Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/current-research-in-structural-biology

Metabolically-incorporated deuterium in myelin localized by neutron diffraction and identified by mass spectrometry $\stackrel{\star}{\sim}$



Anne Baumann^{a,b,c}, Andrew R. Denninger^{a,d}, Marek Domin^e, Bruno Demé^f, Daniel A. Kirschner^{a,*}

^a Department of Biology, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA

^b Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

^c Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

^d Epizyme Inc, Cambridge, MA, USA

^e Department of Chemistry, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA

^f Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France

ARTICLE INFO

Handling editor: Alexander Wlodawer

Keywords: Lipidomics Membrane structure Metabolic isotope labeling PNS CNS Mice

ABSTRACT

Myelin is a natural and dynamic multilamellar membrane structure that continues to be of significant biological and neurological interest, especially with respect to its biosynthesis and assembly during its normal formation, maintenance, and pathological breakdown. To explore the usefulness of neutron diffraction in the structural analysis of myelin, we investigated the use of in vivo labeling by metabolically incorporating non-toxic levels of deuterium (²H; D) via drinking water into a pregnant dam (D-dam) and her developing embryos. All of the mice were sacrificed when the pups (D-pups) were 55 days old. Myelinated sciatic nerves were dissected, fixed in glutaraldehyde and examined by neutron diffraction. Parallel samples that were unfixed (trigeminal nerves) were frozen for mass spectrometry (MS). The diffraction patterns of the nerves from deuterium-fed mice (D-mice) vs. the controls (H-mice) had major differences in the intensities of the Bragg peaks but no appreciable differences in myelin periodicity. Neutron scattering density profiles showed an appreciable increase in density at the center of the lipid-rich membrane bilayer. This increase was greater in D-pups than in D-dam, and its localization was consistent with deuteration of lipid hydrocarbon, which predominates over transmembrane protein in myelin. MS analysis of the lipids isolated from the trigeminal nerves demonstrated that in the pups the percentage of lipids that had one or more deuterium atoms was uniformly high across lipid species (97.6% \pm 2.0%), whereas in the mother the lipids were substantially less deuterated ($60.6\% \pm 26.4\%$) with levels varying among lipid species and subspecies. The mass distribution pattern of deuterium-containing isotopologues indicated the fraction (in %) of each lipid (sub-)species having one or more deuteriums incorporated: in the D-pups, the pattern was always bellshaped, and the average number of D atoms ranged from a low of \sim 4 in fatty acid to a high of \sim 9 in cerebroside. By contrast, in D-dam most lipids had more complex, overlapping distributions that were weighted toward a lower average number of deuteriums, which ranged from a low of \sim 3–4 in fatty acid and in one species of sulfatide to a high of 6–7 in cerebroside and sphingomyelin. The consistently high level of deuteration in D-pups can be attributed to their *de novo* lipogenesis during gestation and rapid, postnatal myelination. The widely varying levels of deuteration in D-dam, by contrast, likely depends on the relative metabolic stability of the particular lipid species during myelin maintenance. Our current findings demonstrate that stably-incorporated D label can be detected and localized using neutron diffraction in a complex tissue such as myelin; and moreover, that MS can be used to screen a broad range of deuterated lipid species to monitor differential rates of lipid turnover. In addition to helping to develop a comprehensive understanding of the de novo synthesis and turnover of specific lipids in normal and abnormal myelin, our results also suggest application to studies on myelin proteins (which constitute only 20-30% by dry mass of the myelin, vs. 70-80% for lipid), as well as more broadly to the molecular constituents of other biological tissues.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kirschnd@bc.edu (D.A. Kirschner).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crstbi.2022.06.003

Received 8 June 2022; Accepted 10 June 2022

2665-928X/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} We dedicate this article to the memory of Dr. Isabelle Grillo (1973-2019), our colleague at the Institut Laue-Langevin.

Abbreviations								
Ai	relative abundance of isotope							
CNS	central nervous system							
D-dam and D-pup mother and her pups that were exposed to								
	deuterium-spiked drinking water							
DG	diacylolycerol							
FA	fatty acid							
H-dam a	nd H-nup control mother and her pups that were not							
ii uuni u	exposed to deuterium-spiked drinking water							
HevCer	cerebroside							
M.	mass of monoisotope $(i - 0)$ and of the isotopologues (i							
141	(1 - 0)							
MS	> 0)							
DC	nhosphatidylcholine							
PC DE	phosphalidylChollne							
PL	pnospnatidyiethanolamine							
PI	phosphatidylinositol							
PNS	peripheral nervous system							
PS	phosphatidylserine							
SHexCer	sulfatide							
SM	sphingomyelin							
Sn Fig/T	able Refers to Supplementary figures or table in							
	Appendix A							
TG	triacylglycerol							

1. Introduction

Diffraction studies of myelin membranes and their molecular constituents have helped to characterize the molecular organization that underlies myelin stability and instability ((Inouye et al., 2017) and references therein). These issues are important because of myelin's essential role in fast, efficient nerve conduction and involvement in a variety of neurological conditions caused by or resulting in demyelination (Lazzarini et al., 2004). Because myelin is a multilamellar stack of membranes, lamellar diffraction has been especially useful in providing information about the general distribution of lipids, proteins, and water across the membranes (Kirschner et al., 1992) and also about the localization of specific lipids (e.g., cholesterol (Caspar and Kirschner, 1971); phosphatidylethanolamine plasmalogen (Kirschner and Ganser, 1982)) and proteins (e.g., myelin basic protein and P0 glycoprotein (Kirschner and Ganser, 1980)). The majority of diffraction studies of myelin use X-rays; however, neutron diffraction offers a particular advantage owing to the large difference in scattering lengths between hydrogen (H) and deuterium (²H or D), and hence between H_2O and D_2O (deuterium oxide; heavy water). That deuterium can be localized by neutron diffraction suggests the usefulness of both deuterium exchange and deuterium labeling. With $H\rightarrow D$ exchange, simple diffusion can result in a distinct, detectable change in the relative amounts of H₂O and D₂O in the aqueous compartments of multilamellar myelin, such as membrane regions that have accessible, exchangeable hydrogens. Thus, the distribution of water across the membrane bilayer as well as the kinetics of H₂O/D₂O exchange can be directly determined using these isotopologues of water (Kirschner et al., 1976; Denninger et al., 2014) that cannot be distinguished using X-rays. Neutron diffraction studies using H-D exchange have confirmed the thickness of the water-excluding hydrocarbon domain deduced from X-ray diffraction (Caspar and Kirschner, 1971; Blaurock, 1971), and the rate of D-H exchange in the water-accessible spaces (Denninger et al., 2015). Deuterium labeling that is non-covalent can be carried out by diffusing in a deuterated compound to localize that moiety, e.g., deuterated DMSO (Kirschner et al., 1974); whereas deuterium labeling via covalent bonding is undertaken by the introduction of deuterated

myelin constituents (e.g., D-cholesterol (Scott et al., 1980)), or precursors of the constituents (D-choline, D.L. Worcester, personal communication, 2019), or by providing D₂O-spiked drinking water to laboratory animals (Ando et al., 2003; Ando and Tanaka, 2005). In the case of highly-specific metabolic precursors, the labeled compound must first pass through either the blood-nerve barrier (for PNS myelin) or the blood-brain barrier (for CNS myelin) before it can target a particular molecule. By contrast, introducing deuterium via D₂O-spiked drinking water ensures that this non-specific label is incorporated metabolically into the full complement of the body's molecular constituents that comprise its cells and tissues.

In the current study, we administered D₂O to a pregnant mouse via drinking water, and continued this protocol two months postpartum for both the dam and her pups. Neutron diffraction from sciatic nerves (reported here) and spinal cords (not shown) between H₂O and D₂O-fed dams (H-dam, D-dam) and their pups (H-pup, D-pup) revealed significant differences in the diffraction patterns. Neutron scattering length density profiles of the myelin membranes showed a prominent increase in scattering density in the middle of the membrane bilayer, consistent with incorporation of deuterium into the lipid hydrocarbon. This novel localization by neutron diffraction of deuterium that was metabolicallyincorporated into lipid was subsequently confirmed by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of trigeminal nerve lipids, which moreover identified the lipid species as phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylserine (PS), cerebroside (HexCer), sulfatide (SHexCer), sphingomyelin (SM), phosphatidylinositol (PI), triacylglycerol (TG), diglycerol (DG), and fatty acid (FA). Whereas the % of lipids with at least one deuterium averaged \sim 98 \pm 2% for D-pups, the % of lipids for D-dam indicated variable deuteration, averaging \sim 61 \pm 26%, and moreover, depended on lipid species and subspecies. The consistent, uniformly high incorporation of D into lipids for the D-pups vs. that for D-dam can be explained by the de novo biosynthesis and myelin assembly in the former vs. differential turnover of lipids in mature myelin (Ando et al., 2003). In summary, we demonstrated that neutron diffraction together with mass spectrometry can be used to detect, localize, and identify deuterium that is stably-incorporated via normal metabolism into the rapidly- and newly-synthesized myelin as well as into myelin undergoing metabolic maintenance where lipid turnover is more targeted.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and standards

Methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTBE) and chloroform were purchased from Sigma Aldrich; methanol was from Fisher Science Education; ammonium acetate was from Fluka; and D_2O (99.9%) was from Sigma-Aldrich Corp and from Eurisotop.

2.2. Specimens

All animal procedures were conducted at the Boston College Animal Care Facility in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Boston College. Three timedpregnant C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA), housed on a 12-h light/dark cycle, and provided ad libitum access to food and water. At gestational day 12, when the dams were ~ 10 weeks old, the mice were separated into treatment groups, in which their drinking water was replaced with water containing 15% (Dmice) or 0% (control, H-mice) D₂O. Mice were maintained as such through birth and until postnatal day 7, at which point the volume fraction of D_2O in their drinking water was increased to 20% (D-mice). At postnatal day 13, their drinking water was again increased to 30% volume fraction of D₂O. Pups were weaned at postnatal day 28, and all mice were maintained on 30% D₂O (D-mice) or 0% D₂O (control, H-mice) until the pups reached postnatal day 55, at which point all mice (including the dams at 131 do) were sacrificed (see S1 Fig) and tissues

were harvested as described in the following. The chosen level of D_2O in drinking water was below the threshold for toxicity for mice (Ando et al., 2003; Koletzko et al., 1997; Katz et al., 1962).

