Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Ethyl 2-[(carbamothioylamino)imino]propanoate

### Charlane C. Corrêa,<sup>a</sup>\* José Eugênio J.C. Graúdo,<sup>a</sup> Luiz Fernando C. de Oliveira,<sup>a</sup> Mauro V. de Almeida<sup>b</sup> and Renata Diniz<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Núcleo de Espectroscopia e Estrutura Molecular (NEEM), Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais 36036-900, Brazil, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais 36036-900, Brazil Correspondence e-mail: charcorrea@gmail.com

Received 7 June 2011; accepted 1 July 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 297 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.033; wR factor = 0.092; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.3.

The title compound,  $C_6H_{11}N_3O_2S$ , consists of a roughly planar molecule (r.m.s deviation from planarity = 0.077 Å for the non-H atoms) and has the S atom in an *anti* position to the imine N atom. This N atom is the acceptor of a strongly bent internal N-H···N hydrogen bond donated by the amino group. In the crystal, molecules are arranged in undulating layers parallel to (010). The molecules are linked *via* intermolecular amino–carboxyl N-H···O hydrogen bonds, forming chains parallel to [001]. The chains are cross-linked by N<sub>carbazone</sub>-H···S and C-H···S interactions, forming infinite sheets.

#### **Related literature**

For the synthesis of thiosemicarbazones, see: Gupta & Narayana (1997); Li *et al.* (1998); Tarasconi *et al.* (2000); Holla *et al.* (2003); Shailendra *et al.* (2003). For the synthesis, crystal structures and applications of thiosemicarbazones, see: West *et al.* (1993); Casas *et al.* (2000); Beraldo (2004); Tenório *et al.* (2005). For graph-set notation, see: Etter *et al.* (1990).



#### **Experimental**

 Crystal data

  $C_6H_{11}N_3O_2S$  Monoclinic, C2/c 

  $M_r = 189.24$  a = 16.682 (3) Å

```
b = 7.2558 (15) \text{ Å}

c = 17.317 (4) \text{ Å}

\beta = 116.63 (3)^{\circ}

V = 1873.8 (7) \text{ Å}^{3}

Z = 8
```

# Data collection

Bruker–Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer 15270 measured reflections

Refinement  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$ 

 $wR(F^2) = 0.092$  S = 1.082133 reflections 123 parameters Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.31 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 297 K $0.56 \times 0.27 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

2133 independent reflections 1785 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.021$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement 
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{max}=0.21\ e\ \mathring{A}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{min}=-0.26\ e\ \mathring{A}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1N \cdots N3 N1 - H2N \cdots O2^{i} N2 - H3N \cdots S1^{ii} C3 - H3C \cdots S1^{ii}$	0.84 (2)	2.24 (2)	2.610 (2)	107 (2)
	0.88 (3)	2.08 (3)	2.954 (2)	172 (2)
	0.85 (2)	2.78 (2)	3.623 (2)	172 (2)
	0.96	2.82	3.611 (2)	141

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1999); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors thank CNPq, CAPES, and FAPEMIG (Brazilian agencies) for financial support and also R. G. Bastos (LDRX-IF/UFF) for the X-ray diffraction facilities.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: QK2014).

#### References

Beraldo, H. (2004). Quím. Nova, 27, 461-471.

- Casas, J. S., Tasende, M. S. G. & Sordo, J. (2000). Coord. Chem. Rev. 209, 197–261.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256–262. Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Gupta, R. P. & Narayana, N. L. (1997). Pharm. Acta Helv. 72, 43-45.
- Holla, B. S., Malini, K. V., Rao, B. S., Sarojini, B. K. & Kumari, N. S. (2003). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 38, 313–318.
- Hooft, R. W. (1999). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Li, J., Chen, S., Li, X., Niu, C. & Doyle, T. W. (1998). *Tetrahedron*, **54**, 393–400. Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor,
- R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276,
- Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Shailendra, N. B., Naqvi, F. & Azam, A. (2003). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 13, 689–692.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tarasconi, P., Capacchi, S., Pelosi, G., Cornia, M., Albertini, R., Bonati, A., Dall'Aglio, P. P., Lunghi, P. & Pinelli, S. (2000). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 8, 157– 162.
- Tenório, R. P., Góes, A. J. S., de Lima, A. J. S., de Faria, A. R., Alves, A. J. & de Aquino, T. M. (2005). *Quím. Nova*, 28, 1030–1037.
- West, D. X., Liberta, A., Padhye, S. B., Chikate, R. C., Sonawane, P. B., Kumbhar, A. S. & Yerande, R. G. (1993). Coord. Chem. Rev. 123, 49–71.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o1967-o1968 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811026237]

