REVIEW

ABSTRACT



An overview of efficacy and safety of ambroxol for the treatment of acute and chronic respiratory diseases with a special regard to children

Ahmad Kantar,¹ Ludger Klimek,² Dorotheea Cazan,³ Annette Sperl,² Ulrike Sent,⁴ Margarida Mesquita⁵

¹Pediatric Cough and Asthma Center, Istituti Ospedalieri Bergamaschi, University and Research Hospitals, Bergamo, Italy ²Wiesbaden Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany

³Maria Hilf Kliniken, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital Aachen, Mönchengladbach, Germany

⁴Medical Advisor CHC GSA, Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany ⁵Global Medical Lead CHC, Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Introduction: Ambroxol (2-amino-3,5-dibromo-N-[trans-4-hydroxycyclohexyl]benzylamine), an over-the-counter product, is a mucoactive agent and has been used widely to treat both acute and chronic respiratory diseases since 1978. This review aims to provide an overview of the clinical evidence available on the use of ambroxol in children with acute and chronic respiratory diseases. Data for this review were obtained from both published and unpublished clinical studies, and real-world evidence studies. Although conducted prior to the introduction of Good Clinical Practice (GCP), these studies, representing almost 1,300 pediatric patients, report strong clinical outcomes following the use of ambroxol in pediatric patients. Furthermore, efficacy findings were consistent irrespective of age, including for patients as young as 1 month old. Additionally, the majority of studies found ambroxol to be well tolerated in children. Taken together, the clinical evidence for ambroxol shows treatment effects that offer significant benefits to pediatric patients for its licensed use as a secretolytic therapy in acute and chronic bronchopulmonary disorders associated with abnormal mucus secretion and impaired mucus transport. The findings from this review indicate that ambroxol, for its intended over-the-counter indications, is both efficacious and well tolerated in children and that the favorable benefit/risk profile of ambroxol reported in adults extends to the pediatric population, starting from early infancy, with acute and chronic respiratory diseases.

Key words: Ambroxol; children; respiratory diseases; mucoactive; over-the-counter drugs.

Correspondence: Margarida Mesquita, Global Medical Lead CHC, Sanofi Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Industriepark Höchst, Building K607, Room 5007, 65926 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. E-mail: margarida.mesquita@sanofi.com

Contributions: All authors contributed to the preparation of this paper, including the development of the outline, review of all drafts, final approval and the decision to submit to Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: AK has acted as an advisor on the study design of an unlicensed product for Merck USA, as an advisor on the study design for trials on acute cough in adults and children for Sanofi Germany and Infirst Healthcare Ltd UK. LK has received research grants from ALK-Abelló, Denmark; Allergopharma, Germany; Bionorica, Germany; Biomay, Austria; Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany; Circassia, USA; Stallergenes, France; Sanofi, Germany; HAL, Netherlands; Allergy Therapeutics/Bencard, Great Britain/Germany; Hartington, Spain; Lofarma, Italy; MEDA, Sweden; MSD, USA; Novartis, Switzerland; Leti, Spain; ROXALL, Germany; GSK, Great Britain; Cytos, Switzerland; Curalogic, Denmark; and/or has served as a consultant or on the speaker's bureau for the above mentioned pharmaceutical companies. DC and AS report no conflicts of interest. US and MM are employees of Sanofi-Aventis. AK is a member of the Editorial Board of Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials: Qualified researchers may request access to patient level data and related study documents including the clinical study report, study protocol with any amendments, blank case report form, statistical analysis plan, and dataset specifications. Patient level data will be anonymized and study documents will be redacted to protect the privacy of trial participants. Further details on Sanofi's data sharing criteria, eligible studies, and process for requesting access can be found at: https://www.clinicalstudydatarequest.com



Introduction

Acute cough is a common ailment for which patients seek medical advice, accounting for >50% of new patient attendance in primary care settings [1]. Common cold or acute bronchitis frequently result in acute cough; however, distinguishing between causes is often difficult [2]. Although considered a self-limiting disease, acute cough poses a high symptom burden, particularly to children, in whom symptoms last an average of 25 days [3]. A daily cough lasting >4 weeks in children is considered chronic [4]. Over-the-counter (OTC) cough medicines are the first-line treatment for acute cough and are frequently self-prescribed by patients or their carers [5]. These medicines vary in their mechanisms of action depending on their active ingredients, and include antitussives, antihistamines (with or without decongestants) and mucoactive agents [6].

Mucoactive agents alter the viscoelastic properties of mucus and promote mucociliary clearance, and can be categorized into groups according to their mechanisms of action, including expectorants, mucoregulators, mucolytics and mucokinetics [7]. Ambroxol (2-amino-3,5-dibromo-*N*-[trans-4-hydroxycyclohexyl] benzylamine) is considered a mucoactive agent and has been widely used to treat acute and chronic respiratory diseases since 1978 [8]. Similar to its parent compound bromhexine [9], ambroxol has both mucokinetic and mucociliary effects as shown in clinical studies with adult patients with respiratory disease [10]. Moreover, structural differences with bromhexine, such as the presence of a hydroxyl group in a para-trans position of the cyclohexyl ring and the deletion of a methyl group, have provided ambroxol with additional pharmacological properties, including stimulation of surfactant production, anti-inflammatory activity, anti-oxidant action and local anesthetic effects [10].

Ambroxol is available in multiple formulations, including lozenges, intramuscular and intravenous solutions, syrup, granules, tablets, slow-release oral formulations and nebulized solutions [11]. For the treatment of children with acute and chronic respiratory diseases associated with abnormal mucus secretion and impaired mucus transport, ambroxol is available OTC as a syrup. Other authorized indications of ambroxol include prophylaxis and treatment of postoperative bronchopulmonary complications, pain relief in acute sore throat (adults and children >12 years), and prophylaxis and treatment of infant respiratory distress syndrome (IRDS) [8]. A study by Zhang *et al.* reported that, in cases of inevitable preterm birth, antenatal ambroxol is recommended over corticosteroids to prevent neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) [12], demonstrating how ambroxol has been safely and effectively used to treat infants.

The present review aims to provide an overview of the clinical evidence available on the use of ambroxol in children and infants. Data for this review were obtained from both published and unpublished clinical studies on ambroxol in children with acute and chronic respiratory diseases; these were provided to the European Medicines Agency's Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) by the marketing authorization holder (Sanofi-Aventis) in 2014 as part of a benefit/risk assessment of ambroxol-containing medicines. Additionally, real world evidence (RWE) data were included from more recently published pharmacy-based surveys and cohort studies. In this article, we evaluate the clinical evidence of ambroxol in children, covering the full pediatric age range (0-12 years) for which the product is indicated. Where available, data for younger children (0-6 years) and older children (6-12 years) are discussed separately. In addition, we summarize the pharmacokinetics of ambroxol and its mechanism of action in terms of its indications in the OTC setting. Overall, we present data (published and unpublished) from six controlled and four uncontrolled clinical studies, comprising a total of 1,274 pediatric patients, as well as RWE data from pharmacybased surveys and cohort studies, representing 3,629 patients (including children).

