The threshold force required for femoral impaction grafting in revision hip surgery

A preliminary study in sow femurs

Olivia M Flannery^{1,2}, John R Britton¹, Peter O'Reilly¹, Nicholas Mahony^{1,3}, Patrick J Prendergast¹, and Paddy J Kenny²

¹Trinity Centre for Bioengineering, School of Engineering, Trinity College, Dublin; ²Department of Orthopaedics, Cappagh National Orthopaedic Hospital, Finglas, Dublin; Connolly Hospital, Blanchardstown, Dublin; ³Human Performance Laboratory, Anatomy Department, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland Correspondence: oliviaflannery@yahoo.co.uk Submitted 09-04-21. Accepted 09-10-27

Background and purpose Femoral impaction grafting requires vigorous impaction to obtain adequate stability without risk of fracture, but the force of impaction has not been determined. We determined this threshold force in a preliminary study using animal femurs.

Methods Adult sow femurs were used because of their morphological similarity to human femurs in revision hip arthroplasty. 35 sow femurs were impacted with morselized bone chips and an increasing force was applied until the femur fractured. This allowed a threshold force to be established. 5 other femurs were impacted to this force and an Exeter stem was cemented into the neomedullary canal. A 28-mm Exeter head was attached and loaded by direct contact with a hydraulic testing machine. Axial cyclic loading was performed and the position sensor of the hydraulic testing machine measured the prosthetic head subsidence.

Results 29 tests were completed successfully. The threshold force was found to be 4 kN. There was no statistically significant correlation between the load at fracture and the cortex-to-canal ratio or the bone mineral density. Following impaction with a maximum force of 4 kN, the average axial subsidence was 0.28 mm.

Interpretation We achieved a stable construct without fracture. Further studies using human cadaveric femurs should be done to determine the threshold force required for femoral impaction grafting in revision hip surgery.

The use of impaction grafting in total hip arthroplasty was first introduced by Slooff et al. (1984) for restoring bone stock loss in the acetabulum. Small cavities in the acetabulum are first filled, and then the whole socket is filled layer by layer with bone chips impacted with increasing-sized impactors and a hammer. If this procedure is used correctly, a stable layer of graft will result. A modification of the technique for the femoral side was described by Gie et al. (1993). This technique involves adding morselized fresh frozen allograft into the femoral canal and packing the graft tightly into the femoral canal to fill the defects. Impactors, which are attached to a sliding hammer, are used to vigorously impact the bone graft. There are various sizes of distal and proximal impactors. Using these impactors, a neo-medullary canal is created fitting the stem to be inserted.

Evidence of revascularization, retrabeculation, and recorticalization of impacted allograft has been shown using bone scintigraphies (Tokgozoglu et al. 2000) and radiographs (Gie et al. 1993, Linder 2000, van Biezen et al. 2000). Histological studies in animals (Schreurs et al. 1994) and humans (Ling et al. 1993, Ullmark and Linder 1998) have supported radiological studies by showing graft revascularization and remodeling. Ullmark and Linder (1998) showed that revascularization had proceeded to the cement surface in most areas in a femur retrieved 6 months after impaction grafting with morselized allograft.

Results to date are promising, with improvement in hip and pain scores and radiological signs of retrabeculation (Flugsrud et al. 2000, Schreurs et al. 2006). However, there still remains concern about the high rate of complications, especially fractures of the femur. Extramedullary augmentation with a strut graft or plate is used when there is concern regarding large cortical defects. Although their use has been shown to be advantageous, the risk of fracture remains. Furthermore, unacceptably high rates of subsidence have been reported (Eldridge et al. 1997, Masterson et al. 1997), indicating inadequate impaction of the bone chips. Increasing the impaction force will increase graft stability. However, the vigorous process of impaction grafting has been reported to result in femoral fractures with rates as high as 16% (Masterson et al. 1997, Leopold et al. 1999, Knight and Helming 2000, Pekkarinen et al. 2000). This is perhaps because the bone graft is impacted manually with a sliding hammer without any guidance as to the optimal magnitude of the impaction force.

In this preliminary study, we determined the threshold force required for impaction grafting of the femoral component in an animal femur. Factors such as femoral cortical defects, cortex-to-canal diameter, and bone mineral density (BMD), which have been associated with fracture (Ornstein et al. 2002, Meek et al. 2004, Bagger et al. 2006), were studied to determine whether there was any correlation between them and the threshold force. Finally, we measured the subsidence of an Exeter prosthesis under cyclical loading following impaction at the threshold force.

