SYNTHESIS

Climate change in the oceans: evolutionary versus phenotypically plastic responses of marine animals and plants

Thorsten B. H. Reusch

GEOMAR Helmholtz-Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Marine Ecology – Evolutionary Ecology of Marine Fishes, Kiel, Germany

Keywords

adaptation, genetic diversity, ocean acidification, ocean warming, phenotypic buffering, physiological tolerance, selection

Correspondence

Thorsten B. H. Reusch, GEOMAR Helmholtz-Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Marine Ecology – Evolutionary Ecology of Marine Fishes, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany Tel.: +49 431 6004550; fax: +49 431 6004553; e-mail: treusch@geomar.de

Received: 10 April 2013 Accepted: 29 August 2013

doi:10.1111/eva.12109

Abstract

I summarize marine studies on plastic versus adaptive responses to global change. Due to the lack of time series, this review focuses largely on the potential for adaptive evolution in marine animals and plants. The approaches were mainly synchronic comparisons of phenotypically divergent populations, substituting spatial contrasts in temperature or CO₂ environments for temporal changes, or in assessments of adaptive genetic diversity within populations for traits important under global change. The available literature is biased towards gastropods, crustaceans, cnidarians and macroalgae. Focal traits were mostly environmental tolerances, which correspond to phenotypic buffering, a plasticity type that maintains a functional phenotype despite external disturbance. Almost all studies address coastal species that are already today exposed to fluctuations in temperature, pH and oxygen levels. Recommendations for future research include (i) initiation and analyses of observational and experimental temporal studies encompassing diverse phenotypic traits (including diapausing cues, dispersal traits, reproductive timing, morphology) (ii) quantification of nongenetic transgenerational effects along with components of additive genetic variance (iii) adaptive changes in microbe-host associations under the holobiont model in response to global change (iv) evolution of plasticity patterns under increasingly fluctuating environments and extreme conditions and (v) joint consideration of demography and evolutionary adaptation in evolutionary rescue approaches.

Introduction

The ocean is by far the largest habitat on planet Earth. Even larger is our level of scientific ignorance with respect to basic knowledge on its biodiversity. While the recently completed census of marine life compiled a list of 240 000 metazoan species known to science, three to nine times more species still await discovery and description, depending on the extrapolation approach used (Mora et al. 2011). The relationship between known compared with undescribed microbial diversity is even more sobering. Recent estimates suggest that we currently know <0.1% of the diversity in terms of bacterial, archaeal and viral species (Simon and Daniel 2011).

Global climate change in the oceans is already now affecting species' physiology (Somero 2010) and the distribution (Poloczanska et al. 2013) and composition of communities (Perry et al. 2005). Latitudinal range shifts as response to warming often surpass terrestrial estimates several fold (Jones et al. 2010; Poloczanska et al. 2013), and emerging mismatches in phenologies may ultimately threaten trophic linkage and hence ecosystem functioning (Beaugrand et al. 2003). In contrast to such physiological and ecological effects, evolutionary adaptation to global change only recently received increasing attention in marine systems (but see Pistevos et al. 2011; Sunday et al. 2011; Lohbeck et al. 2012; Dam 2013; Kelly and Hofmann 2013).

The lead article of the current review series (Merilä and Hendry 2013) focuses on changes in phenotypes through time (allochronic studies) and asks whether or not observed changes are due to phenotypic plasticity or evolutionary change. In marine systems, however, for the overwhelming majority of nonvertebrate animals and plants, no data series are available other than abundance and distribution that document phenotypic change in populations, for example in reproductive timing, behaviour, morphology or growth rates. Exceptions are flowering data in the endemic Mediterranean seagrass Posidonia oceanica and growth rates in the brown seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum that suggest a link between sea surface temperatures and flowering intensity (Keser et al. 2005; Diaz-Almela et al. 2007). Yet, these changes were probably entirely attributable to phenotypic plasticity, while it is unlikely that they have resulted from (and were not interpreted as) adaptive evolution, as P. oceanica and A. nodosum are both long-lived plants. Only in populations of fishes (see review by Crozier and Hendry 2014) population-level data of maturation ages and growth rates suggest temporal changes partly attributable to adaptive evolution as a result of size-selective harvesting (Jorgensen et al. 2007; Swain et al. 2007).

Hence, this review has to focus on studies that address the potential for phenotypic evolution based on indirect approaches. These are mostly synchronous studies comparing populations coming from divergent habitats in spacefor-time (=laboratory common garden) or reciprocal transplant approaches. In such studies, the phenotypically plastic component of phenotypic variance is usually not directly estimated, but contained within the error variance. Indirect evidence is also available from assessments of relevant within-population genetic diversity, for example in tolerance traits in the face of warming or ocean acidification stress, which may then be combined with population genetic projections on adaptation rates (Sunday et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2013). In contrast, direct experimental evidence on evolutionary adaptation is rare and mostly deals with short-generation time phytoplankton species (Lohbeck et al. 2012; Jin et al. 2013), which are covered by a companion review in this issue (Collins 2014). However, there are a few exceptions from marine animals (Kelly et al. 2012), and these experimental evolution approaches hold great promise as they provide direct evidence for in situ adaptive evolution to changing environments.

At a first glance, the marine environment may not seem too conducive to adaptive evolution compared with land. One salient difference to terrestrial environments is marine connectivity, potentially connecting all locations/habitats via genetic exchange of adults, larvae, spores or other propagules (Palumbi 1994). This should move the balance between spatially divergent selection on one hand, and gene flow on the other away from adaptive changes as a result of selection (Bolnick and Nosil 2007). However, there are few actual examples in marine species where gene flow prevents or slows down local adaptation. To the contrary, the many examples of local adaptation in marine invertebrates, in particular to temperature regimes, (Helmuth et al. 2006; Sanford and Kelly 2011) suggest that locally divergent selection often overrides homogenizing effects of gene flow (Schmidt et al. 2000). At the same time, it turns out that dispersal is more complex and spatially confined than previous simplistic scenarios have predicted (Levin 2006). Realized dispersal among contrasting habitats may also be drastically reduced by phenotype–environment mismatch of dispersing propagules (Marshall et al. 2010), also called 'selection against immigrants' (Hendry 2004).

On the other hand, marine species should possess large standing genetic diversity and hence display a high evolutionary potential. Many marine populations, in particular, species in the plankton as well as mass-spawning ones with numerous planktotrophic larvae, should possess much larger population sizes and hence higher standing genetic diversity compared with species/populations on land. A critical concept was already introduced by Wright (1931), the effective population size $N_{\rm e}$, the size of a hypothetical ideal population with random mating that corresponds to population genetic processes within the focal wild population. When the product of the selection coefficient s (defining the fitness differential between two alleles) and N_e is <1, then, random processes (genetic drift) will constrain adaptive responses via selection. Population size has been invoked to be one key variable for the possibility of evolutionary rescue (ER) of populations under changing environments, either by determining the amount of quantitative genetic variation responsive to selection, or indirectly via inbreeding effects (Willi et al. 2006). Unfortunately, there are very few population genetic estimates of effective population sizes (as are estimates of selection coefficients) in marine systems (Hare et al. 2011). Most examples, again, come from fish (Crozier and Hendry 2014), while for most marine invertebrates, only ecological census estimates are available (but see Ovenden et al. 2007; De Wit and Palumbi 2013), which may diverge widely from N_e (Zeller et al. 2008). The most relevant approach for estimating $N_{\rm e}$ is contemporary temporal methods, which operate at the same time scale as the adaptation processes in response to global change (Hare et al. 2011). While many massspawning vertebrates (fish) and invertebrates are likely to posses Ne values that will not constrain selective responses, this may not apply to small populations confined to fringe habitats (for example tide-pool copepods, Kelly et al. 2012) or to large-bodied species such as elasmobranchs (Chevolot et al. 2008), marine mammals (Alter et al. 2007) or large marine plants (Reusch et al. 1999).

A brief glance on the future ocean

The ocean environment is characterized by strong vertical and horizontal gradients in several abiotic factors, such as light, turbulence, concentrations of dissolved elements, oxygen, hydrostatic pressure and temperature, some of which show diurnal and seasonal fluctuations notably in light levels and temperature. Superimposed onto these existing gradients, a multitude of environmental factors are predicted to change in mean and variances in the coming decade (Boyd et al. 2010). The scope of this review in terms of selection factors is dictated by the available literature, which mostly deals with ocean warming, ocean acidification and deoxygenation. Marked warming trends in surface waters are apparent already today sometimes markedly exceeding atmospheric warming (Perry et al. 2005). Even water layers that are relatively isolated from the well-mixed surface ocean already begin to reveal measurable warming signal down to 1000-m water depth (Roemmich et al. 2012). Due to the large latent heat of water, extreme values are often buffered. On the other hand, once critical temperature thresholds are reached, no microhabitats are available to mobile organisms for escaping, nor is evaporative cooling possible (Bergmann et al. 2010), in contrast to the situation for terrestrial invertebrates (Schilthuizen and Kellermann 2013). In tropical areas, many organisms live close to their upper thermal limit, such that small absolute increases in water temperature of only 1-2°C may result in severe mortality selection, as is the case for species of reefbuilding (scleractinian) corals (Pandolfi et al. 2011).

Excess carbon dioxide from fossil fuel burning is also directly affecting ocean water chemistry. As a result of direct dissolution of CO₂, ocean waters become less basic. This process, dubbed ocean acidification, profoundly alters the abundance of different inorganic carbon species and interferes with a range of processes, including growth, calcification, development, reproduction and behaviour (Orr et al. 2005; Kroeker et al. 2010). Importantly, the predicted drop in ocean pH and increase in pCO₂ are faster and of greater magnitude than any event since the past 300 million years (Caldeira and Wickett 2003). Larger marine animals/ ontogenetic stages with large volumes of extracellular space are impacted by elevated pCO₂, as they need to maintain a positive CO₂ gradient from the body fluids to the environment to excrete metabolic CO2 via diffusion (Melzner et al. 2009). Changes in ocean acidification thus lead to higher body fluid pCO2 in animals, which causes acid-base disturbances. These, in turn, can lead to reallocation of resources not available for other functions such as growth and reproduction, which likely translate into impaired fitness. On the other hand, regulatory energy expenditure can be compensated by high resource availability, for example of food to filter feeders (Thomsen et al. 2013). This contrasts to the situation in unicellular organisms and gametes, as well as small ontogenetic stages (larvae) for which the ocean is the extracellular space. Here, physiological tolerances cannot be compensated by energy-expensive regulation that makes

these life-history stages/organisms more vulnerable to ocean acidification effects (Melzner et al. 2009).

Calcifying animal and plant species are additionally impacted in their ability to precipitate biogenic carbonate by lowered pH and carbonate ion concentrations (Kroeker et al. 2010). Their sensitivities and hence the intensity of selection imposed by future level of ocean acidification depend on the detailed physiological mechanism. For example, decreased carbonate concentrations have been shown to exert a direct influence on calcification rates of mussel larvae, foraminifera or reef-building corals (Bentov et al. 2009; Gazeau et al. 2011). For other species, the direct pH effects seem to be more important, for example in coccolithophores (Bach et al. 2013). Morphological structures may also matter. For example, in some species of bivalves, the periostracum, an organic shell cover protecting carbonate shells from ocean waters under-saturated with carbonate, may enable biogenic calcification even in corrosive waters as has been shown in deep-sea mussels inhabiting highly acidic hydrothermal vent areas (Tunnicliffe et al. 2009).

