

Alternative atrial pacing site to improve cardiac function: focus on Bachmann's bundle pacing

Edmond M. Cronin¹*, Natasha Vedage¹, and Carsten W. Israel²

¹Section of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Philadelphia, USA; and ²Department of Medicine–Cardiology, Diabetology, and Nephrology, Bethel-Clinic, Bielefeld, Germany

KEYWORDS

Bachmann's bundle; atrial pacing; physiologic pacing; right atrial appendage Pacing from the right atrial appendage (RAA) prolongs the P wave duration and can induce interatrial and especially left-sided atrio-ventricular dyssynchrony. Pacing from Bachmann's bundle closely reproduces normal physiology and has the potential to avoid the electromechanical dysfunction associated with conventional RAA pacing. Interatrial conduction delay is associated with an increased risk of stroke, heart failure, and death. In addition to a reduction in atrial fibrillation, Bachmann's bundle pacing has emerging applications as a hemodynamic pacing modality. This review outlines the pathophysiology of atrial conduction disturbances and their potential remedies and provides the reader with a practical guide to implementing Bachmann's bundle pacing with an emphasis on the recapitulation of normal electrical and mechanical function.

Introduction

The concept of atrial physiologic pacing has, in contrast to the ventricle, received scant attention. Deleterious effects of right atrial appendage (RAA) pacing have long been described, which can be avoided by pacing at the area of Bachmann's bundle (BB). This can be implemented especially in those patients who will receive substantial atrial pacing but also may have advantages as a location for atrial sensing. This review aims to describe the anatomy of this structure, review the derangements of atrial conduction and their consequences, compare the results of RAA and BB pacing, and lastly, provide a practical guide to the implementation of physiologic atrial pacing in clinical practice.

Anatomy of interatrial conduction

The interatrial band, described initially in dogs by Lewis *et al.*,¹ and further characterized by Bachmann,² whose name it now bears, is a unique structure of myocardium that runs across the atrial roof from the right to the left atrial appendage and can be grossly visualized spanning

*Corresponding author. Tel: +1 215 707 8484, Fax: +1 215 707 7718, Email: edmond.cronin@tuhs.temple.edu

the interatrial sulcus. In a series of 12 dissections, the width ranged from 3-14 mm (mean 6 mm), and height 6-18 mm (mean and median 11 mm).³ Unlike the bundle of His, it is not insulated by a surrounding fibrous sheath but can be distinguished from surrounding fat and connective tissue as a distinct structure in the sulcus, whereas the right and left atrial insertions blend into the surrounding myocardium.⁴ The rightward extensions reach the sinus node, terminal crest, and sagittal bundle, whereas the leftward surround the left atrial appendage. The right atrial endocardial projection of the BB is therefore narrow, with a width of 6.3 ± 3 mm (median 4), and centered consistently along a vertical line connecting the fossa ovalis and the superior vena cava, between 2 and 16 mm from the apex of the fossa.⁵

Just as BB can be grossly visualized as a distinct band, it also has a unique histologic appearance with a makeup of abundant Purkinje-like myocytes with characteristically low myofibril content.⁶ In dogs, the transmembrane electrical properties of these specialized myocytes are unique and feature a prominent phase 2 plateau, rapid rising velocity (dv/dt), and higher resting membrane and action potentials compared to normal atrial myocytes. This allows for rapid conduction with velocities reaching 1.7 m/s, two to four times faster than surrounding atrial myocardium.^{7,8} In addition, parallel longitudinal

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com arrangement of myocytes certainly allows for earliest activation of the left atrium over BB, as elegantly demonstrated by electroanatomic mapping studies in patients with atrial fibrillation.^{4,5,9} Other routes of interatrial conduction are also present of which the coronary sinus (CS) is the most prominent.⁵

Interatrial conduction delay and atrial myopathy

Given its central role in interatrial conduction, it is no surprise that pathologic processes where fibrosis and inflammation extend into BB are associated with significant delays in left atrial activation. Bachmann produced marked lengthening of the interatrial conduction time by crushing his eponymous bundle in dogs in 1916,² although it was not until much more recently that interatrial blocks were formally recognized.¹⁰ Conduction delay in BB results in prolongation of the P wave to 120 ms or greater, along with a notched or bimodal morphology in leads I, II, or III [partial interatrial block (IAB)].¹⁰ When a complete block is present, left atrial depolarization is not only delayed but the activation pattern is also altered, with activation proceeding over the CS and thence in a caudo-cranial direction, producing a terminal negative P wave deflection in the inferior leads. The advanced interatrial block is defined by a P wave with a duration greater than or equal to 120 ms and a biphasic morphology in leads II, III, and aVF.¹⁰ The presence of partial or advanced IAB is associated with an increased risk of stroke, systemic thromboembolism, heart failure, incident AF, and death.¹¹ Advanced IAB is associated with an increased risk of stroke even in the absence of atrial fibrillation.¹² This observation, along with the finding that while the risk of stroke is transiently higher during and after episodes of AF, many strokes in patients with AF occur temporally remote from the onset of AF,^{13,14} suggests that atrial fibrosis is a common cause of both AF and stroke.

The histologic infrastructure of atrial tissue has been well characterized in atrial fibrillation. There is a positive correlation between the severity of AF and extent of fibrofatty replacement of atrial myocardium. Even in BB tissue, there was a significantly greater proportion of fibrosis in permanent AF patients compared to paroxysmal AF (P = 0.041).¹⁵ This correlates with other studies which have demonstrated an inverse relationship between degree of IAB and absolute value of strain rate, directly implicating IAB in atrial mechanical dysfunction.¹⁶

The delayed and abnormal left atrial depolarization sequence seen in advanced IAB also has hemodynamic consequences including overlapping of atrial systole with the onset of the QRS against a closed mitral valve, resulting in reduced stroke volume and maladaptive atrial remodeling (*Figure 1*).¹⁷ This then begs the question, if interatrial conduction could be restored via pacing, could some of these poor clinical outcomes be averted or reversed?