Materials and methods

After examination of several kinds of animal femurs, adult sow femurs were selected. The femurs were sealed in plastic and stored in a freezer at -20° C. Before testing, they were thawed in a refrigerator at 4°C for 24 h. The femurs were stripped of soft tissue and the heads were excised and the neck trimmed, as for a hip replacement. The femoral canal was cleared, leaving only the cortical shell, and the condyles excised. The Gruen zones were marked on each femur before being placed through a DEXA scanner. Each femur was scanned twice and the average BMD overall and for each Gruen zone was determined.

The distal part of the femur was held upright in a cement base. Strain gauges were applied as outlined by Dabestani (1992) to the medial, lateral, anterior, and posterior aspects of the proximal femur at the level of the base of the lesser trochanter.

The excised femoral heads and femoral condyles were used to obtain bone grafts. Schreurs et al. (2001) recommended that the size of bone chips should be 7–10 mm on the acetabular side and we followed this recommendation in our experiment, so a rongeur with optimal bite of 10 mm was used. The bone chips were rinsed in tepid water to remove excess fat and patted dry with tissue paper. Bone chips were inserted into the femoral canal and using the X-Change III distal impactor (Stryker Howmedica, Berkshire, UK), the chips were compacted manually until the graft level was approximately 10 cm from the tip of the greater trochanter. The X-Change III proximal impactor (Stryker Howmedica, Berkshire, UK) was then attached to a biaxial hydraulic fatigue testing machine (Instron Inc., Norwood, MA) (Figure 1) and driven into the bone chips at an increasing force.

A force was gradually applied in the Instron until 1.5 kN was reached. An impact force was then applied using the hydraulic



Figure 1. Impaction using the X-Change III proximal impactor 37.5 (2) attached to a biaxial hydraulic fatigue testing machine.

testing machine by first setting the machine at a frequency of 5 Hz with Haversian square wave form. An increasing force of 0.5 kN was then applied and recorded until the femur fractured or the second mark on the impactor was in line with the cut of the neck. If the femur did not fracture then, more bone chips were added and the impaction process was repeated until the femur fractured. The graft in the femoral canal was then inspected and the level was noted to be distal or proximal to the base of the lesser trochanter, where the strain gauges had been applied. The strain gauges gave measurements for the strain at the bone surface during impaction. Using callipers, the cortical thickness of the bone was measured along the fracture line.

To estimate the force applied in the clinical setting, the Exeter slap hammer was placed directly on the load cell of the biaxial hydraulic fatigue testing machine and the maximum load obtained from manual use by the authors was noted (Figure 2).

Once the threshold force was determined, 5 femurs were impacted to the threshold force and an Exeter 37.5-mm offset size-1 stem was cemented into the neomedullary canal and a 28-mm Exeter head attached. Each femur was cemented at its base with an abduction angle of 7 degrees and a flexion angle of 15 degrees, which is the natural alignment of the proximal human femur. The femur was then loaded through the 28-mm femoral head by direct contact with the hydraulic fatigue testing machine (Figure 3).

Axial cyclic loading was performed between 440 N (swing phase of gait) and 1,320 N (stance phase of gait) (Malkani et



Figure 2. The X-Change III slap hammer used in revision hip arthroplasty was impacted against the load cell. The maximum load obtained was 3.5 kN.

al. 1996, Kligman et al. 2003) for 150×10^3 cycles at a frequency of 3 Hz. The position sensor of the hydraulic testing machine measured the axial displacement of the femoral head. The initial loading of the implant to 880 N was not recorded. Subsidence was defined as the difference between the first and last cycle under 440 N load.

Statistics

Regression techniques were applied to determine whether a correlation existed between the force applied and calculated bone surface strain levels, cortical thickness, canal diameter, and bone density.

Results

35 femurs were marked, scanned, and tested. For 2 femurs, the strain gauges failed during testing and they were excluded. A fracture occurred on removing the proximal impactor in 3 femurs and a fracture was noted at the early stages of testing in another femur before impaction grafting was started. As a result, the testing was complete and successful for 29 femurs (Table).

The threshold force was found to be 4 kN, i.e. all femurs fractured at a greater load. This threshold force was similar to the maximum force obtained when the slap hammer was impacted on the load cell directly, which was 3.5 kN (Figure 2).

