LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Unfavourable outcome of deep brain stimulation in a Tourette patient with severe comorbidity

A. Duits · L. Ackermans · D. Cath · V. Visser-Vandewalle

Received: 13 November 2011/Accepted: 8 May 2012/Published online: 24 May 2012 © The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Dear Editor(s),

In 1999, deep brain stimulation (DBS) was introduced as a new therapeutic approach for intractable Tourette syndrome (TS) [1]. Currently, it is still considered to be an experimental option with limited controlled data to support its effect [2, 3]. Both single and multiple brain areas have been targeted to deal with tics as well as comorbid symptoms of TS, including attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), obsessive—compulsive disorder (OCD) and behaviour (OCb), self-injurious behaviour (SIB), depression and anxiety. These associated comorbidities are present in up to 90 % of clinically referred TS patients and

A. Duits (⊠)

Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, PO Box 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht,

The Netherlands

e-mail: aa.duits@mumc.nl

A. Duits \cdot L. Ackermans \cdot V. Visser-Vandewalle Maastricht Institute for Neuromodulative Development (MIND), Maastricht, The Netherlands

A. Duits

School of Mental Health and Neuroscience (MHeNS), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

L. Ackermans · V. Visser-Vandewalle
Department of Neurosurgery, Maastricht University
Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands

D. Cath

Altrecht Academic Anxiety Outpatient Services, Department of Clinical Psychology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

V. Visser-Vandewalle European Graduate School of Neuroscience (EURON), Maastricht, The Netherlands have a significant impact on quality of life [2]. So far, guidelines to select patients [4, 5] for DBS were mainly focused on tics. Also in the recently revised clinical guidelines by the European Society for the Study of Tourette Syndrome [2], the issue comorbidity in patient selection is relatively underaddressed.

In 2010, we have completed a double-blind trial stimulating the centromedian nucleus–substantia periventricularis nucleus–ventro-oralis internus (Cm–Spv–Voi) cross-point of the thalamus [3]. Here, we report on the outcome of DBS in an institutionalized patient with intractable TS and severe comorbidity. Although she was younger than 25 years, she was included in the trial and underwent surgery for clinical urgency. Postoperatively, she could not be randomised within the period of follow-up because of unexplained complications. In this letter, we discuss the nature of the complications and the implications for patient selection.

The 20-year-old female patient was born with a mild spastic diplegia due to complications during pregnancy. The diagnosis of TS was made at the age of 10 years. Motor and vocal tics included eye blinking, licking, cheek biting, tapping with her right foot, coughing, barking, uttering sounds and coprolalia. Associated comorbidities included depression, pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS) and compulsions (e.g., forced touching of furniture and a specific form of trichotillomania). In 2005, she developed severe SIB, including repetitive hitting her head and arm (she had to wear a helmet and a body protector), poking sharp objects into her body and scratching until bleeding. Numerous pharmacological treatments had been administrated and discontinued, due to the side effects or lack of response. Behavioural therapy and electroconvulsive therapy were even leading to new tics. In November 2006, she underwent surgery for



thalamic DBS. See Ackermans and colleagues [3] for the surgical details.

Three weeks after surgery, while adjusting the parameters, the patient showed an abrupt onset of severe hypertonia in her left leg. In the following days, this extended to the right leg and both arms, followed by involuntary movements of the left arm, and on one occasion rotating movements of both arms above the head. The symptoms did not disappear when switching off the stimulator. Either with or without stimulation, the hypertonia was present but inconsistent over time and could not be classified within the phenomenology of organic movement disorders such as myoclonus, dystonia or spasticity. During the first month after surgery, she was bedridden and developed an opisthotonus. Rehabilitation with a cognitive behavioural approach was not successful. In May 2007 she developed unexplained disturbances of consciousness, followed by periods of mutism. To exclude a side-effect of stimulation, double-blind stimulation was performed at 19 months after surgery according to the procedure of the trial [3]. Unblinding the conditions showed an increase in tics (see Table 1), but a relief of hypertonia while the stimulator was switched on, and visa versa. For the patient, the results were completely unexpected and she decided to have the stimulator switched off. From that time on she showed alternating hypertonia in legs and arms, but relatively few motor and vocal tics. Later, she developed complaints of impaired swallowing, nausea and she could not bear food anymore. Diagnostics (e.g., gastroscopy) did not result in an organic explanation and psychiatric consultation did not reveal a depressive state. Hypodermoclysis could not prevent dehydration and she refrained from admission to a hospital for intravenous infusion. In November 2009, she passed away in a nursing home. For personal reasons no autopsy was performed.