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics of ambroxol have recently been reviewed in detail in Cazan *et al.* [13]. Ambroxol has a bioavailability of 79% when administered orally [10]. It is metabolized in the liver by cytochrome P450 3A4 and has a terminal elimination half-life of approximately 10 h, with a total clearance of 660 ml/min [10,14]. On distribution from blood to tissue, the highest concentration of ambroxol is found in the lungs; in human lung tissue, ambroxol has been detected at concentrations 15- to 20-fold higher than those in the blood [13]. Furthermore, age and gender have not been shown to affect the pharmacokinetics of ambroxol to a clinically relevant extent, therefore dose adjustment is not indicated [14].

Mechanisms of action

The main mechanism of action for ambroxol involves stimulation of surfactant synthesis, a complex mechanism that is not yet fully understood [15], but that gives ambroxol effective mucokinetic and secretagogue properties, thus promoting mucous clearance, facilitating expectoration and easing productive cough. In addition to the mucokinetic and secretagogue properties, ambroxol is also known to have numerous widespread pharmacodynamic properties (Figure 1) [11]. Ambroxol accumulates in lamellar bodies, secretory lysosomes of type II pneumocytes that store surfactant, where it affects hydrogen and calcium ion homeostasis. Here, it leads to loss of lamella, inducing changes in the nanostructural assembly of surfactant layers [16]. This results in the synthesis and release of surfactant by type II pneumocytes [8]. Surfactant plays an important role in upper and lower airway functions. Firstly, surfactant reduces surface tension in the alveoli, preventing their collapse [17]. This is particularly relevant for ambroxol's use in infants with RDS [8]. It is also known that surfactant decreases mucous viscosity through depolymerization of acidic polysaccharide fibers in the bronchial secretion and stimulation of neutral polysaccharide production by glandular cells [8]. In addition to these actions, surfactant aids cough clearance by separating the periciliary and gel layers of airway mucus, and reducing mucus adherence to the airway epithelium [17,18]. In animal models, ambroxol has also been reported to increase mucociliary clearance







by increasing ciliary beat frequency [19].

In addition to its secretolytic and mucokinetic effects, ambroxol exerts antioxidant properties through direct scavenging and protection from reactive oxygen species, anti-inflammatory properties by inhibiting leukocytes from secreting pro-inflammatory mediators, and local anesthetic properties via inhibition of neuronal sodium channels, producing a possible antitussive effect [11,20,21]. Additionally, due to an increased number of substances that suppress viral replication and affect bacterial biofilm structures, including immunoglobulins A and G, ambroxol has also been associated with a protective effect against viral and bacterial airway infections [22,23]. Ambroxol is also believed to act synergistically with antibiotics by increasing the concentration of antibiotics in the lungs when co-administered [24].

Furthermore, this synergistic relationship is believed to be a result of surfactant stimulation. This hypothesis is supported in a study by Basabe-Burgos [25] in which polymyxin E, administered together with poractant alfa (animal-derived surfactant), reduced bacterial load in the lungs more than polymixin E alone [25]. This may have been a result of more efficient spreading of polymyxin E, mediated by the surfactant, as pulmonary surfactant spreads rapidly over the airway epithelium [25]. A similar mechanism may therefore be postulated for ambroxol, given it enhances surfactant production.

Brief overview of efficacy and safety of ambroxol in adults

The efficacy and safety of ambroxol in the treatment of airway diseases has recently been evaluated for adults in a review by Cazan *et al.* [13]. This review concluded that the benefit/risk profile of ambroxol is favorable in adult patients, with a number of clinical studies reporting that ambroxol improved respiratory symptoms and prevented acute exacerbations [13]. In terms of the safety of ambroxol in adult populations, gastrointestinal disturbances were the most commonly experienced adverse events (AEs) following ambroxol treatment, but rarely led to discontinuation [13]. Additionally, most AEs reported were mild and self-limiting, such as insomnia, cutaneous rash, vomiting and abdominal pain [13]. Following this review, it was deemed important to highlight the robustness of the available clinical data, and make evident the efficacy and safety of ambroxol in children, starting from early infancy, with respiratory disease.

Efficacy and safety of ambroxol in children

Included studies

As with most studies on mucoactive substances, the research focusing on the efficacy and safety of ambroxol in children was mostly conducted before Good Clinical Practice (GCP), the international, ethical and scientific quality standards required when conducting all clinical trials, and that came into effect in 1997 [26]; however, the majority of studies included in this review used well-recognized methodologies, as indicated by the consistency between study endpoints, and can be considered to be of sufficient quality.

In this review, we present data (published and unpublished) from six controlled and four uncontrolled clinical studies, comprising a total of 1,274 pediatric patients, as well as RWE data from pharmacy-based surveys and cohort studies, representing 3,629 patients (including children). The indications of ambroxol across these studies included acute and chronic respiratory diseases. Table 1 (controlled studies), Table 2 (uncontrolled studies) and Table 3 (RWE studies) provide the details of each study presented in this review.

Efficacy overview

Controlled clinical studies

Randomized placebo-controlled studies

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study by Principi et al. [27] assessed the efficacy of ambroxol syrup as an adjuvant to antibiotic treatment in 120 children aged 1 month-11 years with acute bacterial pneumonia [27]. In total, 60 children received ambroxol for 7-10 days; children aged 1 month-2 years, 2-5 years and >5 years, received 2.0, 1.7 and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively [27]. Efficacy assessments included daily fever, cough, dyspnea, pathological auscultatory signs and highest temperature. Radiological examinations were also performed before treatment and at the end of the study [27]. By the end of treatment, all children had a normal body temperature and heart and respiratory rate, and no cough or dyspnea present, irrespective of receiving ambroxol or placebo [27]. However, from day 3 onwards, cough was reduced significantly faster in children treated with ambroxol compared with placebo (p<0.05) and from day 4, pathological auscultatory signs improved significantly more with ambroxol treatment compared with placebo (p<0.05) [27]. Additionally, pretreatment radiological findings were normalized in 79% of children receiving ambroxol compared with only 53% in the placebo group (p<0.01) [27].

