed for naïve B-cells, diversity of the Bcell repertoire and B-cell production with age (78). Further studies are clearly needed to clarify the impact of population age on outcomes of timed vaccinations.

Clinical efficacy of chronovaccination

Despite displays of statistical significance in terms of immunogenicity (41–43, 57), elucidating the clinical significance of chronovaccination for vaccine efficacy has received remarkably little attention. It remains largely unknown how, or indeed if, the observed increases in early post-chronovaccination antibody titre and other immune parameters correspond to a better quality of response and, crucially, whether this confers greater protection against infection and/or disease over time. Critics question whether time-of-day of vaccination can truly affect a slowly mounted adaptive response that fundamentally depends on chance and dynamic interactions between highly motile cells (79, 80). This viewpoint is incompatible with the abundant evidence that coordinated adaptive immune responses and outcomes of infection depend also on biological time (11).

10.3389/fimmu.2022.977525

Evidence of an increase in specific neutralising antibodies [which are broadly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection (81)] following morning compared with evening COVID-19 vaccination could suggest a functionallyrelevant influence of the circadian clock (44). Establishing whether the timing of vaccination meaningfully impacts on immunogenicity and clinical protective efficacy from pathogen infections and disease will be crucial before any clear recommendations can be made to change current vaccination practices (80). Indeed, in addition to 'chronoefficacy' being noted, so has 'chronotoxicity', where deleterious effects might depend on the time-of-day dosing (82).

Discussion

Chronovaccination may represent a paradigm shift in vaccine immunology. However, pressing questions remain concerning its practicalities. Given inter-individual chronotype heterogeneity, chronovaccination may benefit from a population-specific or personalised approach to accurately predict optimal biological time, such as outlined by Wittenbrink et al. (83) using a single blood sample. The logistical and economic cost of this additional test may, however, pose a barrier to the widespread implementation of 'individualised' chronovaccination. Moreover, repeated serial measurements will undoubtedly be required to define the complex immunobiology underlying vaccination responses.

Even sampling itself may be a confounding factor in being able to interpret the outcomes of chronovaccination studies. The fact that peripheral leukocyte circulation shows diurnal oscillations (28) and that innate and adaptive response components can diurnally fluctuate significantly in a vaccinated individual (41–46), makes it perhaps surprising that blood sample 'collection time-of-day' is not already considered as a covariate in most chronovaccination trials, and that unvaccinated or placebo control groups are not also included in study designs. For example, differences in baseline number of B-cells (59), or of the capacity of monocytes to produce proinflammatory cytokines (44), vary between samples collected in the morning compared to the evening. Utilising big-data analytics, precise timing of vaccine delivery and sample collection should be recorded in future clinical trials (59).

Chronovaccination protocols may also require tailoring to the vaccine used and the type of protective response required (42, 43). Speculatively, it might emerge that chronovaccination has a different optimal time-of-day for different vaccine dosestrengths, formulations, types, or adjuvants according to their mode of action. Considering the superimposed effects that the sequence of vaccinations may have on their efficacy is important, particularly if chronovaccination is to be applied to infant immunization programmes. Aaby et al. (84) noted in an observational study that oral polio vaccination in the first weeks of life can render the second dose of measles vaccine more effective in reducing overall mortality, and this was further reduced when early BCG vaccination was added (84). This may make the task of accurately evaluating the true clinical impact of chronovaccination even more challenging.

Potentially, chronovaccination offers improved clinical efficacy of current licensed vaccines, particularly those that are partially-effective such as BCG, for the elderly, and to inform the development of novel vaccines and adjuvants. There is strong mechanistic evidence that circadian rhythmicity in immune function influences the innate and adaptive responses according to the time-of-day of initial antigen challenge. However, this is yet to translate into conclusive results from clinical chronovaccination trials due to methodological limitations and insufficient study of the relevance, specifics and generalisability of findings for useful recommendations.

Early reports of increased antibody titre following morning influenza vaccination in the elderly are indeed promising but, crucially, it remains unknown whether the immuno-enhancing effect confers any clinically significant reduction in infection, disease incidence and/or survival. At least, no harm appears evident from morning vaccination. The current evidence does, however, clearly highlight that the time of vaccination and even sample collection can have major (and potentially misleading) effects on study results and correlated outcomes. The most immediate influence of chronovaccination research may lie in its experimental consideration as a covariable in future vaccine clinical trial designs. Further study is certainly needed to resolve the important issues highlighted in this review before any potential future clinical role for chronovaccination can be safely implemented.