Conventional right atrial appendage pacing

The earliest transvenous leads were tined, passive fixation leads, which required a trabeculated surface in which to entangle the tines for stability. The RAA provided an ideal location for these leads. With the advent of active fixation leads, almost any site became available for implantation and a pacing site in the RAA was no longer advantageous, however, it remained the default location for atrial lead implantation for most operators. The sinus node, arguably the most physiologic atrial pacing site, presents a smooth surface on the endocardial right atrial free wall, with the attendant risk of perforation. In addition, patients with sinus node dysfunction (SND) may manifest a prolonged sino-atrial conduction time and even sinus node exit block, making this an unattractive site. The high lateral right atrial free wall below the sinus node is highly trabeculated and therefore offers good lead stability, sensing, and pacing performance. However, screw-in lead tips will typically be implanted between the trabeculae where the wall can be paper-thin, similar to the RAA.¹⁸ To date, the RAA remains the conventional site for atrial lead placement, however, pacing from the RAA does not reproduce normal atrial activation.

The RAA-paced P wave is of low amplitude, often measuring less than 0.12 mV in lead II and P wave duration is typically prolonged, as much as 27% greater than the sinus P wave (Figure 2). The P wave morphology resembles that of sinus rhythm with an increase of the terminal force of the P wave in V1, a marker for an increased risk of atrial fibrillation.^{19,20} RAA pacing has been recognized to increase atrial conduction time since the early days of pacing, leading Furman to recommend programming the paced atrioventricular (AV) delay 25 ms longer than the sensed AV delay.²¹ RAA pacing prolongs the P wave duration, interatrial activation time, atrial electromechanical delay, and interatrial mechanical delay, compared with sinus rhythm.^{22,23} Especially in patients with intrinsic interatrial conduction delay, RAA pacing further prolongs the P wave and is associated with a particularly high risk of atrial fibrillation.²⁴

Deleterious hemodynamic effects of RAA pacing vs. sinus rhythm have been most intensively studied in patients being treated with cardiac resynchronization therapy: Liang et al. prospectively examined the impact of atrial pacing mode on echocardiographic hemodynamic measures, and found that atrial pacing compared to atrial sensing not only delayed interatrial conduction and reduced active atrial strain, but also resulted in a significant reduction in trans-mitral inflow velocity time integral (TVI), left ventricular (LV) outflow tract TVI, diastolic filling period, and global strain.²⁵ Higher percentages of RAA pacing have been proportionately associated with AF incidence and burden in cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) recipients.²⁶ A high burden of atrial pacing (>50%) was associated with worsened atrial strain parameters over baseline and induced significant intra-atrial dyssynchrony in another prospective imaging cohort.²⁷ Clinically, those with a high atrial pacing burden showed reduced LV reverse remodeling, a higher risk of new-onset or recurrent atrial fibrillation, and more frequent heart failure (HF) readmissions.²⁷ However, it is not known if these associations represent cause or effect. Adverse clinical consequences of RAA pacing, including time to first atrial high rate episode, were not seen over 12 months follow-up in the PEGASUS randomized trial which compared DDD-70 programming to DDD-40 and DDDR-40.28



Figure 1 Electrocardiogram (ECG), intra-cardiac electrogram, and echo trans-mitral Doppler before and after implantation of a Bachmann's bundle pacing lead. (*A*) Sinus rhythm P wave duration is prolonged at 129 ms. (*B*) Bachmann's bundle pacing shortened the P wave duration to 86 ms while preserving the PR interval (204 vs. 208 ms). (*C*) Separation of E and A waves with the A wave occurring late and truncated by ventricular systole (heart rate 54 bpm). Diastolic mitral regurgitation is also seen. (*D*) With Bachmann's bundle pacing, the A wave is complete before ventricular systole (heart rate 60 bpm).



Figure 2 Sinus rhythm, right atrial appendage paced, and Bachmann's bundle-paced P wave morphologies in a patient with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy undergoing primary prevention implantable cardioverter defibrillator implant. Compared with sinus (A), right atrial appendage pacing (B) produces a low amplitude, prolonged P wave (150 vs. 116 ms) with prominent negative forces in V1, and increases the PR interval (198 vs. 178 ms), whereas Bachmann's bundle-paced P wave (C) is similar to but narrower than sinus (95 ms), with a shorter PR interval (159 ms).

Alternative atrial pacing sites to improve electromechanical function

Whether the association of RAA pacing with incident AF is a matter of causation or association, interest has grown in alternative pacing sites that might avoid the interatrial conduction delay and atrial dyssynchrony caused by pacing at this site (*Table 1*). Given that non-physiologic activation of the atria by RAA pacing creates conditions that facilitate re-entry as discussed above, AF has been the major clinical endpoint explored.

Several anatomic locations have been studied as alternate pacing sites, chiefly the interatrial septum (IAS) (high, which includes the BB region; mid; and low), the proximal and distal CS, and the area around the CS-os; as well as pacing from two sites in the right atrium (dual site pacing), and pacing the right and left atria (biatrial pacing). The latter two require additional leads and adaptors, and are therefore technically more complex. Proximal CS pacing may result in unfavorable hemodynamics as evidenced by delayed onset right atrial (RA) wave and short RA wave duration, as well as short PR intervals and early closure of the mitral valve, resulting in both right and left AV dyssynchrony.^{41,42} Pacing from the distal CS may avoid this, and indeed biatrial pacing from the right IAS and distal CS resulted in the most favorable acute hemodynamic result compared with the RAA, proximal CS, and IAS (although not compared with BB). $^{\rm 42}$ Here, we will focus on septal pacing sites, as summarized in Table 1.