Randomized active-controlled studies

A randomized study by Careddu and Zavattini [28], involving 60 children aged 2-12 years with acute respiratory diseases (including bronchitis, asthmatic bronchitis and tracheobronchitis), compared the efficacy of ambroxol and N-acetylcysteine (NAC). Some patients also received antibiotics [28]. In total, 29 children received ambroxol at a dosage of 45 mg/day (children aged ≤4 years) or 60 mg/day (children aged \geq 5 years) for 6-8 days. Sputum characteristics, severity and frequency of cough and discomfort caused by catarrh were used to assess the efficacy of ambroxol. Maximal expiratory flow at 25% of forced vital capacity (MEF_{25}), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and total airway resistance (R_{awi}) were also measured in some patients [28]. Both treatments significantly decreased sputum viscosity (p<0.001) and sputum volume decreased to 0 (not present) by the end of treatment. Significant changes were also seen in MEF₂₅ (p<0.001), FEV_1 (p<0.001) and R_{awt} (p<0.001) in both treatment groups between the start and end of treatment. By day 4, significantly greater improvements in the severity and frequency of cough and discomfort caused by catarrh were reported in the ambroxol group compared with the NAC group (p<0.001) [28]. Based on these improvements, treatment efficacy was rated by physicians as favorable in 100% of the patients receiving ambroxol compared with 68% receiving NAC (p<0.01), leading the authors to conclude that the efficacy of ambroxol exceeds that of NAC. Furthermore, efficacy was consistent between age groups [28].

A randomized, open-label study by Berni *et al.* [29] compared the efficacy of ambroxol and carbocysteine/S-carboxymethyl cysteine (SCMC) in 30 children aged 2-8 years with acute bronchopulmonary diseases, such as bronchitis and pharyngotracheobronchitis [29]. Ambroxol was administered to 15 children at a dosage of 45 mg/day (aged \leq 5 years) or 60 mg/day (aged >5 years) for 2-14 days. Some children also received antibiotics [29]. The efficacy of ambroxol was measured by changes in expectoration, cough, dyspnea and auscultatory signs [29]. Most cases of dyspnea resolved by day 2, except for one case in the SCMC group which

Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine 2020; 15:511 - A. Kantar et al.



Table 1. Characteristics and results of controlled studies of ambroxol in acute and chronic respiratory diseases in pediatric patients.

Study	Study design and aim	Patient demographics and disease characteristics	Number of patients; ambroxol treatment dosage and duration	Study parameters	Efficacy results	Safety results
Principi et al. [27]	Double-blind, placebo controlled, randomized study to assess the efficacy of ambroxol as an adjuvant to antibiotic treatment	Patients aged 1 month-11 years with acute infections of the lower respiratory tract, <i>i.e.</i> bacterial pneumonia	 120 patients received treatment daily for 7-10 days 60 patients received ambroxol [2.0 mg/kg (1 month-2 years), 1.7 mg/kg (2-5 years), or 1.5 mg/kg (>5 years)] 60 patients received placebo Administered as a syrup 82 patients aged ≤5 years and 38 patients aged between 6 and ≤12 years 	 Fever, cough, dyspnea, pathological auscultatory signs and highest daily temperature recorded daily Radiological examinations and laboratory tests performed before treatment and at the end of the study 	 Cough was reduced significantly faster in patients treated with ambroxol than those treated with placebo from day 3 onwards (p<0.05) Thoracic symptoms improved significantly (from day 4) with ambroxol treatment compared with placebo (p<0.05) Pre-treatment radiological findings were normalized in 79% of patients in the ambroxol group compared with 53% in the placebo group (p<0.01) Ambroxol and antibiotic co-treatment resulted in faster symptomatic relief from mucostasis than treatment with antibiotics alone 	No clinical symptoms or laboratory dat a indicating adverse events were reported for ambroxol or placebo
Baldini <i>et al.</i> [30]	Controlled clinical study to compare the efficacy and safety of ambroxol compared and N-acetylcysteine (NAC)	Patients aged 2-13 years with spastic bronchitis d (acute bronchial disease)	 28 patients received treatment for 10 days 14 patients received ambroxol 30 mg 14 patients received NAC 200–300 mg/day Administered orally (sachets) 8 patients were aged ≤5 years and 20 patients were aged between 6 and ≤12 years 	 Main signs and symptoms of bronchial disease recorded at the start of treatment, on day 5 and at the end of treatment, including: sputum quantity and viscosity, dyspnea and bronchial sounds, and difficulty expectorating sputum Respiratory function, including FVC, FEV1 and PEF, recorded in cooperative patients at the start and end of treatment Common laboratory tests performed at the start and end of treatment, including complete blood count, blood nitrogen, blood glucose, transaminase and total protei Adverse events were recorder 	 Both treatments improved symptoms and sputum characteristics By day 5, greater improvements in bronchial symptoms were reported for ambroxol treatment than NAC treatment Improvements were identical by study completion Changes in dyspnea were significantly greater in the ambroxol group compared with the NAC group (p<0.05) 	No adverse changes in laboratory results and no notable side effects occurred in either treatment group
Berni et al. [29]	Randomized, open-label study to compare the efficacy of ambroxol with carbocysteine/ S-carboxylmethyl cysteine (SCMC), with or without antibiotics	Patients aged 2-8 years with acute bronchial diseases	 30 patients received treatment daily for 2-14 days (average treatment duration of 8 days) 15 patients received ambroxol (45 mg/day in patients ≤5 years; 60 mg/day in patients >5 years) 15 patients received SCMC (200 mg/day in patients ≤5 years old; 300 mg/day in patients >5 years old) Administered as syrup 	 throughout the study Changes in expectoration, cough, dyspnea, thorax X-ray and other pathological observations Adverse events were recorded throughout the study 	 Most cases of dyspnea resolved by day 2, except for one case in the SCMC group which resolved by day 3 Both treatments significantly improved expectoration and severity of cough (p<0.001); however, improvements in these symptoms occurred more rapidly with ambroxol (73.3% free of symptoms on day 3) compared with SCMC (46.6% free of symptoms on day 3) Remission of auscultatory signs was significant at day 2 with ambroxol (p<0.02) compared with day 6 in the SCMC group (p<0.01) The investigator reported efficacy as 'excellent' for 50% of the ambroxol group compared with 25% for the SCMC group and 'good' for 50% of the ambroxol group compared with 75% of the SCMC 	Tolerability for both treatments was reported as excellent

Continue on next page



Table 1. Continued from previous page.