As the pCO₂ in the atmosphere is continuing to rise, this also enhances the availability of inorganic carbon to marine photosynthetic autotrophs such as macroalgae and seagrasses (Harley et al. 2012), phytoplankton (Riebesell and Tortell 2011) and unicellular symbionts associated with metazoan hosts (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008), with positive effects on plant growth rates, reproduction and photosynthesis. However, as the lower availability of CO_3^{2-} ions along with increased pCO₂ can impede calcification, photosynthesis and growth of calcifying autotrophs including calcifying macroalgae, reef-building corals and calcifying unicellular plankton are often negatively impacted (reviewed in Kroeker et al. 2010).

Spatial gradients in ocean pH and CO_2 availability are less well defined than for temperature with the exception of CO_2 vents (Hall-Spencer et al. 2008; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. 2011) and CO_2 -enriched coastal habitats (Feely et al. 2008) where natural high pCO₂ habitats can be contrasted to surrounding area with ambient CO_2 values. This opportunity has not yet been explored except in one recent study (Kelly et al. 2013).

An environmental change interacting with warming and stratification that will become more severe in the coming decades is hypoxic (oxygen-poor) periods or entire regions in both open ocean and coastal areas (Diaz and Rosenberg 2008). Hypoxic zones, in turn, are always correlated with locally high pCO₂ values and low carbonate concentrations due to excess respiration (Feely et al. 2008). Hence, at the same time, they may provide test cases for ocean acidification status today that otherwise is predicted for the next century in more oxygen-rich areas (Feely et al. 2008; Melzner et al. 2013).

Modes of evolution and selection in the brave new ocean

An important issue to understand adaptive responses is the nature of selection via global change (Franks and Hoffmann 2012). One the one hand, the key variables of the present review, ocean acidification and warming, may have immediate beneficial (i.e. fitness-enhancing) effects. For example, increased availability of inorganic carbon (as dissolved CO₂) will enhance the growth of marine plants (Harley et al. 2012). Elevated mean ocean temperatures may mean longer growth periods, a favourable condition that benefits those genotypes that can readily take advantage by enhancing their reproduction and growth rates (Dehnel 1955; Eggert et al. 2005). Summer heat waves, on the other hand, may constitute sublethal stress in seagrasses (Reusch et al. 2005), corals (Howells et al. 2011), gorgonians (Cerrano et al. 2000) and marine invertebrates (Moore et al. 2011).

In the case of selection for increased opportunity, those genotypes that possess more plasticity, *sensu* a steeper slope of the reaction norm with increasing inorganic carbon availability, will profit more, and when the shape of the reaction norm is heritable, adaptive evolution will take place, here in the form of lineage sorting of preadapted genotypes (Schaum et al. 2013; Fig. 1B). There are also recent theoretical advances that predict faster evolutionary rates and higher likelihood of population persistence if plasticity itself can evolve (i.e. the slope of the reaction norm), but this only applies to selection for opportunity (Chevin et al. 2013a,b) and not to phenotypic buffering (Box 1, Fig. 1).

Box 1: Phenotypic plasticity versus phenotypic buffering

Phenotypic plasticity broadly defines the adjustment of phenotypic values of genotypes depending on the environment, without genetic changes. Originally, it describes different phenotypes produced by the same genotype as a function of the environment (Schlichting and Pigliucci 1996). Difficulties arise with this definition when dealing with traits closely correlated with fitness such as growth, reproduction and mortality in stressful environments. The (adaptive) maintenance of a functional phenotype in the face of environmental stress essentially translates to the same phenotype produced by an underlying genotype. Confusion arises when such a genotype is described as being 'more plastic'. When depicting the reaction norms (i.e. average trait value of a genotype versus environment) (Fig. 1, see also Box 1 in Pigliucci 2005), the reaction norm would essentially be a flat line in a genotype with appropriate tolerance traits (Schlichting and Pigliucci 1996). The latter case should rather be termed phenotypic buffering, a special case of plasticity (Waddington 1942; Bradshaw 1965). In contrast, reaction norms with a nonzero slope in response to the environment describe phenotypic plasticity of traits *sensu stricto*. Some authors therefore distinguish tolerance curves, depicting tightly fitness-correlated traits such as growth and survival, from reaction norms that describe traits with a more complicated connection to fitness (Chevin et al. 2010).

The two plasticity types are associated with different modes of selection by global change. Classical plasticity is most relevant under selection for enhanced opportunity (Franks and Hoffmann 2012), here those genotypes are favoured that can adaptively adjust their phenotype to rapidly take advantage of novel conditions, such as earlier hatching for a seasonal insect as a result of increased mean temperatures (Bradshaw and Holzapfel 2001), or more dissolved inorganic carbon for microalgae (Schaum et al. 2013). In contrast, when the environmental change translates to enhanced stress levels at the edge of tolerance ranges, selection is for enhanced tolerance, that is, phenotypic buffering. Note that it is likely that other levels of biological organization need to respond in a truly plastic way to accommodate external stress and maintain homeostasis (Schlichting and Pigliucci 1995). Key examples are the increased expression of shock proteins to maintain proper cellular metabolism as a response to heat stress (Sorensen et al. 2003; Bergmann et al. 2010; Csaszar et al. 2010).

Phenotypic buffering is by definition adaptive when it confers the maintenance of organismal functioning. Only when buffering collapses, nonadaptive alternative phenotypes may be expressed, largely as a consequence of stress (Fig. 1A, genotype 2). For selection under enhanced opportunity, the fitness advantage of the more plastic genotype depicting a steeper slope (Fig. 1B, genotype 1) needs to be formally demonstrated. If plasticity itself can evolve, here the slope of the reaction norm in a linear model, then plasticity will help maintaining populations under changing environments (Chevin et al. 2010; Chevin et al. 2013a,b). An interesting (and unresolved) question is whether or not global change will impose selection directly upon plasticity, in particular, when environmental variance rather than mean values increase (Thompson 1991; Pigliucci 2005; Chevin et al. 2013a,b).

Moreover, increased duration of the growth period under increased mean temperatures may turn current patterns of countergradient variation (Conover and Present 1990) that maintain nearly constant life-history traits in latitudinally distributed species become maladaptive (Bradshaw and Holzapfel 2001). For example, it may become beneficial to produce less diapausing versus direct developing eggs under warmer climates. If latitudinal variation exists for developmental modes and diapausing cues, adaptive evolution of local populations to accommodate enhanced opportunities due to ocean warming may take place, as has been shown for coastal copepod species (Marcus 1984; Avery 2005). These are the only studies that suggest the possibility of adaptive evolution of life-history cues in the marine realm (see terrestrial examples in companion



Figure 1 Hypothetical reaction norms depicting a fitness-correlated trait such as growth or reproduction as function of a changing environmental variable (e.g. temperature, CO_2 availability). The variable can represent a stressor (A) or represent enhanced opportunity (B), depending on the physiology of the species, and the magnitude of the factor. The genotype with the solid line will be favoured by selection. In (A), genotype 1 is maintaining its function, thus shows better *phenotypic buffering* than genotype 2. The corresponding reaction norm is flat. In (B), genotype 1 is more *phenotypically plastic*; thus, the slope of the reaction norm is steeper than of less plastic genotype 2. Here, selection would favour genotype 1 over 2 as the former can readily take advantage of the improved environmental condition. See Box 1 for more details.

reviews by Franks et al. 2013; Charmantier and Gienapp 2013), rather than first-order effects on organismal physiology.

A fundamentally different selection regime is in the face of increasing stress. Here, selection is in favour of genotypes displaying increased tolerances that are thus able to maintain organismal function despite environmental deterioration. This process needs to be distinguished from phenotypic plasticity in its original meaning and has been dubbed phenotypic buffering before (Waddington 1942; Bradshaw 1965; Box 1, Fig. 1). In the context of tolerance selection, the precise pattern of duration and intensity of stress is as important as are elevated mean values, as is the case for selection for enhanced opportunity.

Potential for adaptive evolution – the evidence in marine systems

Our knowledge from marine systems is fragmentary and encompasses very few studies that follow populations over time with phenotypic data other than abundance and distribution. The few exceptions either deal with long-lived organisms that preclude adaptive responses (Keser et al. 2005; Diaz-Almela et al. 2007) or do not provide any evidence for adaptive components of the phenotype (Moore et al. 2011). The best examples for an evaluation of plastic versus adaptive changes are probably from the fish world (see companion review by Crozier and Hendry 2014). Here, individual-based measures of maturation reaction norms, reproductive investment and growth rates provide compelling evidence for evolutionary change due to harvesting (Olsen et al. 2004; Swain et al. 2007). There are also no studies where individual traits are repeatedly measured throughout generations or related individuals, which precludes any animal model approaches laid out by Merilä and Hendry (2013). This is in contrast to terrestrial species where, for example, flowering time, migration patterns, dispersal traits, behaviour or reproductive timing have changed as phenotypic or genetic response to more favourable climatic conditions (references to be added from other reviews, this issue).

Another way to demonstrate evolutionary adaptation is the direct assessment of genetic changes within the genomes of the focal populations. Yet, I am unaware of any successful association of causal genetic change at the DNA level that links observed phenotypic change to its genetic basis in marine systems. This lack is not a general shortcoming of marine studies, but reflects the general difficulty to associate the genotype with a phenotype for most but the simplest traits and adaptations (Travisano and Shaw 2013). However, some molecular phenotypes, in particular gene expression patterns, are consistent with physiological divergent phenotypes, for example in terms of thermal adaptation and tolerance (Somero 2010; Franssen et al. 2011). Here, it was often observed that population-specific patterns in expression of heat shock protein genes (hsps) are broadly consistent with the thermal niche of a population, that is, individuals from colder locations indicated heat stress at lower temperatures by expressing hsp genes in marine invertebrates and seagrasses (Osovitz and Hofmann 2005; Bergmann et al. 2010). Other evidence for divergent selection operating at the molecular genetic level come from comparisons of enzyme DNA sequence (Somero 2012) and from genome scans. Recent examples include populations of red abalone (De Wit and Palumbi 2013) and purple sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus) (Pespeni et al. 2013) that came from different thermal or ocean acidification habitats, respectively (De Wit and

Palumbi 2013; Pespeni et al. 2013). In the study by Pespeni et al. (2013), a temporal genome scan upon exposure of sea urchin larvae revealed dozens of alleles that changed relative to control CO_2 conditions. An excess of nonsynonymous over synonymous nucleotide substitutions in CO_2 -favoured alleles corroborated the hypothesis that acidification-induced selection was responsible for population genetic changes. It is noteworthy, however, that there were no detectable phenotypic differences among the urchin families of different parental origin.

In marine systems, the bulk of evidence addressing the potential of adaptive evolution versus plastic responses come from two approaches. In synchronic comparisons of populations, many case studies report phenotypic differences that are consistent with local adaptation among subpopulations from contrasting habitats (reviewed for coastal animals in Helmuth et al. 2006 and Sanford and Kelly 2011). Second, an increasing number of studies using breeding designs/comparisons of clonal genotypes identified (additive) genetic variance in traits such as CO₂ or temperature tolerance. As such approaches only describe the potential for adaptive evolution, this approach was not explicitly included in the lead review (Merilä and Hendry 2013). The focal traits were predominantly physiological responses and tolerances, thus 'labile' traits that can be adjusted several times during the life time of an organism. Few studies addressed life-history cues, for example for diapausing, while I am not aware of a single study addressing developmental traits that can only be adjusted once during ontogeny, in contrast to many terrestrial studies (Franks et al. 2013; Charmantier and Gienapp 2013; Schilthuizen and Kellermann 2013).

Evidence from synchronic approaches

In synchronic approaches, the end result of past evolutionary adaptation can be tested using two designs. In laboratory experiments, individuals from divergent locations that are putatively locally adapted with respect to a hypothesized factor such as temperature regime or pCO₂ are exposed to different levels of that factor in the laboratory (common garden approach), ideally under at least two levels of that factor to unravel $G \times E$ (genotype \times environment) interactions (Falconer and McKay 1998). A second approach is reciprocal transplant experiments. Here, adaptation to local conditions is visible though better performance of local versus foreign genotypes (Kawecki and Ebert 2004), but any interpretation is difficult owing to the multivariate nature of diverging habitats. A possible solution is to use multiple environmental contrasts with respect to the focal factor, say temperature, to remove idiosyncratic effects of specific localities (Kawecki and Ebert 2004).