The bone surface strain at failure ranged between 1,040 and 15,800 microstrain. There was no correlation between the load applied and the resulting strain. In 20 femurs, where the level of the bone graft was proximal to the base of the lesser trochanter, the average strain at fracture was 6,246 microstrain. For the remaining 9 femurs where the bone graft was distal to the lesser trochanter, the average strain at fracture was 2,459 microstrain. The maximum strain at failure was noted from the strain gauge positioned medially in 19 femurs and from the lateral strain gauge for the remaining 10 femurs.



Figure 3. Axial cyclic loading between 440 N (swing phase of gait) and 1,320 N (stance phase of gait) through the 28-mm femoral head, by direct contact with the hydraulic fatigue testing machine via a PTFE disc, which was free to slide along a PTFE plate.

The position of the fracture varied, but most often occurred on the medial aspect of the proximal femur. In 19 femurs, the maximum strain was recorded from the strain gauge at or closest to the fracture.

The average cortical thickness at the level of the strain gauge where the fracture occurred was 3.9 (3-5.3) mm. The mean canal diameter was 24 (18-28) mm and the mean cortex-to-canal ratio was 0.16 (0.12-0.22). There was no statistically significant correlation between the load at fracture and these parameters.

The average BMD was 1.16 (0.92–1.44) g/cm². However, there was a slight variation in the length of the femurs so the average BMD was calculated for the femoral length excluding Gruen zone 4. This average BMD was 1.22 (0.96–1.5) g/cm². There was no statistically significant correlation between the average BMD and the load of fracture or between the BMD for each Gruen zone and the load ($r^2 = 0.0031$). An r^2 of > 0.7 is required for the correlation to be statistically significant.

Following impaction with the maximum force of 4 kN, the average subsidence for the 5 femurs after 150×10^3 cycles at a frequency of 3 Hz was 0.28 (0.24–0.33) mm (Figure 4).

Discussion

The high risk of fracture during femoral impaction grafting is of some concern. Knight and Helming (2000) reported a fracture rate of 16% related to the impaction grafting technique. Similar figures have been reported by Leopold et al. (1999) and by Pekkarinen et al. (2000).

Characteristics of the 29 femurs

Test	Load at # (kN)	Strain at # (istrain)	Cortical thickness at # (mm)	Cortical thickness: canal diameter	BMD (g.cm²)
1	7.5	6050	3.7	0.14	1.02
2	7.5	4330	5.0	0.21	1.11
3	6.5	2030	4.1	0.15	1.41
4	9	15800	3.7	0.14	1.04
5	8.5	2670	3.6	0.15	1.03
6	4	2250	3.6	0.18	1.15
7	6	6500	3.3	0.15	1.09
8	10	9190	3.4	0.14	1.03
9	7	1870	3.0	0.13	0.95
10	8	5190	3.7	0.15	0.96
11	9.5	4620	3.5	0.14	0.93
12	5.5	1820	3.3	0.14	1.02
13	8.5	3300	5.3	0.22	1.26
14	6	5950	3.6	0.16	1.05
15	8.5	1810	3.2	0.12	1.26
16	5.5	7330	3.0	0.13	1.12
17	9.5	5960	4.6	0.19	1.35
18	6.5	5120	3.6	0.20	1.04
19	6.5	6900	3.6	0.15	1.39
20	8	2700	3.8	0.17	1.40
21	6	4580	4.1	0.16	1.33
22	5	1040	4.9	0.20	1.11
23	8.5	4390	4.3	0.15	1.04
24	8.5	5030	4.4	0.18	1.22
25	10	4100	3.9	0.16	1.25
26	8	8940	4.3	0.18	1.06
27	9.5	3910	4.0	0.15	1.17
28	10	11000	3.6	0.14	1.29
29	10	2660	4.0	0.18	1.20



Figure 4. Axial displacement of implant during swing phase of gait for each femur.