Study	Study design and aim	Patient demographics and disease characteristics	Number of patients; ambroxol treatment dosage and duration	Study parameters	Efficacy results	Safety results
Careddu and Zavattini [28]	Randomized study to compare the efficacy of ambroxol with NAC, with or without antibiotics	Patients aged 2-12 years with acute respiratory disease	 60 patients received treatment daily for 6-8 days 29 patients received ambroxol daily (45 mg in patients aged ≤4 years; 60 mg daily in patients = 5 years of age) 31 patients received NAC (600 mg daily) Administered as syrup (15 mg/5 ml) 	 Sputum characteristics and clinical parameters, including severity and frequency of cough, discomfort caused by catarrh, pathological auscultatory signs and presence and extent of dyspnea and cyanosis Lung function parameters, including MEF₂₅, FEV1 and Rawt, along with PaO₂ and PaCO₂, measured in some patients Laboratory tests carried out before and after the treatment period, including RBC, WBC, Hb, ESR, BUN, blood glucose and transaminase 	- Both treatments improved symptoms and sputum characteristics - Sputum quality changed from mucopurulent to serous and sputum volume decreased to 0 by the end of treatment - Both treatments also significantly decreased sputum viscosity between the start end of treatment (p <0.001) - Significant changes in MEF ₂₅ (p <0.001), FEV ₁ (p <0.001) and R _{wt} (p <0.001) were reported for both treatment groups - By Day 4, greater improvements in bronchial symptoms were reported for ambroxol treatment than NAC treatment which were statistically significant (p <0.001 - Treatment efficacy was rated as favorable in 100% of the patients in the ambroxol group compared with 68% in the NAC group (p <0.01) - The authors concluded that the efficacy of ambroxol exceeded that of NAC - Ambroxol was equally effective between age groups	For both treatments: - No side effects occurred and tolerability was rated as 'good' or 'excellent' in all patients - Systemic tolerability was considered optimal as no changes in laboratory test results occurred between the start and end of treatment in either group - Well tolerated between different age groups
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH [31]	Open-label study to compare the efficacy of ambroxol and sobrerol	Patients aged 1 month–10 years with bronchitis and bronchopneumonia	 40 patients received treatment daily 20 subjects received ambroxol [1.7 mg/kg (<2 years), 1.6 mg/kg (2-5 years) and 1.2 mg/kg (6-10 years)] intramuscularly 20 patients received achared (1.2 yield) 	 Bronchosecretolytic effect (auscultatory findings and cough) 	 Mean duration was 7.5 days and 7.9 days for ambroxol and soberol, respectively 'Excellent' efficacy was reported in 50% of the ambroxol group compared with 45% of the sobrerol group and 'good' efficacy una concerted in 40% 	 No side effects were reported and tolerability was rated as 'good' or 'excellent' in all patients
			administered per day as reference)		was reported in 40% of the ambroxol group compare with 35% of the sobrerol group	d
Boehringer Ingelheim Internationa GmbH [32]	Follow-on study to U86-0347 to compare the efficacy of ambroxol and sobrerol	Patients aged 1 month-12 years with bronchitis and bronchopneumonia	 40 patients received treatment daily 20 patients received ambroxol [1.7 mg/kg (<2 years), 1.6 mg/kg (2–5 years) and 1.2 mg/kg (6-10 years)] intramuscularly 20 patients received soberol (1-2 vials administer per day as reference) 	- Bronchosecretolytic effect	 Mean duration of treatment was 7.9 days in the ambroxol group compared with 8.3 in the sobrerol group The efficacy of ambroxol was reported as 'excellent' in 65% of the ambroxol group compared with 25% in the sobrerol group and 'good' in 25% of the ambroxol group compared with 45% in the sobrerol group 	No side effects reported

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FV, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; Hb, hemoglobin; MEF25, maximal expiratory flow at 25% of forced vital capacity; NAC, N-acetylcysteine; PaO₂, partial pressure of oxygen; PaC02, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; RBC, red blood cell; PEF, peak expiratory flow; Rawt, total airway resistance; SCMC, carbocysteine/S-carboxymethyl cysteine; WBC, white blood cell.

Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine 2020; 15:511 - A. Kantar et al.



Study	Study design and aim	Patient demographics and disease characteristics	Number of patients; ambroxol treatment dosage and duration	Study parameters	Efficacy results	Safety results
Weinmann [33]	Dose-response study to determine the benefit/risk profile of different formulations of ambroxol	Patients aged 2 months-15 years with acute and chronic airway diseases (mainly bronchitis)	 229 patients received ambroxol 'original formulation' [15-20 mg/day (<2 years), 25-30 mg/day (2-5 years), or 45 mg/day (6-12 years)] 111 patients received 'final formulation' [15 mg/day (<2 years), or 30 mg/day (>2 years)] Administered as a syrup Some children also received antibiotics 51 patients aged <2, 81 aged 2-5, 95 aged 6-12 for original formulation; 82 patients aged 0-5 and 29 aged 6-12 for final formulation 	Cough and sputum characteristics	 Original formulation: In children with sputum, a mucolytic effect was reported on day 2 or 3 of treatment Cough present at baseline improved or disappeared completely in 87% of the patients by day 7 The benefit/risk profile of ambroxol was reported as highly favorable as, in all age groups, symptoms completely resolved or improved after 7 days of treatment Final formulation: Therapeutic effect of ambroxol was evident in 81% of the children after 2-3 days of treatment From day 3, there was a substantial improvement in sputum volume and, by day 7, sputum and dry cough were absent in more than two thirds of the children 	 One patient receiving ambroxol in the form of tablets (the only patient to be treated for 32 days who was also receiving antibiotics and corticosteroids) complained of a facial erythema on day 24-29 that was considered unrelated to ambroxol treatment by the investigator In the investigation involving ambroxol drops/inhalant solution, one patient (a 3-year-old boy) experienced 'frequent and severe' vomiting related to the method of administration of ambroxol rather than the treatment itself Six patients (five aged 0-5 years, one aged 6 years) experienced side effects, including diarrhea, vomiting, rise in temperature, tachycardia, morbilliform exanthema and severe abdominal pains, after intramuscular injection of ambroxol. In two patients aged 0-5 years, the events were considered unrelated to ambroxol treatment; in the remaining four patients, the relation between the events and treatment was considered unlikely
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH [34]	Open-label multicenter study to evaluate efficacy and acceptance of ambroxol	Patients aged 0-12 years with acute and chronic upper respiratory tract disorders (mainly bronchitis)	 137 patients received ambroxol for up to 28 days according to the recommended dosage for their age group and weight (range 5-45 mg/day) Administered as a syrup 95 patients were aged 0-5 years and 43 patients were aged 6-12 years 	Evaluation of efficacy was based on subjective parameters of the attending physician	 Efficacy, rated as 'good' or 'very good' in 82.5% of the children, was seen as early as 20 min after treatment but was found to be optimal at day 3 The investigators concluded that ambroxol syrup was effective for the treatment of acute, sub-acute and chronic respiratory diseases 	A child with endogenous eczema (age unknown) experienced allergic exanthema on day 6 but this did not lead to discontinuation
Huizar Lara <i>et al.</i> [35]	Open-label, multicentre study to assess efficacy and safety of ambroxol	Patients aged 2–12 years with acute and chronic respiratory tract infections (including bronchial asthma, bronchopneumonia and asthmatiform bronchitis	 74 patients received ambroxol for 7 days at dosages of 30-45 mg/day for children aged 6-12 years and 22.5 mg/day for children aged 2-5 years, with or without antibiotics Administered as oral solution 	Evaluation of secretion viscosity, sputum characteristics, respiratory function and radiographical observations	 The results obtained for these parameters were rated as 'excellent', 'good' and 'unsatisfactory' in 83.7%, 15% and 1.3% of the children, respectively Ambroxol was reported to have a significant mucolytic effect 	No side effects reported
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH [36]	Open-label, observational study	Patients aged <2 months-14 years with acute or chronic bronchitis, tracheobronchitis or common cold	 103 children treated with ambroxol for 8 days 15 mg/day for children up to 2 years; 22.5 mg/day for children 2-5 years; 30-45 mg/day for children >5 years 	 Disappearance of fever and improvement/ disappearance of bronchial signs and symptoms Efficacy scored according to time taken for improvements to become apparent: day 4 = excellent, day 5 = very good, day 6 = good, and day 8 = moderate 	 Efficacy of ambroxol was rated as excellent in 70 (68%), very good in 15 (14.6%), good in 13 (12.6%), moderate in 1 (1%) and poor in 4 (3.9%) patients Highest efficacy found in children 0–2 months, with a complete disappearance of symptoms after 4-6 days of treatment 	No side effects reported