Considering the criteria of Fahn and Williams [6], the abrupt onset of the hypertonia, its inconsistency and incongruency with organic disorders, the bizarre movements and the concomitant somatizations (loss of consciousness, mutism and later swallowing disturbances) suggest a psychogenic movement disorder. The surgical procedure or stimulation may have caused a dysbalance in the limbic and associative cortico-basal ganglia-thalamocortical loops [7], leading to psychiatric symptoms. Perhaps, the symptoms could be seen as disinhibition or progression of a preoperatively unidentified somatoform disorder. Reviewing the patient's medical history, it is noteworthy that she frequently suffered from abdominal distress, headaches and unexplained urine retention (related to decreased relaxation of pelvic muscles).

Finally, the decrease in tic severity, which possibly served as a coping mechanism to daily demands prior to surgery, might have interfered with the results of DBS.

Table 1 Pre- and post-operative assessment of tics and comorbidities

	Before surgery ^a	Stimulation off ^b	Stimulation on ^c
Tic severity (YGTSS total)	42	12	39
Vocal tics (YGTSS vocal)	17	0	14
Motor tics (YGTSS motor)	25	12	25
OCD (Y-BOCS)	20	8	7
SIB (VAS)	5.7	0	8.9
ADHD (CAARS)	58	42	45
Autism (PDD-SQ)	136	127	124
Depression (BDI-II)	12	20	15
Anxiety (BAI)	32	41	44

YGTSS Yale Global Tic Severity Scale, OCD obsessive compulsive disorder, Y-BOCS Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale, SIB self injurious behaviour, VAS Visual Analogue Scale, ADHD attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, CAARS Conners Adult ADHD Rating Scale, PDD-SQ Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Self Questionnaire, BDI-II Beck Depression Inventory (2nd edn.), BAI Beck Anxiety Inventory

- ^a Pre-operative medication included duloxetin (30 mg/day), alprazolam (0.50 mg 4 times a day), baclofen (20 mg thrice a day) and pergolide (0.05 mg/day)
- b 22 months after surgery, at the end of the first randomization period of 3 months with stimulation 'off'
- ^c 23 months after surgery, at the end of the second randomization period of only 6 weeks with stimulation 'on' and the following stimulation parameters: 5 mV, 60 μ s and 110 Hz (contact 1–/2+, 5–/6+) bilaterally

Although it is difficult to draw final conclusions, we believe that the course of this case illustrates the potential contra-indication for DBS in TS patients with severe comorbidity. While TS is a heterogeneous entity [8], the combination of associated comorbidities with prominent and severe SIB may raise questions about the diagnosis. Whereas the diagnosis of TS had been approved by several experts in TS prior to surgery, our patient might also have suffered from an additional somatoform disorder. A somatoform disorder can be treatment-resistent as well, but contrary to TS, DBS is not indicated and might even worsen the clinical picture. Apart from the psychiatric comorbidity, our patient also suffered from a pre-existent neurological disorder, spastic diplegia, which in itself may constitute a risk for DBS.

In conclusion, before deciding upon DBS, we would like to emphasize that experts in TS should confirm the diagnosis and that patients should be thoroughly screened for somatoform disorder, including psychogenic movement disorder. Patients should be excluded from DBS in the presence of symptoms. Overall, clinicians should be alert on comorbid psychiatric symptoms beyond the well-known associated comorbidities such as OCD and ADHD. Serious preoperative attempts to treat psychiatric comorbidities are



warranted even in case of apparent clinical urgency to perform surgery.

Acknowledgments The authors wish to thank the patient's family and both Prof. K. Hoogduin and Dr. M. Tijssen for their expertise.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

 Vandewalle V, van der Linden C, Groenewegen HJ, Caemaert J (1999) Stereotactic treatment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome by high frequency stimulation of the thalamus. Lancet 353:724

- Muller-Vahl KR, Cath DC, Cavanna AE, the ESSTS Guidelines Group et al (2011) European clinical guidelines for Tourette syndrome and other tic disorders. Part IV: deep brain stimulation. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 20:209–217
- Ackermans L, Duits A, Van der Linden C et al (2011) Doubleblind clinical trial of thalamic stimulation in six patients with Tourette syndrome. Brain 134:832–844
- Mink JW, Walkup J, Frey KA, Tourette Syndrome Association, Inc et al (2006) Patient selection and assessment recommendations for deep brain stimulation in Tourette syndrome. Mov Disord 21:1831–1838
- Visser-Vandewalle V, Ackermans L, Van der Linden C et al (2006) Deep brain stimulation in Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome. Neurosurgery 58:E590
- Fahn S, Williams DT (1988) Psychogenic dystonia. Adv Neurol 50:431–455
- 7. Krack P, Hariz MI, Baunez C et al (2010) Deep brain stimulation: from neurology to psychiatry? Trends Neurosci 33:474–484
- Cavanna AE, Critchley HD, Orth M et al (2011) Dissecting the Gilles de la Tourette Spectrum: a factor analytic study on 639 patients. JNNP 82:1320–1323