2.3. Sample preparation

Mice were sacrificed by CO₂ asphyxiation, followed by decapitation. Brains (including optic nerves) and trigeminal nerves (which, like sciatic nerves, are peripheral nerves) were removed, flash frozen, and stored at -80 °C until further prepared for MS. Sciatic nerves and sagittallybisected segments of spinal cord were removed for analysis by neutron diffraction. Sciatic nerves were tied off at both ends with silk suture and placed under gentle tension on plastic sample holders, while spinal cord segments were laid flat, adhering naturally to filter paper. These samples were immersion-fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde and 1.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.12 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 overnight at room temperature (RT). Fixed samples were then equilibrated against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 5 mM phosphate buffer, 154 mM NaCl, pH/pD 7.4) of varying D₂O content (0-100%) overnight and loaded into thin-walled (20 µm) quartz capillary tubes that were 0.7 mm-diameter for sciatic nerves and 1.5 mmdiameter for spinal cords (Charles Supper Company; Natick, MA) containing PBS with the same D₂O fraction. The capillaries were sealed with wax and enamel and stored at RT until analyzed by neutron diffraction (S1 Table). During the diffraction experiments, some samples were examined under more than one set of conditions by removing the tissue from the capillary tube, equilibrating it overnight against PBS containing a different volume fraction of D₂O, and then resealing it in a fresh capillary tube.

2.4. Neutron diffraction

The experiments were carried out on the D16 instrument at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL; Grenoble, France). The monochromatic neutron beam ($\lambda = 4.55$ Å, $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = 0.01$) was vertically focused by nine Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite (HOPG) crystals (average mosaic 0.4°), and to optimize sample illumination and angular resolution two pairs of collimating slits were used. The resulting beam size at the sample was 1 mm (horizontal) x 10 mm (vertical). The monochromator-tosample distance was 2980 mm and the sample-to-detector distance was 955 mm. Diffraction patterns were collected using the Millimeter Resolution Large Area Neutron Detector (MiLAND), a flat, high pressure ³He neutron detector with an area of 320 mm \times 320 mm and a "pixel" resolution of $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$. For all experiments, the detector angle relative to the incident beam (Gamma axis) was set to 5.2°, so that it could collect diffraction patterns in a 2 θ -range of -4° -14.6°, corresponding to a range in q from -0.096 Å⁻¹ to 0.35 Å⁻¹, which included the attenuated direct beam, each of the 1st and 2nd order reflections on both sides of the direct beam, and up to the 7th order reflection on one side of the beam.

All neutron diffraction experiments were performed at RT and pressure, consistent with our previous diffraction experiments at the ILL (Denninger et al., 2014, 2015). The entubed tissues were mounted and aligned in a dedicated sample changer; and exposure times for data collection ranged from 1 to 3 h depending on water contrast and whether the sample was sciatic nerve or spinal cord. In the current paper, we report on our structural analysis of the sciatic nerves.

The neutron diffraction data is available at: https://doi.ill.fr/10.529 1/ILL-DATA.8-02-734.

Data refinement was performed using the ILL in-house software LAMP ((Richard et al., 1996); http://www.ill.eu/instruments-support /computing-for-science/data-analysis). First, two-dimensional diffraction patterns were normalized to the incident neutron beam flux to account for variations in beam intensity and exposure time between samples. Second, they were normalized to a detector calibration file containing the flat incoherent signal from water, which is used to correct

the observed intensities for pixel efficiency and solid angle. Third, they were corrected for the attenuation of the direct beam by the sample (transmission). Finally, background patterns from empty quartz capillaries and instrument background were collected and subtracted from each sample pattern, and normalization to the sample thickness was applied. The corrected two-dimensional patterns were then integrated vertically (along the nerve axis) to produce one-dimensional diffraction patterns (Fig. 1).

Peak positions, peak widths, and integrated intensities above background were determined using MagicPlot software (Magicplot Systems, LLC; https://magicplot.com/). Then, for all samples the myelin period (*d*) was calculated according to:

$$d = 2\pi/q \tag{1}$$

where *q* is the scattering vector given by:

$$q = 4\pi \sin\theta / \lambda \tag{2}$$

where λ is the neutron wavelength, and θ is one-half the scattering angle. For a precise determination of the myelin spacing, we plotted the q_h peak positions of each sample vs. order *h*. Combining Eq. (2) with Bragg's law $(h\lambda = 2dsin\theta)$ yielded the linear dependency between q_h and h; and a linear fit to the q_h vs. *h* data provided the slope and, therefore, the *d*-spacing (S2 Fig).

Structure amplitudes (F(h)) were calculated from the peak intensities (I(h)) using the equation

$$|F(h)| = (hI(h))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3)

Linear regression analysis was performed between F(h) and %D₂O. Phases were assigned to structure factors according to (Kirschner et al., 1976; Denninger et al., 2014; Kirschner and Hollingshead, 1980). Average neutron scattering density profiles were calculated using F(h) according to

$$\rho(r) = \frac{2}{d} \Sigma F(h) \cos(\frac{2\pi hr}{d}). \tag{4}$$

Owing to changes in the widths of the water-accessible spaces in fixed myelin (Kirschner and Hollingshead, 1980), determining the phases for the structure amplitudes measured for the fixed myelin analyzed here involved revising the strategy used previously for native (unfixed) myelin (Kirschner et al., 1974, 1976). Briefly, for tissues from H-dam and H-pups that were not exposed to deuterium-spiked water, a step-function approximation of the contrast between the hydrocarbon of the membrane bilayer and the non-hydrocarbon layers was used to represent the scattering density profile of the myelin: for myelin in 100% D₂O-PBS, the major contrast is between the hydrocarbon and the water layers at the cytoplasmic and extracellular appositions of the membrane multilayers; and for myelin in 0% D₂O-PBS, the major contrast is between the hydrocarbon and the lipid polar groups. For the step-function approximation, we measured the widths of these layers from the X-ray scattering density profile of glutaraldehyde-fixed myelin of mouse sciatic nerve, in which the membrane bilayer dimensions have remained constant, whereas the widths of the cytoplasmic and extracellular separations have decreased and increased, respectively (Kirschner and Hollingshead, 1980). We revised the step-function model for unfixed myelin (Kirschner et al., 1974, 1976) by incorporating these altered dimensions of the aqueous spaces (S3 Fig). The modified step-function model was used to calculate membrane pair transforms for the fixed myelin in 100%-D₂O-PBS and in 0% D₂O-PBS (Fig. 2, continuous curves). The agreement between the observed scattering amplitudes and those predicted by the transform sampled at h/186.1 Å (for h = 1-5) established the phases for the neutron diffraction data (Fig. 2, data points; Table 1). Moreover, linear regression between F(h) and D_2O (Fig. 3) supported the phase assignments based on the step-function models.



Fig. 1. Neutron diffraction spectra from the (fixed) sciatic nerves of 2-month old pups that had gestated and then been raised on either normal drinking water or on a mixture of D_2O in water (see Materials and methods for protocol). Dissected nerves were equilibrated in 0%–100% D_2O -PBS. The scattering intensity, in arbitrary units (AU), is plotted as a function of scattering angle 2 θ in degrees. At 100%–30% D_2O -PBS (A–D), Bragg orders 1–3 are clearly evident at 2 $\theta \approx 1.4$, 2.8, and 4.2, respectively. (Some of the higher Bragg orders (4–7) are seen here in panels A,C,E,F at 2 $\theta \approx 5.6$, 7.0, 8.4, and 9.8.) For each type of pup, the relative intensities change systematically as the % D_2O changes, and these changes differ between the D-pups (red) vs. H-pups (blue). (A) includes the diffraction pattern from H-dam (gray), the non-deuterated mother of the H-pups, showing the similarity in relative intensities change more dramatically in the deuterated pup (D-pup) compared to the non-deuterated pup (H-pup), owing to localization of the non-diffusible and stable, metabolically-incorporated deuterium in the former. Diffraction from H-dam in 100%, 40%, and 20% D_2O -PBS is shown in S4A Fig. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

3. Mass spectrometry

3.1. Homogenization and lipid extraction

Trigeminal nerves were homogenized in ice-cold 0.1% ammonium acetate in a tissue grinder. Methanol (375 μ l) was added to 50 μ l homogenate, which was placed into a glass tube with a screw cap, and the tube was vortexed. Further, 1.25 ml MTBE was added and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at RT under agitation. Phase separation was induced by adding 312.5 μ l milliQ water. Upon 10 min incubation at RT, the sample was contrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min. The upper organic phase was collected and dried in a vacuum centrifuge at RT. Dried samples were stored at -20 °C until further use.

3.2. Thin-layer chromatography

High-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC) was used to analyze polar and neutral lipids as described previously (Ganser et al.,

1988). Lipids were spotted on Partisil LHPK Silica gel 60A plates (Whatman): 300 µg nerve dry weight for polar lipids, and 300 µg nerve dry weight for neutral lipids. A lipid standard mix (polar lipids: non-hydroxy cerebroside, hydroxy cerebroside, non-hydroxy sulfatide, hydroxy sulfatide, phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylcholine, sphingomyelin; neutral lipids: cholesterol esters, triglycerides, diglycerides, cholesterol, free fatty acids, monoglyceride) was spotted on plates at 2.4 µg. Polar lipids were separated with methyl acetate:1-propanol:chloroform:methanol:0.25% KCl:acetic acid (25:25:25:10:9:0.3 by volume). Neutral lipid were first separated with chloroform:methanol:acetic acid (93.1:1.9:0.1 by volume) to a height of 8 cm and then separated to the top with hexane:ethyl ether:acetic acid (89.3:5.7:0.1 by volume). Polar and neutral lipids were visualized with 10% CuSO₄, 8% H_3PO_4 and heating at 160 °C for 13 min. The distribution and relative intensity of the charred lipids (S10 Fig) closely resembled our previous data from mouse nerve lipids (Ganser et al., 1988).



Fig. 2. Determination of phases from step-function. The continuous transforms F(R) for H-dam at 100% D₂O-PBS and at 0% D₂O-PBS were calculated as described (see Materials and methods: Neutron diffraction, and S3 Fig) and used to predict phases for the structure amplitudes calculated from the Bragg orders. Experimental data points for H-dam (A), D-dam (B), H-pup (C), and D-pup (D) at different amounts of D₂O in PBS (0%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100%) were mapped onto the continuous transform, and showed a systematic change in F(h) (Fig. 3).