Table 2. Characteristics and results of uncontrolled studies of ambroxol in acute and chronic respiratory diseases in pediatric patients.



Table 3. Characteristics and results of real-world evidence studies of ambroxol as an over-the-counter secretolytic therapy.

Study	Study design and aim	Number of patients	Study parameters	Efficacy results	Safety results
Kardos et al. [37]	Pharmacy-based survey assessing differential patient profiles and the efficacy of four ambroxol formulations (extended-release capsules, adult syrup, pediatric syrup and soft pastilles)	941 customers who purchased on of the ambroxol formulations	Questionnaire comprised a patient-adapted version of the Bronchitis Severity Scale (BSS), questions on the degree of impairment by acute cough, time to onset of symptom relief, and duration of treatment. Data on patients receiving the pediatric syrup (n=244) were entered by their parents	 Treatment with ambroxol (all formulations) reduced BSS score by 5.5 points by the end of treatment. The strongest improvement was reported for chest pain while coughing (-1.2; 75%), followed by rattles (-1.2; 71%), dyspnea (-0.9; 69%), cough (-1.6; 57%) and sputum (-0.8; 40%) Improvements in BSS scores were similar between formulations; however, minor differences in favor of the pediatric syrup were observed [62% (pediatric syrup) versus 59% (extended-release capsules and pastilles) and 5% 5 (adult syrup)] Treatment with all formulations improved bronchitis-associated impairments in up to 60% of patients In ≥90% of the patients, the time to onset of symptom relief was less than 60 minutes. Symptomatic relief occurred most rapidly in patients receiving the pediatric syrup or pastilles (43.4% and 45.2% of users taking the pediatric syrup and pastilles, respectively, reported a time to onset of 15-30 minutes, whereas 47.8% and 42.1% of those taking the extended-release capsules and adult syrup, respectively, reported a time to onset of 30-60 min) The mean duration of treatment was 4.3 ± 0.9 days, with no obvious differences between formulations 	 Patients rated the global tolerability of ambroxol as 'very good' (56.4%), 'good' (41.2%), 'moderate' (2.1%) or 'poor' (0.3%), with slightly better ratings reported for the pediatric syrup and pastilles Despite the broad definition of an AE in this survey (any worsening of symptoms or condition-associated impairments), AEs were reported in only 10.3% of patients, none of which were considered serious 91% of reported AEs were based on worsening of symptom or impairment scores
Schulz <i>et al.</i> [38]	Community pharmacy-based cohort study assessing the tolerability, self-perceived effectiveness and usage pattern of ambroxol	2,664 customers who bought ambroxol syrup (12-95 years of age)	Toterability, self-perceived effectiveness and usage pattern of ambroxol	 Patients complied with the recommended dosage and use, with only 0.7% of patients taking the maximum daily dose In total, 92% of patients rated the self-perceived effectiveness as 'very good' (29%) or 'good' (63%) 89% of patients were willing to purchase ambroxol syrup again 	 - 67 patients (2.5%) reported an AE, none of which were serious or unexpected - The majority of AEs were mild and affected the gastrointestinal tract (65%) or skin and subcutaneous tissue (11%) - The safety of ambroxol was rated as 'very good' (51%) or 'good' (46%) in 97% of patients

AE, adverse event; BSS, bronchitis severity scale.

resolved by day 3 [29]. Both treatments significantly improved expectoration and severity of cough (p<0.001); however, improvements in these symptoms occurred more rapidly with ambroxol (73.3% free of symptoms on day 3) compared with SCMC (46.6% free of symptoms on day 3) [29]. In addition, remission of auscultatory signs was significant at day 2 with ambroxol (p<0.02) compared with day 6 in the SCMC group (p<0.01) [29]. Overall, the physicians rated efficacy as 'excellent' for 50% of the ambroxol group compared with 25% for the SCMC group and 'good' for 50% of the ambroxol group compared with 75% of the SCMC group [29]. The authors concluded that, although no significant treatment difference between the two therapies was observed at the end of treatment, from a clinical perspective, the rapid improvement in clinical signs and symptoms following ambroxol treatment suggests ambroxol is superior to SCMC [29].

Additional active-controlled studies

Similarly to the study by Careddu and Zavattini [28], an activecontrolled study by Baldini *et al.* compared the efficacy of ambroxol and NAC in 28 children aged 2-13 years with acute spastic bronchitis [30]. In total, 14 children received 30 mg/day ambroxol for 10 days (28). Efficacy was assessed by changes in sputum characteristics, bronchial sounds, cough, difficulty expectorating sputum and dyspnea (28). Both treatments improved all bronchial signs and symptoms; however, by Day 5, these improvements occurred more rapidly in the ambroxol group compared with the NAC group. Additionally, changes in dyspnea were significantly greater with ambroxol than NAC (p<0.05) [30].

Two open-label studies compared the efficacy of ambroxol and sobrerol in 80 children aged 1 month-12 years with acute bronchitis and bronchopneumonia [31,32]. Ambroxol was administered intramuscularly daily for 6-10 days at the following dosages: 1.7 mg/kg (children <2 years), 1.6 mg/kg (children 2-5 years) and 1.2 mg/kg (6-10 years). The efficacy of ambroxol was assessed using changes in cough, dyspnea and auscultatory signs. In these studies, 'excellent' efficacy was reported by the physician in 50% [31] and 65% [32] of patients in the ambroxol group compared with 45% [31] and 25% [32] in the sobrerol group; corresponding values for 'good' efficacy were 40% and 25% in the ambroxol group and 35% and 45% in the sobrerol group [31,32].