## Ethyl 2-[(carbamothioylamino)imino]propanoate

# C. C. Corrêa, J. E. J. C. Graúdo, L. F. C. de Oliveira, M. V. de Almeida and R. Diniz

#### Comment

Thiosemicarbazones are a class of substances known for their biological and chemical properties, such as antiviral, antibacterial, antiprotozoal and antitumor activity (West *et al.*, 1993). The enzyme ribonucleoside diphosphate reductase (RDR) (Beraldo, 2004) is an object of attack by thiosemicarbazones, which relates to their tumor control properties. One background for the biological activity of thiosemicarbazones is certainly their ability to form chelates with transition metal ions. The synthesis of thiosemicarbazones is described in several works in the literature (Gupta & Narayana, 1997; Li *et al.*, 1998; Tarasconi *et al.*, 2000; Holla *et al.*, 2003; Shailendra *et al.*, 2003). In context with potential biological activity the crystal structure determination of the title compound, ethyl pyruvate thiosemicarbazone (scheme 1), was of interest. The compound may also be interesting as ligand in coordination chemistry.

Figure 1 shows the *ORTEP* representation of the asymmetric unit of the title compound. The compound features a fairly planar molecule with a r.m.s deviation from planarity 0.077 Å for the non-hydrogen atoms. The sulfur atom is in *anti* position to the imine nitrogen N3. The bond lengths in the N—C(S)—N fragment indicate delocalization of the  $\pi$  electrons due to the fact that the C—N and C—S bonds are shorter than tipycal single bonds (around 1.47 and 1.73 Å, respectively) and bigger than corresponding double bonds (around 1.29 and 1.55 Å, respectively) (Casas *et al.*, 2000; Tenório *et al.*, 2005). The molecule is stabilized by the strongly bent intramolecular hydrogen N1—H1n···N3, N1···N3 = 2.610 (2) Å (Table 1).

In the crystal lattice the molecules are arranged in undulating layers parallel to (010). Via the intermolecular hydrogen bond N1—H2n···O2<sup>*i*</sup> the molecules are linked to form continuous chains parallel to [001], as visualized in Figure 2. The graph-set representation for this arrangement is N = C(8), (Etter *et al.*, 1990). Each two of these chains are mutually cross-linked by the weak interactions N2—H3n···S1<sup>*ii*</sup>, N2···S1<sup>*ii*</sup> = 3.623 (2) Å, and C3—H3c···S1<sup>*ii*</sup>, C3···S1<sup>*ii*</sup> = 3.611 (2) Å, to form infinite ribbons along the *c* axis, see Table 1 and Fig. 2.

#### Experimental

For preparation of the title compound, 0.188 g of ethyl pyruvate (1.62 mmol) was added to 15 ml of a water-methanol solution (1:2) of thiosemicarbazide hydrochloride (1.48 g, 1.62 mmol) and the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 3 h. After few days, colorless crystals formed at room temperature and were isolated. M.p.: 145 °C. Elemental analysis gave the following results: Calcd. for  $C_6H_{11}O_2N_3S$ : C 38.08, H 5.86, N 22.21%; found: C 39.69; H 6.62; N 22.93%.

IR spectral data were obtained with a Bomem MB-102 spectrometer fitted with a CsI beam splitter, using KBr disks and a spectral resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The main absorption bands are (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3442-3204 (vNH); 1709 (vCO); 1600 (vNH + vCN + vCC); 1498 (vCO<sub>asym</sub>); 1370 (vCN); 1173 (vCO<sub>sym</sub>); 1119, 1024 (vCS); 1105 (vCOC).