3.3. Lipid analysis by mass spectrometry

Lipid extracts were resuspended in 1 ml methanol/chloroform (1:1, v/v) and an aliquot of 150 μ l was re-dried in a vacuum centrifuge. Finally, the dried lipid sample was reconstituted with 200 μ l mobile phase A (acetonitrile: water, 60:40, v/v) and mobile phase B (isopropanol/acetonitrile, 90:10, v/v) using a ratio of 60:40. 100 μ l was transferred into an MS vial. Samples were separated using a CORTECSTM C₁₈ column (Waters, 90 Å, 2.7 μ m, 2.1 mm \times 100 mm). Lipid extracts were analyzed with an Agilent 6220 mass spectrometer coupled with an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system in both positive and negative ion modes. Instrument calibration was accomplished using a series of Hexakis compounds (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA: ESI-L Low Concentration Tuning Mix, Part No. G1969-85000, Lot No. LC21022V); and the reference solution (Agilent: API-TOF Reference Mass Solution Kit, Part No. G1969-

85001, Lot No. LB48019) consisted of purine ($C_5H_4N_4$; CAS#120-73-0) and hexakis (Hexakis (1h,1h,3h-tetrafluoropropoxy)phosphazine ($C_{18}H_{18}F_{24}N_3O_6P_3$; CAS#58943-98-9)). 10 µl of each experimental sample was injected on the column at a flow rate of 350 µl/min, 65 °C column temperature, and with the following gradient: 0 min 40% mobile phase B (see above), 1 min 40% B, 11 min 100% B, 15 min 100% B, 16 min 40% B, with 4 min equilibration. Mass spectrometry parameters were as follows: *m/z* range 100–3000, fragmentor 350 V, skimmer 65 V, gas temp 325 °C, drying gas 8 l/min, nebulizer 45 psig, capillary 4000 V. As an example, S11 Fig shows the chromatogram for a lipid extract (upper panel) with the mass spectra determined for the same retention time range for the control (H-dam) and treated (D-dam) (middle and lower panels, respectively).

The mass spectrometry data will be available at the MetaboLights repository: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metabolights/MTBLS4717 (Haug

Structure-factors for D-pup, D-dam, H-pup, and H-dam vs. %D₂O.

Sample	Bragg order h	Extrapolated and normalized $F(h) \pm$ standard error						
		0 % D ₂ O	20 % D ₂ O	30 % D ₂ O	40 % D ₂ O	60 % D ₂ O	80 % D ₂ O	100 % D ₂ O
D-pup	1	0.1476 ± 0.0035	0.0223 ± 0.0037	-0.0404 ± 0.0039	-0.1030 ± 0.0043	-0.2283 ± 0.0051	-0.3535 ± 0.0060	-0.4788 ± 0.0070
	2	-0.1500 ± 0.0102	0.0800 ± 0.0110	0.1950 ± 0.0119	0.3100 ± 0.0131	0.5400 ± 0.0160	0.7700 ± 0.0193	1.0000 ± 0.0229
	3	0.0246 ± 0.0083	0.1068 ± 0.0086	0.1479 ± 0.0089	0.1889 ± 0.0093	0.2711 ± 0.0104	0.3532 ± 0.0117	0.4354 ± 0.0132
	4	-0.0837 ± 0.0157	-0.0755 ± 0.0169	-0.0714 ± 0.0182	-0.0673 ± 0.0200	-0.0590 ± 0.0243	-0.0508 ± 0.0292	-0.0426 ± 0.0346
	5	-0.1306 ± 0.0126	-0.0875 ± 0.0133	-0.0659 ± 0.0141	-0.0444 ± 0.0151	0.0012 ± 0.0176	0.0419 ± 0.0207	0.0850 ± 0.0241
	6 ^a							0.0591 ± 0.0038
	7 ^a	-0.0497 ± 0.0087						-0.0640 ± 0.0055
D-dam ^a	1	0.0846 ± 0.0032						
	2	-0.0659 ± 0.0023						
	3	0.0765 ± 0.0023						
	4	-0.0862 ± 0.0033						
	5	-0.1259 ± 0.0018						
	6							
	7	-0.0497 ± 0.0087						
H-pup	1	0.0644 ± 0.0099	-0.0432 ± 0.0106	-0.0970 ± 0.0114	-0.1508 ± 0.0124	-0.2584 ± 0.0149	-0.3660 ± 0.0179	-0.4736 ± 0.0210
	2	-0.0018 ± 0.0224	0.1986 ± 0.0243	0.2988 ± 0.0264	0.3989 ± 0.0291	0.5993 ± 0.0358	0.7996 ± 0.0434	1.0000 ± 0.0515
	3	0.0893 ± 0.0115	0.1543 ± 0.0121	0.1867 ± 0.0128	0.2192 ± 0.0137	0.2841 ± 0.0160	0.3490 ± 0.0188	0.4140 ± 0.0218
	4	-0.0746 ± 0.0091	-0.0727 ± 0.0098	-0.0718 ± 0.0107	-0.0709 ± 0.0117	-0.0690 ± 0.0144	-0.0672 ± 0.0174	-0.0653 ± 0.0207
	5	-0.1190 ± 0.0150	-0.0782 ± 0.0160	-0.0578 ± 0.0172	-0.0374 ± 0.0187	0.0034 ± 0.0225	0.0442 ± 0.0269	0.0851 ± 0.0316
	6	-0.0457 ± 0.0019	-0.0216 ± 0.0020	-0.0096 ± 0.0021	0.0025 ± 0.0022	0.0266 ± 0.0026	0.0507 ± 0.0031	0.0749 ± 0.0036
H-dam	1	0.0649 ± 0.0147	-0.0391 ± 0.0158	-0.0910 ± 0.0171	-0.1430 ± 0.0187	-0.2470 ± 0.0227	-0.3509 ± 0.0274	-0.4549 ± 0.0324
	2	-0.0252 ± 0.0287	0.1798 ± 0.0305	0.2824 ± 0.0325	0.3849 ± 0.0351	0.5899 ± 0.0418	0.7950 ± 0.0496	1.0000 ± 0.0581
	3	0.0763 ± 0.0165	0.1398 ± 0.0175	0.1716 ± 0.0187	0.2034 ± 0.0202	0.2669 ± 0.0239	0.3304 ± 0.0284	0.3940 ± 0.0333
	4	-0.0671 ± 0.0004	-0.0700 ± 0.0005	-0.0714 ± 0.0005	-0.0728 ± 0.0005	-0.0757 ± 0.0006	-0.0786 ± 0.0007	-0.0815 ± 0.0008
	5	-0.1017 ± 0.0009	-0.0641 ± 0.0010	-0.0453 ± 0.0011	-0.0266 ± 0.0012	0.0110 ± 0.0014	0.0485 ± 0.0017	0.0861 ± 0.0020
	6	-0.0394	-0.0163	-0.0048	0.0068	0.0299	0.0530	0.0761
	7 ^a	-0.0537 ± 0.0077						-0.1442 ± 0.0037

Values are extrapolated (except for D-dam) from the linear fit of the experimental data and normalized. No standard errors were determined for high Bragg orders (h = 6) if only two experimental data points were available (see Fig. 3).

^a Experimental data.

et al., 2020).

Identification of lipid monoisotopes in the MS spectra of samples from the H-dam and H-pups was initially based on m/z matching using MS-LAMP (Sabareesh and Singh, 2013); and the identification of m/z was vetted by comparison with the updated, curated data in two databases (The LIPID MAPS® Lipidomics Gateway, https://www.lipidmaps.org/ (Fahy et al., 2007; Fahy et al., 2009) and SwissLipids, https://www.swisslipids.org/#/advanced (Aimo et al., 2015)) (Table 2). We further vetted the matching of our experimental m/z values to those of lipid species reported specifically for mouse neural tissues (sciatic nerve (Cheng et al., 2010); cervical dorsal root ganglia (Cheng et al., 2007); CNS myelin (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2013); optic nerve (Anderson et al., 2013); brain tissues (Angel et al., 2012; Mohammadi et al., 2016; Maganti et al., 2019); cerebellum (Ren et al., 2021)). We also used the extensive tabulated data for rat (adult, male Wistar) sciatic nerve lipids that were analyzed by imaging MS (Fernández et al., 2016). In our current study, the conditions we used for analyzing the lipids were not sufficient to detect cholesterol, a major myelin constituent, which is usually cationized via alkaline hydrolysis and detected, for example, as ammoniated, lithiated, or sodiated adducts (West and Reid, 2021). Spectral accuracy of our original data was determined using MassWorks (Version 6,0,0,0) software from Cerno Bioscience (LasVegas, NV 89144) (Wang and Gu, 2010; Kuehl et al., 2021). (The MassWorks software also corrected automatically for the presence in the deuterated lipids of the natural isotope abundance that was detected in the non-deuterated samples (H-dam and H-pup spectra, see below). Subsequently, we used MassWorks to identify the corresponding deuterated isotopologues in the isolated lipids from the D-mice based on the vetted undeuterated monoisotopes for the isolated lipids from the H-mice, and to quantitate the relative abundance (%) Ai of each isotopologue. The average level of deuteration was calculated by summing these relative percentages (i.e., %D = $\Sigma_{i > 0} A_{i}$). From the isotopologue distribution we also calculated the weighted average number (and standard error) of deuteriums for each molecular species of lipid (Heckert and Filliben, 2003). The stated

uncertainties in the quantitation of the data are standard deviations, unless indicated otherwise.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Use of fixed tissue for diffraction

Owing to the logistical complexity in raising pregnant dams and their offspring on a strict regimen of defined D₂O-H₂O drinking water over a period of several months at the ESRF's animal facility that was accessible to us at the ILL (Grenoble), we undertook the long-duration, preparative portion of the experiment at Boston College. As a result, to maintain as well as possible the structural integrity of the tissues during their transit to Grenoble from Boston, we fixed the tissue in aldehyde solutions and stored them in PBS (as described above, Materials and methods: Sample preparation). Previous experiments that used X-ray diffraction to document the structural changes in myelin during processing for electron microscopy show that the initial fixation step results in relatively small changes in myelin periodicity, membrane packing, and electron density distribution compared to the subsequent steps in tissue processing (Kirschner and Hollingshead, 1980; Avila et al., 2005). Whereas the diffracted intensity is nearly as strong as from unfixed nerves, there are, however, changes in the positions of the Bragg orders and their relative intensities that arise from the narrowing of the cytoplasmic apposition and widening of the extracellular space between membranes. In spite of such well-defined changes in membrane packing caused by chemical fixation, numerous X-ray diffraction studies indicate that intrinsic differences between different types of myelin that are identically fixed may still be detected (Avila et al., 2005, 2010; Flores et al., 2008; Kirschner et al., 2010; Da Silva et al., 2014; Luoma et al., 2015).

The neutron diffraction patterns for unfixed (data from (Denninger et al., 2014)) and fixed (H-dam, this study) mouse sciatic nerves in 100% D₂O-PBS showed a shift in the positions of the Bragg orders, which is accounted for by the increase in myelin periodicity to 186.1 ± 0.8 Å (n =



Fig. 3. Structure-factor amplitudes with assigned phases vs. $\%D_2O$. Symbols represent experimental replicates and lines the linear dependence of *F*(*h*) on $\%D_2O$. δF is calculated by using $\delta F = F * (0.5 * \delta I/I)$, where δI is the standard deviation in fitting backgrounds and intensities of the Bragg orders.

