RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Multiple-omic data analysis of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* MGH 78578 reveals its transcriptional architecture and regulatory features

Joo-Hyun Seo¹⁺, Jay Sung-Joong Hong^{1,3+}, Donghyuk Kim¹, Byung-Kwan Cho^{1,4}, Tzu-Wen Huang^{1,2}, Shih-Feng Tsai², Bernhard O Palsson¹ and Pep Charusanti^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The increasing number of infections caused by strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* that are resistant to multiple antibiotics has developed into a major medical problem worldwide. The development of next-generation sequencing technologies now permits rapid sequencing of many *K. pneumoniae* isolates, but sequence information alone does not provide important structural and operational information for its genome.

Results: Here we take a systems biology approach to annotate the *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome at the structural and operational levels. Through the acquisition and simultaneous analysis of multiple sample-matched –omics data sets from two growth conditions, we detected 2677, 1227, and 1066 binding sites for RNA polymerase, RpoD, and RpoS, respectively, 3660 RNA polymerase-guided transcript segments, and 3585 transcription start sites throughout the genome. Moreover, analysis of the transcription start site data identified 83 probable leaderless mRNAs, while analysis of unannotated transcripts suggested the presence of 119 putative open reading frames, 15 small RNAs, and 185 antisense transcripts that are not currently annotated.

Conclusions: These findings highlight the strengths of systems biology approaches to the refinement of sequence-based annotations, and to provide new insight into fundamental genome-level biology for this important human pathogen.

Keywords: Klebsiella pneumoniae, Infectious disease, Transcriptional architecture, Omics data, Systems biology

Background

The number of infections caused by pathogenic microorganisms that are resistant to at least one antibiotic has grown at an alarming rate over the past several decades. These multi-drug resistant organisms have reduced the clinical utility of many commonly-used antibiotics, and pan-resistant strains now threaten to render some infectious agents nearly untreatable. The Infectious Diseases Society of America has identified six multi-drug resistant pathogens in particular that pose the gravest threat to human health [1,2], one of which is the Gram-negative bacterium *Klebsiella pneumoniae. K. pneumoniae* is a member of the family Enterobacteriaceae, and exhibits close genetic relationship to several genera within this family, especially Escherichia. Despite this similarity, many Klebsiella species, including Klebsiella pneumoniae, possess a thick, extracellular polysaccharide capsule that distinguishes this genus from other enterobacteria. This capsule is thought to be a significant virulence factor that helps to protect the bacterium during infection from phagocytosis [3-5] and antimicrobial peptides [6]. K. pneumoniae causes a wide range of diseases worldwide such as pneumonia, urinary tract infections, and surgical wound infections that primarily afflict immunocompromised patients. There are also highly invasive community-acquired pathotypes characterized by bacteremic liver abscesses or endophthalmitis that are particularly endemic in Asia [7-9], especially in Taiwan [10-13], and reports of their occurrence are now emerging in other parts of the world [14-20].



© 2012 Seo et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: pcharusanti@ucsd.edu

⁺Equal contributors

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





To combat the threat posed by K. pneumoniae and other drug-resistant pathogens, the genomes from many clinical and laboratory-derived isolates have been sequenced to investigate the genetic basis of infection-relevant phenotypes such as virulence and antibiotic resistance [21,22]. Several notable discoveries have been made through these sequencing efforts, for example the identification of plasmids that bear New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase 1 (NDM-1), a gene that confers resistance to the last-line carbapenem antibiotics that are used to treat difficult K. pneumoniae infections [23]. Other studies have sought to build upon the abundance of sequence data to delineate fundamental operational features of the genome, for instance the identity and binding site locations of major transcription factors, the environmental signals that stimulate transcription of certain genes, the architecture of operons, sub-operons, and transcription units, the existence of small non-coding RNAs, and other elements [24-27]. Such studies often rely on the acquisition and analysis of genome-wide data sets such as chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with microarray hybridization (ChIP-chip), transcriptome profiling, proteomics, and metabolomics, ultimately resulting in a global map of the transcriptional architecture for the bacterium under defined growth conditions. In turn, this map provides a fundamental link between the genotype and phenotype for the organism.

Using ChIP-chip, tiling array, and deep sequencing technology, we report here a delineation of the transcriptional architecture for a pathogenic, multi-drug resistant strain of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* during exponential and stationary phase growth. Key findings include the detection of over 1000 binding sites for RpoD and RpoS, nearly 200 RNA transcript segments that have multiple transcription start sites, and over 80 leaderless mRNAs.

Results

Analysis of transcriptional architecture

To construct the transcriptional architecture of the *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome, we determined the

active coding regions of the genome in both exponential and stationary phase by investigating gene expression, RNAP, RpoD, and RpoS binding sites, and transcription start site (TSS) data collected under these two growth conditions (Figure 1). A particular genomic region was deemed to be transcribed if the gene expression data for that locus was above an estimated baseline value of \log_2 $(signal) = \sim 6$. Because our microarray lacked negative control probes [24], we based this value on the detected signal from non-coding regions such as intergenic regions. The data were transformed into binary expressed/unexpressed calls based on this threshold, and subsequently used as the basis to determine contiguous transcript segments. RNAP, RpoD, and RpoS binding sites were identified from similar log ratio data sets from triplicate chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) samples using NimbleScan software (width of sliding window: 300 bp). We then calculated the median position of those regions to avoid a skewed position by unwanted noise. We identified RNAP binding sites from ChIP data collected during exponential phase only since the binding sites do not differ appreciably between the exponential and stationary growth phases [24]. In addition, cells were treated with rifampicin to generate a static RNAP binding map [24]. For RpoD or RpoS binding site identification, we prepared a ChIP library from cells harvested in exponential phase and stationary phase, respectively. ChIP samples were then hybridized to a customdesigned tiled microarray to pinpoint the genomic locations where these proteins bound. By comparing RNAP, ChIP-chip data against both RpoD, and RpoS ChIP-chip data and expression data all acquired simultaneously under the same growth conditions, it is possible to segregate what appear to be contiguous transcripts into distinct transcription segments [25]. TSSs were identified using a modified 5'-RACE protocol combined with deep sequencing, which only detects mRNAs with triphosphates at the 5' end [25]. The data are summarized in Table 1.

