JACC: CARDIOONCOLOGY © 2020 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Amyloidosis and 30-Day Outcomes Among Patients With Heart Failure



A Nationwide Readmissions Database Study

Sameer Arora, MD, MPH,^{a,*} Nikita S. Patil, MD,^{a,*} Paula D. Strassle, PHD,^b Arman Qamar, MD, MPH,^c Muthiah Vaduganathan, MD,^d Amber Fatima, MD,^e Kalyan Mogili, MD,^f Deepak Garipalli, MD,^f Justin L. Grodin, MD, MPH,^g John P. Vavalle, MD, MHS,^a Gregg C. Fonarow, MD,^h Deepak L. Bhatt, MD, MPH,^d Ambarish Pandey, MD, MSCS^g

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND The burden of amyloidosis among hospitalized patients is increasing over time. However, amyloidosis remains an underdiagnosed cause of heart failure (HF) hospitalization among older adults.

OBJECTIVES We investigated the prevalence and prognostic implications of amyloidosis among patients hospitalized with HF.

METHODS All hospitalizations for primary diagnosis of HF between January 1, 2010, and August 31, 2015, identified in the Nationwide Readmissions Database were categorized into those with and without a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis. HF hospitalizations with amyloidosis were then matched in a 3:1 fashion to HF hospitalizations without amyloidosis using the year of admission, discharge quarter, age, sex, and Charlson comorbidity index. Primary outcomes were inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the association between HF with amyloidosis and clinical outcomes.

RESULTS Of 1,593,360 HF hospitalizations that met inclusion criteria, 2,846 (0.18%) had HF with a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis and were matched to 8,515 hospitalizations for HF without amyloidosis. Hospitalizations for HF with amyloidosis were associated with higher prevalence of kidney disease (56% vs. 45%), malignancy (20% vs. 4%), and higher inpatient mortality (6% vs. 3%) as compared with HF without amyloidosis. In adjusted analyses, HF with amyloidosis was associated with higher odds of in-hospital mortality (odds ratio: 1.46; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.17 to 1.82), 30-day readmission (odds ratio: 1.17; 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.31), and longer mean length of stay (least-squares mean difference: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.12 to 1.80).

CONCLUSIONS In patients hospitalized with decompensated HF, presence of amyloidosis was associated with higher risk of inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission. (J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc 2020;2:710-8) © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

From the ^aDivision of Cardiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ^bDepartment of Surgery, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ^cCardiovascular Institute, NorthShore University Health System, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Evanston, Illinois, USA; ^dDivision of Cardiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ^eDepartment of Internal Medicine, Tufts University Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ^fDepartment of Internal Medicine, Carolinas Medical Center, Monroe, North Carolina, USA; ^gDivision of Cardiology, University of Texas Southwestern School of Medicine, Dallas, Texas, USA; and the ^hDivision of Cardiology, UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA. *Drs. Arora and Patil contributed equally to this work.

ardiac amyloidosis is associated with biventricular hypertrophy, conduction abnormalities, valvular disease, and heart failure (HF) (1,2). Recent studies have demonstrated that the prevalence of amyloidosis in patients with HF is higher than previously anticipated, with evidence of amyloidosis in 5% to 20% of patients with HF (3-6). Although previously thought to be incurable, recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac amyloidosis now offer hope, particularly in lightchain amyloidosis (2,7,8) and transthyretin amyloidosis (ATTR) in both the acquired wild-type or hereditary variant forms (2,9-12). Several diagnostic techniques such as bone scintigraphy (13), speckletracking echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance, and T1 mapping techniques (14) are evolving and have contributed to greater recognition of this disease in clinical practice.

Our current understanding of the prevalence and prognostic implications of amyloidosis in patients with HF is largely based on small, single-center studies that have prospectively screened patients for amyloid deposition. These studies have either focused on the outpatient population or were underpowered to evaluate the impact of amyloidosis on clinical outcomes in patients with HF (4,5,15,16). In this study, we used a large national sample to estimate the prevalence of amyloidosis in decompensated HF and investigate its association with patient outcomes.

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN AND POPULATION. Hospitalizations for HF were identified using the Nationwide Readmissions Database (NRD). The NRD is a constituent of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project family of health care databases developed through a federal-state-industry partnership and sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The NRD is an all-payer database that includes over 15 million discharges from 22 states and accounts for 51% of the total U.S. resident population and 49% of all U.S. hospitalizations (17). Importantly, the NRD contains verified patient linkage numbers, meaning patients can be tracked across hospitals within a state, each year, allowing capture of instate readmissions. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project datasets, including the NRD, conform to the definition of a limited dataset and institutional board review is not required (18).

All hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of HF (International Classification of Diseases-9th Revision-Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] 428 to 428.9) between January 1, 2010, and August 31, 2015, and were residents of the state were eligible for inclusion. For the present analysis, only the first HF hospitalization event for a particular patient within each year was included. Hospitalizations with discharges in December were excluded, as they were unable to have complete 30-day follow-up, given how the NRD assigns linked patient identification codes; similarly, hospitalizations from September 2015 were not included, as their 30-day follow-up period would include time after October 1, 2015, when ICD-10th Revision-Clinical Modification codes were implemented. Consistent with prior literature, secondary diagnoses for amyloidosis were identified using ICD-9-CM codes 277.3 to 277.39 (19-21). The ICD-9-CM codes for amyloidosis are not specific to the type of amyloidosis, and the data for the sensitivity and specificity of these codes are not yet available.

STATISTICAL METHODS. Hospitalizations of patients with HF and amyloidosis were matched to hospitalizations of patients with HF without amyloidosis using the year of admission, discharge quarter, age, sex, and Charlson comorbidity index (CCI). CCI was estimated using the Deyo et al. (22) coding scheme; however, HF was excluded when calculating scores (because all patients were required to have a primary diagnosis of HF to be included). HF hospitalizations with amyloidosis could be matched to more than 1 HF hospitalization event without amyloidosis. Up to 3 HF hospitalization matches were included in the final cohort; if >3 matches were identified, then 3 were randomly selected for inclusion. We chose traditional matching over propensity matching for the present analysis to evaluate the association between presence of amyloidosis with risk of adverse outcomes in patients with HF, given that the intent of the present analysis was not to estimate the causal effects of the observational data or the comparative effectiveness of therapeutic strategies. If no matches were identified, matching criteria were expanded to age ± 2 years and CCI ± 1 point.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ATTR = transthyretin amvloidosis

CCI = Charlson comorbidity index

CI = confidence interval

CV = cardiovascular

HF = heart failure

ICD-9-CM = International Classification of Diseases-9th Revision-Clinical Modification

LOS = length of stay

NRD = Nationwide Readmissions Database

OR = odds ratio

Manuscript received February 10, 2020; revised manuscript received October 15, 2020, accepted October 17, 2020.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

Primary outcomes of interest for our study were inhospital mortality and the first readmission within 30-day follow up that were determined based on the NRD follow-up data. After a patient's HF hospitalization was identified, all hospitalizations with this patient's unique identifier in the same year were captured. The NRD assigns a timing variable to each patient, and subsequent hospitalizations that were within 30 days after the timing variable at index HF hospitalization discharge were retained. The secondary outcome of interest was the length of stay (LOS) during the index hospitalization. Baseline characteristics and outcomes across the matched cohorts (HF hospitalizations with vs. without a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis) are displayed as median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. Standardized mean differences (SMDs) were used to compare the distribution of matched and unmatched characteristics across HF patients with and without amyloidosis. An absolute difference >0.20 was considered meaningfully different.

Adjusted association between secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis among HF hospitalizations and the clinical outcomes of interest were assessed using multivariable logistic (for in-hospital mortality and 30-day readmission) and linear (for LOS) regression analysis. These models were adjusted for primary insurance type, median household income for the patient's zip code, secondary diagnoses of atrial fibrillation (ICD-9-CM 427.31 and 427.31), coronary artery disease (ICD-9-CM 414.00 to 414.07), hypertension (ICD-9-CM 401.0 to 405.99), obesity (ICD-9-CM 278.0 to 278.8), and hospital characteristics (teaching status, size). Generalized estimating equations were used to calculate standard errors after accounting for clustering by matched groups. Patients who died during their index hospitalization were excluded from readmission analyses. Readmission was further classified as cardiovascular (CV) related and non-CV-related readmissions using ICD-9-CM codes 390 to 459.9. Among hospitalizations with amyloidosis, CV readmissions were further categorized as HF, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular, dysrhythmia, and others, and non-CV readmission as those primarily due to amyloidosis, renal causes, bleeding, and infectious and other causes. Deaths outside of hospitalizations were not available and could not be used as a competing event when assessing readmissions. Model results are presented as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). A p value <0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. Cumulative incidence function and logrank test were used to assess and compare the timing of 30-day readmission across the 2 groups. Poisson regression was used to assess temporal trends in patient outcomes; time (year of diagnosis) was treated as linear in these analyses. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

There were 1,593,360 HF hospitalizations between 2010 and 2015 that met inclusion criteria, of which 2,846 (0.18%) had a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis (Central Illustration). This approximated closely to the prevalence of coexisting diagnosis of amyloidosis and HF without respect to the position of these diagnoses in the cohort (0.15%). Baseline characteristics of all HF hospitalizations stratified by the secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis are described in Table 1. Of those, 2,834 (>99%) hospitalizations matched with at least 1 HF hospitalization on discharge quarter, exact age, sex, and exact CCI (median 143 [interquartile range: 1 to 2,563] matches). The 12 hospitalizations with amyloidosis that did not match were either for relatively young patients (<25 years of age) or had high CCIs (range 6 to 12); however, after expanding matching criteria to age ± 2 years and CCI ± 1 point for those patients, all were able to be matched (median 7 [interquartile range: 2 to 31] matches).