Our experimental animal study was designed to determine the threshold force until fracture for femoral impaction grafting. We selected adult sow femurs as they lack trabecular bone between the lesser trochanter and femoral condyles, and have a wide femoral canal with a thin cortex (Höstner et al. 2001). These characteristics mimic the human femur in revision hip arthroplasty. Femurs were thawed in a refrigerator at 4°C for 24 h before testing. The mechanical properties and biochemi-

cal behavior of dead and living bone are similar and the mechanical properties of bone are not affected by freezing (Dabestani 1992). However, one of the limitations of our study is that the full length of the femur, after excision of the condyles, was used. This meant that the overall shape was not only curved but more cylindrical than conical. The femoral canals were also wide, with an average diameter of 24 mm. The diameter in the clinical situation is up to 16 or 18 mm. This large diameter of the canal explains why we could use chips with a diameter of 7-10 mm; in the clinical situation these chips are too large to be used for distal impaction. Although this resulted in a very thick bone graft mantle using the Exeter 37.5-mm offset size-1 stem, larger stems could not be used because they did not fit the sow femur proximally.

The thinnest part of the cortex for the femurs tested was 3.2 mm on average, but there were no cortical defects. In revision hip surgery, large cortical defects of the femur can be encountered. Thus, although the threshold force of 4 kN is similar to that obtained

from direct impaction with the Exeter slap hammer on the load cell (3.5 kN), this is unlikely to be obtained in the clinical situation—and if it was reached, the risk of fracture would probably be high. In revision surgery, cages, meshes, cerclage wires, or strut grafts are used to reinforce a thin or absent cortex. This was not considered in our animal study, as no cortical defects were encountered.

Another limitation of our study is that the bone had to be stripped of soft tissue and was fixed and potted in cement, resulting in an aphysiological set-up. During revision hip arthroplasty, the femur is embedded in soft tissues and is not in a fixed stable position. Therefore, the forces obtained in our animal study may never be reached clinically. Thus, the methods we used are inappropriate in some respects but we attempted to put some boundaries on the forces applied in femoral impaction grafting to reduce the risk of fracture.

We expected the bone mineral density, cortical thickness, and canal diameter to be correlated to the load but this was not found. The reason for this is that failure most likely occurs from some isolated defect in the bone cortex rather than being due to a low overall density. We used callipers to measure the cortical thickness along the fracture line. For femurs where the maximum resultant strain was not at the fracture site, the cortex was cut and the thickness measured. The canal diameter was measured from the images obtained from the DEXA scan, and in retrospect plain radiographs should have been used to obtain more accurate measurements of both cortical thickness and canal diameter.

The initial stability is of paramount importance in femoral impaction grafting. Inadequate impaction of the bone chips is a possible reason for early massive subsidence (Eldridge et al. 1997). The mechanical stability is related to the compaction of the graft (Toms et al. 2004) but over-impaction can result in an intraoperative fracture. Minimal axial subsidence occurred in the 5 femurs tested, where the mean subsidence was 0.28 mm. This was after 150×10^3 cycles at a frequency of 3 Hz, which would simulate the first 2 months of load bearing.

In conclusion, a threshold force of 4 kN was determined in this preliminary study. Minimal axial subsidence of the implant occurred when impacting the graft with this threshold force. We therefore achieved a stable construct without fracture. We have started further studies in human cadaveric femurs using radiographs to measure the canal diameter and cortical thickness, in order to determine the threshold force required in femoral impaction grafting in revision hip surgery.

OF performed preparation, testing, and analysis of all femurs. JB helped in setting up the design of the study. PO'R manufactured equipment and assisted in the preparation and testing of femurs. NM performed DEXA scans and calculated the bone mineral density and canal diameter of the femurs. PP was academic supervisor. PK was clinical supervisor.

The authors thank PEI Surgical Ltd., Ireland, for donating a Rongeurs, Simplex Surgicare for supplying Simplex bone cement, and Stryker Howmedica for donation of impactors.

No competing interests declared.

- Bagger Y Z, Tanko L B, Alexandersen P, Hansen H B, Qin G, Christiansen, C. The long-term predictive value of bone mineral density measurements for fracture risk is independent of the site of measurement and the age at diagnosis: results from the prospective epidemiology risk factors study. Osteoporos Int 2006; 17 (3): 471-7.
- Dabestani M. In vitro strain measurement in bone. In: Strain measurement in biomechanics, 4th ed. (Eds Miles A W and Tanner K E). London: Chapman & Hall. 1992: 58-69.
- Eldridge J D J, Smith E J, Hubble M J, Whitehouse S L, Learmonth I D. Massive early subsidence following femoral impaction grafting. J Arthroplasty 1997; 12: 535-40.
- Flugsrud G B, Ovre S, Grogaard B, Nordsletten L. Cemented femoral impaction bone grafting for severe osteolysis in revision hip arthroplasty. Good results at 4-year follow-up of 10 patients. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2000; 120: 386-9.
- Gie G A, Linder L, Ling R S M, Simon J-P, Slooff T J J H, Timperley A J. Contained morselized allograft in revision total hip arthroplasty. Surgical technique. Orthop Clin North Am 1993a; 24: 717-24.
- Gie G A, Linder L, Ling R S M, Simon J-P, Slooff T J J H, Timperley A J. Impacted cancellous allografts and cement for revision total hip arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 1993b; 75: 14-21.