17) from 175.3 \pm 2.2 Å (n = 5 (Denninger et al., 2014);). The first three Bragg orders, which have the strongest intensities at 100% D₂O in both unfixed (see Fig. 1c in (Denninger et al., 2014)) and fixed myelin (this manuscript, Fig. 1A), dominate the patterns (S4B Fig). The main difference is the stronger 1st order intensity in fixed compared to unfixed myelin. Among the higher orders, the main difference is the increase in the 5th and decrease in the 6th order in the fixed compared to the unfixed. These differences in periodicities and intensities between unfixed and fixed myelin are mostly accounted for by the change in packing of the membranes in the myelin array-namely, the widening of the extracellular space and narrowing of the cytoplasmic space between membrane pairs (S5 Fig). By contrast, the myelin that had been deuterated via metabolic incorporation from D₂O (and then fixed) showed indistinguishable periodicities (S2 Fig) but different intensities compared to the (fixed) non-deuterated tissue (Fig. 1). This suggests that there was an appreciable and specific localization of deuterium that had been incorporated stably into the membrane structure.

4.2. Systematic changes in scattering density of membrane profiles: consistent with deuteration of lipids

To reveal the localization of deuterium in the myelin membrane arrays, we calculated the scattering length density profiles from the phases assigned to the structure amplitudes (see Materials and methods: Neutron diffraction). This determination involved use of the step-function defined by the water-accessible spaces of myelin (S3 Fig), calculation of membrane transforms from the models to predict structure amplitudes (Fig. 2), and linear regression between the structure amplitudes and %D₂O in the water spaces (Fig. 3) to confirm phase assignments.

The profiles showed that the H-pups and the H-dam corresponded closely in scattering density when the nerves were equilibrated in 0% D₂O-PBS or in 100% D₂O-PBS (Fig. 4A; see also S8 Fig): i.e., the centers of the membrane bilayers at ~40 Å, the neighboring peaks at ~20 Å and 60 Å, and the inter-bilayer, water-accessible spaces centered at 0 Å and ~90 Å superimposed in both position (x-axis) and level (y-axis). By comparison, after equilibration of nerves in 0% D₂O-PBS the scattering density profiles for the D-pups and D-dam superimposed at the peak and trough positions (x-axis), but the density levels (y-axis) for D-pup were significantly higher than those of D-dam except in the water-accessible spaces centered at 0 Å and ~90 Å between membrane bilayers (Fig. 4B). Thus,

myelin from young mice that had been exposed to deuterium via D_2O during gestation showed a more pronounced increase in scattering density in the membrane bilayer region compared to their mother, who had also been exposed to the same D_2O -spiked drinking water. The greater incorporation of deuterium in the pups is consistent with the greater extent of myelination during gestation and development than during the relative stability of myelin in the mature dam.

Comparison of the scattering density profiles for myelinated nerves from the undeuterated vs. deuterated mice (H-mice vs. D-mice) revealed more clearly the localization of deuterium (Fig. 5). Overall, the two profiles for H-dam and D-dam in 0% D₂O-PBS were very similar but not identical (Fig. 5A), as there was a slight but significant increase in scattering density for D-dam in the central hydrocarbon layer (Fig. 5B; see also S9 Fig). This suggests that a smaller amount of deuterium had been incorporated into the dam's tissue, most likely owing to the steady-state turnover of membrane constituents during the metabolism that maintains myelin's integrity after maturation. By contrast with the small difference in scattering density profiles between the dams, the difference between the undeuterated and deuterated pups was characterized by a substantial elevation of scattering density centered in the membrane bilayer (Fig. 5C,D and S7 Fig). This singular feature was evident and uniform across the entire range of contrast variation provided by different % D₂O-PBS solutions (S6 and S7 Figs). Given that lipids are the major hydrogen-rich, non-aqueous constituent of myelin, constituting 70-80% of its dry weight (Schmitt et al., 2014), it is not altogether surprising that metabolically-incorporated deuterium would be most apparent in the H-rich hydrocarbon region of the membrane bilayer. Lipid deuteration would arise from incorporation of deuterium during de novo lipogenesis of deuterium from the D₂O-spiked drinking water consumed by the pregnant dam and subsequently by the weaned pups. Studies on the incorporation of deuterium into fats (FA and TG) show that such labeling occurs directly from water, and also via enzyme-catalyzed H-D exchanges during metabolic conversions (Turner et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2017). We expect that the myelin proteins are also deuterated; however, not only do they constitute only 20-30% of the myelin, but also they are distributed across the entire breadth of the membrane, which includes the intermembrane, polar spaces at the cytoplasmic and extracellular interfaces, and also the nonpolar transmembrane region. It has been estimated that hydrogens are \sim 50% of all atoms in proteins, whereas they are >60% of all atoms in lipids (and closer to 70%) in the hydrocarbon tails). Given the lower abundance of proteins compared

Table 2

Identified lipids in mouse trigeminal nerves using LC-MS.

0.0148

0.0078

0.0006

0.0006

788.5514

788.617

	[M-H] -				
Experimental <i>m/z</i>	Delta <i>m/z</i>	Lipid class	Abbrev.	Formula	Reference ^c
700.5286	0.0001	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C39H76NO7P	[1-4]
726.5449	0.0006	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C41H78NO7P	[1-5]
	0.0077	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C41H77NO9	
728.5595	0.0005	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C41H80NO7P	[1-5]
742.5391	0.0001	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C41H78NO8P	[1-6]
	0.0084	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C41H77NO10	
750.5438	0.0005	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C43H78NO7P	[1-5,7]
756.5909	0.0004	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C43H84NO7P	[1-4]
	0.0086	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C43H83NO9	
778.5457	0.0065	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C44H78NO8P	[1,2]
786.529	0.0001	Glycerophosphoserines	PS	C42H78NO10P	[1,2,5-7]
788.5457	0.0010	Glycerophosphoserines	PS	C42H80NO10P	[1-3,5-8]
802.5605	0.0001	Glycerophosphoserines	PS	C43H82NO10P	[1]
004 57(1	0.0151	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C47H82N07P	[2]
804.5761	0.0001	Glycerophosphoserines	P5 DE	C43H84NO10P	[1]
926 5607	0.0152	Glycerophosphoserings	PE	C45H82NO10D	[1]
820.3007	0.0003	Glycerophosphosthanalaminos	PS	C45H82N010P	[1]
828.0309	0.0001	Glycerophosphoserines	PE DS	C45H88NO10D	[1]
832.0079	0.0000	Glycerophosphoserines	P3 DS	C47H02NO0P	[1,3]
854 6720	0.0045	Glycerophosphosphoathanolamines	DE	CACHOANORD	[1,2]
856 6870	0.0085	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HovCor	C49H95NO10	
830.0879	0.0004	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	DF	C49H96NO8P	
857 6741	0.0076	Triradylalycerols ^b	TG	C56H9006	[1]
862 6077	0.0007	Acidic glycosphingolipids	SHexCer	C46H89N011S	[2 3 9 10]
002.0077	0.0102	Phosphosphingolipids	SM	C46H90NO11P	[2,0,5,10]
	0.0184	Neutral glycosphingolinids	HexCer	C46H89N013	
885.5504	0.0005	Glycerophosphoglucose lipids	PI	C47H83O13P	[1-3 5-8]
888.6234	0.0006	Acidic glycosphingolipids	SHexCer	C48H91N011S	[1-3.5-8.10]
	0.0184	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H91NO13	[,,,_]
890.6388	0.0009	Acidic glycosphingolipids	SHexCer	C48H93NO11S	[1-3,5-8,10]
	0.0186	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H93NO13	
	[M+H]+				
Experimental m/g	[M+H] +	Lipid class	Abbrox	Formula	Poforonco
Experimental <i>m/z</i>	[M+H] + Delta <i>m/z</i>	Lipid class	Abbrev.	Formula	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates	Abbrev. FA or Purine	Formula C4H8O4	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0006	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ^a 0.0202 ^a	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5	Reference
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ⁸ 0.0363 ⁸ 0.0367 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P	[1,3,4]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ³ 0.0363 ³ 0.0363 ³ 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ³ 0.0363 ³ 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoglycerols	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PG	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H73O9P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5420	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0006 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0049	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoglycerols Glycerophosphates	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PA	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H73O9P C41H6907P C41H6907P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoetholines Glycerophosphoetholines Glycerophosphocholines Clycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PC PC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C38H76N07P C38H76N07P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1,1]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphotes Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PE PC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H76NO7P C38H76NO8P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PC PC PC PC PC PE PC PC PE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C38H7300P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphocthanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphotholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Neutral glycorophies	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE PC PE HexCer	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H77N09	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphochlines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphotholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE PC PE HexCer PC	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H7009 C41H80N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0066 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0057 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0066 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0054 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE PC	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3,6,8]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.00048 0.00048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE PC PE PC PE PC PE PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE PC PC PE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.00048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Neutral glycosphingolipids Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Neutral glycosphingolipids Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Slycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE HexCer	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H76NO3P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H78NO8P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N010	Reference [1,3,4] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0007 0	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Neutral glycosphingolipids Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE PC PE HexCer PC PE PC PE PC PC PE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H73O9P C41H69O7P C38H76N08P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO8P C41H78NO8P C40H78NO8P C40H78NO8P C40H77NO10 C41H78NO8P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0066 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.00	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Neutral glycosphingolipids Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev. FA or Purine FA St DG FA PE PC PG PA PC PE HexCer PC PE HexCer PC PE HexCer PC PE HexCer PC PE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4]
Experimental <i>m/z</i> 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.569	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0066 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.00023 0.00023 0.0004	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphochanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPAPCPEPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPC	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.569	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0066 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0006 0.0007 0.00023 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and Conjugates Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphochlanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPAPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPCPEPCPEPE	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,6,8,9]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPAPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPE	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C43H84N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPAPCPEPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPCPEPCPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0004 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.00	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphochanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholi	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCHexCer	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C38H76N07P C38H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C43H80N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H83N09	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606 760.586	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0009 0.0009 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.0	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphocthanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPCHexCerPC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H73O9P C41H69O7P C38H76N08P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO7P C41H78NO8P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C43H84N07P C43H83N09 C43H83N09 C42H82N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606 760.586	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0009 0.0009 0.0009	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphocthanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPEPCPE <trr>PE<trr>PE<trr>PE<</trr></trr></trr>	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C38H7300P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H83N09 C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3-6,8,9]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606 760.586	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363" 0.0363" 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0009 0.0007	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glyceropho	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPEPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEHexCerPEHexCerPEHexCer	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N07P C41H80N07P C41H80N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606 760.586 774.5974	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0001 0.0002 0.0001 0.0002 0.0001 0.0002 0.0001 0.0009 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphochol	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEHexCerPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPC	Formula C4H804 C23H4403 C24H3804 C40H6605 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H7309P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C3H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C43H84N07P C43H83N09 C42H82N08P C42H81N010 C42H81N010 C42H81N010 C42H81N010 C42H81N010	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 744.5561 758.606 760.586 764.5974	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0003 0.0009 0.0003 0.0033 0.0033 0.0033	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphoethanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPAPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPEPE<	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C39H76N07P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C41H78N08P C42H80N08P C42H80N08P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C42H82N08P C42H81N010 C43H84N08P C42H81N010 C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4]
Experimental m/z 121.05 369.3523 391.2849 627.5346 702.5439 705.5011 706.5429 728.5595 730.5752 732.5545 758.606 758.606 774.5974 782.569	[M+H] + Delta m/z 0.0005 0.0160 0.0363 ^a 0.0363 ^a 0.0007 0.0007 0.0054 0.0157 0.0054 0.0157 0.0048 0.0048 0.0006 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002 0.0003 0.0009 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0004	Lipid class Fatty Acids and Conjugates Fatty Acids and Conjugates Bile acids and derivatives Diradylglycerols Fatty Acids and Conjugates Glycerophosphochlanolamines Glycerophosphocholines	Abbrev.FA or PurineFAStDGFAPEPCPGPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEHexCerPCPEPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPCPEPC	Formula C4H8O4 C23H44O3 C24H38O4 C40H66O5 C40H66O5 C39H76NO7P C39H76NO7P C38H76N08P C41H6907P C38H76N08P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N07P C41H78N08P C40H77N010 C41H78N08P C40H78N08P C40H78N08P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N07P C43H84N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H82N08P C42H81N010 C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P C43H84N08P	Reference [1,3,4] [1,3] [1,6] [1] [4] [2,4] [3,4] [3-6,8] [4] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,4] [3-6,8,9] [3,6] [3,6] [3,6] [3-6,8]