After matching, 11,361 hospitalizations were included (2,846 HF with amyloidosis and 8,515 HF without amyloidosis hospitalizations), and >99% (n = 2,833) of HF with amyloidosis hospitalizations had 3 matches included. Among the matched hospitalizations, 63% were men and the median age was 75 (interquartile range: 66 to 82) years. HF with amyloidosis had a higher prevalence of malignancy (20% vs. 4%; SMD = 0.48), and kidney disease (56% vs. 45%; SMD = 0.22) compared with HF without amyloidosis. A total of 80% of malignancies in the HF with amyloidosis group were multiple myeloma, compared with only 9% in the HF alone group (p < 0.001). The HF with amyloidosis group had a lower prevalence of chronic pulmonary disease (20% vs. 37%; SMD = -0.37), diabetes mellitus (26% vs. 46%; SMD = -0.42), history of myocardial infarction (8% vs. 14%; SMD = -0.22), peripheral vascular disease (5% vs. 11%; SMD = -0.21), coronary artery disease (32% vs. 51%; SMD = -0.39), hypertension (68% vs. 79%; SMD = -0.28), and obesity (8% vs. 19%; SMD = -0.31) but more commonly belonged to the highest income group (31% vs. 20%; SMD = 0.26) and were treated at teaching hospitals (70% vs. 48%; SMD = 0.45) (Table 1).



In the entire matched cohort, there were 4% inpatient deaths, and 22% had a readmission event within 30-days post-discharge. In unadjusted analysis, HF hospitalization with (vs. without) secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis was associated with a 2-fold higher risk of inpatient mortality (6% vs. 3%; p < 0.001). Thirtyday readmission was also higher following HF hospitalization with (vs. without) secondary diagnosis amyloidosis (24% vs. 21%; p < 0.001), largely driven by differences in readmissions in the latter part of 30day post-discharge follow-up period (log-rank test, p = 0.004) (Figure 1). In the HF hospitalization with amyloidosis group, the readmission events related to CV versus non-CV-related causes were not significantly different (48% and 52%, respectively), with decompensated HF being the most common primary readmission diagnosis, constituting 35% of all readmissions (Figure 2). The rates of CV and non-CVrelated readmissions were also comparable in the HF without amyloidosis group (51% vs. 49%) (Table 2). In temporal trends analysis, the risk of inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission did not change significantly over time during the study period for either HF hospitalizations with or without amyloidosis (Figure 3). For inpatient mortality and 30-day

readmission outcomes, the exponentiated regression coefficient for 1-year increase in time for HF hospitalizations without amyloidosis was 0.96 (95% CI: 0.89 to 1.03) and 1.00 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.03) respectively. Similarly, the exponentiated regression coefficient for 1-year increase in time for HF hospitalizations with amyloidosis was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.86 to 1.03) for inpatient mortality and 0.98 (95% CI: 0.93 to 1.02) for 30-day readmission outcome. HF with amyloidosis had a longer median LOS (5 days vs. 4 days; p < 0.001) (Table 2).

In adjusted analysis, HF hospitalization with (vs. without [reference group]) a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis was significantly associated with a higher likelihood of inpatient mortality (OR: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.17 to 1.82) and 30-day readmission (OR: 1.17; 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.31). This was largely driven by a higher likelihood of readmission for non-CV causes (OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.03 to 1.38). In contrast, the likelihood of readmission for CV causes was not significantly different between the 2 groups (OR: 1.15; 95% CI: 1.00 to 1.34) (Table 2). The LOS was also significantly higher in HF hospitalizations with (vs. without [reference group]) a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis (Table 2).