Of the multiple studies investigating atrial septal pacing, most have focused on the low IAS, and many have not specified criteria for specific sites. Perhaps unsurprisingly, results have been mixed, with reductions in AF burden and AF episodes in a meta-analysis of the available studies, but no overall effect on progression to permanent AF.^{43,44} It should be noted that significant heterogeneity was present, although the most recent, largest study with the longest follow-up did not show a reduction in the progression to persistent AF either with, or without, an atrial overdrive pacing algorithm.⁴⁵

It is clear, therefore, that merely pacing the septum is not sufficient to prevent AF. Because of the near physiologic atrial activation, improved P wave and hemodynamic parameters, and decreased risk of post-pacing AF, BB pacing is an attractive and feasible alternate pacing site. In a multi-center prospective randomized study, patients undergoing dual-chamber pacemaker implantation were randomized in a 1:1 manner to receive either an active fixation lead in the RAA or anterior superior IAS in the BB area.¹⁹ In contrast to other studies, this area was specifically targeted using an anatomic/fluoroscopic approach but also attention to P wave characteristics. At implantation and during the follow-up period, device threshold, impedance, and sensing were similar between the two groups. BB pacing not only demonstrated a shorter P wave duration compared to RAA pacing but also compared to sinus rhythm $(123 \pm 21 \text{ ms vs.} 132 \pm 21 \text{ ms})$. No adverse events related to lead placement, including lead dislodgement, were reported. There was a marked increase in survival free of chronic AF in those with BB pacing (75%) compared to those with RAA pacing (47%) (P = 0.01).²⁹ These results were not reproduced in a small randomized controlled trial of RAA vs. BB pacing in patients with muscular dystrophy. However, this trial did not describe whether P wave or electrogram (EGM) characteristics were used to distinguish BB location from other areas of the IAS, and the small number of patients, lack of reported power calculations, and specific population question whether it was adequately powered

able 1	Randomized con	trolled trials comparing differ	ent atrial pacing sit	es				
tudy	Design	Study population	Intervention group (s) (N ^a)	Comparator group (N ^a)	Mean or cumulative follow-up period (intervention)	Mean or cumulative follow-up period (comparator)	Primary outcome	Other results
aailin et al., 2001 ²⁹	Multi-center RCT	Class I or II indication for permanent dual-chamber pacemaker and recurrent PAF	Active fixation leads into the anterior superior IAS (BB area) (N = 63)	Active fixation leads into the RAA $(N = 57)$	12.6 ± 7.4 months	11.8 ± 8.0 months	BB area pacing had a higher percent survival free from chronic AF (75%) than RAA pacing (47%) ($P = 0.01$).	BB area pacing resulted in decreased PWD compared to RAA pacing and sinus rhythm.
Padeletti <i>et al.</i> , 2001 ³⁰	Multi-center RCT with 2 × 2 factorial design	Sinus bradycardia and symptomatic PAF	IAS pacing (±CAP algorithm) (N = 22)	RAA pacing (±CAP algorithm) (N = 24)	6 months ^b	6 months ^b	IAS pacing had a higher percent survival free from symptomatic recurrence (91%) than RAA pacing (83%) (P = NS).	In both IAS and RAA pacing, the CAP algorithm had no effect on the time to the first episode of PAF and frequency of symptomatic episodes of PAF.
Padeletti <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ³¹	Multi-center RCT with 2 × 2 factorial design	Sinus bradycardia requiring pacemaker and symptomatic PAF	RF ablation via RALL or NRALL followed by IAS pacing	RF ablation via RALL or NRALL followed by RAA pacing	6 months ^b	6 months ^b	IAS pacing had a lower burden of AT ($70 \pm$ 150 min/day) compared to RAA pacing ($219 \pm$ 317 min/day) ($P < 0.016$).	There was no difference in AF burden between RALL and NRALL approach.
Padeletti <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ³²	Multi-center RCT with 2 × 2 factorial design	Class I or II indications for permanent dual-chamber pacemaker and symptomatic PAF/AT	RAS pacing (±AT/ AF prevention algorithm) (N = 138)	NS pacing (±AT/ AF prevention algorithm) (N = 139)	6 months ^b	6 months ^b	AT/AF prevention algorithms did not reduce device-classified AT/AF burden in either pacing groups ($P = NS$ for both).	No difference in AT/AF burden between pacing groups. Pacing prevention was associated with reduced PAC frequency and reduced symptomatic burden in the septal
Hermida <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ³³	Single center RCT	Arrhythmia requiring permanent dual-chamber pacemaker	Mid-atrial septum pacing (N = 32)	RAA pacing (N = 29)	140 ± 87 days	159 ± 88 days	In atrial septal pacing, PWD was not prolonged, PR interval was shortened and RAEMD was prolonged compared to RAA pacing.	There was less There was less recurrence of AF in the septal pacing group (7%) than in the RAA pacing group (25%) ($P = 0.05$); although, the time to first recurrence was similar.
								Continued