Uncontrolled clinical studies

The dose-response relationship and benefit/risk profile of different ambroxol formulations in children was investigated in a study by Weinmann [33], involving 642 children aged 2 months-15 years with acute and chronic airway diseases (mainly bronchitis) [33]. In the study investigating ambroxol as a syrup, an 'original formulation' and a 'final formulation' were administered to 340 children aged 2 months-14 years (original formulation, n=229 children; final formulation, n=111). Both formulations contained the same concentration of ambroxol but differed slightly in excipients [33]. For the original formulation, children aged <2 years, 2-5 years and 6-12 years received 15-20, 25-30 and 45 mg/day, respectively, for 5-7 days. Some children also received antibiotics [33]. In children with sputum, a mucolytic effect was reported on day 2 or 3 of treatment. Additionally, cough present at baseline improved or disappeared completely in 87% of patients by day 7 [33]. Thus, the benefit/risk profile of ambroxol was deemed highly favorable as symptoms completely resolved or improved after 7 days of treatment in all age groups [33]. The 'final formulation' was administered in two single doses of 15 mg/day (children aged <2 years) or 30 mg/day (children aged >2 years) [33]. Similarly to the 'original formulation', the therapeutic effect of ambroxol was evident in the majority (81%) of children after 2-3 days of treatment [33]. Additionally, from day 3 there was a substantial improvement in sputum volume and by day 7, sputum and dry cough were absent in \geq two thirds of patients (33).

In an open-label, multicenter study involving 137 children with acute, sub-acute and chronic upper respiratory tract disorders (mainly bronchitis), children aged 0-12 years received 5-45 mg ambroxol daily for up to 28 days [343]. Efficacy, rated as 'good' or 'very good' in 82.5% of the children by the attending physician (according to subjective parameters), was seen as early as 20 min post-treatment and was found to be optimal at day 3 [34]. The investigators concluded that ambroxol syrup was effective for the treatment of acute, sub-acute and chronic respiratory diseases [34].

In another open-label, multicenter study by Huizar Lara *et al.* [35], ambroxol oral solution was administered at dosages of 30-45 mg/day (children aged 6-12 years) and 22.5 mg/day (children aged 2-5 years) for 7 days, with or without bronchodilators or antibiotics [35]. This study included 74 children with acute and chronic respiratory tract infections, including bronchial asthma, bronchitis, bronchopneumonia and asthmatiform bronchitis [35]. Efficacy was assessed by evaluating sputum characteristics, respiratory function and radiographical observations [35]. The results obtained for these parameters were rated as 'excellent', 'good' and 'unsatisfactory' in 83.7%, 15% and 1.3% of the children, respectively, following ambroxol treatment [35]. Subsequently, ambroxol was reported to have a significant mucolytic effect [35].

In an open-label, observational study, 103 children aged <2 months-14 years with acute or chronic bronchitis, tracheobronchitis or common cold were treated with ambroxol for 8 days [36]. Children ≤ 2 years, 2-5 years and >5 years were given ambroxol at dosages of 15, 22.5 and 30-45 mg/day, respectively [36]. Treatment effects were assessed at days 2, 4, 6 and 8; these were measured by disappearance of fever and improvement or disappearance of bronchial signs and symptoms [36]. Efficacy was scored according to the time taken for improvements to become apparent: day 4 = excellent, day 5 = very good, day 6 = good, and day 8 = moderate. No improvement was characterized as 'poor' [36]. Overall, the efficacy of ambroxol was rated as 'excellent' in 68%, 'very good' in 14.6%, 'good' in 12.6%, 'moderate' in 1% and 'poor' in 3.9% of patients [36]. Children aged 0-2 months had the greatest efficacy, with a complete disappearance of bronchial symptoms 4-6 days following ambroxol treatment [36].

Cohort studies and surveys

In a pharmacy-based survey involving 941 customers, differential patient profiles and the efficacy of four ambroxol formulations (extended-release capsules, adult syrup, pediatric syrup and soft pastilles) were investigated [37]. Customers who purchased one of the ambroxol formulations completed a questionnaire comprising a patient-adapted version of the Bronchitis Severity Scale (BSS), questions on the degree of impairment by acute cough, time to onset of symptom relief, and duration of treatment [37]. Data on patients receiving the pediatric syrup (n=244) were entered by their parents [37]. Treatment with ambroxol (all formulations) reduced the BSS score by 5.5 points by the end of treatment. The strongest improvement was reported for chest pain while coughing (-1.2; 75%), followed by rattles (-1.2; 71%), dyspnea (-0.9; 69%), cough (-1.6; 57%) and sputum (-0.8; 40%). Improvements in BSS scores were similar between formulations; however, minor differences in favor of the pediatric syrup were observed [62% (pediatric syrup) versus 59% (extended-release capsules and pastilles) and 55% (adult syrup)] [37]. Treatment with all formulations improved bronchitis-associated impairments in up to 60% of patients. In \geq 90% of the patients, the time to onset of symptom relief was less than 60 minutes. Symptomatic relief occurred most rapidly in patients receiving the pediatric syrup or pastilles (43.4% and 45.2% of users taking the pediatric syrup and pastilles, respectively, reported a time to onset of 15-30 min, whereas 47.8% and 42.1% of those taking the extended-release capsules and adult





syrup, respectively, reported a time to onset of 30-60 min [37]. The mean duration of treatment was 4.3 ± 0.9 days, with no obvious differences between formulations [37].

In a community pharmacy-based cohort study, customers who bought ambroxol syrup completed a questionnaire, providing data on tolerability, self-perceived effectiveness and usage pattern of ambroxol [38]. In total, 2,664 questionnaires were evaluable, completed by patients aged 12-95 years [38]. Overall, patients complied with the recommended dosage and use, with only 0.7% of patients taking the maximum daily dose [38]. In total, 92% of patients rated the self-perceived effectiveness as 'very good' (29%) or 'good' (63%), with 89% of patients willing to purchase ambroxol syrup again [38].

Safety overview

Controlled clinical studies

Ambroxol was considered well tolerated in children aged 0-12 years in the controlled studies presented in this review, with no AEs reported that were directly attributed to the treatment [27-32]. The study by Careddu and Zavattini [28] reported that ambroxol was equally well tolerated irrespective of age, and that the systemic tolerability of ambroxol was good as no changes in laboratory results were observed between the start and end of treatment [28]. This is supported by the findings from the other controlled studies included in this review, in which no adverse changes in laboratory findings occurred following ambroxol treatment [27,29-32].

Uncontrolled clinical studies

Consistent with the findings from the controlled studies, the four uncontrolled studies presented also reported ambroxol to be well tolerated by children [33-36]. However, in contrast, several AEs were reported, including facial erythema (n=1), dermatitis (n=1), vomiting (n=2), cutaneous rash due to totocillin (n=1), headache (n=1), mild fatigue (n=1), diarrhea (n=3) and allergic exanthema (n=1) [33,34]. In addition, in one study, a child with endogenous eczema (age unspecified) receiving ambroxol syrup experienced allergic exanthema on day 6 of treatment; however, this did not lead to treatment discontinuation [34]. We must note that the majority of these AEs were reported following the use of less frequently used formulations of ambroxol (i.e. tablets, drops/inhalant solution or intramuscular injection) and were predominantly deemed unrelated to ambroxol by the investigators [33,34]. Furthermore, no AEs were reported in two of the four uncontrolled studies discussed in this review [35,36].