Absence of Amyloidosis				
		HF Without		
	HF With Amyloidosis (n = 2,846)	All Hospitalizations (N = 1,590,514)	Matched Hospitalizations (n = 8,515)	SMD*
Matched characteristics				
Male	1,792 (63)	799,551 (50)	5,360 (63)	0.0005
Age, yrs	75 (66-82)	76 (64-85)	75 (66-82)	0.004
CCI	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	0.009
CCI component [†]				
Cerebrovascular disease	80 (3)	45,456 (3)	239 (3)	0.0002
Chronic pulmonary disease	570 (20)	577,178 (36)	3,186 (37)	0.37
Diabetes mellitus	733 (26)	697,753 (44)	3,931 (46)	0.42
History of MI	226 (8)	214,573 (13)	1,203 (14)	0.22
Liver disease	84 (3)	30,838 (2)	175 (2)	0.07
Malignancy‡	561 (20)	70,154 (4)	368 (4)	0.48
Peripheral vascular disease	146 (5)	143,766 (9)	897 (11)	0.21
Renal disease	1,598 (56)	656,664 (41)	3,811 (45)	0.22
Unmatched characteristics				
Primary insurance				
Medicaid/Medicare	2,325 (82)	1,337,168 (85)	7,285 (86)	0.12
Private	432 (15)	167,087 (11)	844 (10)	0.17
Other/self-pay	79 (3)	78,278 (5)	349 (4)	0.07
Median household income§				
Low	705 (25)	501,014 (32)	2,649 (32)	0.17
Medium	597 (21)	392,249 (25)	2,084 (25)	0.08
High	635 (23)	364,308 (23)	2,002 (24)	0.01
Highest	866 (31)	310,209 (20)	1,649 (20)	0.26
Other comorbidities				
Atrial fibrillation	1,354 (48)	652,576 (41)	3,582 (42)	0.10
Coronary artery disease	910 (32)	745,027 (47)	4,365 (51)	0.39
Hypertension	1,921 (68)	1,246,316 (78)	6,728 (79)	0.28
Obesity	225 (8)	288,716 (18)	1,611 (19)	0.31
Elective admission	210 (7)	92,843 (6)	503 (6)	0.04
Teaching hospital	1,985 (70)	749,922 (47)	4,118 (48)	0.45
Hospital bed size				
Small	312 (11)	205,767 (13)	1,100 (13)	0.05
Medium	603 (21)	427,331 (27)	2,323 (27)	0.14
Large	1,931 (68)	957,416 (60)	5,092 (60)	0.16

TABLE 1 Baseline Characteristics of Patients Admitted With HF, Stratified by Presence or

Values are n (%) or median (interquartile range). *SMD comparing patients with amyloidosis with the matched cohort of those with only HF; an absolute difference in SMD >0.20 was considered meaningfully different. +Although hospitalizations were matched on CCI score, individual components were not specifically matched. ‡Includes diagnoses of nonmetastatic tumors, metastatic tumors, lymphoma, and leukemia; multiple myeloma was 80% of all cancer diagnoses in patients with amyloidosis, compared with only 9% in HF only (p < 0.001). SEstimated median household income for the patient's zip code, stratified into quartiles each year. Il Hospital size is based on the number of hospital beds: cutpoints were chosen within each region and hospital type strata so that approximately one-third of hospitals would appear in each category.

 $\mathsf{CCI}=\mathsf{Charlson}\ \mathsf{comorbidity}\ \mathsf{index};\ \mathsf{HF}=\mathsf{heart}\ \mathsf{failure};\ \mathsf{MI}=\mathsf{myocardial}\ \mathsf{infarction};\ \mathsf{SMD}=\mathsf{standardized}\ \mathsf{mean}$ difference.

> In sensitivity analysis with additional adjustment for the presence of multiple myeloma, the patterns of association between HF hospitalization with (vs. without [reference group]) amyloidosis and the likelihood of inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission were consistent with that observed in the

primary analysis (for inpatient mortality, OR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.02 to 1.64; for 30-day readmission, OR: 1.13; 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.27). Furthermore, the association between HF hospitalization with (vs. without [reference group]) amyloidosis and short-term outcomes was not modified by the teaching status of the hospital (p interaction for inpatient mortality = 0.73; p interaction for 30-day readmission = 0.83).

DISCUSSION

In this large multistate sample of HF hospitalizations in the United States, the estimated prevalence of a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis was 0.18%. After matching for age, sex, and CCI, HF hospitalization with (vs. without) amyloidosis was associated with a higher prevalence of kidney disease and malignancy. HF hospitalization with (vs. without) amyloidosis was also associated with a higher likelihood of in-hospital mortality and 30-day readmission, largely driven by the higher rates of non-CV readmissions.

Prior studies have estimated the prevalence of ATTR amyloidosis in HF, as detected by imaging or myocardial biopsy, to be between 5% and 20% (3-6). In the present study, the overall prevalence of clinical diagnosis of amyloidosis among decompensated HF hospitalizations was significantly lower. Consistent with our observations, previous studies from administrative datasets have also demonstrated a markedly lower prevalence of amyloidosis in hospitalized patients (19-21). Our study adds to the existing literature by evaluating the burden and prognostic implications of amyloidosis in patients hospitalized with a primary diagnosis of HF. This is particularly relevant because HF is one of the key clinical manifestations of cardiac amyloidosis (19,21). The low prevalence of amyloidosis observed in large, contemporary cohorts of hospitalized patients in the United States is largely driven by underdiagnosis of amyloidosis in otherwise higher-risk patients and suggests a potential gap in detection in clinical practice. In a recent study, Gilstrap et al. (19) demonstrated that despite an overall low prevalence, the burden of coexisting amyloidosis and HF among hospitalized Medicare beneficiaries is increasing over time. Similarly, Sperry et al. (21) demonstrated a temporal increase in the diagnosis of HF among patients with amyloidosis. Future studies are needed to determine if the increase in the prevalence of HF with amyloidosis is related to increasing awareness and improving detection of the amyloidosis or is more reflective of an evolution of its natural epidemiology.