Brief overview on available evidence - plants

In marine plants (excluding phytoplankton), adaptive phenotypic divergence at the population level was mainly studied with respect to temperature regimes (Table 2), either in common garden or in reciprocal transplant designs. For macroalgae and seagrasses, global change constitutes a complex mixture of immediate positive and negative effects. For noncalcifying seaweeds and seagrasses, the increased availability of inorganic carbon through dissolution of CO₂ in ocean waters alleviates nutrient (inorganic carbon) limitation and enhances growth (Harley et al. 2012). This does not apply to many calcifying algae that have difficulties to produce calcium carbonate under increasing acidification (Kroeker et al. 2013). Thus, in the former case, selection is for enhanced opportunity, while tolerance evolution is relevant to calcifying forms to compensate for higher costs of calcification under lower carbonate saturation. To the best of our knowledge, there are no temporal or spatial studies addressing adaptation to ocean acidification in any macroalgae or seagrass, neither for tolerance nor for enhanced opportunity.

Increasing mean temperature predicted for many regions will strongly interact with genetically based seasonality patterns that are probably highly adaptive both within and among species. Warmer waters may enable local algal populations to grow longer time periods when conditions become more favourable, typically at colder sections of their current distribution range (Eggert 2012). However, when populations grow at the upper end of their thermal tolerance, which applies particularly to tropical species, adaptation may occur in response to increasing stress. In many geographically widespread macroalgae, the presence of thermal ecotypes suggests that local adaptation to the prevalent temperature regime is possible (Breeman 1988; reviewed in Eggert 2012). As many algae have complicated two- or three-phasic life cycles, predicting the adaptive responses and associated selection regime requires the inclusion of the full life cycle, which has seldom been done (Harlev et al. 2012).

For the dominant seagrass of the Northern Hemisphere, *Zostera marina* (eelgrass), a series of common garden experiments have revealed some evidence for thermal adaptation of southern versus northern populations in terms of their photophysiology (Winters et al. 2011). At the same time, transcriptomic resilience, the recovery to normal gene expression patterns, was consistent with the observed temperature tolerance in southern populations under a simulated summer heat wave (Franssen et al. 2011), while northern populations were lacking such resilience. Such transcription patterns may be one important correlate to address phenotypic buffering at the molecular genetic scale.

Animals

In marine animals, synchronic approaches focus on divergent thermal ecotypes, with most studies coming from cnidarians (reef-building corals), gastropod molluscs and copepods (Crustacea). Most studies used controlled laboratory common garden designs under space-for-time substitution approach, manipulating either a range of temperatures including stressful values, or only temperature as stressor (Table 2). About half of the published evidence deals only with tolerances at the upper end of the range of temperatures, while half addresses both selection for enhanced opportunity and tolerance (Table 1). Some studies addressed correlated responses other than tolerances that place the first-order physiological response into an ecological context. For example, in the intertidal copepod Tigriopus californicus, Willet (2010) found that the competitive fitness of genotypes from different thermal habitats differed in a way consistent under a thermal adaptation hypothesis, that is, warm-adapted individuals displaced cold-adapted ones under high temperature stress.

Only two studies addressed the population-level differentiation in traits related to seasonality. In a controlled laboratory study using the F1 generation of a copepod species (*Labidocera aestiva*), the production of dormant eggs was

population specific, suggesting local adaptation of developmental mode to the length of the growing season, which is covarying with temperature (Marcus 1984). Another seasonal adaptation, summer dormancy, was found to vary among populations in another copepod species, Acartia hudsonica (Avery 2005). Both these studies highlight that population-level phenology and life-history transitions vary within populations and may undergo adaptive evolution with altered temperature regimes, similar to patterns observed on land (Bradshaw and Holzapfel 2006; Schilthuizen and Kellermann 2013). One interesting study with respect to oxygen deficiency as stress selection is available in the coastal copepod species A. tonsa. Here, populationlevel differences were found with respect to behavioural avoidance of hypoxia only in those populations that came from an estuary often suffering from low oxygen (Dekker et al. 2003).

There are far fewer studies addressing adaptation to ocean acidification using a synchronic approach. Using the well-defined CO_2 gradient of the Ischia vent site, calcification rates of limpets coming from low and control pH sites were examined under controlled high and low pH conditions. Limpets from close to the vent calcified more under all conditions, suggesting some adaptively increased calcification rates (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. 2011). However, it is

Table 1		Glossary	for	terms	used	in	this	review.	
---------	--	----------	-----	-------	------	----	------	---------	--

Term	Explanation
Coral bleaching	Loss of dinoflagellates (genus <i>Symbiodinium</i>), endosymbiotic unicellular algae from reef-building corals as response to thermal or other stress
Calcification	Biogenic production of calcium carbonate in the form of shells, scales, spicules or skeletons in marine animals and plants
Corals	Reef-building (scleractinian) corals are cnidarians and form long-lived colonies that may construct reefs of hundreds of km in dimension
Counter-gradient	Variation in the reaction norm of a phenotypic trait that compensates for a gradient for example
variation	in temperature, maintaining for example development time or body size across latitudes
Genetic assimilation	Population genetic process coined by Waddington describing how a phenotypically plastic trait becomes subsequently genetically fixed within the extreme range of environments
Holobiont	Host organism (animal and plant) along with its entire diversity of associated prokaryotic and eukaryotic-associated microbes
Macroalgae	Multicellular photoautotrophic protists that are of diverse phylogenetic origin, important members are red algae, brown algae (e.g. kelps) and green algae. The latter gave rise to higher land plants
Metapopulation	Network of subpopulations connected via dispersal, characterized by extinction and recolonization processes
Ocean acidification	Decrease in ocean pH due to the dissolution of anthropogenic (excess) carbon dioxide derived from fossil fuel burning
Phenotypic buffering	Maintenance of a functional phenotype under stressful conditions, that is, to tolerate bad environmental conditions, applies mostly to tightly fitness-correlated traits such as growth and reproduction
Phytoplankton	Microscopically small autotrophic unicellular 'plants' of very diverse phylogenetic origin that contribute to the bulk of primary productivity in the ocean
Planktotrophic	Nutritional type of many larvae of marine invertebrates that feed on plant and animal plankton during the first days to weeks until they metamorphose and settle to the seafloor
Seagrasses	Polyphyletic group of flowering plants that returned secondarily to the marine habitat
Selection for opportunity	Selection regime under global change when changing conditions represent more favourable conditions that could be exploited if traits such as maximal growth rates evolve
Symbiont	Unicellular protists and prokaryotes closely associated with metazoan host organisms, their role can be beneficial, neutral or pathogenic

unclear whether this is a true genetically based adaptation, or whether this represents long-term acclimation (e.g. Dupont et al. 2013). Recently, Kelly et al. (2013) bred sea urchin larvae (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) from populations diverging in the pH environment their parents experience along the Pacific coastline, owing to different upwelling regimes along with oxygen deficiency and naturally occurring pH drops. The maintenance of larval size was related to experimental ocean acidification stress in a way consistent with local adaptation to naturally occurring pH value decreases.

In synchronic approaches, it is mandatory to erase environmental effects that persist within or even across generations to correctly infer evolutionary adaptation. Unfortunately, even long-term acclimation within generations may be insufficient to erase irreversible environmental effects. For example, early ontogenetic effects on muscle morphology and swimming performance in zebrafish were found to be unaffected by subsequent acclimation of adults to different thermal regimes (Scott and Johnston 2012). Likewise, early-phase exposure of juvenile oysters to OA persisted to the juvenile stage regardless of later treatments (Hettinger et al. 2012). Even more sobering are recent findings on trans-generational carry-over effects in a range of marine invertebrates exposed to ocean acidification (Parker et al. 2012; Dupont et al. 2013) or in fish species exposed to warming, ocean acidification and hypoxia (Donelson et al. 2011; Miller et al. 2012; Salinas and Munch 2012). Thus, for most studies, we cannot exclude the possibility that long-term carry-over effects including epigenetic inheritance can influence estimates of trait value divergence obtained, although the assay conditions were properly controlled. An ideal design would be to propagate populations within the laboratory for at least two generations, which was only realized in 5/23 studies compiled in Table 2. However, even breeding until the F2 generation may not be sufficient to control for trans-generational carry-over effects (Schmitz and Ecker 2012).

Assessing within population adaptive genetic diversity

The second line of evidence for the potential of adaptive evolution comes from an assessment of additive genetic variance within focal populations through breeding designs (Table 3) to address the potential for adaptive responses to temperature and ocean acidification. A particularly instructive study dealt with the additive genetic variance in sensitive sea urchin and mussel larvae to ocean acidification (Sunday et al. 2011). Although the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* has a longer generation time, a population genetic model predicted faster rates of adaptive evolution in sea urchins compared with mussels (*Mytilus*)

trossolus) because larvae of the latter possessed lower levels of additive genetic variance. The above study only addressed very early larval stages and needs to be extended to later life stages. Other such recent examples include the variation in larval tolerance in a sea urchin to the combined effects of warming and ocean acidification (Foo et al. 2012) and the settlement success of coral larvae in the face of sublethal warming (Meyer et al. 2009). In all cases, significant within-population diversity for the focal traits, here tolerance levels were detected, suggesting the potential for adaptive evolution. Ideally, such studies employ a breeding design that decomposes nongenetic, trans-generational effects from breeding values of genotypes (as in Sunday et al. 2011).

Regarding the experimental design, special cases are asexually reproducing animals and plants. Their shoots, runners, branches or subcolonies (=ramets sensu Jackson et al. 1985)) allow for a replication of identical genetic material (barring somatic mutations), which makes a comparison of tolerances and associated reaction norms straightforward. For example, in the bryozoan Celleporella hyalina, Pistevos et al. (2011) found differences in the tolerance to temperature and OA in terms of growth and reproduction. In a reef-building coral, variation for thermal tolerance was observed both for the host and the symbiont components (Csaszar et al. 2010). In an ecosystem-engineering plant, the seagrass Zostera marina, marked among-genotype variation in survival during a heat-stress event was found in the field (Reusch et al. 2005). Interestingly, physiological responses in monoculture with a single genotype differed from the response under competition with other genotypes, suggesting trade-offs between tolerance and competitive ability. Note that in asexually propagated genotypes, among-genotype differences will only provide estimates on broad-sense heritabilities, including an unknown fraction of nonadditive (e.g. epistatic) genetic variance is unknown (Falconer and McKay 1998). Moreover, the risk for substantial nongenetic carry-over effects that inflate heritability estimates is probably high (see above).

The photoperiodic cues to initiate certain life-history phases may be under adaptive evolution (Bradshaw and Holzapfel 2001). For example, the delayed production of dormant eggs for a seasonal diapause is a trait that may to warming waters and associated longer growth periods. In laboratory breeding experiments, it was found that summer dormancy in the copepod species *Acartia hudsonica* has a large heritable component within populations and that the fraction of individuals undergoing summer diapause as a function of day length varies across two populations (Avery 2005). This suggests that an adjustment of the photoperiodic response in northern populations to warming waters via *in situ* local adaptation should in principle be possible.

Table 2. Synchronic studies in marine systems demonstrating past local adaptation to global change-associated environmental parameters. Plasticity components to the phenotype were not separately estimated.