Find the control of the cont	0	ontinued Design	Study population	Intervention	Comparator	Mean or	Mean or	Primary outcome	Other results
ge center CUT Moreating permanent perember Moreating serum (not chamber Moreatind serum (not chamber Moreating seru		'n		group (s) (N ^a)	group (N ^a)	cumulative follow-up period (intervention)	cumulative follow-up period (comparator)		
regeneration of comment Mid-atrial septum Mig-atrial section Mig-atrial section <td>Sil</td> <td>ngle center RCT</td> <td>SND requiring permanent dual-chamber pacemaker</td> <td>Mid-atrial septum pacing (N = 66)</td> <td>RAA pacing (N = 68)</td> <td>16 ± 13 months</td> <td>16 ± 13 months</td> <td>There was no difference in the occurrence of first episode of AF between the pacing groups.</td> <td>AF-free survival was higher in the septal pacing group ($70 \pm$ 18%) than in the RAA pacing group ($40 \pm$ 19%) ($P = 0.018$) in patients with ≥ 1 AF episode(s) in the 3 months before imblantation.</td>	Sil	ngle center RCT	SND requiring permanent dual-chamber pacemaker	Mid-atrial septum pacing (N = 66)	RAA pacing (N = 68)	16 ± 13 months	16 ± 13 months	There was no difference in the occurrence of first episode of AF between the pacing groups.	AF-free survival was higher in the septal pacing group ($70 \pm$ 18%) than in the RAA pacing group ($40 \pm$ 19%) ($P = 0.018$) in patients with ≥ 1 AF episode(s) in the 3 months before imblantation.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Z	ngle center RCT	Class I or II indication for permanent dual-chamber pacemaker and recurrent PAF	Mid-atrial septum pacing (N=22)	High atrial pacing (N = 21)	6 months or 12 months ^b	6 months or 12 months ^b	There was no difference in ventricular pacing between the two groups.	There were more mode switches and longer durations of AF in the high atrial pacing group compared to the septal pacing group; however, this was not statistically significant.
Intri-centerSND or SND with AV blockFree RA wall pacing (N = 33), CS-Os 24 months^{b} No difference in survivalNo difference in survivalNo difference in the free of AF > 10% burden amongRCT $(N = 33), CS-Os$ $(N = 33), CS-Os$ $(N = 33), CS-Os$ $(N = 33), CS-Os$ $(N = 34)$ No difference in survivalNo difference in survivalNo difference in the number of patientsRCTpacing $(N = 41)$ or dual site $(RAA$ $+CS-Os$) pacing $(N = 32)$ No difference in survivalNo difference in survivalNo difference in survival $(N = 32)$ or dual site $(RAA$ $+CS-Os$) pacing $(N = 32)$ $(N = 32)$ No difference in survivalNo difference in survivalRCTand indication forbundle pacing $(N = 16)$ 12 months^{b} 12 months^{b} Inter was no significantRCTand indication forbundle pacing $(N = 16)$ $(N = 16)$ $N = 4F episodes and totaldual-chamber(N = 14)duration of AF betweenAF episodes and totalAF episodes and totalpacemakerpacemakerthe two groups.the two groups.$	2	Iulti-center RCT with 2 × 2 factorial design	SND and bradycardia-related symptoms requiring pacemaker and at least one episode of AF in 3 months before enrollment	Low IAS pacing (in patients with $\Delta CT_{0S} \ge 60 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{0S} < 60 \text{ ms} +/- \text{AT/AF}$ prevention algorithms) (N = 20)	RAA pacing (in patients with $\Delta CT_{0S} \ge 60 \text{ ms}$ or $\Delta CT_{0S} < 60 \text{ ms} +/- \text{AT}/$ AF prevention algorithms) (N = 22)	6 months ^b	6 months ^b	In the presence of $\Delta CT_{OS} \ge$ 60 ms, rate-adaptive pacing with prevention algorithms in the IAS group demonstrated a trend toward a reduction in AF episodes/day compared to DDD only.	,
Ingle center Myotonic dystrophy type I Bachmann's RAA pacing 12 months ^b There was no significant RCT and indication for bundle pacing (N = 16) difference in number of RCT and indication for (N = 14) AF episodes and total dual-chamber dual-chamber duration of AF between pacemaker the two groups.	2	ulti-center RCT	SND or SND with AV block	Free RA wall pacing (N = 33), CS-Os pacing (N = 41) or dual site (RAA + CS-Os) pacing (N = 32)	RAA pacing (N= 36)	24 months ^b	24 months ^b	No difference in survival free of $AF > 1\%$ burden or AF > 10% burden among all groups ($P = NS$).	No difference in the number of patients who developed permanent AF among all groups.
	Ń	ingle center RCT	Myotonic dystrophy type I and indication for permanent dual-chamber pacemaker	Bachmann's bundle pacing (N = 14)	RAA pacing (N = 16)	12 months ^b	12 months ^b	There was no significant difference in number of AF episodes and total duration of AF between the two groups.	

Table 1 C	ontinued							
Study	Design	Study population	Intervention group (s) (N ^a)	Comparator group (N ^a)	Mean or cumulative follow-up period (intervention)	Mean or cumulative follow-up period (comparator)	Primary outcome	Other results
Verlato <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ³⁹	Multi-center RCT	SND and indication for permanent dual-chamber pacemaker	IAS pacing (in patients with $\Delta CT_{os} \ge 50 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{os} < 50 \text{ ms}$ ns) plus CAP algorithm (N = 47)	RAA pacing (in patients with $\Delta CT_{OS} \ge 50 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{OS} < 0 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{OS} < 50 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{OS} < 0 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta CT_{OS} < 0 \text{ ms}$ vs. $\Delta (N = 50)$	15 ± 7 months	15 ± 7 months	Among patients with $\Delta CT_{05} \ge 50 \text{ ms}$, IAS pacing was superior to RAA pacing in preventing persistent or permanent AF ($P = 0.047$)	There was no difference in time to the first recurrence of AF among groups and sub-groups.
Lau <i>et a</i> l., 2013 ⁴⁰	Multi-center RCT with 2 × 2 factorial design	SSS and PAF in whom permanent dual-chamber pacemaker was indicated	Low IAS pacing (±CAP algorithm) (N = 188)	RAA pacing (±CAP algorithm) (N = 197)	3.1 years ^b	3.1 years ^b	There was no significant difference in time to develop persistent AF between the two pacing sites (or if CAP algorithm was on or off).	RA septal pacing significantly reduced PWD compared with RAA pacing.
^a Number c ^b Cumulati	of study participan ve follow-up durat	ts were randomized. ion.	socie bundlo: CAB conc	into the former of the former	uteos leisterschri 341		siteta 21M consistent accessil loisten ted	PACE

AT, atrial tachyarrhythmia; AV, atrioventricular; BB, Bachmann's bundle; CAP, consistent atrial pacing; IAS, interatrial septum; NRALL, non-right atrial linear lesions; NS, statistical non-significance; PAC, premature atrial fibrillation; PWD, P wave duration; RAA, right atrial appendage; RAEMD, right atrial electromechanical delay; RALL right atrial linear lesions; RAS, right atrial statist is a statistical non-significance; PAC, premature atrial contraction; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; PWD, P wave duration; RAA, right atrial appendage; RAEMD, right atrial electromechanical delay; RALL right atrial linear lesions; RAS, right atrial statist statist septum; RCT, randomized clinical trial; RF, radiofrequency; SND, sinus node dysfunction; SSS, sick sinus syndrome; ΔCT_{0S}, difference between basal and incremental conduction times from the right atrial appendage to the coronary sinus softium

and generalizable. More recently, Infeld et al. guestioned whether an anatomic approach alone would be sufficient to ensure BB capture and hypothesized that P wave analysis could provide incremental information on BB vs. right atrial septal (RAS) pacing which may have clinical implications.⁴⁰ A large cohort of patients with baseline inter-atrial conduction delay; sinus P wave duration (PWD) > 120 ms) and a minimum atrial pacing burden of > 20% was divided into BB (134), other high right atrial sepal (107), and RAA (108) pacing sites based on paced P wave While the burden of morphology. AF/atrial tachyarrhythmia (AT) increased over follow-up in the patients with RAS pacing and RAA pacing, the AF/AT burden remained unchanged in those with BB pacing. In multivariate Cox proportional-hazards models, the risk of AF/AT with BB pacing was nearly half of that with RAS pacing (hazard ratio 0.43; 95% confidence intervals 0.29, 0.66; P<0.001), and both de novo and recurrent AF were similarly affected.⁴⁰ Although these data are retrospective, they concur with the randomized controlled trial of Bailin et al.²⁹ and the dramatic difference in clinical outcomes among patients with anatomically similar lead placement (BB and high IAS) suggests a value of P-wave analysis to guide optimal atrial lead placement.