Consistent with prior literature, HF with amyloidosis had a higher prevalence of kidney disease and cancer (23,24). We also observed a lower burden of chronic pulmonary disease as well as traditional HF risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, and obesity in HF with amyloidosis. These findings suggest that the progression and development of HF in patients with amyloidosis may not be entirely driven by traditional HF risk factor-mediated pathways. Future studies are needed to understand the causal role of amyloidosis in the pathogenesis of HF.

We also observed that HF hospitalizations with (vs. without) amyloidosis were more prevalent at teaching hospitals and belong to the highest studied income group. Although it would be expected that patients with a rare diagnosis are more likely to receive care at teaching hospitals due to potential referral bias, these findings could also reflect the disparities in resource availability or diagnostic disparities due to awareness. It is plausible that patients hospitalized at teaching hospitals or with more resources to pursue specialized care are more likely to be diagnosed with amyloidosis. Geographic variability in amyloidosis mortality with greater reporting near amyloidosis centers has previously been observed, underscoring the possibility of underdiagnoses of amyloidosis in other areas (25). It is noteworthy that we found no differences in the association of a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis with inpatient mortality or 30-day readmissions across the teaching or nonteaching hospitals. These findings suggest that care quality and outcomes associated with HF hospitalizations in patients with amyloidosis may not differ significantly between teaching versus nonteaching hospitals.

We observed that HF hospitalizations with (vs. without) amyloidosis were associated with significantly higher likelihood of inpatient mortality and 30day readmission. This is largely consistent with the high morbidity and mortality associated with the presence of amyloidosis in other cohorts (21,26). The higher risk of adverse clinical outcomes in patients with HF and amyloidosis is particularly relevant in light of the effective therapies available for amyloid light-chain cardiac amyloidosis (7,27,28). Furthermore, newer therapies such as Tafamidis, a transthyretin-stabilizing molecule, was recently shown to significantly lower the risk of mortality and CV hospitalizations among patients with ATTR amyloid cardiomyopathy (9), and Patisiran has been shown to slow the progression of structural and functional abnormalities in patients with ATTRhereditary subtype amyloidosis (12). Future studies



The cumulative rate of readmission over the 30-day follow-up period was higher in the heart failure (HF) with amyloidosis group (24% vs. 21%; p < 0.001) as determined by cumulative incidence function (log-rank test, p = 0.004).



The proportion of readmissions related to cardiovascular versus noncardiovascular causes were similar (48% and 52%, respectively) in the heart failure with amyloidosis group. Heart failure was the most common primary readmission diagnosis, constituting 35% of all readmissions.

 TABLE 2
 Incidence and Adjusted Patient Outcomes Associated With

 Hospitalizations for HF With Secondary Diagnosis of Amyloidosis Matched to

 HF Hospitalizations Without Amyloidosis Using the Year of Admission,

 Discharge Quarter, Age, Sex, and CCI

	Incidence			
	Amyloidosis	No Amyloidosis	OR/LSM (95% CI)*	p Value
Discharge disposition				
Routine/home health	2,169 (76)	6,579 (77)	1.00 (ref)	-
Transfer, short term	33 (1)	113 (1)	1.13 (0.75-1.69)†	0.57
Transfer, skilled facility	470 (17)	1,460 (17)	0.99 (0.88-1.12)†	0.93
Death	158 (6)	244 (3)	1.46 (1.17-1.82)†	< 0.001
30-day readmission‡				
Any readmission	656 (24)	1,761 (21)	1.17 (1.05-1.31)†	0.005
CV related	313 (12)	898 (11)	1.15 (1.00-1.34)†	0.06
Non-CV related	343 (13)	863 (10)	1.19 (1.03-1.38)†	0.02
No readmission	2,032 (76)	6,754 (79)	1.00 (ref)	-
Length of stay, days	5 (3-9)	4 (2-6)	1.46 (1.12-1.80) <mark>§</mark>	< 0.001

Values are n (%), unless otherwise indicated. *Adjusted for primary insurance type, median household income for the patient's zip code, comorbidities not captured in the CCI (atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease, hypertension, and obesity), hospital teaching status, and hospital size; length of stay was modeled using generalized linear regression. tOR. \pm Among hospitalizations resulting in patients being discharged alive only (n = 10,959). §LSM.