From our ChIP-chip data, we detected 2677, 1227, and 1066 binding sites for RNAP, RpoD, and RpoS, respectively. The RNAP-guided transcript segmentation method integrates the presence or absence of a particular transcript with RNAP-binding information, which minimizes the error associated with the assembly of unrelated transcripts [24]. This methodology has been applied to this study. Gene expression data supported by RNAP binding site data suggest that there are 3660 RNAP-guided transcript segments (RTSs) in *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578. Among this group, 1979 RTSs were detected in both the exponential and stationary phase while 678 and 1003 RTSs were detected only during exponential phase and stationary phase growth, respectively (Additional file 1). The 3660 RTSs include the expression of 4752

 Table 1 Experimentally derived annotation of the

 Klebsiella pneumoniae MGH 78578 genome

	-
ORFs	5194/411*
tRNAs	86 (86)
rRNAs	25 (25)
sRNAs	15 (1)
RNAP binding sites	2677
RpoD binding sites	1227/143*
RpoS binding sites	1066/82*
TSS	3585/263*
pORF	119/40*
RTSs – total	3660
RTSs – exponential phase	678
RTSs – stationary phase	1003
RTSs – both phases	1979
Antisense transcripts	185/38*
Leaderless mRNAs	83

Numbers with asterisks (*) before the forward slash denote the total number of each feature detected in our data set, while numbers after the slash denote the number of each feature located on one of the 5 plasmids. Example: out of 5194 ORFs that were detected, 411 of them are located on a plasmid. The number of ORFs was taken from the GenBank annotation at the time of data analysis. All other values were derived experimentally as part of this study except for numbers in parentheses, which were taken from the current GenBank annotation. Abbreviations: pORF, putative ORF; RTSs, RNAP-guided transcript segments.

annotated genes out of a total of 5315 genes in the current genome annotation (89.4%). Based on this annotation, the expression of 4222 and 4299 genes were detected during exponential and stationary phase, respectively, of which 3769 genes were expressed during both growth phases. Thus, there were 453 and 530 genes that were expressed during exponential and stationary phase only, respectively. Interestingly, a large number (151) of the 530 genes that were expressed only during stationary phase growth play a putative role in carbohydrate transport and metabolism based on their COG classification (Figure 2). The functions of these 151 genes are enriched for sugar transport, sugar isomerization, glycoside hydrolysis, and phosphotransferase system (PTS), which are all related to carbohydrate uptake [28].

To establish transcription start sites, we performed a simultaneous analysis of both our raw TSS data and the 3660 RTSs and assigned a TSS if it appeared within 200 bp from the 5' start point of an RTS. This analysis resulted in a total of 3585 TSS signals, 3322 on the chromosome and 263 on the five plasmids. One-hundred ninety-three RTSs were observed to have two or more TSSs. Based on COG classification, the largest group among these 193 RTSs was a set of 26 that are involved in transcription (Additional file 2). Four of these 26 transcription-related RTSs have three or more TSSs. For example, the RTS that includes KPN_01305, a



transcriptional regulator involved in biosynthesis and transport of aromatic amino acids, has four TSSs. The existence of multiple TSSs suggests that this and other similar genes are transcribed under multiple, specific conditions rather than under conditions of general growth. When the TSS data was extended to include both the RTS and expression profiling data, we observed 83 leaderless mRNAs, defined here as transcripts with a 5' UTR length of less than or equal to 5 bp. This number is nearly double what has been reported for other bacteria such as *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain SL1344 (23 transcripts) [27], *Helicobacter pylori* (34 transcripts) [26,29], and *Geobacter sulfurreducens* (52 transcripts) [25].

Non-coding genes

Among non-coding RNAs, the current annotation for the *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome contains 86 tRNAs and 25 rRNAs and an unknown number of small RNAs (sRNA). The presence of sRNAs are much more difficult to predict because genome annotation algorithms are based predominantly on protein coding regions. The possible existence and location of sRNAs are therefore frequently extracted from whole-genome RNA expression data sets that probe not just open reading frames, but the intergenic regions where sRNAs are located as well [30,31].

Since our tiled-array data provide this whole-genome information, we examined whether we could detect sRNAs in K. pneumoniae by analyzing unannotated transcripts in our data set using the Rfam database [32]. Out of 447 unannotated transcripts, 15 of them matched an sRNA already reported in Rfam (Additional file 3). Among this list, we could detect high-level transcription of SraD (genomic position: 3318095~3318169, + strand), SroB (genomic position: 510363~510437, + strand) and CsrC (genomic position: 4572620~4572846, + strand) during stationary phase growth only, an observation that is consistent with data from other Enterobacteria [33-36]. Nine of the sRNAs, RyhB, SraL, SroB, SraC/RyeA, MicF, SraD, GcvB, SraH and IsrN, are reported to possess the ability to bind Hfq, a bacterial RNA binding protein [37]. Six sRNAs, SroB, SraC/RyeA, MicF, SraD, GcvB, and SraH, are reported to regulate protein translation through antisense binding to target mRNAs. Interestingly, we could detect the transcription of both RyeB and SrcC/RyeA sRNA even though their genomic locations overlap on opposite strands (genomic position of RyeB: 2580980~2581070, - strand; genomic position of SrcC/RyeA: 2580976~2581120, + strand). However, we could detect transcription of SrcC/RyeA only during exponential phase and RyeB only during stationary phase. This observation suggests that the two sRNAs might act in a coordinated manner to regulate different aspects of growth rather than in a concerted, simultaneous

manner. We cannot rule out the possibility, however, that SrcC/RyeA and RyeB might be expressed at low levels during stationary and exponential phase, respectively, that are below the detection limits of the measurement and data analysis systems employed here.

Putative open reading frames

Several RTSs in our data sets did not correspond to any ORFs in the current sequence-based annotation for K. pneumoniae MGH 78578. For each of these unannotated transcripts, we investigated whether they might show homology to any known gene products by first searching for start and stop codons in the RTS that yielded the longest DNA sequence and had the same reading frame. This DNA sequence was then translated into a peptide sequence and a BLASTp search performed against the RefSeq database with a cutoff E-value of 0.001. This analysis resulted in 119 putative ORFs, 40 of which reside on one of the five plasmids harbored by this strain (Additional file 4). Most of these putative ORFs are currently annotated as hypothetical proteins, but several show high homology (< E-110, Additional file 4) to annotated genes from other strains or species of Klebsiella or to members from other genera. In turn, this close match raises the probability that the underlying putative ORF does indeed encode a known gene product. For example, the sequence for 'RTS_NC_009648_322945_323714_ +_exp' is homologous to HAD hydrolase from *Klebsiella* sp. MS 92–3, but is not annotated as such in *K. pneumo*niae MGH 78578. Other examples include a set of seven RTSs encoded by the pKPN5 plasmid that show homology to resolvase proteins from E. coli MS 107-1 (Additional file 4). These and other examples (Additional file 4) highlight the significant strength that comes from the use of sample-matched multi -omic data sets to experimentally refine genome annotations based primarily on computational predictions.