Taxonomic affiliation	Species	Trait type	Genetic	Cause	Primary driver	Reference
Plant studies						
Chlorophyta	Valonia utricularis	GR, SV	5 (F > 10)	1	T (R + S)	Eggert et al. (2005)
Chlorophyta, Rhodophyta, Phaeophyta	18 species of macroalgae	GR, SV	5 (F > 10)	1	T (R + S)	Breeman and Pakker (1994)
Planta, Spermatophyta Animal studies	Zostera marina	PS	5 (FC)	1,2	T (S)	Winters et al. (2011)
Cnidaria, Anthozoa	Metridium senile	MR, EA	5 (FC)	1,2	T (S)	Walsh and Somero (1981)
Cnidaria, Hexacorallia	Pocillopora damicornis	O*/†	5 (FC)	1,2	T (S)	D'Croz and Mate (2004)
Cnidaria, Hexacorallia	Pocillopora damicornis	0*/†, PR	5 (FC)	1,2	T (S)	Ulstrup et al. (2006)
Cnidaria, Hexacorallia	Turbinaria reniformis	0*/†, PR	5 (FC)	1,2	T (S)	Ulstrup et al. (2006)
Crustacea, copepoda	Acartia hudsoncia	DP	5 (F2)	1,2	SE	Avery (2005)
Crustacea, copepoda	Labidocera aestiva	DE	5 (F1, F2)	1,2	SE	Marcus (1984)
Crustacea, copepoda	Tigriopsis californicus	SV, CO	5 (F2F5)	1,2	T (S)	Willet (2010)
Crustacea, copepoda	Scottolana canadensis	GR, SV	5 (F2F5)	1,2	T (R + S)	Lonsdale and Levinton (1985)
Crustacea, Cirripedia	Semibalanus balanoides	SV	7 (FC)	1	T (S)	Bertness and Gaines (1993)
Crustacea, Decapoda	Uca pugnax	GR	5 (F1)	1,2	T (R)	Sanford et al. (2006)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Crepidula fornicata, C. convexa	GR	5 (FC, F1)	1,2	T (R + S)	Ament (1979)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Crepidula nummaria	GR	5 (F1)	1,2	T (R + S)	Dehnel (1955)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Lacuna carinata	GR	5 (F1)	1,2	T (R + S)	Dehnel (1955)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Lacuna vincta	GR	5 (F1)	1,2	T (R + S)	Dehnel (1955)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Thais emarginata	GR	5 (F1)	1,2	T (R + S)	Dehnel (1955)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Nucella canaliculata	SV	5 (F2)	1	T (S)	Kuo and Sanford (2009)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Nucella emarginata	GR	5 (F2)	1,2	T (R)	Palmer (1994)
Mollusca, Gastropoda	Bembicium vittatum	GR, ‡	5 (F1)	1	T (R)	Parsons (1997)
Echinodermata, Echinoida	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	GR, MR, ‡	5 (F1)	1,2	OA (S)	Kelly et al. (2013)
Echinodermata, Echinoida	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	GE	5 (FC)	1	T (S)	Osovitz and Hofmann (2005)

Trait type: GR, growth rates, SV, survival, PS, photosynthesis, MR, metabolic rates, DP, diapausing time, EA, enzyme activities, CO, competitive ability, GE, gene expression, O, other (see footnote). Genetic evidence: 1, animal model, 2, common garden studies, 3, comparison to model predictions, 4, experimental evolution, 5, space-for-time, 6, molecular genetic evidence, 7, reciprocal transplant. Qualifier for categories 2 and 5: WC, wild collected material, F_x , use of laboratory-raised progeny of generation x. Cause categories: 1, common sense, 2, experimental (temporal correlation not assessed). Selective driver: T, temperature, OA, ocean acidification, LO, low oxygen, SE, seasonality, qualifier in brackets: R, range of conditions, S, only stressful conditions.

*Zooxanthellae abundance.

†Coral bleaching.

‡Morphology.

Evidence from temporal approaches (experimental and nonexperimental)

To the best of my knowledge, there are no studies in marine systems that track phenotypic traits through time for >10 generations, permitting the detection of temporal changes. In reef-building corals, there are observations that suggest enhanced thermal tolerance after past temperature extremes. These led to massive die-offs ('coral bleaching') in many areas of the world (Rowan 2004; Berkelmans and van Oppen 2006). The surviving corals harboured different coral symbiont communities compared with controls. Unicellular algal symbionts are hypothesized to mediate the thermal tolerance, which has also recently been experimentally tested (Berkelmans and van Oppen 2006) and relates to the holobiont concept of (adaptive) evolution (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008), further discussed below.

Among marine animals and plants, there are very few multigenerational experimental approaches that explore the potential of populations to genetically adapt to global change. One exception is a study on tide-pool copepods along the thermal cline of the East Pacific where possible adaptive responses to warming, including tolerance to temperature extremes, were investigated. Populations of Tigriopsis spp. from northern locations failed to adapt to temperature stress in 10 generations of adaptation. Note that Tigriopsis is a rather atypical marine invertebrate occurring in exceptionally small, isolated populations. Hence, one likely explanation for the observed evolutionary constraint is the lack of standing genetic variation as a consequence of small Ne and associated genetic drift (Kelly et al. 2012), which is rare in a marine animal. Another experimental study exposed the pelagic coastal copepod Tisbe battagliai over three generations to ocean acidificat-

Taxonomic affiliation	Species	Trait type	Genetic	Heritability	Primary driver	Reference
Planta, Spermatophyta	Zostera marina	GR, SV	2 (FC)	1	T (S)	Reusch et al. (2005); Ehlers et al. (2008)
Cnidaria, Hexacoralia	Acropora millepora	GR, PS, GE	2 (FC)	2	T (S)	Csaszar et al. (2010)
Cnidaria, Hexacoralia	Acropora millepora	GR, MR, LS, GE	2 (F1)	1	T (S)	Meyer et al. (2009)
Mollusca, Bivalvia	Mytilus trossolus	GR, MR	(F1)	3	OA (S)	Sunday et al. (2011)
Crustacea, Decapoda	Petrolisthes cinctipes	MR	(F1)	1	OA (S)	Carter et al. (2013); Ceballos-Osuna et al. (2013)
Echinodermata, Echinoida	Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	GR, MR	2,3 (F1)	3	OA (S)	Sunday et al. (2011)
Echinodermata, Echinoida	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	GR, MR, SV	2, 3 (F1)	3	OA (S)	Kelly et al. (2013)
Echinodermata, Echinoida	Centrostephanus rodgersii	GR, SV	2 (F1)	1	OA + T(S)	Foo et al. (2012)
Bryozoa	Celleporella hyalina	GR	2 (FC)	1	OA + T(S)	Pistevos et al. (2011)

Table 3. Population-level studies in marine animals and plants that quantify adaptive genetic diversity with respect to temperature or ocean acidification tolerance.

Trait type: GR, growth rates, SV, survival, PS, photosynthesis, MR, metabolic rates, LS, larval settlement, GE, gene expression. Genetic evidence: 1, animal model; 2, common garden studies; 3, comparison to model predictions. Qualifier for categories 2 WC, wild collected material, F_{xx} use of laboratory-raised progeny of generation x. Heritability estimate: 1, GXE interaction; 2, broad-sense heritability H^2 ; 3, narrow-sense heritability h^2 . Selective driver: T, temperature, OA, ocean acidification, qualifier in brackets: R, range of conditions, S, only stressful conditions.

ion (Fitzer et al. 2012). In this study, however, the gradual decline of reproductive rates compared with controls allow no inference on adaptation, as no reciprocal exposure experiment was performed that compared control versus OA selection lines under fully crossed conditions (e.g. Collins 2011).

Adaptive evolution in microbe-host associations

A relatively new finding is that many terrestrial and marine animal and plant species host hundreds of prokaryote and eukaryote microbial symbionts with mostly unknown functional roles along the continuum from mutualism to commensalism to parasitism. Their composition is often markedly divergent from the surrounding environment, while the community composition is often kept relatively stable from generation to generation by a variety of mechanisms (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008; Wernegreen 2012). The best-studied example is probably the symbiosis between unicellular dinoflagellates of the genus Symbiodinium and scleractinian (=reef-building) corals, where Symbiodinium photosynthesis provides the host-symbiont association with >90% of its nutrition. Many more examples are appearing in other invertebrates and plants, such as in sponges (Webster et al. 2009), molluscs (Leggat et al. 2000), ascidians (Münchhoff et al. 2007), seagrasses (Bockelmann et al. 2012) and red algae (Harder et al. 2012), to name but a few examples. Under the holobiont model of evolution (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008), not only the host genotype but also the genotypes of their symbionts contribute to phenotypic variation available to selection (Csaszar et al. 2010). Note that the genetic diversity contained in the microbial symbionts often surpasses that

of the associated host several fold (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008).

There are three mechanisms through which the microbial gene pool may confer adaptation to the holobiont (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008), (i) changes in microbial composition by differential proliferation within a host (ii) changes in microbial composition by acquisition of new symbiont types from outside and (iii) adaptation of microbial populations of the same species within hosts. Field observations have revealed that upon coral bleaching in response to heat stress, the relative composition of the symbiont community changes among some coral species, with associated increases in thermal tolerance of the holobiont (Rowan 2004; Jones et al. 2008). The causal role for symbiont types on thermal tolerance has recently been demonstrated experimentally (Mieog et al. 2009). Recent findings also suggest that different Symbiodinium species have different sensitivities to ocean acidification in experiments with free-living cultures (Brading et al. 2011). In nature, the mechanisms for symbiont community change are both differential replication of standing diversity within hosts (Berkelmans and van Oppen 2006; Silverstein et al. 2012) and possibly, the acquisition of new symbiont types from the environment. Recently, it has also been documented that within one Symbiodinium type, adaptive evolution within hosts is in principle possible, as demonstrated by local adaptation to thermal regimes in symbiont populations (Howells et al. 2011), although we do not know the time frame over which such adaptation has happened.

Changes of associated microbes as a response to global change-associated stress have also been reported from several plant and animal species other than reef-building corals (Webster et al. 2008, 2011a,b; Campbell et al. 2011), and there is some evidence that a stable microbial symbiont community assures thermal tolerance to the metazoan host (Webster et al. 2011a,b). There is hence an enormous research gap addressing the role of many other associations among microbes and marine invertebrates/plants under increasing global change induced stress. It is likely that associated microbes have an accelerating role for adaptation, owing to their diversity and fast generation time (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008; Howells et al. 2011). On the other hand, there are recent reports from terrestrial insects that symbiotic bacteria may constrain thermal adaptation (Wernegreen 2012).

Nongenetic carry-over effects and global change

In addition to the inheritance mode of the neo-Darwinian modern synthesis, namely information encoded on the DNA (Pigliucci and Müller 2010), additional modes of hereditary transmission of phenotypic traits such as tolerances are highly relevant under rapid environmental change. Such maternal effects can be conceptionalized as trans-generational plasticity or phenotypic buffering, respectively. In marine systems, evidence for a potentially large role of trans-generational plastic effects in response to major drivers of global change, namely ocean acidification and warming, is accumulating. For example, the rate of adaptation to temperature was about 10 times faster via trans-generational plasticity, as opposed to evolutionary adaptation, in a tropical fish (Salinas and Munch 2012). In green sea urchins, the exposure of the parental generation to moderate levels of ocean acidification enhanced the tolerance of larval sea urchins (Dupont et al. 2013). Transgenerational nongenetic effects in response to ocean acidification were studied in Pacific rock oysters (Parker et al. 2012). Here, exposure of adults to elevated pCO₂ of endof-the century levels enhanced growth and survival of larvae compared with offspring from parents kept at ambient pCO₂. This applied to both conditions under which larvae were assessed, CO₂ exposure and ambient conditions. Strong maternal and nongenetic effects were also reported in the study by Sunday et al. (2011) on within-population genetic variance for ocean acidification tolerance of invertebrate larvae. Here, the dam component of larval size under ocean acidification in urchin and mussel larvae was several fold higher than the narrow-sense heritability.