Right atrial appendage pacing may also increase the risk of atrial fibrillation indirectly through an increase in the right ventricular (RV) pacing burden. In a prospective randomized study of 385 patients with paroxysmal AF and sick sinus syndrome (SSS), RAA pacing was associated with



Figure 3 Pre-shaped delivery catheters (C315-J and C315-S5, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) for delivery of the 3830 lead (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) to the Bachmann's bundle area. Courtesy of Medtronic.

a significantly increased RV pacing burden compared to low IAS pacing.¹⁷ While a potential solution may be to program longer AV delays to reduce RV pacing burden, this creates its own limitations including constricting the maximum tracking rate, inducing unfavorable hemodynamics, and causing pseudo-pacemaker syndrome. On the contrary, BB pacing results in shorter AV conduction time and a significantly decreased burden of ventricular pacing compared to RAA pacing (21% vs. 4%, P < 0.01).⁴⁶ Suzuki et al. compared parameters of LV performance among heart failure patients with CRT devices and BB atrial lead in DDD vs. VDD mode.47 Compared with RA-sensed bi-ventricular pacing, BB-paced bi-ventricular pacing demonstrated a shorter AV delay, in addition to shorter PWD and PQ interval. BB pacing in DDD mode appeared to improve LV hemodynamics as evidenced by a significant increase in left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral (14.5 \pm 4.6 cm in VDD compared with 15.7 \pm 4.2 cm in DDD). A similar observation was noted in a patient with significant interatrial conduction disease which was reversed with temporary BB pacing and resulted in reductions in left atrial (LA) and left ventricular enddiastolic pressure suggestive of restored AV synchrony.⁴

Bachmann's bundle pacing

The traditional approach to BB area pacing is based primarily on fluoroscopy. Any active fixation pacing lead can be used: either fixed or extendable-retractable helix stylet-driven leads, or a sheath-delivered, lumenless lead (SelectSecure model 3830, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) delivered via a pre-shaped or deflectable sheath (C315 delivery catheter, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA; Figure 3). Stylet-driven leads can be placed using a hand-modified J stylet or a deflectable stylet (Locator Plus, Abbott, Plymouth, MN, USA).⁴⁹ In normal-sized right atria a smaller radius J is ideal. In dilated right atria, a larger radius J stylet is used, and can be modified by bending the straight part of the stylet 1-1.5 cm before the start of the curve to 150°, which extends the reach. The lead is advanced into the RA. In the right anterior obligue (RAO) view, the lead is positioned so that it is facing anterior (Figure 4). Switching to the left anterior oblique



Figure 4 Final position of a stylet-driven atrial lead at Bachmann's bundle in postero-anterior (PA, left), LAO 40° (center), and RAO 30° (right) projections. In the PA view, the site of the atrial lead tip resembles a position in the right atrial appendage. However, there is no windscreen wiper movement. In this very large right atrium, the corner between the right atrial roof and the right side of the atrial septum is leftward (posterior) to the His bundle lead which serves as an approximate landmark for the plane of the interatrial septum. In RAO, the atrial lead at Bachmann's bundle points perpendicularly to the roof of the right atrium.



Figure 5 Pacing at 5 V/0.5 ms (first P wave) and 1 V/0.5 ms (third P wave; the second is superimposed on the T wave) showing an inferior axis P wave consistent with capture of Bachmann's bundle area but without significant change in P wave morphology or latency.

(LAO) view, the lead is directed towards the septum (Figure 4). A previously placed right ventricular septal, His, or left bundle lead can serve as a marker of the plane of the ventricular septum, which approximates the plane of the IAS. The lead is withdrawn until the 'J' begins to straighten out, indicating that the lead tip has reached the cranial aspect of the right atrium, and then fixated. 50 Difficulty may be encountered reaching the septum in larger atria, requiring modification of the standard J stylet or the use of a larger pre-shaped or deflectable sheath. Care must be taken not to inadvertently enter the left atrium via a patent foramen ovale, and, similar to RAA lead implantation, aortic perforation should be very rare but possible.¹⁸ Injury current confirms adequate tissue contact as with other lead locations, and sensing and threshold values are similar to those seen at the RAA.²⁹ In the largest series of patients undergoing BB pacing, the success rate was 75%, and implantation and fluoroscopy times were slightly longer (15.7 and 1.9 min).⁵¹ Pacing should produce shortening of the P wave by 10-20 ms vs. sinus, and a more positive P wave in lead II.⁵⁰ However, few investigators have reported on the reduction in P wave duration, or P wave morphology, or used these to guide the exact placement of the lead (Table 1).

The electrogram-guided approach to BB pacing was first described by Infeld *et al.*⁴⁰ This utilizes distinct electrogram and P wave characteristics which suggest capture of BB itself, rather than purely anatomic placement of the lead. Experience with this approach has largely been with the 3830 lead delivered via a pre-shaped or deflectable sheath, but is also feasible with stylet-driven leads (*Figure 3*). The lead is navigated to the area of BB as described above. Mapping is then performed using the tip of the lead connected in unipolar fashion to an electrophysiology recording

system and to the pacemaker system analyzer to seek the right atrial endocardial electrogram which is posited to represent BB activation. Biphasic or multiphasic potentials at this location can be found in the majority of patients, rather than the simple monophasic EGM which is seen at most atrial sites, including the RAA. A distinct electrogram is often seen, separated from the local right atrial electrogram by up to 30 ms. Depending on electrode orientation, this may be more appreciable in unipolar or bipolar (after lead fixation) electrograms. Response to pacing is then assessed, both before and after lead deployment.