Antisense transcripts

Through further analysis of unannotated transcripts, we identified 185 probable antisense transcripts in the *K. pneumoniae* transcriptome using a cutoff of 90% overlap to the corresponding gene (Additional file 5). This number decreases to 146 probable antisense transcripts when a cutoff of 100% overlap is used. We used the classification scheme of Yin et al. [38] to group the antisense transcripts into three categories: 5' overlapping, 3' overlapping, and 'completely covered'. This categorization indicates which parts of the two sequences overlap [38]. We further divided 'completely covered' into two subcategories: 'antisense RTS completely covered by sense RTS' and 'sense RTS completely covered by antisense RTS'. The current annotation of the *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome lists 5305 genes, approximately

800 more than *E. coli* or *Bacillus subtilis*; therefore, 3.5% of the genes in *K. pneumoniae* have antisense transcription using an overlap of 90%, which is a similar value to what has been reported for *E. coli* [24]. Additional studies in *E. coli* [39] as well as data from other bacteria [40,41] suggest, however, that the proportion of antisense transcripts is closer to ~10-20% of the number of genes in a bacterium. Consequently, the smaller number reported here for *K. pneumoniae* might reflect an incomplete list of antisense transcripts due to low detection sensitivity.

The expression of antisense transcripts for certain genes often varied with the growth phase. For example, we detected antisense transcription from 8 tRNA genes during stationary phase but only one of these genes, the tRNA for serine (KPN_02431), had antisense transcription during exponential phase. Similarly, there was antitranscription for fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase sense (KPN_04626), an amino acid exporter (KPN_02015), the transcriptional regulator gene lysR (KPN_02148), and the transcriptional regulator gene argR (KPN_03645) during stationary phase but not exponential phase. LysR is negatively autoregulated and coordinately activates transcription of lysA (KPN_03252), which encodes the enzyme catalyzing the last step in lysine biosynthesis [42,43]. ArgR complexed with L-arginine represses the transcription of several genes involved in the biosynthesis and transport of arginine and histidine, and activates genes for arginine catabolism [44,45]. ArgR represses the expression of ABC transporters for putrescine, lysine, and ornithine as well [46]. Since the inhibition of this large set of genes leads to the reduced uptake of these nutrients, the regulation of ArgR expression by antisense transcription is one possible way to adjust metabolism during stationary phase.

We detected antisense transcripts for the marR and *marB* genes within the *marRAB* operon during exponential phase growth. We focused attention on this operon since MarA is known to play a role in pathogenesis: the protein activates genes that mitigate the effects of exposure to environmental stresses such as antibiotics and oxidants [47,48]. MarR is the transcriptional repressor of the marRAB operon, but the function of MarB is unknown. We could not detect any antisense transcription for *marA*, suggesting that the regulation of this transcriptional activator occurs through MarR and possibly MarB rather than antisense control of marA itself. Curiously, we detected antisense transcripts for soxS, which is a dual transcriptional activator that helps to protect the cell against oxidative stress [49], despite the absence of antibiotics or other factors known to promote this phenomenon. Many factors contribute to the ability of K. pneumoniae to resist many antibiotics, but these observations suggest that transcriptional regulators and

their antisense transcripts might play a role in this process.

Transcription network among sigma factors

According to the current annotation, K. pneumoniae has five major sigma factors - RpoD, RpoS, RpoN, RpoH, and RpoE. Because RpoD and RpoS are the major sigma factors that are active in exponential phase and stationary phase, respectively [50,51], we performed chIP-chip experiments to determine the binding sites for RpoD during exponential phase and RpoS during stationary phase. We found that RpoD could bind upstream of the genes that encode each of the five sigma factors, including its own (Figure 3), data which are consistent with observations from E. coli [52]. In contrast, RpoS binding sites were detected throughout 5' upstream regions for each sigma factor gene except its own (Figure 3). RpoS has been shown to bind to the promoter of *rpoH* in *E*. coli [53], but the observation that RpoS can bind to and regulate the expression of *rpoD*, *rpoN*, and *rpoE* as well in a member of the Enterobacteriaceae appears to be a novel finding.

When phase-specific expression levels of the five sigma factors were compared, *rpoD*, *rpoN*, and *rpoH* had higher expression levels in exponential phase than in stationary phase (Additional file 6), whereas *rpoS* and *rpoE* had higher expression levels in stationary phase. The expression level of *rpoD*, *rpoN*, and *rpoH* in stationary phase decreased to 48%, 47%, and 73%, respectively, when compared to those in exponential phase. In contrast, the expression level of *rpoS* and *rpoE* in stationary phase increased to 430% and 497%, respectively. The dramatic increase of expression level of *rpoE* is in accordance with a previous report [54], and implies that RpoE plays a pivotal role in cell survival during prolonged stationary phase.



RpoD and RpoS DNA binding motifs

The -10 and -35 sequence motifs for RpoD and RpoS promoter binding sites in K. pneumoniae extracted from our RpoD and RpoS chIP-chip and TSS data sets are identical to those found in E. coli, likely reflecting high conservation of these two sigma factors (the amino acid sequence similarity is 95.9% for RpoD and 98.5% for RpoS). There is a strong TAtaaT signal (lower-case characters indicate an information content <1 bit) at the -10position in promoters recognized by RpoD (Figure 4), which exactly matches that found in E. coli [55] and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium [27]. Similarly, a TTgaca consensus signal was found at the -35 position that closely matches that found in E. coli. For RpoS, our data suggest that its binding motif in K. pneumoniae at the -10 position is TAta(a/c)T (Figure 4), whereas the same element in E. coli is TAYaCT (Y denotes T or C) [55]. Immediately upstream of this motif in K. pneumoniae is a gc sequence, and immediately downstream is a taa sequence, both of which are also characteristic features of E. coli RpoS -10 promoter elements.