# Refinement

C-bound H atoms were included in the riding model approximation with C—H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 \times U_{equ}(C)$  for CH<sub>3</sub>, and 0.97 Å  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 \times U_{equ}(C)$  for CH<sub>2</sub>. H atoms of nitrogen atoms were located from an electron density map and were refined unrestrained in *x*,*y*,*z*, and  $U_{iso}$ .

**Figures** 



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of ethyl pyruvate thiosemicarbazone showing 50% displacement ellipsoids.

Fig. 2. View of a ribbon of hydrogen bonded molecules in the crystal structure of ethyl pyruvate thiosemicarbazone.

# Ethyl 2-[(carbamothioylamino)imino]propanoate

Crystal data	
$C_6H_{11}N_3O_2S$	F(000) = 800
$M_r = 189.24$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.342 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Melting point: 418 K
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 16.682 (3) Å	Cell parameters from 106 reflections
<i>b</i> = 7.2558 (15) Å	$\theta = 4.7 - 22.6^{\circ}$
c = 17.317 (4)  Å	$\mu = 0.31 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 116.63 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 297  K
$V = 1873.8 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless
Z = 8	$0.56 \times 0.27 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

I)

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	0 restraints
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.092$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0423P)^2 + 1.1077P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.08	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2133 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
123 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	Uiso*/Ueq
S1	0.07816 (2)	0.66899 (7)	0.18273 (2)	0.05007 (15)
01	0.36286 (7)	0.42826 (16)	0.55879 (6)	0.0453 (3)
O2	0.29362 (8)	0.4511 (2)	0.64308 (7)	0.0599 (4)
N3	0.21829 (8)	0.52146 (17)	0.42323 (7)	0.0363 (3)
N2	0.14791 (8)	0.57872 (18)	0.34827 (7)	0.0394 (3)
N1	0.23628 (9)	0.5179 (2)	0.28107 (9)	0.0541 (4)
C2	0.21056 (9)	0.5244 (2)	0.49384 (9)	0.0365 (3)
C1	0.15936 (9)	0.5823 (2)	0.27447 (9)	0.0368 (3)
C4	0.29221 (9)	0.4639 (2)	0.57299 (9)	0.0378 (3)
C3	0.13145 (10)	0.5866 (3)	0.50629 (11)	0.0507 (4)
H3A	0.1298	0.7189	0.5069	0.076*
H3B	0.1365	0.5398	0.5601	0.076*
НЗС	0.0773	0.5410	0.4598	0.076*
C6	0.51684 (12)	0.3513 (3)	0.60556 (14)	0.0644 (5)
H6A	0.4977	0.2588	0.5613	0.097*
H6B	0.5713	0.3122	0.6537	0.097*
H6C	0.5272	0.4650	0.5831	0.097*
C5	0.44536 (10)	0.3796 (3)	0.63469 (11)	0.0503 (4)
H5A	0.4368	0.2677	0.6607	0.060*
H5B	0.4626	0.4777	0.6772	0.060*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

# supplementary materials

H1N	0.2740 (14)	0.476 (3)	0.3288 (14)	0.061 (6)*
H3N	0.0969 (12)	0.612 (2)	0.3430 (11)	0.045 (4)*
H2N	0.2477 (13)	0.525 (3)	0.2361 (14)	0.060 (5)*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\text{\AA}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
S1	0.0402 (2)	0.0741 (3)	0.0365 (2)	0.00740 (18)	0.01775 (16)	0.01065 (17)
O1	0.0387 (5)	0.0663 (7)	0.0337 (5)	0.0055 (5)	0.0186 (4)	0.0026 (5)
O2	0.0558 (7)	0.0988 (10)	0.0322 (5)	0.0012 (6)	0.0260 (5)	-0.0006 (6)
N3	0.0358 (6)	0.0444 (6)	0.0318 (5)	-0.0029 (5)	0.0179 (5)	-0.0016 (5)
N2	0.0344 (6)	0.0552 (8)	0.0339 (6)	0.0028 (5)	0.0200 (5)	0.0027 (5)
N1	0.0421 (7)	0.0910 (12)	0.0369 (7)	0.0153 (7)	0.0246 (6)	0.0118 (7)
C2	0.0385 (7)	0.0425 (7)	0.0343 (6)	-0.0052 (6)	0.0213 (6)	-0.0043 (6)
C1	0.0352 (6)	0.0456 (8)	0.0333 (6)	-0.0042 (6)	0.0185 (5)	-0.0004 (6)
C4	0.0415 (7)	0.0440 (8)	0.0334 (7)	-0.0053 (6)	0.0216 (6)	-0.0056 (6)
C3	0.0431 (8)	0.0733 (11)	0.0454 (8)	0.0025 (8)	0.0284 (7)	-0.0012 (8)
C6	0.0447 (9)	0.0665 (12)	0.0798 (13)	0.0050 (8)	0.0259 (9)	-0.0007 (10)
C5	0.0430 (8)	0.0574 (10)	0.0432 (8)	0.0009 (7)	0.0128 (7)	0.0070 (7)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C1	1.6808 (16)	N1—H1N	0.84 (2)
O1—C4	1.3325 (17)	N1—H2N	0.88 (2)
O1—C5	1.4577 (19)	N2—H3N	0.85 (2)
O2—C4	1.2069 (17)	С3—НЗА	0.9600
N3—C2	1.2860 (17)	С3—НЗВ	0.9600
N3—N2	1.3675 (17)	С3—НЗС	0.9600
N2—C1	1.3745 (17)	C5—H5A	0.9700
N1—C1	1.3217 (19)	С5—Н5В	0.9700
C2—C3	1.4989 (19)	C6—H6A	0.9600
C2—C4	1.501 (2)	С6—Н6В	0.9600
C6—C5	1.503 (2)	С6—Н6С	0.9600
C4—O1—C5	115.86 (11)	С2—С3—НЗА	109.00
C2—N3—N2	119.23 (12)	С2—С3—Н3В	109.00
N3—N2—C1	118.06 (11)	С2—С3—Н3С	109.00
N3—C2—C3	127.72 (14)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	109.00
N3—C2—C4	115.25 (12)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗС	109.00
C3—C2—C4	117.00 (12)	НЗВ—СЗ—НЗС	109.00
N1—C1—N2	116.55 (13)	O1—C5—H5A	110.00
N1—C1—S1	123.64 (11)	O1—C5—H5B	110.00
N2—C1—S1	119.80 (11)	С6—С5—Н5А	110.00
O2—C4—O1	123.40 (14)	С6—С5—Н5В	110.00
O2—C4—C2	122.77 (13)	H5A—C5—H5B	108.00
O1—C4—C2	113.83 (11)	С5—С6—Н6А	109.00
O1—C5—C6	107.52 (14)	С5—С6—Н6В	109.00
C1—N1—H1N	118.9 (17)	С5—С6—Н6С	109.00
C1—N1—H2N	119.2 (15)	Н6А—С6—Н6В	109.00

# supplementary materials

H1N—N1—H2N C1—N2—H3N N3—N2—H3N	122 (2) 116.4 (12) 125.5 (12)	H6A—C6—H6C H6B—C6—H6C	109.00 109.00
C5-O1-C4-O2	-2.7 (2)	N2—N3—C2—C3	-0.6 (2)
C5-O1-C4-C2	176.48 (14)	N2—N3—C2—C4	-178.40 (13)
C4-O1-C5-C6	-178.14 (15)	N3—C2—C4—O1	4 43 (19)
C1—N2—N3—C2	177.04 (14)	N3-C2-C4-O2	-176.40 (15)
N3—N2—C1—S1	-174.66 (11)	C3-C2-C4-O1	-173.59 (15)
N3—N2—C1—N1	4.4 (2)	C3-C2-C4-O2	5.6 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D\!\!-\!\!\mathrm{H}^{\ldots}\!A$
N1—H1N···N3	0.84 (2)	2.24 (2)	2.610 (2)	107 (2)
N1—H2N···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.88 (3)	2.08 (3)	2.954 (2)	172 (2)
N2—H3N····S1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (2)	2.78 (2)	3.623 (2)	172 (2)
C3—H3C···S1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96	2.82	3.611 (2)	141

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, –*y*+1, *z*–1/2; (ii) –*x*, *y*, –*z*+1/2.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2