With high output pacing (5-10 V at 0.4-0.5 ms), local potentials are captured, and the P-wave deflection begins immediately or shortly after the pacing stimulus, as seen at most other pacing sites. With a decrement in the output during threshold testing, one of two responses is seen:

- In approximately 50% of cases a narrow P wave results without significant change in P wave morphology with decrementing pacing output (*Figure 5*).
- 2. In other cases, with decrementing output, an increase in latency (isoelectric stim-P wave interval) is seen and P wave duration remains constant or shortens further. This is often accompanied by the emergence of a separate EGM that times (approximately) with the onset of the narrowed-paced P wave, and likely represents local right atrial activation in the setting of selective capture of BB, perhaps analogous to the local RV potential seen during selective His bundle capture (*Figure 6*).

The proposed origin of these potentials is supported by the observation of premature atrial contractions from this



Figure 6 Decremental threshold testing from 1 V/0.5 ms to 0.9 V/0.5 ms results in increased latency and the emergence of a separate EGM (arrowhead) representing local RA activation and timing with the start of the P wave. This suggests Bachmann's bundle is selectively captured. We observe this phenomenon in a minority of cases.



Figure 7 Surface ECG and unipolar EGM from the lead tip after implantation of a Bachmann's bundle lead (*A*). The first beat is a sinus beat. The EGM consists of a large right atrial component followed by a low amplitude but sharp component, followed by far-field left atrial EGM. The second and third P waves are premature atrial complexes, in which the small sharp EGM is earliest (arrowhead), followed by the RA and LA components. The P wave, EGM sequence, and stim-P wave time are strikingly similar to that produced by pacing from the lead tip (*B*), suggesting that pacing selectively captures the low amplitude sharp component and that this represents activation of Bachmann's bundle.

area (*Figure 7*). It is not yet clear if one pattern is more favorable than the other, nor whether each response is possible in any given patient. In general, a higher impedance (>1000 ohms acutely during unipolar pacing) is noted with output-dependent changes in P wave morphology, suggestive of deeper penetration into the tissue.

Device programming is similar to other atrial lead locations, but special attention must be paid to AV delays. Bachmann's bundle pacing site leads to a shorter P wave duration and shorter or preserved PR interval, reducing unnecessary ventricular pacing. Paced P wave latency is longer than seen at the RAA, and therefore the paced AV delay should be programmed to account for this and avoid promoting ventricular pacing, if not desired. While the paced AV delay should be programmed 25-50 ms longer than the sensed AV delay for leads in the RAA, pacing at BB typically results not only in a short interatrial delay but also in a shorter AV conduction. In our clinical experience the difference is typically at 10-20 ms. Far-field R wave oversensing is seen less often at BB than the RAA, even with the use of leads with a 10-15 mm interelectrode distance, ⁵² let alone with shorter distances (e.g. Optisense 1999, Abbott, Plymouth, MN, USA). We have not observed double-counting due to the more complex electrogram, such as can be seen near the crista terminalis. Medium-term follow-up of BB area leads has shown similar sensing, threshold, and impedance to RAA leads in a randomized trial.²⁹

Future directions

Cardiac pacing does not merely restore chronotropic and dromotropic function but can induce dyssynchrony if normal physiology is not emulated as closely as possible. This is well established in the field of ventricular pacing, but, after a long period of relative neglect, BB pacing has increasingly demonstrated this in the atrium as well.

Bachmann's bundle pacing is currently performed using available pacing leads and a very small number of specific components. Although this is largely satisfactory, future developments may increase the ease of implant, or reduce the need for fluoroscopy, for instance by substituting echocardiographic guidance. The optimal EGM and P wave characteristics remain to be defined and integrated into the implant workflow.

In addition to reducing AF in patients with SND, a number of other populations may benefit. Patients with advanced IAB may derive hemodynamic and symptomatic benefit from correction with BB and/or left atrial pacing.⁵³ Normalization of the AV delay in patients with heart failure with a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and prolonged PR interval significantly improved quality of life in the recent HOPE-HF trial.⁵⁴ Maintaining or correcting atrial synchrony with BB pacing may add further benefits in this population and in those with a normal LVEF.

The resting heart rate provides a novel therapeutic target in patients with HFpEF. In the recent myPACE clinical trial, treatment with a moderately accelerated, algorithmderived personalized pacing rate improved quality of life, NT-proBNP levels, physical activity, and atrial fibrillation compared with the conventional 60 bpm in patients with HFpEF and pre-exisiting pacemakers.⁵⁵ Notably, most patients in this study had BB leads, which likely avoided the potential negative consequences of non-physiologic atrial pacing. Whether such benefits would be seen with RAA pacing is unclear, suggesting that BB pacing be considered for patients undergoing pacemaker implants in whom this strategy might be used in the future.

Whether the implantation of completely (atrial and ventricular) physiologic pacemakers for the modulation of heart rate and AV delay in patients without a conventional indication for pacemaker implant will deliver a net benefit remains to be tested, but presents an opportunity to move cardiac pacing beyond palliative treatment of bradyarrhythmias into a holistic paradigm of restoring cardiac electrical and hemodynamic function.

Funding

This manuscript was published as part of a supplement sponsored by Medtronic. The content was developed independent of the sponsor. Authors did not receive an honorarium.

Conflict of interest: E.M.C.: speaking and teaching, Medtronic. C.W.I.: speaking and teaching, Abbott, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Medtronic, Microport.

Data availability

No new data were generated or analysed in support of this research.

References

- Lewis T, Meakins J, White PD. The excitatory process in the dog's heart. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 1914;205:375-420.
- Bachmann G. The inter-auricular time interval. . Am J Physiol-Legacy Content 1916;41:309-320.
- Lemery R, Guiraudon G, Veinot JP. Anatomic description of Bachmann's bundle and its relation to the atrial septum. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;91:1482-1485.