[4] (continued on next page)

[3-6,8,9]

C40H80NO11P

C42H78NO10P

C44H86NO8P

C44H86NO8P

SM

PS

PC

PE

Phosphosphingolipids

Glycerophosphoserines

Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphoethanolamines

Table 2 (continued)

	[M+H]+	[M+H] +						
Experimental <i>m/z</i>	Delta <i>m/z</i>	Lipid class	Abbrev.	Formula	Reference			
	0.0076	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C44H85NO10				
792.6276	0.0163	Glycerophosphoserines	PS	C43H86NO9P				
798.6819	0.0002	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C47H91NO8	[1,3]			
806.57	0.0006	Glycerophosphocholines	PC	C46H80NO8P	[3-5,8]			
	0.0006	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C46H80NO8P				
	0.0076	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C42H79NO13				
810.6821	0.0004	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H91NO8	[1,3]			
812.6975	0.0001	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H93NO8	[1,3]			
813.6852	0.0008	Phosphosphingolipids	SM	C47H93N2O6P	[1,3,4,6,8]			
	0.0115	Triradylglycerols	TG	C52H92O6				
815.7007	0.0007	Phosphosphingolipids	SM	C47H95N2O6P	[1,3,4,6]			
	0.0116	Triradylglycerols	TG	C52H94O6				
844.6793	0.0003	Glycerophosphocholines	PC	C48H94NO8P	[1,3,4,6,8]			
	0.0003	Glycerophosphoethanolamines	PE	C48H94NO8P				
	0.0079	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H93NO10				
872.7107	0.0004	Glycerophosphocholines	PC	C50H98NO8P	[6]			
	0.0078	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C50H97NO10				
874.6582	0.0050	Glycerophosphoserines	PS	C48H92NO10P				
890.6377	0.0009	Acidic glycosphingolipids	SHexCer	C48H91NO11S				
	0.0186	Neutral glycosphingolipids	HexCer	C48H91NO13				

Ions in the spectra are identified based on m/z matching using LIPID MAPS (The LIPID MAPS® Lipidomics Gateway, https://www.lipidmaps.org/(Fahy et al., 2007; Fahy et al., 2009)). Tolerance was set to $\pm 0.02 m/z$ to reduce the number of matches, which were further vetted by literature. Identified lipids shown in bold were further analyzed. Abbreviations follow the suggested standardized notation for mass spectrometric data for lipids (Liebisch et al., 2020).

^a Included in the list despite the higher delta value, to complement the TLC results (S10 Fig).

^b The moniker "triradylglycerol" refers to any one of the substituent groups (acyl, alkyl, or alk-1-enyl) being at each of the three possible positions.

^c References: [1] Aimo et al., 2015; [2] Cheng et al., 2007; [3] Gopalakrishnan et al., 2013; [4] Ren et al., 2021; [5] Maganti et al., 2019; [6] Fernández et al., 2016; [7] Angel et al., 2012; [8] Anderson et al., 2013; [9] Mohammadi et al., 2016; [10] Cheng et al., 2010].

to lipids in myelin, and their broader distribution across the membrane, our ability to detect—by neutron diffraction and with the current experimental design—deuterated protein in the presence of deuterated lipid would be challenging.

In addition to the prominent increase in scattering density in the hydrocarbon region, the difference maps across the range of contrast variation (S7 Fig) show a more subtle difference in deuterium distribution. As the amount of diffusible deuterium increased via H-D exchange from the equilibration solutions that ranged from 0% D₂O to 100% D₂O, there was a systematic shift of the high density, metabolically-incorporated deuterium-label peak by 7–8 Å along the x-axis toward the lipid polar group layer in the extracellular half of the bilayer. At the current resolution of the data, this change is within the uncertainty of the error bars; however, it suggests that there are exchangeable hydrogens at this depth in the membrane bilayer, at least for aldehyde-fixed myelin.

We conclude from the structural analysis that the metabolicallyincorporated deuterium is predominantly concentrated in the central trough of the membrane bilayer, i.e., where there is the greatest proportion of hydrogen atoms that could be substituted for in part by deuterium during *de novo* lipogenesis or during normal lipid turnover. To confirm the incorporation of deuterium into the myelin, and to gain a more thorough understanding of the nature of the deuteration, we undertook analysis by mass spectrometry of the lipids isolated from the trigeminal nerves that had been frozen in parallel to the sciatic nerves used for structural analysis.

4.3. Metabolic deuteration of mouse tissue lipids

The earliest research describing the distribution of mass isotopologues among deuterated myelin lipids isolated from D_2O -administered mice was reported by (Ando et al., 2003). Their protocol was a "pulse-chase" in which 30% D_2O in drinking water was available *ad libitum* for 4–6 weeks to four different groups of mice, ranging in age from five weeks to ~2 years. The mice were sacrificed periodically for up to ~200 days to follow the incorporation and disappearance of deuterium from myelin lipids purified from the CNS (cerebellum). An earlier study (Tanaka and Ando, 1981) focused on the replacement rates of liver lipids (TAG, PC, and PE) in

~6-week old mice in which deuterium from D-spiked drinking water was integrated into fatty acids during a 15-day timespan. (We deduced the age of these mice from the stated, initial weight of the mice for the particular strain used, C3H/He, and its growth curve: https://www.criver.com/pro ducts-services/find-model/c3h-mouse?region=3611.) Both of these studies demonstrate the feasibility of incorporating deuterium-a stable non-radioactive isotope-to study lipid turnover in mice; however, all the mice including the youngest ones were beyond the age of their most rapid and robust myelination, which is up to \sim 4 weeks in the PNS and \sim 5 weeks in the CNS (Agrawal et al., 2009). The idea to use MS to analyze isotopologue patterns in the biosynthesis of molecules in the fetus of a pregnant dam exposed to D₂O-spiked drinking water was first mentioned in a review article in 2004 (Hellerstein, 2004), but to our knowledge this experiment was not undertaken. To distinguish lipogenesis during myelination vs. that from myelin maintenance, we initiated D₂O administration via drinking water to pregnant dams, and analyzed the lipids from both the dam and her pups when the latter were mature (P55). In the current paper, we report our MS analysis of trigeminal nerve lipids from the same mice in order to confirm and illuminate chemically our localization by neutron diffraction of the deuterium label that was centered in the membrane bilayer of sciatic nerve myelin.

4.4. MS confirmed deuteration of lipids, and variable deuterium levels and label distribution in D-dam

Negative-ion MS of the lipids isolated from whole trigeminal nerves from the controls—H-dams and their offspring, H-pups—showed the expected natural abundance isotopes (¹H, ¹²C, and ¹⁴N) for the monoisotopes (see upper two spectra in each panel of Fig. 6, and upper spectrum of S11B Fig). As a control, we also used the MassWorks analytical tool to determine the level of naturally-occurring deuterium (²H, D) incorporation in the controls: the value was 0.19% \pm 2.4% (n = 230), which is about ten times the abundance of ²H in water (Kirshenbaum, 1951). Given the large standard deviation, this apparent low level of deuterium found in the controls is statistically indistinguishable from the expected zero value, which indicates MS measurement error or noise. By contrast with the MS spectra from the H-mice, all of the spectra from the



Fig. 4. Scattering density profiles of myelin from pups and dams. The profiles were calculated using the interpolated structure amplitudes (for h = 1-5) based on the linear regression shown in Fig. 3. The origin (r = 0 Å) corresponds to the cytoplasmic boundary between membrane pairs. The profiles were scaled such that in 0% and 100% D₂O, the absolute density at the extracellular apposition was equal to the neutron scattering densities of H₂O and D₂O containing volume fractions of protein of 0.14, and in the center of the bilayer, a volume fraction of protein of 0.09 (Kirschner et al., 1976). (A) H-dam vs. H-pup at 0% and at 100% D₂O. (Profiles for H-pup in 0% and 100% D₂O-PBS calculated from Bragg orders 1–6 are shown in S8 Fig.) (B) D-pup vs. D-dam at 0% D₂O. (Data for D-dam at 100% D₂O-PBS was not collected owing to time constraints on the beamline.)