Cohort studies and surveys

In the survey by Kardos *et al.* [37], patients rated the global tolerability of ambroxol as 'very good' (56.4%), 'good' (41.2%), 'moderate' (2.1%) or 'poor' (0.3%), with slightly better ratings reported for the pediatric syrup and pastilles [37]. Despite the broad definition of an AE in this survey (any worsening of symptoms or condition-associated impairments), AEs were reported in only 10.3% of patients, none of which were considered serious. Overall, 91% of reported AEs were based on worsening of symptom or impairment scores [37]. In the cohort study by Schulz *et al.* [38], only 67 patients (2.5%) reported an AE, none of which were serious or unexpected. The majority of AEs were mild and affected the gastrointestinal tract (65%) or skin and subcutaneous tissue (11%) [38]. The safety of ambroxol was rated as 'very good' (51%) or 'good' (46%) in 97% of patients [38].

Safety of ambroxol in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)

Zhang *et al.* [12] evaluated the efficacy and safety of antenatal ambroxol as a preventative therapy for RDS in preterm infants in a review of 12 randomized controlled studies involving 1,335 premature infants [12]. Eight of these studies reported AEs, which included nausea, vomiting, chest tightness and mild tachycardia, all of which improved or were more tolerable following a reduction of the dosage drip rate [12]. None of the AEs led to treatment discontinuation and no study reported AEs affected the fetus or neonate [12]. Additionally, a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, blinded pilot study by Baranwal *et al.* [39], involving 66 mechanically ventilated patients (aged 1 month–12 years), evaluated the efficacy of high-dose oral ambroxol in ARDS [39]. In this study, no AEs were reported following ambroxol treatment [39].

Discussion

The clinical studies included in this review, comprising almost 1,300 pediatric patients, report strong clinical outcomes following the use of ambroxol as a secretolytic agent [27-36]. Furthermore, the efficacy and safety of ambroxol was found to be consistent in all age groups. Ambroxol was considered well tolerated in all of the studies presented, thus, the efficacy and safety of ambroxol in children appears to be analogous to that reported for adults [13].

In the active-controlled studies included in this review, it is suggested that the efficacy of ambroxol is, at a minimum, non-inferior to that of NAC, SCMC and sobrerol. Ambroxol was reported to be more effective at relieving symptoms and signs of acute and chronic respiratory disease, and to have a more rapid onset of action [28-32]. It is also evident from the study by Principi et al. [27] that ambroxol could be working synergistically with antibiotics, resulting in faster symptom relief compared with treatment with antibiotics alone [27]. Recent evidence on the anti-biofilm activity of ambroxol suggests ambroxol may be a new therapeutic option in preventing major biofilm-dependent airway infections, such as ventilator-associated pneumonia, cystic fibrosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, which are currently difficult to treat due to antibiotic resistance [40]. Ambroxol disrupts the structural integrity of bacterial biofilms, as measured by scanning electron microscopy, which showed that the biofilm of Pseudomonas aeruginosa (produced in vitro) was thinner and fragmented following 7 days of ambroxol exposure compared with that formed in control conditions [40]. These findings support previous data reported by Principi et al. [27]. which demonstrated ambroxol's synergistic action in combination with antibiotics.

The RWE data presented, representing a total of 3,629 patients (including over 244 children), provides an insight into the favorable efficacy and safety of ambroxol as a non-prescription medication in a heterogeneous population within a real-life, day-to-day setting, for which the product was originally intended. In the survey by Kardos *et al.* [37], ambroxol rapidly reduced BSS scores and improved bronchitis-associated impairments. In this survey, very few AEs were reported and almost all patients (97%) rated the global tolerability of ambroxol as 'good' or 'very good' [37]. Very similar findings were reported in the study by Schulz *et al.* [38]. These data support the role of ambroxol as safe and effective OTC secretolytic therapy.

Following a benefit/risk assessment by the European Medicines Agency's Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) in 2014, it was reported that ambroxol is associated with a small risk of hypersensitivity reactions, including anaphylactic reactions and severe cutaneous adverse reactions (SCARs) [8]. Thus, the PRAC concluded that, subject to updating the product information to include the small risk of hypersensitivity reactions, the overall benefit/risk profile of ambroxol remains favorable in all age groups and for all indications [8]. The product information (SMPC) was subsequently updated.

Furthermore, in a review by Zhang *et al.* [12] no adverse effects on fetuses or neonates were reported following ambroxol treatment [12]. Additionally, high-dose ambroxol treatment did not cause any AEs in children aged 1 month-12 years with ARDS in the study by Baranwal *et al.* [39]. These findings further emphasize the favorable safety profile of ambroxol (regardless of indication), even in early infancy.

Ambroxol was reported to be well tolerated in all studies included in this review and, in most studies, no ambroxol-related side effects were reported [27-36]. In addition, side effects known to be associated with ambroxol treatment, such as gastrointestinal disturbances and cutaneous rash, occurred rarely and represented isolated cases. Furthermore, in the studies in which laboratory tests were performed, no adverse changes were observed following ambroxol treatment, suggesting no clinically relevant adverse effects occurred. When brought together, these findings suggest that ambroxol has a good safety profile in children aged 0-12 years with acute and chronic respiratory diseases.

As the data reviewed here were mostly obtained from clinical studies conducted some decades ago, and before the introduction of GCP, the findings of this review could be reinforced by conducting further controlled studies of ambroxol, in children, that adhere to the GCP guidelines. Although the focus of this review was the secretolytic indication of ambroxol, particularly in the OTC setting, this review also highlights new potential therapeutic roles for ambroxol in ARDS and biofilm-dependent airway infections.

Conclusions

The evidence collected from the studies presented here supports the opinion that ambroxol is both efficacious and tolerable as a non-prescription, secretolytic therapy for children with acute and chronic respiratory diseases. Due to the continual safety monitoring of ambroxol in pediatric patients, considerable documentation exists, that supports the favorable benefit/risk profile of ambroxol in adults and children of all ages, starting from neonates, with acute and chronic respiratory diseases.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Evie Lambert BSc, of iMed Comms, an Ashfield Company, part of UDG Healthcare plc for medical writing support that was funded by Sanofi in accordance with Good Publications Practice (GPP3) guidelines (http://www.ismpp.org/gpp3).