- Ho SY, Anderson RH, Sánchez-Quintana D. Atrial structure and fibres: morphologic bases of atrial conduction. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002;54: 325-336.
- Guiraudon GM, Jones DL, Skanes A, Tweedie E, Klein GJ. Revisiting right atrial isolation rationale for atrial fibrillation: functional anatomy of interatrial connections. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2013;37:267-273.
- Sherf L, James TN. Fine structure of cells and their histologic organization within internodal pathways of the heart: clinical and electrocardiographic implications. *Am J Cardiol* 1979;44: 345-369.
- Childers RW, Merideth J, Moe GK. Supernormality in Bachmann's bundle. Circ Res 1968;22:363-370.
- Wagner ML, Lazzara R, Weiss RM, Hoffman BF. Specialized conducting fibers in the interatrial band. *Circ Res* 1966;18:502-518.
- Pambrun T, Derval N, Duchateau J, Ramirez FD, Chauvel R, Tixier R et al. Sinus node exit, crista terminalis conduction, interatrial connection, and wavefront collision: key features of human atrial activation in sinus rhythm. *Hear Rhythm* 2022;19:701-709.
- Bayés de Luna A, Platonov P, Cosio FG, Cygankiewicz I, Pastore C, Baranowski R *et al.* Interatrial blocks. A separate entity from left atrial enlargement: A consensus report. *J Electrocardiol* 2012;45: 445-451.
- Lampert J, Power D, Havaldar S, Govindarajulu U, Kawamura I, Maan A et al. Interatrial block association with adverse cardiovascular outcomes in patients without a history of atrial fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2023;9:1804-1815.
- O'Neal WT, Kamel H, Zhang Z-M, Chen LY, Alonso A, Soliman EZ. Advanced interatrial block and ischemic stroke the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Neurology* 2016;87:352-356.
- Turakhia MP, Ziegler PD, Schmitt SK, Chang Y, Fan J, Than CT et al. Atrial fibrillation burden and short-term risk of stroke: case-crossover analysis of continuously recorded heart rhythm from cardiac electronic implanted devices. Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2015;8:1040-1047.
- Singer DE, Ziegler PD, Koehler JL, Sarkar S, Passman RS. Temporal association between episodes of atrial fibrillation and risk of ischemic stroke. JAMA Cardiol 2021;6:1364-1369.
- Platonov PG, Mitrofanova LB, Orshanskaya V, Ho SY. Structural abnormalities in atrial walls are associated with presence and persistency of atrial fibrillation but not with age. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:2225-2232.
- Lacalzada-Almeida J, Izquierdo-Gómez MM, Belleyo-Belkasem C, Barrio-Martínez P, García-Niebla J, Elosua R et al. Interatrial block and atrial remodeling assessed using speckle tracking echocardiography. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2018; 18:38.
- Eicher JC, Laurent G, Mathé A, Barthez O, Bertaux G, Philip JL *et al.* Atrial dyssynchrony syndrome: an overlooked phenomenon and a potential cause of "diastolic" heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2012; 14:248-258.
- Israel CW, Tribunyan S, Ho SY, Cabrera JA. Anatomy for atrial lead implantation. *Herzschrittmacherther Elektrophysiol* 2022;33: 181-185.
- 19. Hardebeck CJ. Electrocardiographic characteristics of pacing from the right atrial appendage during atrioventricular sequential pacing. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1988;11:193-202.
- Wolder LD, Graff C, Baadsgaard KH, Langgaard ML, Polcwiartek C, Ji-Young Lee C *et al*. Electrocardiographic P terminal force in lead V1, its components, and the association with stroke and atrial fibrillation or flutter. *Hear Rhythm* 2023;20:354-362.
- Ausubel K, Klementowicz P, Furman S. Interatrial conduction during cardiac pacing: conduction intra-auriculaire durant la stimulation cardiaque. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1986;9:1026-1031.
- 22. Belham MRD, Gill J, Gammage MD, Holt PM. The electromechanical effects of pacing at different sites within the right atrium. *Europace* 2002;4:431-437.
- Wang K, Xiao HB, Fujimoto S, Gibson DG. Atrial electromechanical sequence in normal subjects and patients with DDD pacemakers. Br Heart J 1995;74:403-407.
- Endoh Y, Nakamura A, Suzuki T, Mizuno M, Takara A, Ota Y et al. Clinical significance of prolonged P wave width after right atrial appendage pacing in sick Sinus syndrome. Circ J 2003;67:485-489.
- 25. Liang HY, Cheng A, Chang KC, Berger RD, Agarwal K, Eulitt P *et al.* Influence of atrial function and mechanical synchrony on LV

hemodynamic status in heart failure patients on resynchronization therapy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2011;4:691-698.