In the meantime, it would seem easy and reasonable that 'time of vaccination' should be stratified into most vaccine trials for later analysis. 'Omics' based deep phenotyping approaches may be key to creating a phase translation map that would aid in identifying translational potential and challenges (85, 86). Indeed, a pilot study has demonstrated the feasibility of characterising the human "chronobiome" at scale (85, 86), and a recent visualisation tool identified rhythms in -omics scale datasets (85-87). Other nearfuture steps to bridge the chronovaccination knowledge gap may include greater recognition of circadian research within the medical school curricula, vaccinology and immunology courses (as done in the Oxford Masters in Integrated Immunology Course), funding body incentivisation for inclusion in vaccine trial proposals, and industry consideration in the vaccine development pipeline. Although perhaps tempting to speculate that morning vaccination might have higher clinical efficacy, more work is needed to confirm and recommend this safely (82) for general vaccine dosing.

Author contributions

CO conceived the paper with assistance from JA, partly inspired by the Oxford Masters in Integrated Immunology Course (BC & JA). The first draft was written by CO. RT, DR, BC, and JA contributed to the writing and critical reading of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

Figures were created with BioRender.com.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Immunization coverage factsheet*, 3rd ed. (Geneva: World Health Organization) (2009) Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/immunization-coverage.

2. World Health Organization. *Immunization coverage factsheet*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/immunization-coverage Accessed September 2022.

3. Wiedermann U, Garner-Spitzer E, Wagner A. Primary vaccine failure to routine vaccines: Why and what to do? *Hum Vaccines Immunotherapeutics* (2016) 12(1):239–43. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1093263

4. Goodwin K, Viboud C, Simonsen L. Antibody response to influenza vaccination in the elderly: A quantitative review. *Vaccine* (2006) 24(8):1159–69. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.08.105

5. Hastings MH, Maywood ES, Brancaccio M. Generation of circadian rhythms in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Nat Rev Neurosci* (2018) 19(8):453-69. doi: 10.1038/s41583-018-0026-z

6. Jagannath A, Taylor L, Wakaf Z, Vasudevan SR, Foster RG. The genetics of circadian rhythms, sleep and health. *Hum Mol Genet* (2017) 26:R128-38. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddx240

7. Lee C, Etchegaray JP, Cagampang FRA, Loudon ASI, Reppert SM. Posttranslational mechanisms regulate the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* (2001) 107(7):855–67. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00610-9

8. Takahashi JS. Transcriptional architecture of the mammalian circadian clock. Nat Rev Genet (2017) 18(3):164–79. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.150

9. Lowrey PL, Shimomura K, Antoch MP, Yamazaki S, Zemenides PD, Ralph MR, et al. Positional syntenic cloning and functional characterization of the mammalian circadian mutation tau. *Science* (2000) 288(5465):483–91. doi: 10.1126/science.288.5465.483

10. Huang RC. The discoveries of molecular mechanisms for the circadian rhythm: The 2017 Nobel prize in physiology or medicine. *Biomed J* (2018) 41(1):5–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bj.2018.02.003

11. Scheiermann C, Gibbs J, Ince L, Loudon A. Clocking in to immunity. Nat Rev Immunol (2018) 18(7):423–37. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0008-4

12. Reppert SM, Weaver DR. Coordination of circadian timing in mammals. *Nature* (2002) 418(6901):935–41. doi: 10.1038/nature00965

13. Dijk DJ, Duffy JF. Novel approaches for assessing circadian rhythmicity in humans: A review. J Biol Rhythms (2020) 35(5):421–38. doi: 10.1177/0748730420940483

14. Roenneberg T, Kumar CJ, Merrow M. The human circadian clock entrains to sun time. *Curr Biol* (2007) 17(2):R44–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.011

15. Berson DM, Dunn FA, Takao M. Phototransduction by retinal ganglion cells that set the circadian clock. *Science* (2002) 295(5557):1070–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1067262