The non-DNA-based transfer of information from generation to generation can be surprisingly persistent across several generations (Schmitz and Ecker 2012), which means that working with F1 or F2 generations in synchronic approaches may not be sufficient to exclude those. The possible mechanisms are often unresolved, but may include chromatin modification, DNA methylation and the action of small regulatory RNAs (Bossdorf et al. 2008). Epigenetic processes are not mutually exclusive to DNA-based inheritance, but may initially buffer phenotypes and populations in the face of new environmental challenges before genetic assimilation of altered phenotypes (Waddington 1942). There is thus a clear need to decompose the phenotypic responses of marine species into three components, transgenerational plasticity, phenotypic buffering or plasticity within generations, and 'true' evolutionary adaptation via DNA-based changes.

A comparative evaluation of approaches

Among marine animals and plants, most of the available evidence for the potential of adaptive responses to global change was synchronic. Such approaches essentially test for local adaptation in the context of an environmental factor that varies spatially, but is predicted to change temporarily (the 'space-for-time substitution' approach, discussed by Merilä and Hendry 2013). This makes inferences on both the adaptive value of phenotypic divergence and the identification of the causal selection factor easier compared with allochronic data (Merilä and Hendry 2013). However, it is difficult to translate a spatial contrast into a temporal rate, both for the environmental parameter under study and for the rate of change in organismal phenotypes (Davis et al. 2005). A disadvantage common to all synchronic assessments is that they tell us something about past selection, while any inferences on rates of adaptation are difficult (Kinnison and Hendry 2001). In reciprocal transplants, the target environmental gradient, say temperature, often covaries with other features of the environment, often rendering inferences on the definitive selective agent inconclusive. One possible solution is the use of multiple, spatially independent gradients of the target factor when comparing populations as to decompose covarying effects (Kawecki and Ebert 2004; Oetjen and Reusch 2007). When population traits are compared in the laboratory, conditions are better controlled to unravel GxE interactions and causality of inferred selection regimes. The advantage of such an approach, the precise control of the environment is at the same time its disadvantage. As typically only one factor is manipulated, realistic upscaling to the multifactorial selection regime in the wild is difficult.

Among allochronic studies, I observed a dramatic lack of time series in the oceans that address phenotypic change in particular in the context of seasonality (fishes excluded), for example in photoperiodic cues for sporulation or flowering dates (macroalgae/seagrasses), in activity or migration patterns or in seasonal energy allocation patterns. It is also clear that even if initiated now, such time series would start to become instructive only much later. Some preserved specimen collections may be instructive to at least determine morphological shifts in, for example body size Reusch

and form. An interesting alternative over monitoring programmes may be time series of revived genotypes obtained from resting stages stored in laminated sediments, for example from copepod resting eggs (Marcus et al. 1994). Such resurrection biology has been successfully applied to freshwater (Decaestecker et al. 2007) and marine plankton (Härnström et al. 2011) and allows for a direct comparison of genotype fitness as function of the presumed selection regime in common garden experiments using an allochronic approach.

As one direct approach to temporal phenotypic change, evolution experiments (Kawecki et al. 2012) are a largely underused method in marine evolutionary ecology in the context of global change, barring some notable exceptions (Kelly et al. 2012). Several invertebrate species have rapid population turnover in the order of weeks, such as small crustaceans, flatworms, appendicularians or rotatorians. Here, it would be very instructive to address evolutionary adaptation directly in replicated experiments with defined selection regimes. Interesting questions that could be addressed are the rate of environmental change, the importance of sexual reproduction and base population size, and the response to univariate and multivariate selection (Kawecki et al. 2012). The latter issue is particularly important, and several studies found pronounced interactive effects of the joint action of ocean warming and acidification on organismal performance, which taken together impose more organismal stress than each of the stressors alone (Pistevos et al. 2011). In some cases, adaptation to one stressor preadapts populations to another one, as shown for development time of sea urchins adapted to high temperature or low pH values (Foo et al. 2012). As many predicted stressors are highly correlated, such as temperature increase, pH drop and increases in oxygen deficiency (Boyd 2011), one useful strategy may be to design experiments that manipulate scenarios, rather than a decomposition of organismal effects to the single selection factors. This would be particularly cost and resource-effective if the question is whether or not particular key populations will persist via adaptation, rather than a causal determination of the precise selection regime (ER, see below).

One principal possibility to disentangle DNA-based evolutionary adaptation from plastic responses on one hand and of epigenetic from true genetic effects on the other is the direct assessment of (epi) changes at the molecular level (Reusch and Wood 2007; Danchin et al. 2011). However, this requires that we know the casual relationship between a genetic polymorphism or an epigenetic variant and the phenotype it produces in the first place. The rapid advances for the acquisition of genetic data even in nonmodel organisms, fuelled by next-generation sequencing technologies, have stimulated the rapidly growing field of ecological and environmental genomics that addresses the genetic basis of phenotypic change as a function of the environment (Feder and Mitchell-Olds 2003). Often and contrary to earlier enthusiasm (Reusch and Wood 2007), the way to a phenotype-genotype map turned out to be much harder than initially envisaged (Mackay et al. 2009; Travisano and Shaw 2013), and good examples that demonstrate causality are confined to a handful of cases among the fishes (DiMichele and Powers 1982; Colosimo et al. 2005). While the genome-wide study of polymorphisms is an interesting goal in and among itself, researchers should question themselves twice before embarking on large-scale acquisition of genetic/genomic data to unravel the genetic basis of global change related traits. If the research question is on evolutionary adaptation and the concomitant traits that confer increased fitness under environmental change, approaches at the level of phenotypic traits, their role for fitness and the underlying selection differentials and character correlations are more appropriate and resource-effective (see also Travisano and Shaw 2013).

A useful but underused strategy is certainly to apply combinations of approaches that mutually complement each other. Notable examples are studies that combine a breeding design along with exposure to the focal factor in either common garden experiments or via outplanting (Parsons 1997) or that combine assessments of narrowsense heritabilities with selection experiments (Kelly et al. 2012). Such breeding designs also allow for an assessment of paternal and maternal nongenetic effects (as components of overall phenotypic plasticity) that turn out to be very important in marine systems for phenotypic buffering in the face of increasing stress (Donelson et al. 2011; Miller et al. 2012). Another successful example is short-term selection experiments, combined with the assessment of global changes in allelic composition of populations (Pespeni et al. 2013).

Evolutionary projections

As longer term evolution experiments are often unfeasible in marine animals with complex life cycles or long generation times, one important novel direction is the combination of assessments of additive genetic variance with projective modelling of selection responses (Lynch and Lande 1993). The motivation for such approaches is rather an exploration of possible adaptive processes, rather than providing hard evidence for adaptive versus plastic changes, as discussed in Merilä and Hendry 2013 (this issue). Evolutionary projections have been applied in a few invertebrate species (Sunday et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2013). For example, in the sea urchin *S. purpuratus*, the effects of ocean acidification on larval size (as surrogate for growth and later survival) were up to 50% smaller when accounting for adaptive evolution in a model considering

measurements of additive genetic variance for size (as proxy for fitness) and predicting the rate at which a suboptimal phenotype returns to its optimal state by stabilizing selection. Note that approaches using h^2 and selection differentials are a useful first step, but they have their inherent shortcomings. For example, due to trait correlations, the erosion of genetic variance under strong directional selection and fluctuating selection regimes, their predictions are often not very accurate (Merilä et al. 2001). To successfully project adaptive responses, it will be required to assess correlations among key traits important under global change (the G-matrix; Lynch and Walsh 1998). Trait correlations as a result of pleiotropy or genetic correlations may slow down evolutionary responses to climate change (Etterson and Shaw 2001), but in other cases, they can also enhance rates of adaptive evolution (Stanton et al. 2000).

The evolution of reaction norms

In the published literature, almost all organisms came from coastal to near-shore habitats (Tables 2, 3). The somewhat paradoxical situation is that those organisms that are easily accessible and can be cultivated and raised under laboratory conditions are often 'stress' tolerators, already exposed to higher natural variation in temperature, oxygen deficiency and pH values compared with open-ocean areas (Silliman et al. 2005; Somero 2012) where the environment is more buffered (Reusch and Boyd 2013). Relevant environmental fluctuations are on a scale of hours to weeks hence most often 'fine-grained', that is, shorter than their generation time for many metazoan animals and plants. This variability is going to increase, for example by heat waves, upwelling of low pH/low oxygen waters or by extreme wind events and turbidity/light attenuation (Harley et al. 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007). None of the cited experimental designs directly addressed the capability of marine animals and plants to cope with enhanced environmental fluctuations. Theory predicts that organisms under fine-grained fluctuations generalist with respect to adaptive plasticity and tolerances will evolve, while those under constant conditions will be specialists with narrow tolerances (van Tienderen 1991; Scheiner 1993). As all environmental parameters in the ocean vary in space and time, a salient question is whether predicted changes at a locality will surpass present-day extremes. As an example, for ocean acidification, rates of change in pH levels are unprecedented for open-ocean habitats (Caldeira and Wickett 2003), yet, there are upwelling situations in which future levels are exceeded already now, which represent interesting and underexplored natural experiments. Note, however, that ocean acidification in hypoxic, CO2-enriched coastal systems will lead to peaks in pCO2 of 2000-4000 μ atm within this century, thus greatly surpassing expected changes in the pelagic, open ocean (Feely et al. 2008; Melzner et al. 2013).

Conversely, genetically based adaptation to continually changing environments such as the open ocean may be more important in oceanic species, which would probably be realized by average trait evolution. Across the principal open ocean/coastal divide, a systematic study of the evolution of increased plasticity, respectively, phenotypic buffering in target populations/species is highly warranted. Testable hypotheses are that species/populations already possessing buffering/plasticity at the margins of their tolerances would adapt faster under the new extreme regime owing to genetic assimilation (Waddington 1959; Lande 2009). High phenotypic plasticity (both phenotypic buffering and plasticity sensu stricto) could hence be a precursor of mean trait changes. Alternatively, direct evolution of increasing plasticity, in the sense of steeper reaction norm slopes, is also possible and may be favoured by enhanced environmental variability predicted under global change (Thompson 1991; Chevin et al. 2013a,b). Thirdly, we have currently only a very poor understanding of costs associated with enhanced tolerances (Pigliucci 2005) that is prerequisite to predict the evolution of plasticity patterns and underlying reaction norm shapes. Thus, somewhat in contrast to the general theme of this review series, the study on how reaction norms and hence plasticity patterns evolve may guide a research programme on global change and evolution in the oceans (Thompson 1991; Pigliucci 2005; Chevin et al. 2013a,b).

Conclusion – an evolutionary rescue perspective

Given the many examples cited in this review, it is almost trivial to find genetic differentiation between populations living in contrasting habitats for traits important under global change. Likewise, standing genetic variation for such traits seems to be abundant, at least in near-shore animal species and plants. In the absence of empirical time series, what we really need to know is how the potential for adaptation plays out, that is, whether or not populations at a locality will be rescued by evolution under increasing warming or acidification stress. Models exist that describe the rate of adaptation necessary to maintain positive population growth rates under directional change of the environment (Lynch and Lande 1993; Gomulkiewicz and Holt 1995). Such an ER approach for wild populations requires that we also have informed guesses about population demography processes, as the initial decline of maladaptive phenotypes subjects local populations to demographic stochasticity (Gomulkiewicz and Holt 1995) and lowers effective population sizes (Willi et al. 2006). Phenotypic plasticity needs to be integrated into ER approaches, as plastic/buffering responses may keep populations above a critical threshold until adaptive evolution has improved mean population fitness upon environmental change (Lande 2009). It was recently shown that plasticity interacts with the environmental sensitivity of a trait to selection, which describes the distance that the mean trait value is pushed away from the optimal value phenotypic value (Chevin et al. 2010). The beneficial effect of maintaining the trait closer to optimal values offsets the decelerated genetic selection response, hence, plasticity favoured ER (Chevin et al. 2010).