D-pups and most spectra from the D-dam showed a decrease in the relative intensity of the monoisotopic peak that was paralleled by an increased number of detectable mass isotopologues (Fig. 6, lower two spectra in each panel; and lower spectrum of S11B Fig). The increase in abundance of the evenly-spaced isotopologues at m/z values (A+1, A+2, \dots A + n) following the monoisotope peak (A₀) indicated the addition of N = 1, *n* deuterium atoms to the lipid, or the formatioon of M₁, M₂, ..., M_n isotopologues related to the original undeuterated isotopologue M₀. The MassWorks analysis clearly resolved this undeuterated isotopologue M₀ from the deuterated isotopologues (M₁, M₂, ..., M_n) and indicated how much more deuterated the pups' lipids were by contrast with the variable level of deuteration of the dam's lipids: e.g., for the identified lipids, the average level of deuteration in the D-pups' lipids was 97.6% \pm 2.0% (n = 54; range 89.6–99.6%), whereas their mother's (D-dam's) values ranged broadly from 11.4 to 97.3% (avg. $60.6\% \pm 26.4\%$, n = 27) (Fig. 7). Moreover, the number of additional isotopologues was more variable and generally fewer in D-dam. In other words, the percentage of lipids that had one or more deuterium atoms was substantially greater in the pups than in their mother. The consistent, overwhelming deuteration of lipids in the D-pups must be a consequence of the prolonged period from early fetal life to two months of age, when deuterium was incorporated metabolically into the rapidly myelinating tissues (Agrawal et al., 2009) and when there would likely be very slow turnover of lipids in the growing, multilamellar myelin sheath. During this same timeframe for treatment, the mother would have maintained a normal level of lipid turnover, which would depend not only on the particular lipid species but also on the molecular subspecies. This interpretation assumes that any effect of deuterium on biosynthesis and assembly, and on metabolism and turnover is negligible, notwithstanding that the C-D bond has a higher dissociation energy (341.4 kJ/mol vs. 338.4 for kJ/mol for C-H (Luo, 2007)) and is reported to be less susceptible to enzymatic cleavage (Kushner et al., 1999). Our finding for mouse PNS myelin is consistent with results from analysis of mouse and rat CNS myelin, which have been shown to undergo appreciable changes not only across phospholipid and glycolipid species but also among their molecular subspecies during development and maturation (Leray et al., 1994; Davis et al., 2020).

Based on the MS analysis of neural tissues from mice (and rats) and from curated databases, we identified 26 distinct lipids distributed over three different lipid classes (Fig. 6) in our samples. The classes consisted of glycerophospholipids (with the species PE, PC, PS, and PI), sphingolipids (species HexCer, SHexCer, SM), and acylglycerols (species FA, DG, and TAG). Except for PI and the acylglycerols, each lipid species had more than one molecular subspecies. To compare lipids, we arranged the MS spectra by molecular subspecies according to the deuterium distribution patterns exhibited by D-dam, such that lipids with similar patterns were grouped together into three categories based on high (Group 1), intermediate (Group 2), and low levels of deuteration (Group 3), indicative of high, intermediate, and low turnover of lipids. The most heavily deuterated lipids (>90%) were PC (three of seven subspecies), PI, and DG, and closely resembled the corresponding spectra of the pups. The intermediate levels of deuteration (\sim 60–90%) also resembled that in the pups but at lower levels, and consisted of PE (four of six subspecies), PC (three of seven subspecies), and PS (one species). The least deuterated (\sim 10 to <60%), and hence most stable lipids, were SHexCer (three subspecies), TG, SM (two), PE (two of six), FA, HexCer (two), PC (one of seven), and PS (one of two).

Our results are consistent with previous findings that used precursors labeled with a variety of tracers (¹³C, ¹⁴C, ²H, ³H, ³²P) and demonstrated, at least in the CNS, a differential distribution of label and widely differing turnover rates among the lipid classes of myelin. For example, there is general agreement that the ranking of mouse myelin lipids from most rapid to slowest turnover half-life is: (PI, PC) \approx PE < (HexCer, SHexCer) < SM (Ando et al., 2003; Morell and Ousley, 1994; Poitelon et al., 2020 and references therein).

What is distinctive about our results compared to the earlier studies is the clear variation in deuteration levels among the molecular subspecies of the lipids we identified: e.g., the seven subspecies of PC varied from ~50% to >90%, and the six molecular subspecies of PE varied from ~40% to ~80%, indicating a wide range of metabolic turnover. In addition, all three subspecies of SHexCer had very low levels of deuteration (varying form ${\sim}10\%$ to ${<}30\%$), reflecting long half-lives (stability) in the myelin membrane, whereas HexCer (the precursor for sulfatide) showed \sim 50% deuteration and, therefore, greater turnover. The similarly-high deuteration levels (at >90%) of PI and DG is consistent with their role in the inositol-phospholipid signaling pathway, where hydrolysis of the membrane-bound, doubly-phosphorylated PI (or PIP2) forms 2 s messengers, one of which (DG) remains in the membrane. The deuterated fatty acyl chains of PI, therefore, most likely account for the deuterated fatty acyl chains of DG. The low level of deuteration for TG $(\sim 20\%)$ is consistent with its role as a stable, storage reservoir for fatty acids. The high deuteration level for several of the PC subspecies that we identified may relate to the biosynthetic pathway involving condensation between highly-deuterated DG and cytidine 5'-diphosphocholine (CDPcholine or citicoline) (Fagone and Jackowski, 2013). PC also plays a role



(caption on next column)

Fig. 5. Scattering density profiles and difference profiles of myelin from D-mice vs. H-mice. The profiles were calculated using the interpolated structure amplitudes (for h = 1-5) based on the linear regression shown in Fig. 3. The origin (r = 0 Å) corresponds to the cytoplasmic boundary between membrane pairs. The profiles were scaled as described in Fig. 4 and text, and are all on the same scale. (A) Scattering density profiles for D-dam and H-dam in 0% D₂O-PBS and (B) their difference profile. (For an expanded y-scale, see S9 Fig.) (C) Scattering density profiles for D-pup and H-pup in 0% and 100% D₂O-PBS, and (D) their difference profiles in 0% (red) and 100% (blue) D₂O-PBS. (For an expanded y-scale for 0% D₂O-PBS, see S9 Fig.) Comparison between D-pup and H-pup in the series of solutions of varying contrast showed this consistent difference (S6 and S7 Figs). Error bars for the difference profile ($\Delta = D - H$) were calculated from: $\delta \Delta = [(\delta D)^2 + (\delta H)2]^{\frac{14}{7}}$, where δD and δH are the errors at each position in the neutron scattering density profile. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

in membrane-mediated cell signaling and phosphatidylcholine-transfer protein activation of other enzymes (Kang et al., 2010). Taken together, our findings underscore not only the dynamic metabolic diversity of the lipids but also are consistent with widely varying functions among their subspecies.

As described above, deuterium enrichment was indicated by the progressive increase in the abundance of higher mass isotopologues. The distributions of these D-labeled molecules always formed symmetric, bell-shaped envelopes for the lipids of D-pups, but usually formed more complex envelopes for lipids of the D-dam. The symmetric shape of the envelope for D-pups indicated a maximum level of deuteration for the Dpups' lipids, which depends on the relative amount of deuterium and hydrogen in the D₂O-spiked drinking water. Exceptions were SHexCer (m/z 862.61) and PC (m/z 844.68) which showed slightly higher proportions of lower-mass isotopologues (e.g., M1 and M2)-this might be explained by different "schedules" of lipogenesis for these two lipid subspecies during gestation: e.g., these particular molecular subspecies of sulfatide and phosphatidylcholine might be the earliest synthesized by the myelin-forming cells of the gestating pups and thus crucial to subsequent myelin formation. There is abundant evidence in the CNS that sulfatide has crucial roles in oligodendrocyte maturation, and subsequently in myelin formation and stability (reviewed by (Grassi et al., 2016)); and sulfatide subspecies have been shown to have different developmental timetables during oligodendrocyte maturation and myelinogenesis as demonstrated using imaging mass spectrometry (Hirahara et al., 2017). Other distinct distributions were PE (m/z 700.53) and PC (m/z 758.57) which showed enhanced values for higher-mass isotopologues M_i (e.g., i > 10), suggesting a different population of these subspecies; however, these variations may also be accounted for by slight differences in the retention times (S11 Fig).

The average number of deuterium labels in a lipid, calculated as a weighted average, depended on the lipid species (Fig. 8). By contrast with results from D-pups, the shapes of the isotopologue distribution curves for D-dam ranged from being symmetric, bell-shaped—Group 1 of D-dam (DG, PI, and PCs having *m*/z values of 806.57, 885.55, and 758.57 [the lattermost showing two, overlapping bell-shaped patterns])-to showing a complex combination of high-percentage monoisotope with nascent bell-shaped isotopologue distributions (many in Group 2), to being predominantly undeuterated with relatively small levels of label (Group 3). Similar isotopologue distributions were also reported for cerebellar myelin lipids (Ando et al., 2003) and for liver fatty acids (Ando and Tanaka, 2005; Tanaka and Ando, 1981) from mice given D₂O-spiked drinking water. These researchers accounted for their findings by positing the coexistence of several fractions of molecules that included newly-synthesized ones having relatively few deuteriums, to molecules that were either undeuterated or synthesized from recycled deuterium-containing constituents. Reactions that are likely to be involved in incorporating deuterium from D₂O into the lipids include glyceroneogenesis or glycolysis, where deuterium can be transferred into the C-H bonds of tryglceride's glycerol backbone (Turner et al., 2003),



Fig. 6. Mass spectrometry of lipids isolated from mouse trigeminal nerves. Each vertical set of four spectra (or "panel") corresponds to a different lipid, and shows (top to bottom) the mass isotope distribution as relative abundance vs. m/z for H-dam, H-pup, D-dam, and D-pup. For undeuterated samples, the peaks correspond to natural abundance isotopes; and for deuterated samples, the series of peaks at increasing m/z values correspond to increasing incorporation of D. The panels are grouped by the extent of deuteration for D-dam: Group 1, high (bounded by blue); Group 2, intermediate (bounded by purple); and Group 3, low (bounded by bilious or olive green). Within each group of panels, lipids of the same species but different values of m/z are enclosed by a box that is distinguished by a particular line type (see key) and are identified in the upper left corner of the box by an abbreviation. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)



Fig. 7. Comparison of deuteration levels of lipids in D-dam and D-pups. These results are based on mass spectrometry of lipids in negative and positive ion modes, as analyzed using MassWorks (Cerno Bioscience). Of the 89 experimental monoisotopes that we determined using MS-LAMP (Sabareesh and Singh, 2013), 27 (y-axis) corresponded to m/z values that have been identified as specific lipid species in mouse or rat neural tissue and in curated databases (see references cited in Materials and methods). For each monoisotope value, the percent deuteration levels-calculated by summing the relative percentages of the deuterium isotopologues-are indicated for the mother (in red; D-dam) and two of her pups (in blue; D-pups). Seven different species of lipids plus three different species of acylglycerols were identified that corresponded to the m/zvalues: PE (6 sets of data), PC (7 sets), PS (2 sets), HexCer (2 sets), SHexCer (3 sets), SM (2 sets), and PI (1 set, and one technical replicate); and acylglycerols TG (1 set), DG (1 set) and FA (1 set). Open circles and filled circles correspond to measurements from negative and positive ion mode spectra, respectively. T.R. denotes technical replicate (see also Fig. 8). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

and the fatty acid synthesis reaction, where deuterium can be incorporated enzymatically into the lengthening fatty acyl chains (Zhang et al., 2017; Belew et al., 2019).