Abbreviations

over the counter
infant respiratory distress syndrome
respiratory distress syndrome
Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee
severe cutaneous adverse reactions
real world evidence
adverse event
Good Clinical Practice
N-acetylcysteine



FEV_1	forced expiratory volume in 1 second
MEF ₂₅	maximal expiratory flow at 25% of forced
	vital capacity
Rawt	total airway resistance
SCMC	S-carboxymethyl cysteine
BSS	Bronchitis Severity Scale
ARDS	acute respiratory distress syndrome

References

- Morice A, Kardos P. Comprehensive evidence-based review on European antitussives. BMJ Open Respir Res 2016;3:e000137.
- Braman SS. Chronic cough due to acute bronchitis: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2006;S129:95-103.
- Thompson M, Cohen HD, Vodicka TA, Blair PS, Buckley DI, Henegan C, et al. Duration of symptoms of respiratory tract infections in children: systematic review. BMJ 2013; 347:f7027.
- Chang AB, Glomb WB. Guidelines for evaluating chronic cough in pediatrics: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2006;129:S260-83.
- Schroeder K, Fahey T. Should we advise parents to administer over the counter cough medicines for acute cough? Systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Arch Dis Child 2002;86:170-5.
- Smith SM, Schroeder K, Fahey T. Over-the-counter (OTC) medications for acute cough in children and adults in community settings. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014:CD001831.
- 7. Balsamo R, Lanata L, Egan CG. Mucoactive drugs. Eur Respir Rev 2010;19:127-33.
- European Medicine Agencies. Revised assessment report: Ambroxol and bromhexine containing medicinal products 2015. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/referral/ambroxol-bromhexine-article-31-referral-pracassessment-report_en.pdf
- 9. Zanasi A, Mazzolini M, Kantar A. A reappraisal of the mucoactive activity and clinical efficacy of bromhexine. Multidiscip Respir Med 2017;12:7.
- Gupta PR. Ambroxol Resurgence of an old molecule as an anti-inflammatory agent in chronic obstructive airway diseases. Lung India 2010;27:46-8.
- Paleari D, Rossi GA, Nicolini G, Olivieri D. Ambroxol: a multifaceted molecule with additional therapeutic potentials in respiratory disorders of childhood. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2011;6:1203-14.
- Zhang ZQ, Wu QQ, Huang XM, Lu H. Prevention of respiratory distress syndrome in preterm infants by antenatal ambroxol: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Perinatol 2013;30:529-36.
- Cazan D, Klimek L, Sperl A, Plomer M, Kolsch S. Safety of ambroxol in the treatment of airway diseases in adult patients. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2018;17:1211-24.
- Sanofi-Aventis. Ambroxol Summary of Product Characteristics 2019. Available from: https://www.mucosolvan.de
- 15. Disse BG. The pharmacology of ambroxol -review and new results. Eur J Respir Dis Suppl 1987;153:255-62.
- 16. Fois G, Hobi N, Felder E, Ziegler A, Miklavc P, Walther P, et al. A new role for an old drug: Ambroxol triggers lysosomal exocytosis via pH-dependent Ca(2)(+) release from acidic Ca(2)(+) stores. Cell Calcium 2015;58:628-37.
- Glowania A MR, Böhm M, Knopf A, Klimek L. The surfactant system – a new approach for treating the upper respiratory tract



mucosa. Atemwegs Lungenkrankh 2011;37:S1-5.

- K Morgenroth JB. Morphological features of the interaction between mucus and surfactant on the bronchial mucosa. Respiration 1985;47:225-31.
- 19. Disse BG, Ziegler HW. Pharmacodynamic mechanism and therapeutic activity of ambroxol in animal experiments. Respiration 1987;51:S15-22.
- Hasegawa I, Niisato N, Iwasaki Y, Marunaka Y. Ambroxolinduced modification of ion transport in human airway Calu-3 epithelia. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2006;343:475-82.
- Muroi Y, Undem BJ. Targeting voltage gated sodium channels NaV1.7, Na V1.8, and Na V1.9 for treatment of pathological cough. Lung 2014;192:15-20.
- 22. Yang B, Yao DF, Ohuchi M, Ide M, Yano M, Okumura Y, et al. Ambroxol suppresses influenza-virus proliferation in the mouse airway by increasing antiviral factor levels. Eur Respir J 2002;19:952-8.
- Li F, Yu J, Yang H, Wan Z, Bai D. Effects of ambroxol on alginate of mature Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Curr Microbiol 2008;57:1-7.
- Deretic V, Timmins GS. Enhancement of lung levels of antibiotics by ambroxol and bromhexine. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2019;15:213-8.
- 25. Basabe-Burgos O, Zebialowicz J, Stichtenoth G, Curstedt T, Bergman P, Johansson J, et al. Natural derived surfactant preparation as a carrier of polymyxin E for treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa pneumonia in a near-term rabbit model. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv 2019;32:110-8.
- Vijayananthan A, Nawawi O. The importance of good clinical practice guidelines and its role in clinical trials. Biomed Imaging Interv J 2008;4:e5.
- Principi N, Zavattini G, Daniotti S. Possibility of interaction among antibiotics and mucolytics in children. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res 1986;6:369-72.
- Careddu P, Zavattini G. Mucosolvan® (Ambroxol) in paediatric use - Controlled clinical trial vs. acetylcysteine. Asthma Bronch Emphys 1984;4:23-26.

- Berni M, Collina A, Zavattini G. [Ambroxol in bronchopulmonary pathology in children].[Article in Italian]. Clin Ter 1983;106:351-5.
- Baldini G, Gucci M, Tarò D, Memmini C. [Controlled clinical study of a new ambroxol formulation in the treatment of infantile spastic bronchitis in children].[Article in Italian]. Min Ped 1989;41:91-5.
- Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. Data on file (U86-0347). 1983.
- Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. Data on file (U86-0348). 1983.
- Weinmann HM. Ambroxol (Mucosolvan) in paediatrics. Clinical results with different forms of administration. Therapiewoche 1981;31:7940-7.
- Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. Data on file (U75-0124). 1985.
- Huizar Lara H, Ortega Guzman S, Quiroga Garza S. [Ambroxol, a new bronchosecretolytic in paediatric bronchopulmonary conditions].[Article in Spanish]. Invest Med Int 1985;11:83-90.
- Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. Data on file (U84-0264). 1983.
- 37. Kardos P, Beeh KM, Sent U, Mueck T, Grater H, Michel MC. Characterization of differential patient profiles and therapeutic responses of pharmacy customers for four ambroxol formulations. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol 2018;19:40.
- 38. Schulz M, Hammerlein A, Hinkel U, Weis G, Gillissen A. Safety and usage pattern of an over-the-counter ambroxol cough syrup: a community pharmacy-based cohort study. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006;44:409-21.
- Baranwal AK, Murthy AS, Singhi SC. High-dose oral ambroxol for early treatment of pulmonary acute respiratory distress syndrome: an exploratory, randomized, controlled pilot trial. J Trop Pediatr 2015;61:339-50.
- Cataldi M, Sblendorio V, Leo A, Piazza O. Biofilm-dependent airway infections: a role for ambroxol? Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2014;28:98-108.

Received for publication: 15 October 2019. Accepted for publication: 14 February 2020.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2020 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine 2020; 15:511 doi:10.4081/mrm.2020.511