16. Güler AD, Ecker JL, Lall GS, Haq S, Altimus CM, Liao HW, et al. Melanopsin cells are the principal conduits for rod-cone input to non-image forming vision. *Nature* (2008) 453(7191):102–5. doi: 10.1038/nature06829

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Zhang R, Lahens NF, Ballance HI, Hughes ME, Hogenesch JB. A circadian gene expression atlas in mammals: Implications for biology and medicine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2014) 111:16219–24. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1408886111

18. Mure LS, Le HD, Benegiamo G, Chang MW, Rios L, Jillani N, et al. Diurnal transcriptome atlas of a primate across major neural and peripheral tissues. *Science* (2018) 359:eaao0318. doi: 10.1126/science.aao0318

19. Panda S, Antoch MP, Miller BH, Su AI, Schook AB, Straume M, et al. Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the circadian clock. *Cell* (2002) 109(3):307–20. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00722-5

20. Cermakian N, Lange T, Golombek D, Sarkar D, Nakao A, Shibata S, et al. Crosstalk between the circadian clock circuitry and the immune system. *Chronobiol Int* (2013) 30(7):870-88. doi: 10.3109/07420528. 2013.782315

21. Gibbs J, Ince L, Matthews L, Mei J, Bell T, Yang N, et al. An epithelial circadian clock controls pulmonary inflammation and glucocorticoid action. *Nat Med* (2014) 20(8):919–26. doi: 10.1038/nm.3599

22. Kitchen GB, Cunningham PS, Poolman TM, Iqbal M, Maidstone R, Baxter M, et al. The clock gene Bmal1 inhibits macrophage motility, phagocytosis, and impairs defense against pneumonia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2020) 117(3):1543–51. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1915932117

23. Butler TD, Gibbs JE. Circadian host-microbiome interactions in immunity. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01783

24. Bollinger T, Leutz A, Leliavski A, Skrum L, Kovac J, Bonacina L, et al. Circadian clocks in mouse and human CD4+ T cells. *PloS One* (2011) 6(12):e29801. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029801

25. Silver AC, Arjona A, Hughes ME, Nitabach MN, Fikrig E. Circadian expression of clock genes in mouse macrophages, dendritic cells, and b cells. *Brain Behavior Immun* (2012) 26(3):407–13. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2011.10.001

26. Nobis CC, Laramée GD, Kervezee L, de Sousa DM, Labrecque N, Cermakian N. The circadian clock of CD8 T cells modulates their early response to vaccination and the rhythmicity of related signaling pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2019) 116(40):20077–86. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1905080116

27. Druzd D, Matveeva O, Ince L, Harrison U, He W, Schmal C, et al. Lymphocyte circadian clocks control lymph node trafficking and adaptive immune responses. *Immunity* (2017) 46(1):120-32. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.12.011

28. Born J, Lange T, Hansen K, Mölle M, Fehm HL. Effects of sleep and circadian rhythm on human circulating immune cells. *J Immunol* (1997) 158 (9):4454–64.

29. Dimitrov S, Benedict C, Heutling D, Westermann J, Born J, Lange T. Cortisol and epinephrine control opposing circadian rhythms in T cell subsets. *Blood* (2009) 113(21):5134–43. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-11-190769

30. Holtkamp SJ, Ince LM, Barnoud C, Schmitt MT, Sinturel F, Pilorz V, et al. Circadian clocks guide dendritic cells into skin lymphatics. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22 (11):1375–81. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01040-x

31. Silver AC, Arjona A, Walker WE, Fikrig E. The circadian clock controls tolllike receptor 9- mediated innate and adaptive immunity. *Immunity* (2012) 36 (2):251. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.12.017

32. Bellet MM, Deriu E, Liu JZ, Grimaldi B, Blaschitz C, Zeller M, et al. Circadian clock regulates the host response to salmonella. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2013) 110(24):9897–902. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120636110

33. Kiessling S, Dubeau-Larameé G, Ohm H, Labrecque N, Olivier M, Cermakian N. The circadian clock in immune cells controls the magnitude of leishmania parasite infection. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7(1):1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-11297-8

34. Edgar RS, Stangherlin A, Nagy AD, Nicoll MP, Efstathiou S, O'Neill JS, et al. Cell autonomous regulation of herpes and influenza virus infection by the circadian clock. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2016) 113(36):10085–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1601895113