Evolutionary rescue can either be addressed by experimental evolution experiments (Bell and Gonzalez 2009) or its likelihood can be inferred from laboratory-based estimate of adaptive genetic variance along with field data on population sizes and projections of selection regimes. While such model predictions have inherent shortcomings (Merilä et al. 2001; Merilä and Hendry 2013), these approaches may be the only possibility for any educated guess for keystone animals and plants that are either longlived and/or difficult to cultivate in the laboratory over longer time. Recent extensions of ER experiments have exposed artificially assembled trophic webs to environmental deterioration, clearly a very promising way to move forward that could be extended to entire marine planktonic food webs (Kovach-Orr and Fussmann 2013). Another fruitful extension is to include dispersal within a metapopulation context in which immigrating alleles may rescue local demes that otherwise would face extinction. So far, such experiments have only been conducted using laboratory model organisms such as yeast (Bell and Gonzalez 2011) and should be expanded to selected marine organisms within a gradient of population connectivity.

The main motivation for this review series was to confirm or refute evidence for phenotypic change as a result of (adaptive) evolution, based on genetic changes in wild populations. Owing to the lack of time series in marine populations other than abundance and distribution, I have largely explored the scattered evidence for the potential of adaptive evolution in the wild. Clearly, it will be impossible to study the entire taxonomic diversity of marine animals and plants, but a more systematic study of major life-history types, population sizes, habitat types (coastal versus open ocean) and migration capacities is highly warranted.

Acknowledgements

Comments on an earlier version of the MS by Frank Melzner and Madeleine van Oppen are greatly appreciated. The comments of three reviewers and of the editor Juha Merilä were very helpful to improve earlier versions of the MS. Support came from the Kiel Excellence Cluster 'The Future Ocean'.

Literature cited

- Alter, S. E., E. Rynes, and S. R. Palumbi 2007. DNA evidence for historic population size and past ecosystem impacts of gray whales. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104:15162– 15167.
- Ament, A. S. 1979. Geographic variation in relation to life history in three species of the marine gastropod genus *Crepidula*: growth rates of newly hatched larvae and juveniles. In S. E. Stancyk, ed. Reproductive Ecology of Marine Invertebrates, pp. 61–76. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, SC.
- Avery, D. E. 2005. Induction of embryonic dormancy in the calanoid copepod *Acartia hudsonica*: heritability and phenotypic plasticity in two geographically separated populations. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **314**:215–225.
- Bach, L. T., L. C. M. Mackinder, K. G. Schulz, G. Wheeler, D. C. Schroeder, C. Brownlee, and U. Riebesell 2013. Dissecting the impact of CO₂ and pH on the mechanisms of photosynthesis and calcification in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. New Phytologist **199**:121–134.
- Beaugrand, G., K. M. Brander, J. A. Lindley, S. Souissi, and P. C. Reid 2003. Plankton effect on cod recruitment in the North Sea. Nature 426:661–664.
- Bell, G., and A. Gonzalez 2009. Evolutionary rescue can prevent extinction following environmental change. Ecology Letters 12:942–948.
- Bell, G., and A. Gonzalez 2011. Adaptation and evolutionary rescue in metapopulations experiencing environmental deterioration. Science 332:1327–1330.
- Bentov, S., C. Brownlee, and J. Erez 2009. The role of seawater endocytosis in the biomineralization process in calcareous foraminifera. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106:21500–21504.
- Bergmann, N., G. Winters, J. Gu, P. Nelle, B. Fricke, and T. B. H. Reusch 2010. Population-specificity of heat stress gene induction in northern and southern eelgrass *Zostera marina* populations under simulated global warming. Molecular Ecology 19:2870–2883.
- Berkelmans, R., and M. J. H. van Oppen 2006. The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of corals: a "nugget of hope" for coral reefs in an era of climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 273:2305–2312.
- Bertness, M. D., and S. D. Gaines 1993. Larval dispersal and local adaptation in acorn barnacles. Evolution 47:316–320.
- Bockelmann, A. C., K. Beining, and T. B. H. Reusch 2012. Widespread occurrence of endophytic *Labyrinthula* spp. in northern European eelgrass *Zostera marina* beds. Marine Ecology Progress Series 445:109– 116.
- Bolnick, D. I., and P. Nosil 2007. Natural selection in populations subject to a migration load. Evolution **61**:2229–2243.
- Bossdorf, O., C. L. Richards, and M. Pigliucci 2008. Epigenetics for ecologists. Ecology Letters 11:106–115.
- Boyd, P. W. 2011. Beyond ocean acidification. Nature Geoscience 4:273–274.
- Boyd, P. W., R. Strzepek, F. U. Feixue, and D. A. Hutchins 2010. Environmental control of open-ocean phytoplankton groups: now and in the future. Limnology & Oceanography 55:24.
- Brading, P., M. E. Warner, P. Davey, D. J. Smith, E. P. Achterberg, and D. J. Suggett 2011. Differential effects of ocean acidification on growth and photosynthesis among phylotypes of Symbiodinium (Dinophyceae). Limnology and Oceanography 56:927–938.
- Bradshaw, A. D. 1965. Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants. Advances in Genetics 13:115–155.

Bradshaw, W. E., and C. M. Holzapfel 2001. Genetic shift in photoperiodic response correlated with global warming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98:14509–14511.

Bradshaw, W. E., and C. M. Holzapfel 2006. Evolutionary response to rapid climate change. Science **312**:1477–1478.

Breeman, A. M. 1988. Relative importance of temperature and other factors in determining geographic boundaries of seaweeds: experimental and phenological evidence. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen 42:199–241.

Breeman, A. M., and H. Pakker 1994. Temperature ecotypes in seaweeds: adaptive significance and biogeographic implications. Botanica Marina 37:171–180.

Caldeira, K., and M. E. Wickett 2003. Oceanography: anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. Nature **425**:365.

Campbell, A. H., T. Harder, S. Nielsen, S. Kjelleberg, and P. D. Steinberg 2011. Climate change and disease: bleaching of a chemically defended seaweed. Global Change Biology 17:2958–2970.

Carter, H. A., L. Ceballos-Osuna, N. A. Miller, and J. H. Stillman 2013. Impact of ocean acidification on metabolism and energetics during early life stages of the intertidal porcelain crab *Petrolisthes cinctipes*. Journal of Experimental Biology **216**:1412–1422.

Ceballos-Osuna, L., H. A. Carter, N. A. Miller, and J. H. Stillman 2013. Effects of ocean acidification on early life-history stages of the intertidal porcelain crab *Petrolisthes cinctipes*. Journal of Experimental Biology 216:1405–1411.

Cerrano, C., G. Bavestrello, C. N. Bianchi, R. Cattaneo-vietti, S. Bava, C. Morganti, C. Morri et al. 2000. A catastrophic mass-mortality episode of gorgonians and other organisms in the Ligurian Sea (North-western Mediterranean), summer 1999. Ecology Letters 3:284–293.

Charmantier, A., and P. Gienapp 2013. Climate change and timing of avian breeding and migration: evolutionary versus plastic changes. Evolutionary Applications 7:15–28.

Chevin, L.-M., R. Lande, and G. M. Mace 2010. Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing environment: towards a predictive theory. PLoS Biology **8**:e1000357.

Chevin, L.-M., S. Collins, and F. Lefèvre 2013a. Phenotypic plasticity and evolutionary demographic responses to climate change: taking theory out to the field. Functional Ecology **27**:967–979.

Chevin, L.-M., R. Gallet, R. Gomulkiewicz, R. D. Holt, and S. Fellous 2013b. Phenotypic plasticity in evolutionary rescue experiments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368, doi10.1098/rstb.2012.0089.

Chevolot, M., J. R. Ellis, A. D. Rijnsdorp, W. T. Stam, and J. L. Olsen 2008. Temporal changes in allele frequencies but stable genetic diversity over the past 40 years in the Irish Sea population of thornback ray, *Raja clavata*. Heredity **101**:120–126.

Collins, S. 2011. Many possible worlds: expanding the ecological scenarios in experimental evolution. Evolutionary Biology **38**:3–14.

Collins, S. 2014. Evolutionary potential in marine microbes. Evolutionary Applications 7:15–28.

Colosimo, P. F., K. E. Hosemann, S. Balabhadra, G. Jr Villarreal, M. Dickson, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz et al. 2005. Widespread parallel evolution in sticklebacks by repeated fixation of ectodysplasin alleles. Science **307**:1928–1933.

Conover, D. O., and T. M. C. Present 1990. Countergradient variation in growth rate: compensation for length of the growing season among Atlantic silversides from different latitudes. Oecologia 83:316–324.

Crozier, L. G. and A. P. Hendry 2013. Plastic and evolutionary responses to climate change in fish 7:68–87.

Csaszar, N. B. M., P. J. Ralph, R. Frankham, R. Berkelmans, and M. J. H. van Oppen 2010. Estimating the potential for adaptation of corals to climate warming. PLoS One 5:e9751.

Dam, H. G. 2013. Evolutionary adaptation of zooplankton to global change. Annual Review of Marine Science 5:349–370.

Danchin, E., A. Charmantier, F. A. Champagne, A. Mesoudi, B. Pujol, and S. Blanchet 2011. Beyond DNA: integrating inclusive inheritance into an extended theory of evolution. Nature Reviews in Genetics 12:475–486.

Davis, M. B., R. G. Shaw, and J. R. Etterson 2005. Evolutionary responses to a changing climate. Ecology 86:1704–1714.

D'Croz, L., and J. L. Mate 2004. Experimental responses to elevated water temperature in genotypes of the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis* from upwelling and non-upwelling environments in Panama. Coral Reefs **23**:473–483.

De Wit, P., and S. R. Palumbi 2013. Transcriptome-wide polymorphisms of red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) reveal patterns of gene flow and local adaptation. Molecular Ecology **22**:2884–2897.

Decaestecker, E., S. Gaba, J. A. M. Raeymaekers, R. Stoks, L. Van Kerckhoven, D. Ebert, and L. De Meester 2007. Host-parasite "Red Queen" dynamics archived in pond sediment. Nature 450:870–873.

Dehnel, P. A. 1955. Rates of growth of gastropods as a function of latitude. Physiological Zoology **28**:115–144.

Dekker, M. B., D. L. Breitbug, and N. H. Marcus 2003. Geographical differences in behavioral responses to hypoxia: local adaptation to an anthropogenic stressor? Ecological Applications 13:1104–1109.

Diaz, R. J., and R. Rosenberg 2008. Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science 321:926–929.

Diaz-Almela, E., N. Marba, and C. M. Duarte 2007. Consequences of Mediterranean warming events in seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) flowering records. Global Change Biology 13:224–235.

DiMichele, L., and D. A. Powers 1982. Physiological basis for swimming endurance differences between LDH-B genotypes of *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Science **216**:1014–1016.

Donelson, J. M., P. L. Munday, M. I. McCormick, and C. R. Pitcher 2011. Rapid transgenerational acclimation of a tropical reef fish to climate change. Nature Climate Change 2:30–32.

Dupont, S., N. Dorey, M. Stumpp, F. Melzner, and M. Thorndyke 2013. Long-term and trans-life-cycle effects of exposure to ocean acidification in the green sea urchin Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis. Marine Biology 160:1835–1843.

Eggert, A. 2012. Seaweed responses to temperature. In: C. Wienke, and K. Bischof, eds. Seaweed Biology, pp. 47–66. Springer Verlag, Berlin.

Eggert, A., E. M. Burger, and A. M. Breeman 2005. Ecotypic differentiation in thermal traits in the tropical to warm-temperate green macrophyte *Valonia utricularis*. Botanica Marina **46**:69–81.