5. Conclusions

Our study's accomplishments were twofold: one, we demonstrated that neutron diffraction could be used to successfully localize in intact tissue the deuterium that had been metabolically incorporated *in vivo*; and two, we demonstrated that metabolic incorporation of deuterium as a stable isotope during gestation vs. after maturation can provide insight about the fates of specific lipid species and subspecies in myelin formation, maintenance, and turnover. Our experimental design—in which the pups were sacrificed at P55, which is immediately after the most rapid rate of myelination, whereas the dams were sacrificed at P131, near the end of the slowed rate of myelination (Agrawal et al., 2009)—enabled us to distinguish the different deuterium incorporation scenarios for *de novo* lipogenesis during myelin accretion vs. targeted turnover of lipids based on particular functional demands of mature myelin. Based on these findings, we anticipate exploitation of this approach to developing a comprehensive understanding of how lipids are differentially regulated throughout life—gestation, the three phases of myelin accumulation, myelin "stability" during its maintenance, and myelin aging—in normal and pathological tissue.

6. Future perspectives

Our approach provides proof-of-concept for further research that relates specifically to myelin structure and dynamics and more broadly in other areas. In the experimental paradigm we used here for stable isotope incorporation via D₂O-spiked drinking water, not only was myelin labeled, but a priori one can surmise that all tissues in the mice were deuterated-differentially for the pups vs. the dam. Analysis by neutron diffraction to localize metabolically-incorporated deuterium could be applied to tissues that exhibit macro- and supramolecular order, including muscle fibers, retinal rod outer segments, collagen fibers, keratin, bone, etc., and to proteins that could be purified and organized with crystalline or paracrystalline order, e.g., myelin proteins (Raasakka et al., 2015; Raasakka and Kursula, 2020), hemoglobin, numerous enzymes, β-amyloid, etc. Recovering or preparing these different types of samples at different times during in vivo deuteration or after the D₂O has been deleted from the drinking water, and analyzing by MS the changes in distribution patterns of deuterium labeling would illuminate our understanding of the dynamics of these tissues and molecular assemblies. In particular, with respect to myelin, we can ask the following questions: What functions account for the differential deuteration of PC subspecies in the dam? How do the turnover rates for the different lipids vary with age once the myelin is "fully" mature? When the balance in myelin formation and maintenance are upset owing to mutations in lipid biogenic enzymes or in myelin structural proteins, how are the lipid species' turnovers affected? If lipids in the extracellular leaflet are less subject to turnover and more stable metabolically, can we argue that the least-deuterated lipids that we identified in D-dam are in the extracellular half of the bilayer-e.g., SHexCer, TG, and SM? Conversely, might we also argue that the most highly-deuterated lipids in D-dam are in the more metabolically-accessible cytoplasmic half of the bilayer-e.g., PC (three subspecies), PI, and DG? Thus, the convergence of lipidomics and metabolomics can be anticipated to provide extraordinary insight into myelinomics. An indication of the analytical and quantitative power of this approach has been demonstrated using HeLa cells (Goh et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019). In short, we anticipate that our correlated structural and biochemical study will promote a broad, comprehensive approach that is informative about structure, function, and metabolism in both health and disease.

Credit author statement

Anne Baumann: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing, Visualization, Funding acquisition. Andrew R. Denninger: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources, Writing–original draft, Funding acquisition. Marek Domin: Software, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Writing–original draft. Bruno Demé: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing, Visualization, Funding acquisition.



Fig. 8. Average number of deuteriums per lipid species in D-dam and D-pups. The average number of deuteriums for each lipid species was determined by calculating the weighted average of the numbers of deuteriums incorporated for the corresponding D-dam (red) and D-pups (blue). Open and filled circles correspond, respectively, to measurements from negative and positive ion mode spectra. The error bars are standard errors calculated from the weighted standard deviations (Heckert and Filliben, 2003). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Daniel A. Kirschner: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Funding

AB: The Western Norway Regional Health Authorities (Helse Vest project 911957) for providing Travel Support. **ARD**: The Company of Biologists Ltd. (https://www.biologists.com/travelling-fellowships/; Histon, Cambridge, CB24 9LF, UK) for a Travelling Fellowship. **DAK**: Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA [USA] for an Ignite Research Award (https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/academics/sites/provost/academics -research/Ignite.html). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

A.R. Denninger is currently employed by and owns shares of Epizyme, Inc., which had no bearing on his contributions to this work. This does not alter our adherence to CRSB and Elsevier's policies on sharing data

and materials.

Acknowledgments

We thank: Dr. Giuseppe (Joe) Zaccai for his continued interest and encouragement; Dr. Petri Kursula (Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; and Faculty of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Finland) for securing financial support for AB's visit to Boston College, and for reviewing and commenting on the manuscript; Boston College's Eugene F. Merkert Chemistry Center for granting us access to its the Mass Spectrometry Facility; Dr. Viviana Cristiglio for on-site technical support at the D16 neutron diffractometer; and the ILL for their generosity in allocating beam time on D16 and in hosting DAK in 2017 as a Visiting Scientist. We are particularly grateful to Dr. Yondong Wang, Cerno Bioscience (Las Vegas, NV), for his encouragement, and generous contribution of software and tutelage in using MassWorks. We also acknowledge useful discussions with Dr. Michael Kiebish (BERG Health LLC) in the early stages of our MS analysis.

DAK dedicates this paper to the memory of Dr. Donald L.D. Caspar (1927-2021), who was his graduate school and postdoctoral research mentor, and who remained keenly interested in DAK"s experimental findings in the research areas of structural biology pertaining to myelin and to amyloid.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crstbi.2022.06.003.

References

- Agrawal, D., Hawk, R., Avila, R.L., Inouye, H., Kirschner, D.A., 2009. Internodal myelination during development quantitated using X-ray diffraction. J. Struct. Biol. 168 (3), 521–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2009.06.019.
- Aimo, L., Liechti, R., Hyka-Nouspikel, N., Niknejad, A., Gleizes, A., Götz, L., et al., 2015. The SwissLipids knowledgebase for lipid biology. Bioinformatics 31 (17), 2860–2866. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv285.
- Anderson, D.M., Mills, D., Spraggins, J., Lambert, W.S., Calkins, D.J., Schey, K.L., 2013. High-resolution matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-imaging mass spectrometry of lipids in rodent optic nerve tissue. Mol. Vis. 19, 581–592. PMID: 23559852.
- Ando, S., Tanaka, Y., 2005. Mass spectrometric studies on brain metabolism, using stable isotopes. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 24 (6), 865–886. https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20045.
- Ando, S., Tanaka, Y., Toyoda, Y., Kon, K., 2003. Turnover of myelin lipids in aging brain. Neurochem. Res. 28 (1), 5–13. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021635826032.
- Angel, P.M., Spraggins, J.M., Baldwin, H.S., Caprioli, R., 2012. Enhanced sensitivity for high spatial resolution lipid analysis by negative ion mode matrix assisted laser desorption ionization imaging mass spectrometry. Anal. Chem. 84 (3), 1557–1564. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac202383m.
- Avila, Ř.A., Inouye, H., Baek, R., Yin, X., Trapp, B.D., Feltri, M.L., et al., 2005. Structure and stability of internodal myelin in mouse models of hereditary neuropathy. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 64, 976–990. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 01.inen.0000186925.95957.dc.
- Avila, R.A., D'Antonio, M., Bachi, A., Inouye, H., Feltri, M.L., Wrabetz, L., et al., 2010. P0 (protein zero) mutation Ser34Cys underlies instability of internodal myelin in S63C mice. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 42001–42012. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.166967.
- Belew, G.D., Silva, J., Rito, J., Tavares, L., Viegas, I., Teixeira, J., et al., 2019. Transfer of glucose hydrogens via acetyl-CoA, malonyl-CoA, and NADPH to fatty acids during de novo lipogenesis. J Lipid Res. Dec J. Lipid Res. 60 (12), 2050–2056. https://doi.org/ 10.1194/jlr.RA119000354.
- Blaurock, A.E., 1971. Structure of the nerve myelin membrane: proof of the lowresolution profile. J. Mol. Biol. 56 (1), 35–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(71)90082-9.
- Caspar, D.L.D., Kirschner, D.A., 1971. Myelin membrane structure at 10 Å resolution. Nat. New Biol. 231, 46–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/newbio231046a0.
- Cheng, H., Jiang, X., Han, X., 2007. Alterations in lipid homeostasis of mouse dorsal root ganglia induced by apolipoprotein E deficiency: a shotgun lipidomics study. J. Neurochem. 101, 57–76. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04342.x.
- Cheng, H., Sun, G., Yang, K., Gross, R.W., Han, X., 2010. Selective desorption/ionization of sulfatides by MALDI-MS facilitated using 9-aminoacridine as matrix. J. Lipid Res. 51 (6), 1599–1609. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.D004077.
- Da Silva, T.F., Eira, J., Lopes, A., Malheiro, A.R., Sousa, V., Luoma, A.M., et al., 2014. Peripheral nervous system plasmalogens regulate Schwann cell differentiation and myelination. J. Clin. Invest. 124 (6), 2560–2570. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI72063.