- Höstner J, Hultmark P, Kärrholm, Malchau H, Tveit M. Impaction technique and graft treatment in revisions of the femoral component. Laboratory studies and clinical validation. J Arthroplasty 2001; 16: 76-82.
- Kligman M, Rotem A, Roffman M. Cancellous and cortical morselized allograft in revision total hip replacement: A biomechanical study of implant stability. J Biomech 2003; 36: 797-802.
- Knight J L, Helming C. Collarless polished tapered impaction grafting of the femur during revision total hip arthroplasty. Pitfalls of the surgical technique and follow-up in 31 cases. J Arthroplasty 2000; 15: 159-65.
- Leopold S S, Berger R A, Rosenberg A G, Jacobs J J, Quigley L A, Galante J O. Impaction allografting with cement for revision of the femoral component. A minimum four-year follow-up study with use of a precoated femoral stem. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1999; 81: 1080-92.
- Linder L. Cancellous impaction grafting in the human femur. Histological and radiographic observation in 6 autopsy femurs and 8 biopsies. Acta Orthop Scand 2000; 71: 543-52.
- Ling R S M, Timperley A J, Linder L. Histology of cancellous impaction grafting in the femur. A case report. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 1993; 75: 693-6.
- Malkani L, Voor J, Fee A, Bates S. Femoral component revision using impacted morsellised cancellous graft. A biomechanical study of implant stability. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 1996; 78: 973-8.
- Masterson L, Masri A, Duncan P. The cement mantle in the Exeter impaction allografting technique. A cause for concern. J Arthroplasty 1997; 12: 759-64.
- Meek R M, Garbuz D S, Masri B A, Greidanus N V, Duncan C P. Intraoperative fracture of the femur in revision total hip arthroplasty with a diaphyseal fitting stem. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 2004; 86 (3): 480-5.
- Ornstein E, Atroshi I, Franzen H, Johnsson R, Sandquist P, Sundberg M. Early complications after one hundred and forty-four consecutive hip revisions with impacted morselized allograft bone and cement. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 2002; 84 (8): 1323-8.
- Pekkarinen J, Alho A, Lepisto J, Ylikoski M, Ylinen P, Paavilainen. Impaction bone grafting in revision hip surgery. A high incidence of complications. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 2000; 82 (1): 103-7.
- Schreurs B W, Buma P, Huiskes R, Slagter J L, Slooff T J J H. Morsellized allografts for fixation of the hip prosthesis femoral component. A mechanical and histological study in the goat. Acta Orthop Scand 1994; 65: 267-75.
- Schreurs B W, Gardeniers J W M, Slooff T J J H. Acetabular reconstruction with bone impaction grafting: 20 years of experience. AAOS Instructional Course Lectures 2001; 50: 221-8.
- Schreurs B W, Arts J J C, Verdonschot N, Buma P, Slooff T J J H, Gardeniers J W M. Femoral component revision with used of impacted bone-grafting and a cemented polished stem. Surgical technique. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 2006; 88: 259-74.
- Slooff T J J H, Huiskes R, van Horn J, Lemmens A J. Bone grafting in total hip replacement for Acetabular protrusion. Acta Orthop Scand 1984; 55: 593-6.
- Tokgozoglu A M, Aydin M, Atilla B, Caner B. Scintigraphic evaluation of impaction grafting for total hip revision. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2000; 120: 416-9.
- Toms A D, Barker R L, Jones R S, Kuiper J H. Impaction bone-grafting in revision joint replacement surgery. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 2004; 86 (9): 2050-60.
- Ullmark G, Linder L. Histology of the femur after cancellous impaction grafting using a Charnley prosthesis. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1998; 117: 170-2.
- van Biezen F C, ten Have B L, Verhaar J A N. Impaction bone-grafting of severely defective femora in revision total hip surgery. 21 hips followed for 41-85 months. Acta Orthop Scand 2000; 71: 135-42.