CI = confidence interval; CV = cardiovascular; LSM = least-squares mean difference; OR = odds ratio; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

focused on developing a multicomponent screening strategy that incorporates clinical risk assessment followed by evaluation for amyloidosis are needed to identify patients with HF who have subtypes that may benefit from these promising therapies for particular forms of amyloidosis (7,29,30).

STUDY LIMITATIONS. First, owing to the observational nature of our study design, there is potential for

residual confounding that may underlie the observed association between presence of amyloidosis and risk of adverse outcomes. Thus, our observations do not establish a causal association between amyloidosis and risk of adverse outcomes among patients with HF. Although we matched on CCI, the actual comorbidities in patients with and without amyloidosis were different; therefore, matching on CCI would not fully account for these differences and bias could exist if different comorbidities had different impacts on patient outcomes in HF. However, after adjusting for multiple myeloma, similar results were seen for inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission. Second, the NRD is a database of linked inpatient discharge records, so we were unable to capture mortality outside of hospitalizations and could not account for the competing risk of deaths outside of the hospitals when calculating readmissions. Third, we only evaluated the association between diagnosis of amyloidosis and risk of first hospitalization within 30 days. This is consistent with the rehospitalization outcome assessed in most claims-based studies and HF clinical trials. The competing risk of non-CV or CV hospitalization for the other type of hospitalization was not accounted for in this study. However, the rates of recurrent hospitalization within a 30-day period is low, and thus the competing risk would have a modest effect on the observed associations. Fourth, as only hospitalizations within the same state would be linked, we would have missed all readmissions that occurred outside of the state of index hospitalization. However, we restricted our cohort to those that were



The risk of (A) inpatient mortality and (B) 30-day readmission did not change significantly over time during the study period for either heart failure hospitalizations with or without amyloidosis. For inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission outcomes, the exponentiated regression coefficient for 1-year increase in time for heart failure hospitalizations without amyloidosis was 0.96 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.89 to 1.03) and 1.00 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.03), respectively. The exponentiated regression coefficient for 1-year increase in time for heart failure hospitalizations with amyloidosis was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.86 to 1.03) for inpatient mortality and 0.98 (95% CI: 0.93 to 1.02) for 30-day readmission outcome. *Only includes hospitalizations between January and September 2015.

Arora et al. 717 Amyloidosis and Outcomes in Heart Failure

residents of the state that they were initially treated in to minimize this limitation of the database. Fifth, we could not assess whether patients with HF and amyloidosis had amyloidosis with cardiac involvement or determine the type(s) or genetic mutations of amyloidosis, given how the diseases are captured in ICD-9-CM codes. Furthermore, lack of data on disease severity, both for amyloidosis (extent of multisystem involvement) and HF (ejection fraction, New York Heart Association functional class, cardiac biomarkers) as well as details of any treatment for both diseases precludes us from adjusting for those critical factors in the model as well. Sixth, there was also a potential for coding errors and differences in coding practices across the hospitals included in the database. Although the sensitivity and specificity of the ICD-9-CM codes for the diagnosis of amyloidosis are not available, the ICD-9-CM codes used to identify amyloidosis are consistent with those reported previously in the literature (19-21). Coding errors and missing codes could have caused us to underestimate the presence of amyloidosis and other comorbidities across the groups; however, we expect this misclassification (e.g., classifying HF with amyloidosis as HF alone due to the absence of an amyloidosis diagnosis from the discharge records) to bias results toward the null (i.e., no effect). Last, we were unable to study the effect of race or geographic location, as that information is not available in the NRD.

CONCLUSIONS

In our analysis of HF hospitalizations from a large national U.S. database, a secondary diagnosis of amyloidosis was present in 0.18% and represents a cohort with a higher prevalence of kidney disease and cancer and a lower burden of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, compared with a cohort without amyloidosis. Furthermore, HF hospitalization with amyloidosis was associated with a significantly higher risk of inpatient mortality and 30-day readmission, highlighting the poor prognosis of this patient population. With the advent of new life-prolonging therapies for cardiac amyloidosis, these results emphasize the need to develop more effective screening strategies to facilitate early diagnosis of amyloidosis in HF patients.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Dr. Vaduganathan is supported by the KL2/Catalyst Medical Research Investigator Training award from Harvard Catalyst (National Institutes of Health/National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences Award UL 1TR002541. Dr. Qamar is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute T32 postdoctoral training grant (T32HL007604) and the American Heart Association Strategically Focused Research Network in Vascular Disease grant