Ehlers, A., B. Worm, and T. B. H. Reusch 2008. Importance of genetic diversity in eelgrass *Zostera marina* for its resilience to climate warming. Marine Ecology Progress Series 355:1–7.

Etterson, J. R., and R. G. Shaw 2001. Constraint to adaptive evolution in response to global warming. Science **294**:151–154.

Falconer, D. S., and T. F. C. McKay 1998. Quantitative Genetics, 4th edn. Addison Wesley Longman, New York.

Feder, M. E., and T. Mitchell-Olds 2003. Evolutionary and ecological functional genomics. Nature Reviews in Genetics 4:649–655.

Feely, R. A., C. L. Sabine, J. M. Hernandez-Ayon, D. Ianson, and B. Hales 2008. Evidence for upwelling of corrosive "acidified" water onto the continental shelf. Science **320**:1490–1492.

Fitzer, S. C., G. S. Caldwell, A. J. Close, A. S. Clare, R. C. Upstill-Goddard, and M. G. Bentley 2012. Ocean acidification induces multi-generational decline in copepod naupliar production with possible conflict for reproductive resource allocation. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **418–419**:30–36.

- Foo, S. A., S. A. Dworjanyn, A. G. B. Poore, and M. Byrne 2012. Adaptive capacity of the habitat modifying sea urchin *Centrostephanus rodgersii* to ocean warming and ocean acidification: performance of early embryos. PLoS One 7:e42497.
- Franks, S. J., and A. A. Hoffmann 2012. Genetics of climate change adaptation. Annual Review in Genetics 46:185–208.
- Franks, S. J., J. J. Weber, and S. N. Aitken 2013. Evolutionary and plastic changes to climate change in terrestrial plant populations. Evolutionary Applications 7:42–55.
- Franssen, S. U., J. Gu, N. Bergmann, G. Winters, U. C. Klostermeyer, P. Rosenstiel, E. Bornberg-Bauer et al. 2011. Transcriptomic resilience to global warming in the seagrass *Zostera marina*, a marine foundation species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108:19276– 19281.
- Gazeau, F., J.-P. Gattuso, M. Greaves, H. Elderfield, J. Peene, C. H. R. Heip, and J. J. Middelburg 2011. Effect of carbonate chemistry alteration on the early embryonic development of the pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). PLoS One 6:e23010.
- Gomulkiewicz, R., and R. D. Holt 1995. When does evolution by natural selection prevent extinction? Evolution **49**:201–207.
- Hall-Spencer, J. M., R. Rodolfo-Metalpa, S. Martin, E. Ransome, M. Fine, S. M. Turner, S. J. Rowley et al. 2008. Volcanic carbon dioxide vents show ecosystem effects of ocean acidification. Nature 454:96–99.
- Harder, T., A. Campbell, S. Egan, and P. D. Steinberg 2012. Chemical mediation of ternary interactions between marine holobionts and their environment as exemplified by the red alga *Delisea pulchra*. Journal of Chemical Ecology 38:442–450.
- Hare, M. P., L. Nunney, M. K. Schwartz, D. E. Ruzzante, M. Burford, R. S. Waples, K. Ruegg et al. 2011. Understanding and estimating effective population size for practical application in marine species management. Conservation Biology 25:438–449.
- Harley, C. D. G., A. R. Hughes, K. M. Hultgren, B. G. Miner, C. J. B. Sorte, C. S. Thornber, L. F. Rodriguez et al. 2006. The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. Ecology Letters 9:228–241.
- Harley, C. D. G., K. M. Anderson, K. W. Demes, J. P. Jorve, R. L. Kordas, T. A. Coyle, and M. H. Graham 2012. Effects of climate change on global seaweed communities. Journal of Pheology 48:1064–1078.
- Härnström, K., M. Ellegaard, T. J. Andersen, and A. Godhe 2011. Hundred years of genetic structure in a sediment revived diatom population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108:4252– 4257.
- Helmuth, B., N. Mieszkowska, P. Moore, and S. J. Hawkins 2006. Living on the edge of two changing worlds: forecasting the responses of rocky intertidal ecosystems to climate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 37:373–404.
- Hendry, A. P. 2004. Selection against migrants contributes to the rapid evolution of ecologically dependent reproductive isolation. Evolutionary Ecology Research 6:1219–1236.
- Hettinger, A., E. Sanford, T. M. Hill, A. D. Russell, K. N. S. Sato, J. Hoey, M. Forsch et al. 2012. Persistent carry-over effects of planktonic exposure to ocean acidification in the *Olympia oyster*. Ecology **93**:2758– 2768.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., P. J. Mumby, A. J. Hooten, R. S. Steneck, P. Greenfield, E. Gomez, C. D. Harvell et al. 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science 318:1737–1742.
- Howells, E. J., V. H. Beltran, N. W. Larsen, L. K. Bay, B. L. Willis, and M. J. H. van Oppen 2011. Coral thermal tolerance shaped by

local adaptation of photosymbionts. Nature Climate Change 2:116–120.

- Jackson, J. B. C., L. W. Buss, and R. E. Cook 1985. Population Biology and Evolution of Clonal Organisms. Yale University Press, New Haven, London.
- Jin, P., K. Gao, and J. Beardall 2013. Evolutionary responses of a coccolithophorid *Gephyrocapsa* oceanica to ocean acidification. Evolution 67:1869–1878.
- Jones, A. M., R. Berkelmans, M. J. H. van Oppen, J. C. Mieog, and W. Sinclair 2008. A community change in the algal endosymbionts of a scleractinian coral following a natural bleaching event: field evidence of acclimatization. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 275:1359–1365.
- Jones, S., F. Lima, and D. Wethey 2010. Rising environmental temperatures and biogeography: poleward range contraction of the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L., in the western Atlantic. Journal of Biogeography 37:2243–2259.
- Jorgensen, C., K. Enberg, E. S. Dunlop, R. Arlinghaus, D. S. Boukal, K. Brander, B. Ernande et al. 2007. Ecology: managing evolving fish stocks. Science 318:1247–1248.
- Kawecki, T. J., and D. Ebert 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecology Letters **7**:1225–1241.
- Kawecki, T. J., R. E. Lenski, D. Ebert, B. Hollis, I. Olivieri, and M. C. Whitlock 2012. Experimental evolution. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27:547–560.
- Kelly, M. W., and G. E. Hofmann 2013. Adaptation and the physiology of ocean acidification. Functional Ecology online early. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-2435.2012.02061.x.
- Kelly, M. W., E. Sanford, and R. K. Grosberg 2012. Limited potential for adaptation to climate change in a broadly distributed marine crustacean. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279:349–356.
- Kelly, M. W., J. L. Padilla-Gamiño, and G. E. Hofmann 2013. Natural variation and the capacity to adapt to ocean acidification in the keystone sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. Global Change Biology 19:2536–2546.
- Keser, M., J. T. Swenarton, and J. F. Foertch 2005. Effects of thermal input and climate change on growth of *Ascophyllum nodosum* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) in eastern Long Island Sound (USA). Journal of Sea Research 54:211–220.
- Kinnison, M. T., and A. P. Hendry 2001. The pace of modern life II: from rates of contemporary microevolution to pattern and process. Genetica 112–113:145–164.
- Kovach-Orr, C., and G. F. Fussmann 2013. Evolutionary and plastic rescue in multitrophic model communities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368. doi: 10.1098/rstb. 2012.0084.
- Kroeker, K. J., R. L. Kordas, R. N. Crim, and G. G. Singh 2010. Metaanalysis reveals negative yet variable effects of ocean acidification on marine organisms. Ecology Letters 13:1419–1434.
- Kroeker, K. J., F. Micheli, and M. C. Gambi 2013. Ocean acidification causes ecosystem shifts via altered competitive interactions. Nature Climate Change 3:156–159.
- Kuo, E. S. L., and E. Sanford 2009. Geographic variation in the upper thermal limits of an intertidal snail: implications for climate envelope models. Marine Ecology Progress Series 388:137–146.
- Lande, R. 2009. Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic plasticity and genetic assimilation. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 22:1435–1446.
- Leggat, W., T. A. V. Rees, and D. Yellowlees 2000. Meeting the photosynthetic demand for inorganic carbon in an algae-invertebrate associa-

Adaptation and global change in the ocean

tion: preferential use of CO₂ by symbionts in the giant clam *Tridacna gigas*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences **267**:523–529.

- Levin, L. A. 2006. Recent progress in understanding larval dispersal: new directions and digressions. Integrative and Comparative Biology 46:282–297.
- Lohbeck, K. T., U. Riebesell, and T. B. H. Reusch 2012. Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification. Nature Geoscience 5:346–351.
- Lonsdale, D. J., and J. S. Levinton 1985. Latitudinal differentiation in copepod growth: an adaptation to temperature. Ecology **66**:1397–1407.
- Lynch, M., and R. Lande 1993. Evolution and extinction in response to environmental change. In: P. M. Kareiva, J. G. Kingsolver, and R. B. Huey, eds. Biotic Interactions and Global Change, pp. 234–250. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Lynch, M., and B. Walsh 1998. Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Mackay, T. F. C., E. A. Stone, and J. F. Ayroles 2009. The genetics of quantitative traits: challenges and prospects. Nature Reviews Genetics 10:565–577.
- Marcus, N. H. 1984. Variation in the diapause response of *Labidocera aestiva* (Copepoda: Calanoida) from different latitudes and its importance in the evolutionary process. Biological Bulletin 166:127–139.
- Marcus, N. H., R. Lutz, W. Burnett, and P. Cable 1994. Age, viability, and vertical distribution of zooplankton resting eggs from an anoxic basin: evidence of an egg bank. Limnology & Oceanography **39**:154– 158.
- Marshall, D. J., K. Monro, M. Bode, M. J. Keough, and S. Swearer 2010. Phenotype–environment mismatches reduce connectivity in the sea. Ecology Letters 13:128–140.
- Melzner, F., M. A. Gutowska, M. Langenbuch, S. Dupont, M. Lucassen, M. C. Thorndyke, M. Bleich et al. 2009. Physiological basis for high CO₂ tolerance in marine ectothermic animals: pre-adaptation through lifestyle and ontogeny? Biogeosciences 6:4693–4738.
- Melzner, F., J. Thomsen, W. Koeve, A. Oschlies, M. A. Gutowska, H. W. Bange, H. P. Hansen et al. 2013. Future ocean acidification will be amplified by hypoxia in coastal habitats. Marine Biology 160:1875– 1888.
- Merilä, J., and A. P. Hendry 2013. Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity: the problem and the evidence. Evolutionary Applications 7:1–14.
- Merilä, J., B. C. Sheldon, and L. E. B. Kruuk 2001. Explaining stasis: microevolutionary studies in natural populations. Genetica 112– 113:199–222.
- Meyer, E., S. Davies, S. Wang, B. Willis, D. Abrego, T. Juenger, and M. Matz 2009. Genetic variation in responses to a settlement cue and elevated temperature in the reef-building coral *Acropora millepora*. Marine Ecology Progress Series **392**:81–92.
- Mieog, J. C., J. L. Olsen, R. Berkelmans, S. A. Bleuler-Martinez, B. L. Willis, and M. J. H. van Oppen 2009. The roles and interactions of symbiont, host and environment in defining coral fitness. PLoS One 4:e6364.
- Miller, G. M., S.-A. Watson, J. M. Donelson, M. I. McCormick, and P. L. Munday 2012. Parental environment mediates impacts of increased carbon dioxide on a coral reef fish. Nature Climate Change 2:858–861.
- Moore, P. J., R. C. Thompson, and S. J. Hawkins 2011. Phenological changes in intertidal con-specific gastropods in response to climate warming. Global Change Biology **17**:709–719.