Transcription of putative virulence genes

The *K. pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome contains a number of genes that encode putative virulence factors such as capsular polysaccharides (CPS), siderophore biosynthesis and transport, LPS biosynthesis and transport, and fimbriae [56]. In *K. pneumoniae*, the expression levels of these genes during stationary phase growth decreased to less than half their exponential phase values (Additional file 6).

The expression of genes associated with siderophore biosynthesis during stationary phase dropped to ~35% of their corresponding exponential phase level (Additional file 6). Reinforcing the expression data, we detected 20 RTSs during exponential phase that contained one or more genes related to siderophore biosynthesis, but we could detect only 10 such RTSs during stationary phase (Additional file 7). When the absolute signal intensity of siderophore-associated genes is taken into account (average $log_2(signal) = 5.92$) and compared to the baseline signal (average $log_2(signal) = ~6$), siderophore-associated genes have nearly no expression during stationary phase.

The expression data for genes involved in CPS biosynthesis followed a similar trend as the expression data for siderophore biosynthesis, but the RTS data differed between the two. During stationary phase, the expression levels of CPS-associated genes fell to 10 - 40% of their values during exponential phase. On the other hand, more RTSs containing CPS-associated genes were detected during stationary (26) than exponential (24) phase. These data imply that post-transcriptional regulation might play a greater role in modulating the



transcript abundance of CPS-associated genes than siderophore-associated genes during stationary phase.

In contrast to siderophore- and CPS-associated genes, genes associated with both fimbriae and LPS have similar expression levels in both exponential and stationary phases. The average $\log_2(\text{signal})$ for the two were ~6.5 and ~ 8 , respectively (Additional file 6). Interestingly, however, several fimbriae-associated genes such as KPN_00843 (ompX, outer membrane protein X) and the gene cluster KPN_03275 ~ KPN_03279 (putative fimbriae-related genes, putative fimbria usher protein and putative pili assembly chaperone) showed much higher expression levels during both growth phases (log₂ $(signal) \ge 12$) than other loci that are also associated with fimbriae. Genbank currently does not associate the KPN_03275 ~ KPN_03279 cluster with a specific type of fimbriae, but they are ~99% homologous at the nucleotide level to the mrkJFDCB cluster from K. pneumoniae NTUH-2044 that encodes type 3 fimbriae. This observation implies that type 3 fimbriae constitute the major class of fimbria expressed by K. pneumoniae MGH 78578. In contrast to fimbriae, little variation was detected among the full set of genes associated with LPS biosynthesis, implying that LPS is continually synthesized regardless of growth phase.

Discussion

Genome sequences are most commonly annotated using bioinformatics-based algorithms, but these algorithms can misannotate genes or introduce other errors [57]. Moreover, a genome sequence by itself provides scant information concerning its functional operation, for example which genes are activated or repressed under specific growth conditions and how their expression is regulated. Against this backdrop, experiment-based techniques such as gene expression and other -omics data provide a foundation with which to verify or correct computation-based annotations at a genome-wide level. We report here such data for Klebsiella pneumoniae MGH 78578 through the integrated analysis of gene expression, ChIP-chip of RNAP, RpoD and RpoS, and TSS data during exponential and stationary phase growth. The integrated analysis of these different data sets ensures that findings from one particular data set are reinforced by another, thereby minimizing potential false-positive and -negative findings that might emerge when these data sets are analyzed in isolation [58,59].

Small RNAs are increasingly recognized as important, ubiquitous elements that regulate mRNA half-life, protein translation, and other processes, thereby providing an additional layer of regulatory control of multiple target genes [60]. We detected 15 sRNAs in *K. pneumoniae* through comparison of intergenic transcripts in our data sets to known sRNAs in the Rfam database. Nine of these fifteen have been reported to act through Hfq, and the expression levels for six of them changed at least two-fold in a Δhfq knockout mutant of *K. pneumoniae* [61]. The expression level for a seventh, RyhB, changed by less than two-fold in the mutant, while an additional two Hfq-binding sRNAs, SraL and IsrN, are newly detected in our data set. All fifteen sRNAs detected here

have also been detected in both *E. coli* and *S.* Typhimurium [27,62], suggesting the existence of a common regulatory network involving these sRNAs that is shared among multiple Enterobacteria. *K. pneumoniae* likely contains many more sRNAs than the 15 detected here, however, since greater numbers of sRNAs have been reported for both *E. coli* and *S.* Typhimurium [27,62].

As with sRNAs, we detected a much smaller number of TSSs in K. pneumoniae than has been reported for E. coli (3585 in K. pneumoniae versus 4133 in E. coli [24]) and transcription units (3660 in K. pneumoniae versus approximately 4661 in E. coli [24]) even though the current GenBank annotations list approximately 800 more genes for K. pneumoniae than for E. coli. These differences likely stem from the greater number of growth conditions that were investigated in the E. coli study, an observation that highlights the plasticity of the transcriptional architecture within these two bacteria as they respond to different environments. S. Typhimurium by comparison has been reported to contain much fewer TSSs, approximately 1900 in number [27], but this disparity likely arises from the different data analysis procedures that were employed in the S. Typhimurium study versus those for K. pneumoniae and E. coli.

In contrast to sRNA and TSS data, we detected a greater number of leaderless RNAs for *K. pneumoniae* than have been identified to date in other bacteria. Although this observation suggests that these transcripts might have a functional impact or evolutionary significance that is unique to *K. pneumoniae*, we anticipate that deep sequencing of the transcriptomes from additional microorganisms under multiple growth conditions will eventually yield a similar amount of leaderless RNAs.