(18SFRN3390085). Dr. Vaduganathan has received research support from Amgen and Boehringer Ingelheim; and has served on advisory boards for Amgen, American Regent, AstraZeneca, Baxter Healthcare, Bayer AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cytokinetics, and Relypsa. Dr. Grodin has received consulting fees from Pfizer and Eidos Therapeutics; has received research funding from a Texas Health Resources Clinical Scholarship and Eidos; and has received consulting income from Alnylam. Dr. Fonarow has served as a consulting for Abbott, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Janssen, Medtronic, Merck, and Novartis. Dr. Bhatt has served on the advisory board for Cardax, Cereno Scientific, Elsevier Practice Update Cardiology, Level Ex, Medscape Cardiology, PhaseBio, PLx Pharma, and Regado Biosciences; has served on the Board of Directors for Boston VA Research Institute, Society of Cardiovascular Patient Care, and TobeSoft; has served as Chair of the American Heart Association Quality Oversight Committee, NCDR-ACTION Registry Steering Committee, and VA CART Research and Publications Committee; has served on the Data Monitoring Committee for the Baim Institute for Clinical Research (formerly Harvard Clinical Research Institute, for the PORTICO trial, funded by St. Jude Medical, now Abbott), Cleveland Clinic (including for the ExCEED trial, funded by Edwards), Duke Clinical Research Institute, Mayo Clinic, Mount Sinai School of Medicine (for the ENVISAGE trial, funded by Daiichi-Sankyo), Population Health Research Institute; has received honoraria from the American College of Cardiology (Senior Associate Editor, Clinical Trials and News, ACC.org: Vice-Chair, ACC Accreditation Committee), the Baim Institute for Clinical Research (formerly Harvard Clinical Research Institute: RE-DUAL PCI clinical trial steering committee funded by Boehringer Ingelheim; AEGIS-II executive committee funded by CSL Behring), Belvoir Publications (Editor in Chief, Harvard Heart Letter), Duke Clinical Research Institute (clinical trial steering committees, including for the PRONOUNCE trial, funded by Ferring Pharmaceuticals), HMP Global (Editor in Chief, Journal of Invasive Cardiology), Journal of the American College of Cardiology (Guest Editor; Associate Editor), Medtelligence/ ReachMD (CME steering committees), Level Ex, MJH Life Sciences, Population Health Research Institute (for the COMPASS operations committee, publications committee, steering committee, and USA national co-leader, funded by Bayer), Slack Publications (Chief Medical Editor, Cardiology Today's Intervention), Society of Cardiovascular Patient Care (Secretary/Treasurer), and WebMD (CME steering committees); has served as Deputy Editor for Clinical Cardiology; has received research funding from Abbott, Afimmune, Amarin, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cardax, Chiesi, CSL Behring, Eisai, Ethicon, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Forest Laboratories, Fractyl, Idorsia, Ironwood, Ischemix, Lexicon, Lilly, Medtronic, Pfizer, PhaseBio, PLx Pharma, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi, Synaptic, The Medicines Company; has received royalties from Elsevier (Editor, Cardiovascular Intervention: A Companion to Braunwald's Heart Disease); has served as a Site Co-Investigator for Biotronik, Boston Scientific, CSI, St. Jude Medical (now Abbott), and Svelte: has been a trustee of the American College of Cardiology; and has conducted unfunded research for FlowCo, Merck, Novo Nordisk, and Takeda. Dr. Pandey has served on the advisory board of Roche Diagnostics: and has received research support from Texas Health Resources Clinical Scholarship, Gilead Sciences Research Scholar Program, and the National Institute of Aging GEMSSTAR Grant (1R03AG067960-01). All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Ambarish Pandey, Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, UT Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, Texas 75390, USA. E-mail: ambarish.pandey@utsouthwestern.edu. Twitter: @ambarish4786, @SameerArora, @DLBHATTMD, @JLGrodin, @gcfmd, @Dr_Afatima, @AqamarMD.

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: Hospitalizations for HF with amyloidosis are associated with higher inpatient mortality and 30-day readmissions than those without amyloidosis. **TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK:** Future studies are needed to determine if recent advancements in therapies for amyloidosis may improve the clinical outcomes among these high-risk patients with HF and amyloidosis.

REFERENCES

1. Bhogal S, Ladia V, Sitwala P, et al. Cardiac amyloidosis: an updated review with emphasis on diagnosis and future directions. Curr Prob Cardiol 2018;43:10-34.

2. Dahm CN, Cornell RF, Lenihan DJ. Advances in treatment of cardiac amyloid. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med 2018;20:37.

3. Mohammed SF, Mirzoyev SA, Edwards WD, et al. Left ventricular amyloid deposition in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol HF 2014;2:113-22.

4. Gonzalez-Lopez E, Gallego-Delgado M, Guzzo-Merello G, et al. Wild-type transthyretin amyloidosis as a cause of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 2015;36: 2585-94.

5. Dungu JN, Papadopoulou SA, Wykes K, et al. Afro-Caribbean heart failure in the United Kingdom: cause, outcomes, and ATTR V122I cardiac amyloidosis. Circ Heart Fail 2016;9:e003352.