- Adelstein E, Saba S. Right atrial pacing and the risk of postimplant atrial fibrillation in cardiac resynchronization therapy recipients. *Am Heart J* 2008;**155**:94-99.
- Martens P, Deferm S, Bertrand PB, Verbrugge FH, Ramaekers J, Verhaert D et al. The detrimental effect of RA pacing on LA function and clinical outcome in cardiac resynchronization therapy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2020;13:895-906.
- Martin DO, Day JD, Lai PY, Murphy AL, Nayak HM, Villareal RP et al. Atrial support pacing in heart failure: results from the multicenter PEGASUS CRT trial. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2012;23:1317-1325.
- Bailin SJ, Adler S, Giudici M. Prevention of chronic atrial fibrillation by pacing in the region of Bachmann's bundle: results of a multicenter randomized trial. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2001;12:912-917.
- Padeletti L, Pieragnoli P, Ciapetti C, Colella A, Musilli N, Porciani MC et al. Randomized crossover comparison of right atrial appendage pacing versus interatrial septum pacing for prevention of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in patients with sinus bradycardia. Am Heart J 2001;142:1047-1055.
- Padeletti L, Botto G, Spampinato A, Michelucci A, Colella A, Porciani MC et al. Prevention of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in patients with sinus bradycardia: role of right atrial linear ablation and pacing site. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2003;14:733-738.
- Padeletti L, Pürerfellner H, Adler SW, Waller TJ, Harvey M, Horvitz L et al. Combined efficacy of atrial septal lead placement and atrial pacing algorithms for prevention of paroxysmal atrial tachyarrhythmia. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2003;14:1189-1195.
- Hermida JS, Carpentier C, Kubala M, Otmani A, Delonca J, Jarry G et al. Atrial septal versus atrial appendage pacing: feasibility and effects on atrial conduction, interatrial synchronization, and atrioventricular sequence. PACE—Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2003;26:26-35.
- 34. Hermida JS, Kubala M, Lescure FX, Delonca J, Clerc J, Otmani A et al. Atrial septal pacing to prevent atrial fibrillation in patients with sinus node dysfunction: results of a randomized controlled study. Am Heart J 2004;148:312-317.
- Hakacova N, Velimirovic D, Margitfalvi P, Hatala R, Buckingham TA. Septal atrial pacing for the prevention of atrial fibrillation. *Europace* 2007;9:1124-1128.
- 36. Verlato R, Zanon F, Bertaglia E, Turrini P, Baccillieri MS, Baracca E *et al.* Prevalence of conduction delay of the right atrium in patients with SSS: implications for pacing site selection. *J Cardiovasc Med* 2007;**8**:706-712.
- 37. Spitzer SG, Wacker P, Gazarek S, Malinowski K, Schibgilla V. Primary prevention of atrial fibrillation: does the atrial lead position influence the incidence of atrial arrhythmias in patients with sinus node dysfunction? Results from the pasta trial. PACE-Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2009;32:1553-1561.
- Nigro G, Russo V, Politano L, Della Cioppa N, Rago A, Arena G et al. Does Bachmann's bundle pacing prevent atrial fibrillation in myotonic dystrophy type 1 patients? A 12 months follow-up study. Europace 2010;12:1219-1223.
- 39. Verlato R, Botto GL, Massa R, Amellone C, Perucca A, Bongiorni MG et al. Efficacy of low interatrial septum and right atrial appendage pacing for prevention of permanent atrial fibrillation in patients with sinus node disease: results from the electrophysiology-guided pacing site selection (EPASS) study. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol* 2011;4: 844-850.
- 40. Infeld M, Nicoli CD, Meagher S, Tompkins BJ, Wayne S, Irvine B et al. Clinical impact of Bachmann's bundle pacing defined by electrocardiographic criteria on atrial arrhythmia outcomes. Eurpspace 2022;24:1460-1468.

- Dabrowska-Kugacka A, Lewicka-Nowak E, Ruciński P, Kozłowski D, Raczak G, Kutarski A. Single-site Bachmann's bundle pacing is beneficial while coronary sinus pacing results in echocardiographic right heart pacemaker syndrome in brady-tachycardia patients. *Circ* J 2010;74:1308-1315.
- 42. Burri H, Bennani I, Domenichini G, Ganière V, Sunthorn H, Stettler C et al. Biatrial pacing improves atrial haemodynamics and atrioventricular timing compared with pacing from the right atrial appendage. Europace 2011;13:1262-1267.
- 43. Shali S, Su Y, Ge J. Interatrial septal pacing to suppress atrial fibrillation in patients with dual chamber pacemakers: A meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 219:421-427.
- 44. Zhang L, Jiang H, Wang W, Bai J, Liang Y, Su Y *et al.* Interatrial septum versus right atrial appendage pacing for prevention of atrial fibrillation : A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Herz* 2018;43:438-446.
- 45. Lau CP, Tachapong N, Wang CC, Wang JF, Abe H, Kong CW et al. Prospective randomized study to assess the efficacy of site and rate of atrial pacing on long-term progression of atrial fibrillation in sick sinus syndrome septal pacing for atrial fibrillation suppression evaluation (SAFE) study. *Circulation* 2013;**128**:687-693.
- 46. Sławuta A, Kliś M, Skoczyński P, Bańkowski T, Moszczyńska-Stulin J, Gajek J. Bachmann's bundle pacing not only improves interatrial conduction but also reduces the need for ventricular pacing. Adv Clin Exp Med 2016;25:845-850.
- Suzuki T, Osaka T, Kuroda Y, Hasebe H, Yokoyama E, Kamiya K et al. Potential benefit of Bachmann's bundle pacing on left ventricular performance in patients with cardiac resynchronized therapy. Circ J 2012;76:2799-2806.
- Mahowald MK, Reddy YNV, Crestanello JA, Asirvatham SJ, Nishimura RA. Hemodynamic benefits from left atrial pacing to treat interatrial conduction delay following atrial fibrillation ablation. *Circ Hear Fail* 2021;14:628-629.
- Das A. Electrocardiographic features: various atrial site pacing. Indian Heart J 2017;69:675-680.
- 50. Bailin SJ. Atrial lead implantation in the Bachmann bundle. *Hear Rhythm* 2005;2:784-786.
- Israel CW, Burmistrava T, Tschishow W, Said S, Obergassel L, Lamp B. Atrial lead implantation at Bachmann's bundle: implantation success and effects on interatrial and atrioventricular conduction. *Hear Rhythm* 2017;14:2017.
- Lewicka-Nowak E, Kutarski A, Dabrowska-Kugacka A, Ruciński P, Zagozdzon P, Raczak G. Atrial lead location at the Bachmann's bundle region results in a low incidence of far field R-wave sensing. *Europace* 2008; 10:138-146.
- Bisbal F, Baranchuk A, Braunwald E, de Luna A. B, Bayés-Genís A. Atrial failure as a clinical entity: JACC review topic of the week. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75:222-232.
- 54. Whinnett ZI, Shun-Shin MJ, Tanner M, Foley P, Chandrasekaran B, Moore P et al. Effects of haemodynamically atrio-ventricular optimized His bundle pacing on heart failure symptoms and exercise capacity: the His Optimized Pacing Evaluated for Heart Failure (HOPE-HF) randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2023;25:274-283.
- 55. Infeld M, Wahlberg K, Cicero J, Plante TB, Meagher S, Novelli A *et al*. Effect of personalized accelerated pacing on quality of life, physical activity, and atrial fibrillation in patients with preclinical and overt heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the myPACE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol* 2023;**8**:213-221.