Beyond delineating the transcriptional architecture of K. pneumoniae, the data presented here highlight the significant impact that the growth phase can have on the expression of virulence genes and, by extension, on drug target selection. For instance, one possible antibiotic development strategy is to interfere with non-essential microbial processes such as capsule, siderophore, and fimbriae biosynthesis and quorum sensing that result in weakened virulence but do not kill the pathogen outright. Although there is some debate [63,64], such strategies are attractive in large part because resistance is expected to emerge at a much slower rate, thereby prolonging the clinical utility of drugs designed with this mechanism of action. Since these processes are nonessential, however, the underlying enzymes might not be present under all conditions. Without a target to inhibit, antibiotics developed against these enzymes would therefore be expected to have little effect. Our data indicate that attempts to inhibit K. pneumoniae enzymes involved in siderophore biosynthesis might fall under this scenario since the transcriptomic and RTS signals from genes involved in this process are much lower during stationary phase than during exponential phase. These findings emphasize the need for in-depth studies to validate targets before the start of an antibiotic discovery program, in particular to establish whether a potential target enzyme is ultimately produced under infection-relevant conditions.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we report here the operational annotation of the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* MGH 78578 genome during exponential and stationary phase growth in glucose M9. We identified numerous RTSs, unannotated transcripts (i.e., transcription from intergenic regions), different types of regulatory RNAs, and putative ORFs. Additional experimental data to confirm the existence of sRNAs, antisense transcripts, and putative ORFs would yield further insight into important mechanisms underlying transcriptional regulation of this important human pathogen.

Methods

Bacterial strain, medium and growth condition

Glucose M9 minimal media was used as the primary culture medium. Glucose (2 g/L) M9 minimal media is composed of 2 mL/L of 1 M MgSO₄, 100 μ L/L of 1 M CaCl₂, 12.8 g/L Na₂HPO₄•7H₂O, 3 g/L KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g/L NaCl, 1 g/L NH₄Cl and 1 ml trace element solution (100X) containing 1 g EDTA, 29 mg ZnSO₄•7H₂O, 198 mg MnCl₂•4H₂O, 254 mg CoCl₂•6H₂O, 13.4 mg CuCl₂, and 147 mg CaCl₂. Seed cultures of *K. pneumoniae* were made by inoculating frozen stocks made with 20% glycerol into 3 mL of glucose M9 minimal media and incubating at 37°C. After overnight growth, 5 mL of the seed culture was inoculated into 50 mL fresh glucose M9 minimal media and further cultured at 37°C until it reached an appropriate optical density at 600 nm (OD).

Gene expression profile analysis

Three milliliters of cell culture media in mid-exponential (OD=0.6) phase or stationary (OD=1.3) phase were mixed with 6 mL RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Samples were immediately vortexed for 5 seconds and incubated for an additional 5 minutes at room temperature. Samples were then centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 minutes and the supernatant discarded. Total RNA samples were then isolated using a RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted RNA samples were quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer and the quality of the isolated RNA was checked by visualization on agarose gels and by measuring the sample's A_{260}/A_{280} ratio (>1.8). Ten µg of total RNA was

used to make cDNA with amino-allyl dUTP by reverse transcription. The amino-allyl labeled cDNA samples were then coupled with Cy3 monoreactive dyes (Amersham/GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA). Cy3-labeled cDNAs were digested with DNase I (Epicentre/Illumina, Madison, WI) to generate 50~300 bp fragments. Highdensity oligonucleotide tiling arrays custom manufactured by Roche NimbleGen that consisted of 379,528 50-mer probes spaced 30 bp apart across the whole *K. pneumoniae* genome were used. Hybridization, wash and scan were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Probe level data were normalized with the RMA (robust multi-array analysis) algorithm in Nimble-Scan 2.4 without background correction

ChIP-chip experiment

A previously reported ChIP-chip protocol [65,66] was adopted here for K. pneumoniae. Genome-wide RNAP (Additional file 8) and RpoD (Additional file 9) binding sites were identified using cultures grown to mid-log phase in triplicate. Corresponding RpoS binding site identification was carried out using cultures grown to stationary phase, also in triplicate (Additional file 10). Six µL each of RNAP, RpoD, and RpoS antibody (all from Neoclone, Madison, WI) were used for each experiment. As a control (mock-IP), 2 µg of normal mouse IgG antibody (Upstate/Millipore/Merck, Billerica, MA) was used. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed with previously known binding sites to test the enrichment of the immunoprecipitated (IP) DNA library [66]. qPCR and amplification of DNA was carried out according to the method of Cho et al. [66]. Samples confirmed to be enriched for IP DNA were next hybridized to the microarray, washed, and scanned according to the manufacturer's directions (Roche NimbleGen).

TSS identification

Total RNA was extracted from two biological replicates for each growth condition using the same method used to acquire gene expression profiles. Terminator 5'-Phosphate Dependent Exonuclease (Epicentre/Illumina, Madison, WI) was used to enrich 5' tri-phosphorylated mRNAs from the total RNA including 5' monophosphorylated ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and any degraded mRNA at 30°C for 1 hr following the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction was terminated by adding 1 µL of 100 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). 5' triphosphorylated RNAs were precipitated by standard ethanol precipitation with 40 µg of glycogen. RNA was precipitated at -80°C for 20 min and pelleted, washed with 70% ethanol, dried in a Speed-Vac for 7 minutes without heat, and resuspended in 20 µL nuclease free water. The tri-phosphorylated RNA was then treated with RNA 5'-polyphosphatase (Epicentre/Illumina,

Madison, WI) at 37°C for 30 minutes to generate 5'-end mono-phosphorylated RNA for ligation to adaptors. After the 5'-polyphosphatase treatment, RNA was extracted using phenol-chloroform and ethanol precipitation.