35. Majumdar T, Dhar J, Patel S, Kondratov R, Barik S. Circadian transcription factor BMAL1 regulates innate immunity against select RNA viruses. *Innate Immun* (2017) 23(2):147–54. doi: 10.1177/1753425916681075

36. Rijo-Ferreira F, Takahashi JS. Genomics of circadian rhythms in health and disease. *Genome Med* (2019) 11(1):1–16. doi: 10.1186/s13073-019-0704-0

37. Haspel JA, Anafi R, Brown MK, Cermakian N, Depner C, Desplats P, et al. Perfect timing: circadian rhythms, sleep, and immunity-an NIH workshop summary. *Reference information: JCI Insight* (2020) 5(1):131487. doi: 10.1172/ jci.insight.13148713

38. Diallo AB, Coiffard B, Leone M, Mezouar S, Mege JL. For whom the clock ticks: Clinical chronobiology for infectious diseases. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11 (1457). doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01457

39. Petrovsky N. Comparative safety of vaccine adjuvants: A summary of current evidence and future needs. *Drug Saf* (2015) 38(11):1059-74. doi: 10.1007/s40264-015-0350-4

40. Edwards KM, Pung MA, Tomfohr LM, Ziegler MG, Campbell JP, Drayson MT, et al. Acute exercise enhancement of pneumococcal vaccination response: A randomised controlled trial of weaker and stronger immune response. *Vaccine* (2012) 30(45):6389–95. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.08.022

41. Phillips AC, Gallagher S, Carroll D, Drayson M. Preliminary evidence that morning vaccination is associated with an enhanced antibody response in men. *Psychophysiology* (2008) 45(4):663–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2008.00662.x

42. Long JE, Drayson MT, Taylor AE, Toellner KM, Lord JM, Phillips AC. Morning vaccination enhances antibody response over afternoon vaccination: A cluster-randomised trial. *Vaccine* (2016) 34(24):2679–85. doi: 10.1016/ j.vaccine.2016.04.032

43. Long JE, Drayson MT, Taylor AE, Toellner KM, Lord JM, Phillips AC. Corrigendum to 'Morning vaccination enhances antibody response over afternoon vaccination: A cluster- randomised trial' (Vaccine (2016) 34(24) (2679–2685) (S0264410X16301736) (10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.04.032)). Vaccine (2016) 34:4842. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.08.031

44. de Bree LCJ, Mourtis VP, Koeken VACM, Moorlag SJ, Janssen R, Folkman L, et al. Circadian rhythm influences induction of trained immunity by BCG vaccination. *J Clin Invest* (2020) 130(10):5603–17. doi: 10.1172/JCI133934

45. Zhang H, Liu Y, Liu D, Zeng Q, Li L, Zhou Q, et al. Time of day influences immune response to an inactivated vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Res* (2021) 31(11):1215–7. doi: 10.1038/s41422-021-00541-6

46. Wang W, Balfe P, Eyre DW, Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Warren F, et al. Time of day of vaccination affects SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses in an observational study of health care workers. *J Biol Rhythms* (2022) 37(1):124–9. doi: 10.1177/07487304211059315

47. van Kerkhof LWM, van Dycke KCG, Jansen EHJM, Beekhof PK, van Oostrom CTM, Ruskovska T, et al. Diurnal variation of hormonal and lipid biomarkers in a molecular epidemiology-like setting. *PloS One* (2015) 10(8): e0135652. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135652

48. Miller AH, Spencer RL, Mcewen BS, Stein M. Depression, adrenal steroids, and the immune system. *Ann Med* (1993) 25(5):481-7. doi: 10.3109/07853899309147316

49. Burns VE, Ring C, Drayson M, Carroll D. Cortisol and cardiovascular reactions to mental stress and antibody status following hepatitis b vaccination: a preliminary study. *Psychophysiology* (2002) 39(3):361–8. doi: 10.1017/S0048577201393022

50. Phillips AC, Carroll D, Burns VE, Drayson M. Neuroticism, cortisol reactivity, and antibody response to vaccination. *Psychophysiology* (2005) 42 (2):232-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2005.00281.x

51. Bureau JP, Garrelly L, Vago P. Nycthemeral variations on LPS- and BCGinduced PMN migration in normal mice. Int J Tissue Reactions. (1991) 13(4):203–6.