A. Baumann et al.

- Denninger, A.R., Demé, B., Cristiglio, V., LeDuc, G., Feller, W.B., Kirschner, D.A., 2014. Neutron scattering from myelin revisited: bilayer asymmetry and water-exchange kinetics. Acta Crystallogr. D70, 3198–3211. https://doi.org/10.1107/ S1399004714023815.
- Denninger, A.R., Breglio, A., Maheras, K.J., LeDuc, G., Cristiglio, V., Demé, B., et al., 2015. Claudin-11 tight junctions in myelin are a barrier to diffusion and lack strong adhesive properties. Biophys. J. 109 (7), 1387–1397. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bpj.2015.08.012.
- Fagone, P., Jackowski, S., 2013. Phosphatidylcholine and the CDP-choline cycle. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1831 (3), 523–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2012.09.009.
- Fahy, E., Sud, M., Cotter, D., Subramaniam, S., 2007. LIPID MAPS online tools for lipid research (Web Server issue). Nucleic Acids Res. 35, W606–W612. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkm324.
- Fahy, E., Subramaniam, S., Murphy, R.C., Nishijima, M., Raetz, C.R., Shimizu, T., et al., 2009. Update of the LIPID MAPS comprehensive classification system for lipids. J. Lipid Res. 50, S9–S14. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R800095-JLR200.
- Fernández, R., Carriel, V., Lage, S., Garate, J., Díez-García, J., Ochoa, B., et al., 2016. Deciphering the lipid architecture of the rat sciatic nerve using imaging mass spectrometry. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 7 (5), 624–632. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acschemneuro.6b00010.
- Flores, A.I., Narayanan, S.P., Morse, E., Shick, H.B., Avila, R.L., Kirschner, D.A., et al., 2008. Constitutively active Akt induces myelination in the central nervous system. J. Neurosci. 28, 7174–7183. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0150-08.2008.
- Ganser, A.L., Kerner, A.-L., Brown, B.J., Davisson, M.T., Kirschner, D.A., 1988. A survey of neurological mutant mice: I. Lipid composition of myelinated tissue in known myelin mutants. Dev. Neurosci. 10, 99–122. https://doi.org/10.1159/000111961.
- Goh, B., Kim, J., Seo, S., Kim, T.Y., 2018. High-throughput measurement of lipid turnover rates using partial metabolic heavy water labeling. Anal. Chem. 90 (11), 6509–6518. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b05428.
- Gopalakrishnan, G., Awasthi, A., Belkaid, W., De Faria Jr., O., Liazoghli, D., Colman, D.R., et al., 2013. Lipidome and proteome map of myelin membranes. J. Neurosci. Res. 91 (3), 321–334. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23157.
- Grassi, S., Prioni, S., Cabitta, L., Aureli, M., Sonnino, S., Prinetti, A., 2016. The role of 3-Osulfogalactosylceramide, sulfatide, in the lateral organization of myelin membrane. Neurochem. Res. 41 (1–2), 130–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-015-1747-2.
- Haug, K., Cochrane, K., Nainala, V.C., Williams, M., Chang, J., Jayaseelan, K.V., O'Donovan, C., 2020. MetaboLights: a resource evolving in response to the needs of its scientific community. Nucleic Acids Res. 48 (D1), D440–D444. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkz1019.
- Heckert, N.A., Filliben, J.J., 2003. NIST Handbook 148: Dataplot Reference Manual. 2003, vol. 2. Let Subcommands and Library Functions, National Institute of Standards and Technology Handbook Series, June, pp. 2–66.
- Hellerstein, M.K., 2004. New stable isotope-mass spectrometric techniques for measuring fluxes through intact metabolic pathways in mammalian systems: introduction of moving pictures into functional genomics and biochemical phenotyping. Metab. Eng. 6 (1), 85–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2003.10.005.
- Hirahara, Y., Wakabayashi, T., Mori, T., Koike, T., Yao, I., Tsuda, M., et al., 2017. Sulfatide species with various fatty acid chains in oligodendrocytes at different developmental stages determined by imaging mass spectrometry. J. Neurochem. 140 (3), 435–450. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13897.
- Inouye, H., Kuo, F.H., Denninger, A.R., Weinhausen, B., Burghammer, M., Kirschner, D.A., 2017. Myelin structure in unfixed, single nerve fibers: scanning X-ray microdiffraction with a beam size of 200 nm. J. Struct. Biol. 200 (3), 229–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ibs.2017.07.001.
- Kang, H.W., Wei, J., Cohen, D.E., 2010. PC-TP/StARD2: of membranes and metabolism. Trends Endocrinol. Metabol. 21 (7), 449–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.tem.2010.02.001.
- Katz, J.J., Crespi, H.L., Czajka, D.M., Finkel, A.J., 1962. Course of deuteriation and some physiological effects of deuterium in mice. Am. J. Physiol. 203, 907–913. https:// doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1962.203.5.907.
- Kim, J., Kang, D., Lee, S.K., Kim, T.Y., 2019. Deuterium oxide labeling for global omics relative quantification: application to lipidomics. Anal. Chem. 91 (14), 8853–8863. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b00086.
- Kirschner, D.A., Ganser, A.L., 1980. Compact myelin exists in the absence of basic protein in the shiverer mutant mouse. Nature 283, 207–210. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 283207a0.
- Kirschner, D.A., Ganser, A.L., 1982. Myelin labeled with mercuric chloride: asymmetric localization of phosphatidylethanolamine plasmalogen. J. Mol. Biol. 157, 635–658. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90503-4.
- Kirschner, D.A., Hollingshead, C.J., 1980. Processing for electron microscopy alters membrane structure and packing in myelin. J. Ultra. Res. 73, 211–232. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5320(80)90125-2.
- Kirschner, D.A., 1974. Comparative X-ray and neutron diffraction studies on myelin membranes. In: Yip, S., Chen, S.-H. (Eds.), Neutron, Laser and X-Ray Spectroscopy. Academic Press, New York, pp. 203–233.
- Kirschner, D.A., Caspar, D.L., Schoenborn, B.P., Nunes, A.C., 1976. Neutron diffraction studies of nerve myelin. Brookhaven Symp. Biol. 27, III68–76. PMID: 986860.
- Kirschner, D.A., Blaurock, A.E., 1992. Organization, phylogenetic variations and dynamic transitions of myelin structure. In: Martenson, R.E. (Ed.), Myelin: Biology and Chemistry. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 3–78.

- Kirschner, D.A., Avila, R.L., Gamez Sazo, R.E., Luoma, A., Gu, Enzmann, Agrawal, D., et al., 2010. Rapid assessment of internodal myelin integrity in CNS tissue. J. Neurosci. Res. 88, 712–721. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.22241.
- Kirshenbaum, I., 1951. Physical Properties and Analysis of Heavy Water. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Koletzko, B., Sauerwald, T., Demmelmair, H., 1997. Safety of stable isotope use. Eur. J. Pediatr. 156 (Suppl. 1), S12–S17. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00014267.
- Kuehl, D., Wang, Y., Wang, P.L., Zhou, D., 2021. Spectrally accurate quantitative analysis of isotope-labeled compounds. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 13, e9103. https:// doi.org/10.1002/rcm.9103.
- Kushner, D.J., Baker, A., Dunstall, T.G., 1999. Pharmacological uses and perspectives of heavy water and deuterated compounds. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 77 (2), 79–88. PMID: 10535697.
- Lazzarini, R.A., Griffin, J.W., Lassman, H., Nave, K.-A., Miller, R.H., Trapp, B.D. (Eds.), 2004. Myelin Biology and Disorders, vols. 1 & 2. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-439510-7.X5051-7.
- Leray, C., Sarliève, L.L., Dreyfus, H., Massarelli, R., Binaglia, L., Freysz, L., 1994. Molecular species of choline and ethanolamine glycerophospholipids in rat brain myelin during development. Lipids 29 (1), 77–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02537095.
- Liebisch, G., Fahy, E., Aoki, J., Dennis, E.A., Durand, T., Ejsing, C.S., et al., 2020. Update on LIPID MAPS classification, nomenclature, and shorthand notation for MS-derived lipid structures. J. Lipid Res. 61 (12), 1539–1555. https://doi.org/10.1194/ ilr.\$120001025.
- Luo, Y.R., 2007. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420007282.
- Luoma, A.M., Kuo, F., Cakici, O., Crowther, M.N., Denninger, A.R., Avila, R.L., et al., 2015. Plasmalogen phospholipids protect internodal myelin from oxidative damage. Free Radical Biol. Med. 84, 296–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.freeradbiomed.2015.03.012.
- Maganti, R.J., Hronowski, X.L., Dunstan, R.W., Wipke, B.T., Zhang, X., Jandreski, L., et al., 2019. Defining changes in the spatial distribution and composition of brain lipids in the shiverer and cuprizone mouse models of myelin disease. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 67 (3), 203–219. https://doi.org/10.1369/0022155418815860.
- Mohammadi, A.S., Phan, N.T., Fletcher, J.S., Ewing, A.G., 2016. Intact lipid imaging of mouse brain samples: MALDI, nanoparticle-laser desorption ionization, and 40 keV argon cluster secondary ion mass spectrometry. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 408 (24), 6857–6868. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9812-5.
- Morell, P., Ousley, A.H., 1994. Metabolic turnover of myelin glycerophospholipids. Neurochem. Res. 19 (8), 967–974. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00968706.
- Poitelon, Y., Kopec, A.M., Belin, S., 2020. Myelin fat facts: an overview of lipids and fatty acid metabolism. Cells 9 (4), 812. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9040812.
- Raasakka, A., Kursula, P., 2020. Flexible players within the sheaths: the intrinsically disordered proteins of myelin in health and disease. Cells 9 (2), 470. Erratum in: Cells. 2022 Feb 14;11(4):662. PMID: 32085570. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9020470.
- Raasakka, A., Myllykoski, M., Laulumaa, S., Lehtimäki, M., Härtlein, M., Moulin, M., et al., 2015. Determinants of ligand binding and catalytic activity in the myelin enzyme 2',3'-cyclic nucleotide 3'-phosphodiesterase. Sci. Rep. 5, 16520. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep16520.
- Ren, H., Triebl, A., Muralidharan, S., Wenk, M.R., Xia, Y., Torta, F., 2021. Mapping the distribution of double bond location isomers in lipids across mouse tissues. Analyst 146 (12), 3899–3907. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1an00449b.
- Richard, D., Ferrand, M., Kearle, G.J., 1996. Analysis and visualization of neutronscattering data. J. Neutron Res. 4, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10238169608200065.
- Sabareesh, V., Singh, G., 2013. Mass spectrometry based lipid(ome) analyzer and molecular platform: a new software to interpret and analyze electrospray and/or matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometric data of lipids: a case study from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J. Mass Spectrom. 48 (4), 465–477. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jms.3163.
- Schmitt, S., Castelvetri, L.C., Simons, M., 2014. Metabolism and functions of lipids in myelin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1851 (8), 999–1005. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bbalip.2014.12.016.
- Scott, S.S., Bruckdorfer, K.R., Worcester, D.L., 1980. The symmetrical distribution of cholesterol across the myelin membrane bilayer determined by deuterium labeling in vivo and neutron diffraction. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 8 (6), 717. https://doi.org/ 10.1042/bst0080717.
- Tanaka, Y., Ando, S., 1981. Increased stearate formation by chain elongation in streptozotocin diabetic mice: compensation for decreased de novo fatty acid synthesis. Biomed. Res. 2 (4), 404–412. https://doi.org/10.2220/biomedres.2.404.
- Turner, S.M., Murphy, E.J., Neese, R.A., Antelo, F., Thomas, T., Agarwal, A., et al., 2003. Measurement of TG synthesis and turnover in vivo by ²H₂O incorporation into the glycerol moiety and application of MIDA. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 285 (4), E790–E803. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00402.2002.
- Wang, Y., Gu, M., 2010. The concept of spectral accuracy for MS. Anal. Chem. 82 (17), 7055–7062. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100888b.
- West, H., Reid, G.E., 2021. Hybrid 213 nm photodissociation of cationized sterol lipid ions yield [M]⁺. Radical products for improved structural characterization using multistage tandem mass spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta 1141, 100–109. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.10.013.
- Zhang, Z., Chen, L., Liu, L., Su, X., Rabinowitz, J.D., 2017. Chemical basis for deuterium labeling of fat and NADPH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (41), 14368–14371. https:// doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b08012.