To ligate 5' small RNA adaptor (5'-GUUCAGAGUU CUACAGUCCGACGAUC-3') to the 5'-end of the mono-phosphorylated RNA, the enriched RNA samples were incubated with 100 μ M of the adaptor and 2.5 U of T4 RNA ligase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA). cDNAs were synthesized using the adaptor-ligated mRNAs as template using a modified small RNA reverse transcriptase (RT) primer from Illumina (5'-CAAGCA GAAGACGGCATACGANNNNNNNN-3') and Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The RNA was mixed with 25 µM modified small RNA RT primer and incubated at 70°C for 10 min and then at 25°C for 10 min. Reverse transcription was carried out at 25°C for 10 min, 37°C for 60 min, and 42°C for 60 min, followed by incubation at 70°C for 10 min. After the reaction, RNA was hydrolyzed by adding 20 µL of 1 N NaOH and incubation at 65°C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was neutralized by adding 20 µL of 1 N HCl. The cDNA samples were amplified using a mixture of 1 µL of the cDNA, 10 µL of Phusion HF buffer (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA), 1 µL of dNTPs (10 mM), 1 µL SYBR green (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 0.5 µL of HotStart Phusion DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA), and 5 pmole of small RNA PCR primer mix. The amplification primers used were 5'-AATGATACGGCGACCACCGACAGGTTCA GAGTTCTACAGTCCGA-3' and 5'-CAAGCAGAAGA CGGCATACGA-3'. Amplification was monitored by a LightCycler (Bio-Rad) and stopped at the beginning of the saturation point. Amplified DNA was run on a 6% TBE gel (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) by electrophoresis and DNA ranging from 100 to 300 bp were selected. Gel slices were dissolved in two volumes of EB buffer (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and 1/10 volume of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2). The amplified DNA was ethanol-precipitated and resuspended in 15 µL DNAsefree water. The final samples were then quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer. The amplified cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Genome Analyzer. Sequence cDNA libraries for K. pneumoniae were aligned onto the reference genome sequence for this organism (Genbank accession number: CP000647.1 to CP000652.1), using Mosaik (http://code.google.com/ p/mosaik-aligner) with the following arguments: hash size = 10, mismatach = 0, and alignment candidate threshold = 30 bp. The two biological replicates were processed separately, and only sequence reads present in both replicates and aligned to unique genomic location were considered for further study. The genome

coordinates of the 5'-end of these uniquely aligned reads were defined as potential TSS. GenBank lists 5185 ORFs for this organism.

Prediction of putative open reading frames (pORFs)

As a first step, transcripts from intergenic regions (i.e., unannotated transcripts) were collected. For each unannotated transcript, we searched for start and stop codons that formed the longest transcript and had the same reading frame. This sequence was defined as a putative ORF (pORF) and translated into a protein sequence. Theoretically translated protein sequences were searched against the RefSeq database using BLASTp. Best hits with E-value less than or equal to 0.001 were listed as pORFs.

Prediction of putative small RNAs (sRNAs)

Each unannotated transcript was searched against the Rfam database (http://rfam.sanger.ac.uk/). sRNA search results from Rfam gave homologous sRNA class with E-value, which are listed in Additional file 3. If the unannotated transcript did not match an entry in Rfam, it was assumed not to be an sRNA.

Prediction of antisense transcripts

Unannotated transcripts were analyzed to determine whether they overlapped with any RTSs from our data set or annotated genes. These unannotated transcripts were listed as antisense transcripts if the overlap was over 90% (Additional file 5).

RpoD and RpoS binding motif analysis

To identify RpoD-specific promoter sequence motifs, we took 50 bp sequences immediately upstream of TSS signals that were located within RpoD ChIP-chip binding regions and analyzed them using the MEME motif search algorithm. The procedure used to determine RpoS-specific promoter sequence motifs was identical except that we analyzed 60 bp genomic sequences rather than 50.

Additional files

Additional file 1: List of all RTSs detected during this study.

Additional file 2: RTSs containing more than one TSS.

Additional file 3: List of putative sRNAs.

Additional file 4: List of putative ORFs.

Additional file 5: List of antisense transcripts.

Additional file 6: Expression level differences between exponential versus stationary phase for the five sigma factors and known virulence genes.

Additional file 7: RTS and chIP-chip data for known virulence genes.

Additional file 8: List of all RNAP binding sites and their associated RTSs.

Additional file 9: List of all RpoD binding sites and their associated RTSs.

Additional file 10: List of all RpoS binding sites and their associated RTSs.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JHS and JSJH carried out the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. DK participated in data analysis. BKC, TWH, SFT, BOP, and PC participated in the design of the study. SFT, BOP, and PC conceived the study and participated in its design and coordination, and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Raw chIP-chip and transcriptomic data files have been deposited into the GEO database with accession numbers GSE35926 and GSE35927.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded from a grant from the National Research Institute of Taiwan through PH-099-SP-10.

Author details

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. ²Institute of Molecular and Genomic Medicine, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli 350, Taiwan. ³Current address: Central Research Institute, Samsung Petrochemical, Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology, 6th floor, Building 2, Nongseo-dong, Giheung-gu, Yongin, Gyeonggi-do 446-712, Republic of Korea. ⁴Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 291 Daehak-roYuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Korea.

Received: 3 August 2012 Accepted: 21 November 2012 Published: 29 November 2012

References

- Rice LB: Federal funding for the study of antimicrobial resistance in nosocomial pathogens: no ESKAPE. J Infect Dis 2008, 197(8):1079–1081.
- Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, Scheld M, Spellberg B, Bartlett J: Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPEI An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009, 48(1):1–12.
- Domenico P, Salo RJ, Cross AS, Cunha BA: Polysaccharide capsulemediated resistance to opsonophagocytosis in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infect Immun 1994, 62(10):4495–4499.
- Evrard B, Balestrino D, Dosgilbert A, Bouya-Gachancard JL, Charbonnel N, Forestier C, Tridon A: Roles of capsule and lipopolysaccharide O antigen in interactions of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infect Immun 2010, 78(1):210–219.
- Lawlor MS, Hsu J, Rick PD, Miller VL: Identification of Klebsiella pneumoniae virulence determinants using an intranasal infection model. *Mol Microbiol* 2005, 58(4):1054–1073.
- Campos MA, Vargas MA, Regueiro V, Llompart CM, Alberti S, Bengoechea JA: Capsule polysaccharide mediates bacterial resistance to antimicrobial peptides. Infect Immun 2004, 72(12):7107–7114.
- Kohayagawa Y, Nakao K, Ushita M, Niino N, Koshizaki M, Yamamori Y, Tokuyasu Y, Fukushima H: Pyogenic liver abscess caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae genetic serotype K1 in Japan. J Infect Chemother 2009, 15(4):248–251.
- Siu LK, Fung CP, Chang FY, Lee N, Yeh KM, Koh TH, Ip M: Molecular typing and virulence analysis of serotype K1 Klebsiella pneumoniae strains isolated from liver abscess patients and stool samples from noninfectious subjects in Hong Kong, Singapore, and Taiwan. J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49(11):3761–3765.
- Chung DR, Lee SS, Lee HR, Kim HB, Choi HJ, Eom JS, Kim JS, Choi YH, Lee JS, Chung MH, et al: Emerging invasive liver abscess caused by K1 serotype Klebsiella pneumoniae in Korea. J Infect 2007, 54(6):578–583.