52. Bureau JP, Labrecque G, Coupé M, Garrelly L. Influence of BCG administration time on the in-vivo migration of leukocytes. *Chronobiol Int* (1986) 3(1):23-8. doi: 10.3109/07420528609083156

53. Lopes C, Mariano M, Markus RP. Interaction between the adrenal and the pineal gland in chronic experimental inflammation induced by BCG in mice. *Inflamm Res* (2001) 50(1):6–11. doi: 10.1007/s000110050717

54. Abadie V, Badell E, Douillard P, Ensergueix D, Leenen PJM, Tanguy M, et al. Neutrophils rapidly migrate via lymphatics after mycobacterium bovis BCG intradermal vaccination and shuttle live bacilli to the draining lymph nodes. *Blood* (2005) 106(5):1843–50. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-03-1281

55. Arts RJW, Carvalho A, la Rocca C, Palma C, Rodrigues F, Silvestre R, et al. Immunometabolic pathways in BCG-induced trained immunity. *Cell Rep* (2016) 17 (10):2562–71. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.011

56. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet (2013) 381(9868):752-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9

57. Langlois PH, Smolensky MH, Glezen WP, Keitel WA. Diurnal variation in responses to influenza vaccine. *Chronobiol Int* (1995) 12(1):28–36. doi: 10.3109/07420529509064497

58. Karabay O, Temel A, Koker AG, Tokel M, Ceyhan M, Kocoglu E. Influence of circadian rhythm on the efficacy of the hepatitis b vaccination. *Vaccine* (2008) 26 (9):1143–4. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.12.046

59. Kurupati RK, Kossenkoff A, Kannan S, Haut LH, Doyle S, Yin X, et al. The effect of timing of influenza vaccination and sample collection on antibody titers and responses in the aged. *Vaccine* (2017) 35(30):3700-8. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.05.074

60. Roenneberg T, Kuehnle T, Juda M, Kantermann T, Allebrandt K, Gordijn M, et al. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Med Rev* (2007) 11:429–38. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2007.07.005

61. Hu Y, Shmygelska A, Tran D, Eriksson N, Tung JY, Hinds DA. GWAS of 89,283 individuals identifies genetic variants associated with self-reporting of being a morning person. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7(1):1–9. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10448

62. Lane JM, Vlasac I, Anderson SG, Kyle SD, Dixon WG, Bechtold DA, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel loci for chronotype in 100,420 individuals from the UK biobank. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms10889

63. Jones SE, Tyrrell J, Wood AR, Beaumont RN, Ruth KS, Tuke MA, et al. Genome-wide association analyses in 128,266 individuals identifies new morningness and sleep duration loci. *PloS Genet* (2016) 12(8):e1006125. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006125

64. Kalmbach DA, Schneider LD, Cheung J, Bertrand SJ, Kariharan T, Pack AI, et al. Genetic basis of chronotype in humans. *SLEEP* (2017) 40(2). doi: 10.1093/ sleep/zsw048

65. Maidstone RJ, Turner J, Vetter C, Dashti HS, Saxena R, Scheer FAJL, et al. Night shift work is associated with an increased risk of asthma. *Thorax* (2021) 76 (1):53–60. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215218

66. Hemmer A, Mareschal J, Dibner C, Pralong JA, Dorribo V, Perrig S, et al. The effects of shift work on cardio-metabolic diseases and eating patterns. *Nutrients* (2021) 13(11):4178. doi: 10.3390/nu13114178

67. Boivin DB, Boudreau P, Kosmadopoulos A. Disturbance of the circadian system in shift work and its health impact. *J Biol Rhythms* (2022) 37(1):3–28. doi: 10.1177/07487304211064218

68. Manouchehri E, Taghipour A, Ghavami V, Ebadi A, Homaei F, Latifnejad Roudsari R. Night- shift work duration and breast cancer risk: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Women's Health* (2021) 21(1):1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12905-021-01233-4