6. Poliakova AA, Semernin EN, Sitnikova MY, et al. [Transthyretin amyloidosis in a cohort of old and very old patients with chronic heart failure]. Kardiologiia 2018;52:12–8.

 Falk RH, Alexander KM, Liao R, Dorbala S. AL (light-chain) cardiac amyloidosis: a review of diagnosis and therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68: 1323–41.

8. Sanchorawala V, Palladini G, Kukreti V, et al. A phase 1/2 study of the oral proteasome inhibitor ixazomib in relapsed or refractory AL amyloidosis. Blood 2017;130:597-605.

9. Maurer MS, Schwartz JH, Gundapaneni B, et al. Tafamidis treatment for patients with transthyretin amyloid cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 2018:379:1007-16.

10. Adams D, Gonzalez-Duarte A, O'Riordan WD, et al. Patisiran, an RNAi therapeutic, for hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis. N Engl J Med 2018;379: 11-21.

11. Benson MD, Waddington-Cruz M, Berk JL, et al. Inotersen treatment for patients with hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis. N Engl J Med 2018;379: 22-31. **12.** Solomon SD, Adams D, Kristen A, et al. Effects of patisiran, an RNA interference therapeutic, on cardiac parameters in patients with hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis. Circulation 2019;139:431-43.

13. Castano A, Haq M, Narotsky DL, et al. Multicenter study of planar technetium 99m pyrophosphate cardiac imaging: predicting survival for patients with ATTR cardiac amyloidosis. JAMA Cardiol 2016;1:880-9.

14. Izumiya Y, Takashio S, Oda S, Yamashita Y, Tsujita K. Recent advances in diagnosis and treatment of cardiac amyloidosis. J Cardiol 2018; 71:135-43.

15. d'Humieres T, Fard D, Damy T, et al. Outcome of patients with cardiac amyloidosis admitted to an intensive care unit for acute heart failure. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2018;111: 582-90.

16. Nakahashi T, Arita T, Yamaji K, et al. Impact of clinical and echocardiographic characteristics on occurrence of cardiac events in cardiac amyloidosis as proven by endomyocardial biopsy. Int J Cardiol 2014;176:753-9.

17. Elhmidi Y, Bleiziffer S, Deutsch MA, et al. Acute kidney injury after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: incidence, predictors and impact on mortality. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2014; 107:133-9.

18. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. DUA Training-Accessible Version. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019. Available at: https://www.hcup-us.ahrq. gov/DUA/dua_508/DUA508version.jsp. Accessed April 3, 2020.

19. Gilstrap LG, Dominici F, Wang Y, et al. Epidemiology of cardiac amyloidosis-associated heart failure hospitalizations among fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries in the United States. Circ Heart Fail 2019;12:e005407.

20. Patil N, Arora S, Qamar A, et al. Trends and causes of hospitalizations in patients with amyloidosis. Amyloid 2019;26:177–8.

21. Sperry BW, Saeed IM, Raza S, Kennedy KF, Hanna M, Spertus JA. Increasing rate of hospital

admissions in patients with amyloidosis (from the National Inpatient Sample). Am J Cardiol 2019; 124:1765–9.

22. Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Ciol MA. Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative databases. J Clin Epidemiol 1992; 45:613-9.

23. Azzopardi JG, Lehner T. Systemic amyloidosis and malignant disease. J Clin Pathol 1966;19: 539-48.

24. Lin HM, Gao X, Cooke CE, et al. Disease burden of systemic light-chain amyloidosis: a systematic literature review. Curr Med Res Opin 2017;33: 1017-31.

25. Alexander KM, Orav J, Singh A, et al. Geographic disparities in reported US amyloidosis mortality from 1979 to 2015: potential underdetection of cardiac amyloidosis. JAMA Cardiol 2018;3:865-70.

26. Cavalcante JL, Rijal S, Abdelkarim I, et al. Cardiac amyloidosis is prevalent in older patients with aortic stenosis and carries worse prognosis. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2017;19:98.

27. Palladini G, Sachchithanantham S, Milani P, et al. A European collaborative study of cyclo-phosphamide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone in upfront treatment of systemic AL amyloidosis. Blood 2015;126:612-5.

28. Jimenez-Zepeda VH, Duggan P, Neri P, Bahlis NJ. Bortezomib-containing regimens for the treatment of newly diagnosed and relapsed amyloid light chain amyloidosis: a single-center experience. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2016; 16:e79–84.

29. Baca M, Newberry M. Amyloid cardiomyopathy in the emergency department. J Emerg Med 2019;56:205-9.

30. Gertz MA, Benson MD, Dyck PJ, et al. Diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy of transthyretin amyloidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66: 2451-66.

KEY WORDS amyloidosis, heart failure, mortality, readmissions