- Fang CT, Lai SY, Yi WC, Hsueh PR, Liu KL, Chang SC: Klebsiella pneumoniae genotype K1: an emerging pathogen that causes septic ocular or central nervous system complications from pyogenic liver abscess. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007, 45(3):284–293.
- 11. Tsai FC, Huang YT, Chang LY, Wang JT: **Pyogenic liver abscess as endemic disease, Taiwan.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008, **14**(10):1592–1600.
- Fung CP, Chang FY, Lee SC, Hu BS, Kuo BI, Liu CY, Ho M, Siu LK: A global emerging disease of Klebsiella pneumoniae liver abscess: is serotype K1 an important factor for complicated endophthalmitis? *Gut* 2002, 50(3):420–424.
- Wang JH, Liu YC, Lee SS, Yen MY, Chen YS, Wann SR, Lin HH: Primary liver abscess due to Klebsiella pneumoniae in Taiwan. *Clin Infect Dis* 1998, 26(6):1434–1438.
- Pomakova DK, Hsiao CB, Beanan JM, Olson R, MacDonald U, Keynan Y, Russo TA: Clinical and phenotypic differences between classic and hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumonia: an emerging and under-recognized pathogenic variant. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012, 31(6):981–989.
- Dehghani AR, Masjedi A, Fazel F, Ghanbari H, Akhlaghi M, Karbasi N: Endogenous Klebsiella endophthalmitis associated with liver abscess: first case report from iran. Case Report Ophthalmol 2011, 2(1):10–14.
- Abate G, Koh TH, Gardner M, Siu LK: Clinical and bacteriological characteristics of Klebsiella pneumoniae causing liver abscess with less frequently observed multi-locus sequences type, ST163, from Singapore and Missouri, US. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2012, 45(1):31–36.
- Vila A, Cassata A, Pagella H, Amadio C, Yeh KM, Chang FY, Siu LK: Appearance of Klebsiella pneumoniae liver abscess syndrome in Argentina: case report and review of molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis. Open Microbiol J 2011, 5:107–113.
- Sobirk SK, Struve C, Jacobsson SG: Primary Klebsiella pneumoniae liver abscess with metastatic spread to lung and eye, a North-European case report of an emerging syndrome. Open Microbiol J 2010, 4:5–7.
- Pope JV, Teich DL, Clardy P, McGillicuddy DC: Klebsiella pneumoniae liver abscess: an emerging problem in North America. J Emerg Med 2011, 41(5):e103–e105.
- Fierer J, Walls L, Chu P: Recurring Klebsiella pneumoniae pyogenic liver abscesses in a resident of San Diego, California, due to a K1 strain carrying the virulence plasmid. J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49(12):4371–4373.
- Wu KM, Li LH, Yan JJ, Tsao N, Liao TL, Tsai HC, Fung CP, Chen HJ, Liu YM, Wang JT, et al: Genome sequencing and comparative analysis of Klebsiella pneumoniae NTUH-K2044, a strain causing liver abscess and meningitis. J Bacteriol 2009, 191(14):4492–4501.
- Liu P, Li P, Jiang X, Bi D, Xie Y, Tai C, Deng Z, Rajakumar K, Ou HY: Complete genome sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae subsp. pneumoniae HS11286, a multidrug-resistant strain isolated from human sputum. J Bacteriol 2012, 194(7):1841–1842.
- Kumarasamy KK, Toleman MA, Walsh TR, Bagaria J, Butt F, Balakrishnan R, Chaudhary U, Doumith M, Giske CG, Irfan S, et al: Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010, 10(9):597–602.
- Cho BK, Zengler K, Qiu Y, Park YS, Knight EM, Barrett CL, Gao Y, Palsson BO: The transcription unit architecture of the Escherichia coli genome. *Nat Biotechnol* 2009, 27(11):1043–1049.
- Qiu Y, Cho BK, Park YS, Lovley D, Palsson BO, Zengler K: Structural and operational complexity of the Geobacter sulfurreducens genome. *Genome Res* 2010, 20(9):1304–1311.
- Sharma CM, Hoffmann S, Darfeuille F, Reignier J, Findeiss S, Sittka A, Chabas S, Reiche K, Hackermuller J, Reinhardt R, et al: The primary transcriptome of the major human pathogen Helicobacter pylori. Nature 2010, 464(7286):250–255.
- Kroger C, Dillon SC, Cameron AD, Papenfort K, Sivasankaran SK, Hokamp K, Chao Y, Sittka A, Hebrard M, Handler K, *et al*: The transcriptional landscape and small RNAs of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, 109(20):E1277–E1286.
- Deutscher J, Francke C, Postma PW: How phosphotransferase system-related protein phosphorylation regulates carbohydrate metabolism in bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2006, **70**(4):939–1031.
- Laursen BS, Sorensen HP, Mortensen KK, Sperling-Petersen HU: Initiation of protein synthesis in bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2005, 69(1):101–123.
- Hershberg R, Altuvia S, Margalit H: A survey of small RNA-encoding genes in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31(7):1813–1820.

- Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Ling L, Shi B, Chen R: Conservation analysis of small RNA genes in Escherichia coli. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20(5):599–603.
- Gardner PP, Daub J, Tate J, Moore BL, Osuch IH, Griffiths-Jones S, Finn RD, Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR, et al: Rfam: Wikipedia, clans and the "decimal" release. Nucleic Acids Res 2011, 39(Database issue):D141–D145.
- Weilbacher T, Suzuki K, Dubey AK, Wang X, Gudapaty S, Morozov I, Baker CS, Georgellis D, Babitzke P, Romeo T: A novel sRNA component of the carbon storage regulatory system of Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* 2003, 48(3):657–670.
- Gudapaty S, Suzuki K, Wang X, Babitzke P, Romeo T: Regulatory interactions of Csr components: the RNA binding protein CsrA activates csrB transcription in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2001, 183(20):6017–6027.
- Rasmussen AA, Eriksen M, Gilany K, Udesen C, Franch T, Petersen C, Valentin-Hansen P: Regulation of ompA mRNA stability: the role of a small regulatory RNA in growth phase-dependent control. *Mol Microbiol* 2005, 58(5):1421–1429.
- Rasmussen AA, Johansen J, Nielsen JS, Overgaard M, Kallipolitis B, Valentin-Hansen P: A conserved small RNA promotes silencing of the outer membrane protein YbfM. *Mol Microbiol* 2009, 72(3):566–577.
- Vogel J, Luisi BF: Hfq and its constellation of RNA. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011, 9(8):578–589.
- Yin Y, Zhao Y, Wang J, Liu C, Chen S, Chen R, Zhao H: AntiCODE: a natural sense-antisense transcripts database. BMC Bioinforma 2007, 8:319.
- Dornenburg JE, Devita AM, Palumbo MJ, Wade JT: Widespread antisense transcription in Escherichia coli. MBio 2010, 1(1):e00024-10.
- Sharma A, Nitharwal RG, Singh B, Dar A, Dasgupta S, Dhar SK: Helicobacter pylori single-stranded DNA binding protein–functional characterization and modulation of H. pylori DnaB helicase activity. *FEBS J* 2009, 276(2):519–531.
- Guell M, van Noort V, Yus E, Chen WH, Leigh-Bell J, Michalodimitrakis K, Yamada T, Arumugam M, Doerks T, Kuhner S, *et al*: Transcriptome complexity in a genome-reduced bacterium. *Science* 2009, 326(5957):1268–1271.
- Stragier P, Richaud F, Borne F, Patte JC: Regulation of diaminopimelate decarboxylase synthesis in Escherichia coli. I. Identification of a lysR gene encoding an activator of the lysA gene. J Mol Biol 1983, 168(2):307–320.
- Stragier P, Danos O, Patte JC: Regulation of diaminopimelate decarboxylase synthesis in Escherichia coli. II. Nucleotide sequence of the lysA gene and its regulatory region. J Mol Biol 1983, 168(2):321–331.
- Caldara M, Charlier D, Cunin R: The arginine regulon of Escherichia coli: whole-system transcriptome analysis discovers new genes and provides an integrated view of arginine regulation. *Microbiology* 2006, 152(Pt 11):3343–3354.
- Kiupakis AK, Reitzer L: ArgR-independent induction and ArgR-dependent superinduction of the astCADBE operon in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2002, 184(11):2940–2950.
- Cho BK, Federowicz S, Park YS, Zengler K, Palsson BO: Deciphering the transcriptional regulatory logic of amino acid metabolism. *Nat Chem Biol* 2012, 8:65–71.
- Alekshun MN, Levy SB: Regulation of chromosomally mediated multiple antibiotic resistance: the mar regulon. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997, 41(10):2067–2075.
- Ariza RR, Cohen SP, Bachhawat N, Levy SB, Demple B: Repressor mutations in the marRAB operon that activate oxidative stress genes and multiple antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1994, 176(1):143–148.
- 49. Demple B: Redox signaling and gene control in the Escherichia coli soxRS oxidative stress regulon–a review. *Gene* 1996, **179**(1):53–57.
- Helmann JD, Chamberlin MJ: Structure and function of bacterial sigma factors. Annu Rev Biochem 1988, 57:839–872.
- 51. Hengge-Aronis R: Survival of hunger and stress: the role of rpoS in early stationary phase gene regulation in E. coli. *Cell* 1993, **72**(2):165–168.
- Keseler IM, Collado-Vides J, Santos-Zavaleta A, Peralta-Gil M, Gama-Castro S, Muniz-Rascado L, Bonavides-Martinez C, Paley S, Krummenacker M, Altman T, et al: EcoCyc: a comprehensive database of Escherichia coli biology. Nucleic Acids Res 2011, 39(Database issue):D583–D590.
- Janaszak A, Nadratowska-Wesolowska B, Konopa G, Taylor A: The P1 promoter of the Escherichia coli rpoH gene is utilized by sigma 70 -RNAP or sigma s -RNAP depending on growth phase. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2009, 291(1):65–72.

- Nitta T, Nagamitsu H, Murata M, Izu H, Yamada M: Function of the sigma(E) regulon in dead-cell lysis in stationary-phase Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2000, 182(18):5231–5237.
- Typas A, Becker G, Hengge R: The molecular basis of selective promoter activation by the sigmaS subunit of RNA polymerase. *Mol Microbiol* 2007, 63(5):1296–1306.
- Podschun R, Ullmann U: Klebsiella spp. as nosocomial pathogens: epidemiology, taxonomy, typing methods, and pathogenicity factors. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1998, 11(4):589–603.
- Salzberg SL: Genome re-annotation: a wiki solution? Genome Biol 2007, 8(1):102.
- Mooney RA, Davis SE, Peters JM, Rowland JL, Ansari AZ, Landick R: Regulator trafficking on bacterial transcription units in vivo. Mol Cell 2009, 33(1):97–108.
- Datta D, Zhao H: Effect of false positive and false negative rates on inference of binding target conservation across different conditions and species from ChIP-chip data. *BMC Bioinforma* 2009, 10:23.
- Gottesman S, Storz G: Bacterial small RNA regulators: versatile roles and rapidly evolving variations. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011, 3(12):a003798.
- Chiang MK, Lu MC, Liu LC, Lin CT, Lai YC: Impact of Hfq on global gene expression and virulence in Klebsiella pneumoniae. *PLoS One* 2011, 6(7):e22248.
- Argaman L, Hershberg R, Vogel J, Bejerano G, Wagner EG, Margalit H, Altuvia S: Novel small RNA-encoding genes in the intergenic regions of Escherichia coli. *Curr Biol* 2001, 11(12):941–950.
- 63. Defoirdt T, Boon N, Bossier P: Can bacteria evolve resistance to quorum sensing disruption? *PLoS Pathog* 2010, 6(7):e1000989.
- Maeda T, Garcia-Contreras R, Pu M, Sheng L, Garcia LR, Tomas M, Wood TK: Quorum quenching quandary: resistance to antivirulence compounds. *ISME J* 2012, 6(3):493–501.
- Cho BK, Knight EM, Barrett CL, Palsson BO: Genome-wide analysis of Fis binding in Escherichia coli indicates a causative role for A-/AT-tracts. *Genome Res* 2008, 18(6):900–910.
- Cho BK, Knight EM, Palsson BO: Genomewide identification of protein binding locations using chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with microarray. *Methods Mol Biol* 2008, 439:131–145.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-679

Cite this article as: Seo *et al.*: Multiple-omic data analysis of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* MGH 78578 reveals its transcriptional architecture and regulatory features. *BMC Genomics* 2012 13:679.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit