



# **Corrigendum: The Efficacy and Safety of Qiming Granule for Dry Eye Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

### OPEN ACCESS

## Edited and reviewed by:

Francesca Borrelli, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Rensong Yue yuerensong163@hotmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 21 August 2020 Accepted: 28 October 2020 Published: 11 December 2020

#### Citation:

Yang M, Hu Z, Yue R, Yang L, Zhang B and Chen Y (2020) Corrigendum: The Efficacy and Safety of Qiming Granule for Dry Eye Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Pharmacol. 11:597639. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.597639 Maoyi Yang<sup>1†</sup>, Zhipeng Hu<sup>1†</sup>, Rensong Yue<sup>1</sup>\*, Liangjun Yang<sup>2</sup>, Boxun Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Yuan Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Tongde Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, China

Keywords: qiming granule, traditional Chinese medicine, dry eye disease, systematic review, meta-analysis

#### A corrigendum on

## The Efficacy and Safety of Qiming Granule for Dry Eye Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

by Yang, M., Hu, Z., Yue, R., Yang, L., Zhang, B., and Chen Y. (2020). Front. Pharmacol. 11:580. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00580

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Figure 5** as published. There was a mistake in the generation of this figure, which may make this figure confusing. Some studies were unintentionally unchecked by us when generating forest plot by software Review Manager, resulting in the effect estimates and confidence intervals not being shown. Correspondingly, there are no blocks at the point estimates of intervention effect and horizontal lines of these studies in the forest plot. The corrected **Figure 5** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Yang, Hu, Yue, Yang, Zhang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

		erimen			ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Jinlan Wan 2013	7.24	2.23	50	6.12	2.15	50	10.3%	1.12 [0.26, 1.98]	
Qing Du 2018	8.5	1.73	60	6.45	1.5	60	11.2%	2.05 [1.47, 2.63]	-
Xueling Fu 2014	16.18	4.32	59	13.82	4.47	56	7.5%	2.36 [0.75, 3.97]	
Xingyan Xu 2015	16.21	4.29	45	13.79	4.36	37	6.6%	2.42 [0.54, 4.30]	
Jie Li 2014	7.98	1.47	30	5.3	1.35	30	10.8%	2.68 [1.97, 3.39]	
Yi Wang 2017	14.05	1.53	39	11.35	1.49	39	10.9%	2.70 [2.03, 3.37]	
Shilin Lu 2017	13.62	2.8	20	10.62	3.3	20	6.5%	3.00 [1.10, 4.90]	
Siwen Song 2019	13.63	2.81	36	10.63	3.31	36	8.2%	3.00 [1.58, 4.42]	
Mingyue Wang 2018	14.2	2.19	105	10.48	3.42	105	10.5%	3.72 [2.94, 4.50]	
Li Li 2017	17.84	4.56	50	13.29	4.12	45	7.0%	4.55 [2.80, 6.30]	
Chao Niu 2016	10.58	1.01	30	5.69	1.86	30	10.6%	4.89 [4.13, 5.65]	-
Total (95% CI)			524			508	100.0%	2.93 [2.22, 3.65]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =				•	< 0.00	001); l²	² = 83%		-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect:	∠ = 8.03	(P < 0	.00001	)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 5 | Forest plot for tear film break up time.