- Mora, C., D. P. Tittensor, S. Adl, A. G. B. Simpson, and B. Worm 2011. How many species are there on earth and in the ocean? PLoS Biology 9:e1001127.
- Münchhoff, J., E. Hirose, T. Maruyama, M. Sunairi, B. P. Burns, and B. A. Neilan 2007. Host specificity and phylogeography of the prochlorophyte *Prochloron* sp., an obligate symbiont in didemnid ascidians. Environmental Microbiology 9:890–899.
- Oetjen, K., and T. B. H. Reusch 2007. Genome scans detect consistent divergent selection among subtidal vs. intertidal populations of the marine angiosperm *Zostera marina*. Molecular Ecology 16:5156–5157.
- Olsen, E. M., M. Heino, G. R. Lilly, M. J. Morgan, J. Brattey, B. Ernande, and U. Dieckmann 2004. Maturation trends indicative of rapid evolution preceded the collapse of northern cod. Nature 428:932–935.
- Orr, J. C., V. J. Fabry, O. Aumont, L. Bopp, S. C. Doney, R. A. Feely, A. Gnanadesikan et al. 2005. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. Nature 437:681–686.
- Osovitz, C. J., and G. E. Hofmann 2005. Thermal history-dependent expression of the hsp70 gene in purple sea urchins: biogeographic patterns and the effect of temperature acclimation. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **327**:134–143.
- Ovenden, J. R., D. Peel, R. Street, A. J. Courtney, S. D. Hoyle, S. L. Peel, and H. Podlich 2007. The genetic effective and adult census size of an Australian population of tiger prawns (*Penaeus esculentus*). Molecular Ecology 16:127–138.
- Palmer, A. R. 1994. Temperature sensitivity, rate of development, and time to maturity: geographic variation in laboratory-reared *Nucella* and a cross-phyletic overview. In: W. H. Wilson Jr, S. A. Stricker, and G. L. Shinn, eds. Reproduction and Development of Marine Invertebrates. John Hopkin's University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Palumbi, S. R. 1994. Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. Annual Review in Ecology and Systematics 25:547– 572.
- Pandolfi, J. M., S. R. Connolly, D. J. Marshall, and A. L. Cohen 2011. Projecting coral reef futures under global warming and ocean acidification. Science 333:418–422.
- Parker, L. M., P. M. Ross, W. A. O'Connor, L. Borysko, D. A. Raftos, and H.-O. Pörtner 2012. Adult exposure influences offspring response to ocean acidification in oysters. Global Change Biology 18:82–92.
- Parsons, K. E. 1997. Contrasting patterns of heritable geographic variation in shell morphology and growth potential in the marine gastropod *Bembicium vittatum*: evidence from field experiments. Evolution 51:784–796.
- Perry, A. L., P. J. Low, J. R. Ellis, and J. D. Reynolds 2005. Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. Science 308:1912– 1915.
- Pespeni, M. H., E. Sanford, B. Gaylord, T. M. Hill, J. D. Hosfelt, H. K. Jarisa, M. LaVigne et al. 2013. Evolutionary change during experimental ocean acidification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:6937–6942.
- Pigliucci, M. 2005. Evolution of phenotypic plasticity: where are we going now? Trends in Ecology & Evolution **20**:481–486.
- Pigliucci, M., and G. B. Müller 2010. Evolution The Extended Synthesis. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Pistevos, J. C. A., P. Calosi, S. Widdicombe, and J. D. D. Bishop 2011. Will variation among genetic individuals influence species responses to global climate change? Oikos 120:675–689.

Poloczanska, E. S., C. J. Brown, W. J. Sydeman, W. Kiessling, D. S. Schoeman, P. J. Moore, K. Brander et al. 2013. Global imprint of climate change on marine life. Nature Climate Change 3:919–925.

Reusch, T. B. H., and P. W. Boyd 2013. Experimental evolution meets marine phytoplankton. Evolution 67:1849–1859.

Reusch, T. B. H., and T. E. Wood 2007. Molecular ecology of global change. Molecular Ecology 16:3973–3992.

Reusch, T. B. H., C. Boström, W. T. Stam, and J. L. Olsen 1999. An ancient eelgrass clone in the Baltic Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 183:301–304.

Reusch, T. B. H., A. Ehlers, A. Hämmerli, and B. Worm 2005. Ecosystem recovery after climatic extremes enhanced by genotypic diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102:2826– 2831.

Riebesell, U., and P. D. Tortell 2011. Effects of ocean acidification on pelagic organisms and ecosystems. In: J.-P. Gattuso, and L. Hansson, eds. Ocean Acidification. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., F. Houlbreque, E. Tambutte, F. Boisson, C. Baggini, F. P. Patti, R. Jeffree et al. 2011. Coral and mollusc resistance to ocean acidification adversely affected by warming. Nature Climate Change 1:308–312.

Roemmich, D., W. John Gould, and J. Gilson 2012. 135 years of global ocean warming between the Challenger expedition and the Argo Programme. Nature Climate Change **2**:425–428.

Rowan, R. 2004. Thermal adaptation in reef coral symbionts. Nature **430**:742.

Salinas, S., and S. B. Munch 2012. Thermal legacies: transgenerational effects of temperature on growth in a vertebrate. Ecology Letters 15:159–163.

Sanford, E., and M. W. Kelly 2011. Local adaptation in marine invertebrates. Annual Review in Marine Science **3**:509–535.

Sanford, E., S. B. Holzman, R. A. Haney, D. M. Rand, and M. D. Bertness 2006. Larval tolerance, gene flow, and the northern geographic range limit of fiddler crabs. Ecology 87:2882–2894.

Schaum, E., B. Rost, A. J. Millar, and S. Collins 2013. Variation in plastic responses to ocean acidification in a globally distributed picoplankton species. Nature Climate Change 3:298–302.

Scheiner, S. M. 1993. Genetics and evolution of phenotypic plasticity. Annual Review in Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 24:35–68.

Schilthuizen, M., and V. Kellermann 2013. Contemporary climate change and terrestrial invertebrates: evolutionary versus plastic changes. Evolutionary Applications 7:56–67.

Schlichting, C. D., and M. Pigliucci 1995. Gene regulation, quantitative genetics and the evolution of reaction norms. Evolutionary Ecology **9**:154.

Schlichting, C., and M. Pigliucci 1996. Phenotypic Evolution: A Reaction Norm Perspective. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

Schmidt, P. S., M. D. Bertness, and D. M. Rand 2000. Environmental heterogeneity and balancing selection in the acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. Proceedings of the Royal Society London B: Biological Sciences 267:379–384.

Schmitz, R. J., and J. R. Ecker 2012. Epigenetic and epigenomic variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Trends in Plant Science **17**:149–154.

Scott, G. R., and I. A. Johnston 2012. Temperature during embryonic development has persistent effects on thermal acclimation capacity in zebrafish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109:14247–14252.

Silliman, B. R., J. van de Koppel, M. D. Bertness, L. E. Stanton, and I. A. Mendelssohn 2005. Drought, snails, and large-scale die-off of southern U.S. salt marshes. Science 310:1803–1806. Silverstein, R. N., A. M. S. Correa, and A. C. Baker 2012. Specificity is rarely absolute in coral-algal symbiosis: implications for coral response to climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279:2609–2618.

Simon, C., and R. Daniel 2011. Metagenomic analyses: past and future trends. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 77:1153–1161.

Somero, G. N. 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine "winners" and "losers". Journal of Experimental Biology 213:912–920.

Somero, G. N. 2012. The physiology of global change: linking patterns to mechanisms. Annual Review of Marine Science **4**:39–61.

Sorensen, J. G., T. N. Kristensen, and V. Loeschcke 2003. The evolutionary and ecological role of heat shock proteins. Ecology Letters 6:1025– 1037.

Stanton, M. L., B. A. Roy, and D. A. Thiede 2000. Evolution in stressful environments. I. Phenotypic variability, phenotypic selection, and response to selection. Evolution 54:93–111.

Sunday, J. M., R. N. Crim, C. D. G. Harley, and M. W. Hart 2011. Quantifying rates of evolutionary adaptation in response to ocean acidification. PLoS One 6:e22881.

Swain, D. P., A. F. Sinclair, and J. Mark Hanson 2007. Evolutionary response to size-selective mortality in an exploited fish population. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274:1015–1022.

Thompson, J. D. 1991. Phenotypic plasticity as a component of evolutionary change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **6**:246–249.

Thomsen, J., I. Casties, C. Pansch, A. Körtzinger, and F. Melzner 2013. Food availability outweighs ocean acidification effects in juvenile *Mytilus edulis*: laboratory and field experiments. Global Change Biology 19:1017–1027.

van Tienderen, P. H. 1991. Evolution of generalists and specialists in spatially heterogeneous environments. Evolution 45:1317–1331.

Travisano, M., and R. G. Shaw 2013. Lost in the map. Evolution 67:305–314.

Tunnicliffe, V., K. T. A. Davies, D. A. Butterfield, R. W. Embley, J. M. Rose, and W. W. Jr Chadwick 2009. Survival of mussels in extremely acidic waters on a submarine volcano. Nature Geoscience 2:344–348.

Ulstrup, K. E., R. Berkelmans, P. J. Ralph, and M. J. H. van Oppen 2006. Variation in bleaching sensitivity of two coral species across a latitudinal gradient on the Great Barrier Reef: the role of zooxanthellae. Marine Ecology Progress Series 314:135–148.

Waddington, C. H. 1942. Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characters. Nature 150:563–565.

Waddington, C. H. 1959. Canalization of development and genetic assimilation of acquired characters. Nature 183:1654–1655.

Walsh, P. J., and G. N. Somero 1981. Temperature adaptation in sea anemones: physiological and biochemical variability in geographically separate populations of *Metridium senile*. Marine Biology 62:25–34.

Webster, N. S., R. E. Cobb, and A. P. Negri 2008. Temperature thresholds for bacterial symbiosis with a sponge. ISME Journal 2:830–842.

Webster, N. S., M. W. Taylor, F. Behnam, S. Lücker, T. Rattei, S. Whalan, M. Horn et al. 2009. Deep sequencing reveals exceptional diversity and modes of transmission for bacterial sponge symbionts. Environmental Microbiology 12:2070–2082.

Webster, N. S., E. S. Botté, R. M. Soo, and S. Whalan 2011a. The larval sponge holobiont exhibits high thermal tolerance. Environmental Microbiology Reports 3:756–762.

Webster, N. S., R. Soo, R. Cobb, and A. P. Negri 2011b. Elevated seawater temperature causes a microbial shift on crustose coralline algae with implications for the recruitment of coral larvae. ISME Journal 5:759–770.

- Wernegreen, J. J. 2012. Mutualism meltdown in insects: bacteria constrain thermal adaptation. Current Opinion in Microbiology 15:255– 262.
- Willet, C. S. 2010. Potential fitness trade-offs for thermal tolerance in the intertidal copepod *Tigriopus californicus*. Evolution 64:2521– 2534.
- Willi, Y., J. Van Bushkirk, and A. A. Hoffmann 2006. Limits to the adaptive potential of small populations. Annual Review in Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 37:433–458.
- Winters, G., P. Nelle, B. Fricke, G. Rauch, and T. B. H. Reusch 2011. The effects of a simulated heat wave on the photophysiology and gene

expression of high and low-latitude populations of *Zostera marina*. Marine Ecology Progress Series **435**:83–95.

- Wright, S. 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16:97–159.
- Zeller, M., T. B. H. Reusch, and W. Lampert 2008. Small effective population sizes in two planktonic freshwater copepod species (*Eudiaptomus*) with apparently large census sizes. Journal of Evolutionary Biology **21**:1755–1762.
- Zilber-Rosenberg, I., and E. Rosenberg 2008. Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. FEMS Microbiology Reviews **32**:723–735.