69. Loef B, Dollé MET, Proper KI, van Baarle D, LCRI, van Kerkhof LW. Nightshift work is associated with increased susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Chronobiol Int* (2022) 39(8):1100–9. doi: 10.1080/07420528.2022.2069031

 Maidstone R, Anderson SG, Ray DW, Rutter MK, Durrington HJ, Blaikley JF. Shift work is associated with positive COVID-19 status in hospitalised patients. *Thorax* (2021) 76(6):601–6. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216651

71. Scheuermaier K, Laffan AM, Duffy JF. Light exposure patterns in healthy older and young adults. *J Biol Rhythms*. (2010) 25(2):113–22. doi: 10.1177/0748730410361916

72. Porcheret K, Wald L, Fritschi L, Gerkema M, Gordijn M, Merrrow M, et al. Chronotype and environmental light exposure in a student population. *Chronobiol Int* (2018) 35(10):1365–74. doi: 10.1080/07420528.2018.148255616

73. Blacher E, Tsai C, Litichevskiy L, Shipony Z, Iweka CA, Schneider KM, et al. Aging disrupts circadian gene regulation and function in macrophages. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 23(2):229–36. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01083-0

74. Ciabattini A, Nardini C, Santoro F, Garagnani P, Franceschi C, Medaglini D. Vaccination in the elderly: The challenge of immune changes with aging. *Semin Immunol* (2018) 40:83–94. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2018.10.010

75. Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Understanding immunosenescence to improve responses to vaccines. Nat Immunol (2013) p:428–36:14. doi: 10.1038/ni.2588

76. Fulop T, Larbi A, Dupuis G, le Page A, Frost EH, Cohen AA, et al. Immunosenescence and inflamm-aging as two sides of the same coin: Friends or foes? *Front Immunol* (2018) 8(1960):1. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01960

77. Nikolich-Žugich J. Ageing and life-long maintenance of T-cell subsets in the face of latent persistent infections. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2008) 8(7):512–22. doi: 10.1038/nri2318

78. Grubeck-Loebenstein B. Fading immune protection in old age: Vaccination in the elderly. J Comp Pathol (2010) 142:S116–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcpa.2009.10.002

79. Miller MJ, Safrina O, Parker I, Cahalan MD. Imaging the single cell dynamics of CD4+ T cell activation by dendritic cells in lymph nodes. *J Exp Med* (2004) 200(7):847–56. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041236

80. Science Media Centre.. Expert reaction to study investigating morning versus afternoon flu vaccine administration and vaccine effectiveness. Science Media Centre. Available at: https://www.sciencemediacentre.org/expert-reaction-to-study-investigating-morning-versus-afternoon-flu-vaccine-administration-and-vaccine-effectiveness/

81. Cromer D, Steain M, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al. Neutralising antibody titres as predictors of protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants and the impact of boosting: A meta-analysis. *Lancet Microbe* (2022) 3 (1):e52–61. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00267-6

82. Lu D, Zhao M, Chen M, Wu B. Circadian clock-controlled drug metabolism: Implications for chronotherapeutics. *Drug Metab Dispos* (2020) 48(5):395–406. doi: 10.1124/dmd.120.090472

83. Wittenbrink N, Ananthasubramaniam B, Münch M, Koller B, Maier B, Weschke C, et al. High- accuracy determination of internal circadian time from a single blood sample. *J Clin Invest* (2018) 128(9):3826–39. doi: 10.1172/JCI120874

84. Aaby P, Andersen A, Martins CL, Fisker AB, Rodrigues A, Whittle HC, et al. Does oral polio vaccine have non-specific effects on all-cause mortality? natural experiments within a randomised controlled trial of early measles vaccine. *BMJ Open* (2016) 6(013335). doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013335

85. Cederroth CR, Albrecht U, Bass J, Brown SA, Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen J, Gachon F, et al. Medicine in the fourth dimension. *Cell Metab* (2019) 30(2):238–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.06.019

86. Skarke C, Lahens NF, Rhoades SD, Campbell A, Bittinger K, Bailey A, et al. A pilot characterization of the human chronobiome. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7(1):17141. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17362-6

87. De Los Santos H, Bennett KP, Hurley JM. ENCORE: A visualization tool for insight into circadian omics. ACM BCB. (2019) 2019:5-14. doi: 10.1145/3307339.3342137